


# CAVITE PROVINCE LAND RECLAMATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## ISLAND A: 247.28 HECTARES

### ALONG BACOR BAY AND COASTAL WATERS OF CAVITE CITY AND KAWIT WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF CAVITE



SUBMITTED BY:



CAVITE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT  
OFFICE ADDRESS: PROVINCIAL CAPITOL OFFICE, TRECE MARTIRES CITY, PROVINCE  
SITE LOCATION : ALONG BACOR BAY AND COASTAL WATERS OF CAVITE CITY AND  
WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF CAVITE PROVINCE

AUG 28 2020

SUBMITTED TO:



DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
Environmental Management Bureau – Central Office  
DENR Compound, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City

FIVE  
copy  
Rev. AUG-20-20

DRAFT  
AUGUST 2020





Republic of the Philippines  
Province of Cavite  
**OFFICE OF THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNOR**  
Trece Martires City



25 August 2020

**DIRECTOR WILLIAM P. CUÑADO**

Environmental Management Bureau  
DENR Compound, Visayas Avenue  
Diliman, Quezon City



**Attention: ENGR. ESPERANZA A. SAJUL**

Chief, Environmental Impact Assessment and Management Division

**Subject: APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE (ECC)  
FOR THE PROPOSED 'CAVITE RECLAMATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT  
ISLAND A: 247.28 HECTARES' TO BE LOCATED WITHIN THE COASTAL  
WATERS OF CAVITE CITY AND KAWIT WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF CAVITE  
PROVINCE**

Dear Director Cuñado:

Transmitting herewith one (1) Revised Draft Environmental Impact Study (EIS) for the proposed "Cavite Reclamation and Development Project Island A: 247.28 Hectares," which incorporates the results of the Additional Baseline Sampling conducted last 23 and 24 June 2020 for the following:


1. Marine Water Sampling-Additional for North, South, West and East;
2. Two (2) Sediment Sampling; and
3. Surface Water (Mouth of the River)-Imus, Ylang-Ylang and Maalimango.

A separate summary of Marine Water, Surface Water and Sediment Sampling Report for Cavite Reclamation Projects labeled A, C, D and E is hereto attached.

We hope you find the above requirements in order. We look forward to your immediate kind attention on the application.

Thank you very much.

Very truly yours,

  
**JUANITO VICTOR C. REMULLA**  
Governor



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME 1: MAIN REPORT

### ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES 1.0	Project Fact Sheet.....	ES-1
ES 1.1	Project Description Summary.....	ES-2
ES 2.0	Process Documentation of the Conduct of EIA.....	ES-2
ES 2.1	The EIA Team.....	ES-4
ES 2.2	EIA Schedule.....	ES-4
ES 2.3	EIA Methodologies.....	ES-5
ES 2.4	Public Participation Activities.....	ES-6
ES 2.4.1	Information, Education and Communication (IEC) Activities.....	ES-6
ES 2.4.2	Public Scoping.....	ES-7
ES 3.0	EIA Summary.....	ES-8
ES 3.1	Summary of Alternatives.....	ES-8
ES 3.2	Summary of Main Impacts and Residual Effects after Applying Mitigation.....	ES-8
ES 3.3	Risks and uncertainties relating to the findings and implications for decision making.....	ES-10

### CHAPTER 1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1	Project Area, Location and Accessibility.....	1-4
1.1.1	Location and Political Boundaries.....	1-4
1.1.2	Geographic Coordinates (Shape File Data) of Project Area.....	1-5
1.1.3	Accessibility.....	1-9
1.1.4	Vicinity Map and Adjacent Landmarks.....	1-10
1.1.5	Adjacent Proposed Reclamation and Other Projects.....	1-11
1.1.6	Distance of the Las Piñas-Parañaque Wetland Park (LPPWP).....	1-11
1.1.7	Impact Areas.....	1-16
1.1.8	Proposed Buffer Zone.....	1-18
1.2	Project Rationale.....	1-20
1.3	Project Alternatives.....	1-21
1.3.1	Siting Alternative Criteria.....	1-21
1.3.2	Technology Options.....	1-22
1.3.2.1	Dredging and Reclamation Equipment.....	1-22
1.3.2.2	Reclamation/Technology Method.....	1-24
1.3.2.3	Containment Wall System.....	1-24
1.3.2.4	Structure Type of Dike.....	1-25
1.3.2.5	Material of Dike Body.....	1-26
1.3.2.6	Armor Structure.....	1-28
1.3.3	Resources.....	1-29
1.3.4	Power and Water Supply.....	1-30
1.3.5	Hazard Identification and Consideration During the Design Process.....	1-31
1.3.6	Summary and Discussion of Comparison of Environmental Impact of Each Alternative.....	1-32
1.3.7	Discussion of the Consequences of Not Proceeding with the Project.....	1-36
1.4	Project Components.....	1-36
1.5	Process Technology.....	1-41
1.5.1	Site Survey.....	1-41
1.5.2	Removal of Unwanted Solids/Scraps/Debris at Site.....	1-42
1.5.3	Construction of Environmental Protection.....	1-42
1.5.3.1	Placement of Containment Boom and Silt Curtain.....	1-42
1.5.3.2	Construction of Cofferdam.....	1-44
1.5.4	Dredging of Unwanted Seabed Materials and Seabed.....	1-44
1.5.5	Reclamation Activity Method.....	1-45
1.5.5.1	Construction of Dikes.....	1-45
1.5.5.2	Construction of Landform Using Hydraulic Sand Fill Method.....	1-48
1.5.6	Soil Stabilization.....	1-50
1.6	Project Size.....	1-57



1.7	Development Plan .....	1-57
1.7.1	Pre-Construction Phase .....	1-57
1.7.2	Construction Phase .....	1-58
1.7.2.1	Landform Preparation Structure (1 Reclamation Island-Dredging and Reclamation) .....	1-58
1.7.2.2	Horizontal Development .....	1-58
1.7.3	Implementation Schedule .....	1-65
1.7.4	Operations (e.g. construction of horizontal structures) .....	1-66
1.7.5	Decommissioning Phase .....	1-66
1.8	Manpower .....	1-67
1.8.1	Construction (Reclamation Phase) .....	1-67
1.8.2	Vertical Construction Works .....	1-67
1.9	Project Cost. Indicative Project Investment Cost .....	1-68
1.9.1	Proposed Funding/Financing of the project .....	1-68

## CHAPTER 2 KEY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

### Chapter 2.1 The Land

2.1	LAND .....	2.1-1
2.1.1	Land Use and Classification .....	2.1-1
2.1.1.1	Impact in Terms of Compatibility with Existing Land Use .....	2.1-1
2.1.1.2	Impact on Compatibility with Classification as an Environmentally Critical Area (ECA) .....	2.1-8
2.1.1.3	Impact on Existing Land/Water Tenure Issue/s .....	2.1-16
2.1.1.4	Impairment of Visual Aesthetics .....	2.1-20
2.1.1.5	Devaluation of Land Value as a Result of Improper Solid Waste Management and Other Related Impacts .....	2.1-20
2.1.2	Geology/ Geomorphology .....	2.1-22
2.1.2.1	Change in Surface Landform/Geomorphology/ Topography/ Terrain/Slope .....	2.1-23
2.1.2.2	Change in Subsurface Geology / Underground Conditions .....	2.1-28
2.1.2.2.1	Regional Geologic Settling .....	2.1-28
2.1.2.2.2	Regional Stratigraphy .....	2.1-30
2.1.2.2.3	Tectonic Settling .....	2.1-30
2.1.2.2.4	Local Stratigraphy .....	2.1-34
2.1.2.3	Inducement of Subsidence, Liquefaction, Landslides, Mud/Debris Flow, etc. ....	2.1-36
2.1.2.3.1	Seismic Hazard .....	2.1-36
2.1.2.3.1.1	Ground Shaking/ Acceleration .....	2.1-41
2.1.2.3.1.2	Ground Rupture .....	2.1-46
2.1.2.3.1.3	Differential Settlement .....	2.1-49
2.1.2.3.1.4	Liquefaction .....	2.1-49
2.1.2.3.1.5	Tsunami .....	2.1-53
2.1.2.3.2	Mass Movement .....	2.1-58
2.1.2.3.2.1	Landslide .....	2.1-58
2.1.2.3.2.2	Settlement/ Subsidence .....	2.1-67
2.1.2.3.3	Volcanic Hazards (Ash Fall) .....	2.1-75
2.1.2.3.4	Hydrometeorological Hazards .....	2.1-76
2.1.2.3.4.1	Flooding .....	2.1-76
2.1.2.3.4.2	Storm Surges/ Seiches/ Storm Waves .....	2.1-82
2.1.3	Pedology .....	2.1-88
2.1.3.1	Soil Erosion / Loss of Topsoil / Overburden. ....	2.1-88
2.1.3.1.1	Subsurface Idealization .....	2.1-89
2.1.3.2	Change in Soil Quality or Fertility. ....	2.1-94
2.1.4	Terrestrial Ecology .....	2.1-98

### Chapter 2.2 The Water

2.2-1	Hydrology/Hydrogeology .....	2.2-1
2.2-1.1	Change in Drainage Morphology / Inducement of Flooding / Reduction in Stream Volumetric Flow .....	2.2-3
2.2-1.1.1	Flood Studies .....	2.2-6
2.2-1.1.2	Internal Drainage System .....	2.2-42
2.2-1.2	Change in Stream / Lake Water Depth .....	2.2-49



2.2-1.3	Depletion of water resources / competition in water use .....	2.2-49
2.2.2	Oceanography .....	2.2-49
2.2.2.1	Change in Water Circulation Pattern, Littoral Current, and Coastal Erosion and Deposition .....	2.2-49
2.2.2.1.1	Bathymetric Survey, Measured Bathymetry and Bathymetric Map .....	2.2-49
2.2.3	Water Quality .....	2.2-121
2.2.3.1	Degradation of Groundwater Quality .....	2.2-121
2.2.3.2	Degradation of Surface Water Quality .....	2.2-124
2.2.3.3	Degradation of Coastal / Marine Water Quality .....	2.2-132
2.2.4	Freshwater Ecology .....	2.2-135
2.2.5	Marine Ecology .....	2.2-138
2.2.5.1	Survey Area and Objective .....	2.2-138
2.2.5.2	Survey methods and Survey Stations .....	2.2-142
2.2.5.3	Result and Discussion .....	2.2-153
2.2.5.4	Probable Environmental Impacts .....	2.2-174
2.2.5.5	Mitigating Measures .....	2.2-178

## Chapter 2.3 The Air

2.3.1	Meteorology / Climatology .....	2.3-2
2.3.1.1	Change in the local climate e.g. local temperature .....	2.3-2
2.3.1.2	Monthly Average Rainfall and Temperature in the Area .....	2.3-4
2.3.1.3	Contribution in Terms of Greenhouse Gas Emissions (or GHG Mitigation Potential) .....	2.3-6
2.3.2	Air Quality .....	2.3-10
2.3.2.1	Degradation of Air Quality .....	2.3-10
2.3.2.1.1	Ambient Air Quality Baselines .....	2.3-10
2.3.2.2	Increase in Ambient Noise Levels .....	2.3-18

## Chapter 2.4 The People

2.4.1	Displacement of People, Livelihood and Properties .....	2.4-16
2.4.1.1	Displacement of Settlers/Fisherfolks .....	2.4-16
2.4.2	In-Migration/ Proliferation of Informal Settlers .....	2.4-18
2.4.3	Cultural/Lifestyle Change (especially on Indigenous Peoples (IPs)) .....	2.4-18
2.4.4	Impacts on Physical Cultural Resources .....	2.4-19
2.4.5	Threat to Delivery of Basic Services /Resource Competition .....	2.4-20
2.4.5.1	Water Supply .....	2.4-20
2.4.5.2	Power Supply .....	2.4-21
2.4.5.3	Communications .....	2.4-21
2.4.6	Threat to Public Health and Safety .....	2.4-22
2.4.6.1	Peace and Order / Crime .....	2.4-22
2.4.6.2	Public Health .....	2.4-23
2.4.6.2.1	Health services/ Facilities .....	2.4-23
2.4.6.3	Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) .....	2.4-26
2.4.7	Generation of Local Benefits from the Project .....	2.4-29
2.4.8	Traffic Generation .....	2.4-30
2.4.9	Public Perception Survey .....	2.4-32
2.4.9.1	Perceptions and Attitudes towards the project .....	2.4-77
2.4.10	Impacts on Tourism .....	2.4-87

## CHAPTER 3 KEY ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (EWP)

## CHAPTER 4 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT (ERA)

4.1	Level of Coverage of the ERA .....	4-2
4.2	Safety Risks .....	4-6
4.2.1	Description of conditions, events and circumstances, which could be significant in bringing about identified safety risks .....	4-6
4.2.2	Description & assessment of the possible accident scenarios posing risk to the environment .....	4-7



4.2.2.1	Potential Accidents Involving Fishing Operations Along the Navigational Lane of the TSHD.....	4-7
4.2.2.2	Potential Oil Spills from the TSHD Vessel.....	4-8
4.2.3	Description of the hazards, both immediate (acute effects) and delayed for man and the environment posed by the release of toxic substance.....	4-8
4.2.3.1	Chronic Risks. Concentrations of Toxic/Hazardous Substances in Water.....	4-9
4.2.3.2	Concentrations of Toxic/Hazardous Substances (i.e., cyanide, mercury, etc.) in Air and Land.....	4-9
4.2.4	Dangerous Substances/Organisms with Risk of being released into the environment.....	4-10
4.3	Physical Risks- Failure of Structure which could endanger life, property and/or the environment.....	4-11
4.3.1	Risks During the Horizontal Development Works .....	4-12
4.4	Emergency Response Policy and Generic Guidelines .....	4-12
4.4.1	General.....	4-12
4.4.2	Responsibilities and Duties .....	4-13
4.4.3	Onboard HSE Inductions.....	4-13
4.5	Site Basic Safety Rules .....	4-13
4.6	Standard Safe Work Operations .....	4-15
4.6.1	Mooring and Unmooring.....	4-15
4.6.2	Engine and machinery room safety.....	4-15
4.6.3	Hatches and doors closed at sea.....	4-17
4.6.4	Shipboard food and hygiene .....	4-17
4.6.5	Navigation .....	4-19
4.6.6	Weather.....	4-20
4.7	Basic Safety Equipment .....	4-21
4.8	Oil Spills .....	4-25
4.9	Natural Risks and Hazards.....	4-26

## **CHAPTER 5 SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (SDP) AND INFORMATION, EDUCATION AND COMMUNICATION CAMPAIGN (IEC) CAMPAIGN**

5.1	Social Development Plan (SDP) .....	5-1
5.1.1	Responsibilities for the SDP and IEC.....	5-1
5.2	The IEC Framework. ....	5-11
5.2.1	Public information on the Nature of the Project.....	5-12
5.2.2	Consultation with the Professional Sectors, Individuals and Experts.....	5-12
5.2.3	Timeframes and Funding Support.....	5-12

## **CHAPTER 6 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE MONITORING (ECM)**

6.1	Self-Monitoring Plan .....	6-1
6.2	Multi-Partite Monitoring Team (MMT).....	6-5
6.3	Proposed Amount of the EGF .....	6-6

## **CHAPTER 7 DECOMMISSIONING / ABANDONMENT / REHABILITATION POLICY**

7.1	Statement on Proponent's Policies for Rehabilitation/ Decommissioning/Abandonment.....	7-1
7.2	General Abandonment/Decommissioning Scenario.....	7-1
7.3	Focus on the Decommissioning/Rehabilitation and Restoration Activities.....	7-2

## **CHAPTER 8 INSTITUTIONAL PLAN FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN**

8.1	Organizational Scheme of the Proponent .....	8-1
8.2	Framework on Grievance Mechanism.....	8-4

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table ES-1.	Project Fact Sheet.....	ES-1
Table ES-1A.	NTP Composite Team Findings and Status .....	ES-2
Table ES-2.	List of EIA Prepares .....	ES-4



Table ES-3.	EIA Study Schedule .....	ES-4
Table ES-4.	EIA Methodology .....	ES-5
Table ES-4a.	Issues Raised During the IEC Activities .....	ES-6
Table ES-5.	Major Issues and Concerns During Public Scoping Activity .....	ES-7
Table ES-6.	Summary of Main Impacts and Residual Effects .....	ES-8
Table 1-A.	Conceptual Overall Configuration of the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project .....	1-3
Table 1-1.	Geographic Coordinates (Shape File Data in WGS 84) of the Original Project Landform .....	1-5
Table 1-2.	Geographical Coordinates of the Revised Project Landform .....	1-5
Table 1-3.	List of Nearby Reclamation Projects .....	1-11
Table 1-4.	Impact Barangays .....	1-16
Table 1-5.	Impact Areas .....	1-17
Table 1-6.	Hazard Identification and Consideration During the Design Process .....	1-31
Table 1-7.	Summary of Comparison for Dredging Equipment .....	1-32
Table 1-7a.	Summary of Comparison for Ground Improvement Under Dike .....	1-34
Table 1-7b.	Summary of Comparison for Structure Type of Dike .....	1-35
Table 1-7c.	Summary of Comparison for Material of Sloping Dike .....	1-36
Table 1-8.	Project Components .....	1-37
Table 1-9.	Volume of Reserve Per Area .....	1-49
Table 1-10.	Concentrations of Selected Heavy Metals in the PRA GSQP .....	1-49
Table 1-11.	Major Components, Pollution Devices in Relation to Various Project Activity .....	1-53
Table 1-12.	List of Clearances, permits and Documentation needed from the following authorities .....	1-57
Table 1-13.	Land Area Distribution .....	1-58
Table 1-14.	Description of Impact and Waste Management During Land Development .....	1-59
Table 1-15.	Description of Impact and Waste Management During Construction of Road .....	1-62
Table 1-16.	Description of Impact and Waste Management During Construction of Utilities .....	1-64
Table 1-17.	Preliminary Implementation Gantt Chart .....	1-65
Table 1-18.	Manpower Specialized Skills Requirement .....	1-67
Table 2.1-0	Identification of Environmental Impacts .....	2.1-1
Table 2.1-1.	The 12 ECA Categories under DENR-EMB MC 2014-005 in Relation to the Project .....	2.1-8
Table 2.1-2.	Summary of Compliances to and Consistencies with Various Regulations/Laws/Treatises .....	2.1-14
Table 2.1-3.	List of Nearby Reclamation Projects .....	2.1-16
Table 2.1-4.	Computed PGA Values for Different Earthquake Generators .....	2.1-46
Table 2.1-5.	Summary of Liquefaction-induced Settlement .....	2.1-51
Table 2.1-6.	Geotechnical parameters for proposed dike .....	2.1-63
Table 2.1-7.	Summary of SSA results .....	2.1-63
Table 2.1-8.	Geotechnical Parameters .....	2.1-66
Table 2.1-9.	Plaxis 2D Results .....	2.1-67
Table 2.1-10.	Geotechnical Parameters for Settlement Analysis .....	2.1-69
Table 2.1-11.	Equivalent Surface Load for Each Reclamation Fill Height .....	2.1-69
Table 2.1-12.	Results of Settlement Analysis .....	2.1-70
Table 2.1-13.	Summary of Allowable Pile Capacities for Concrete Bored Piles .....	2.1-72
Table 2.1-14.	Properties of Sand Fill for Shallow Foundation Analysis .....	2.1-75
Table 2.1-15.	List of Recent Typhoons that Affected Cavite .....	2.1-77
Table 2.1-16.	Storm Surges in the Manila Bay Area and Vicinities .....	2.1-82
Table 2.1-17.	Idealized Subsurface Conditions based on Results of Borehole Tests .....	2.1-90
Table 2.1-18.	The Dutch Target/Intervention Values .....	2.1-95
Table 2.1-19.	Test Results for Seabed Sediments .....	2.1-96
Table 2.1-20.	Impact Management and Monitoring – Land Resources .....	2.1-100
Table 2.2-1.	Recorded Extreme Values of Precipitation (mm) – Sangley Point .....	2.2-10
Table 2.2-2.	Recorded Normal Values of Precipitation (Sangley Point) .....	2.2-13
Table 2.2-3.	Recorded Extreme Values of Precipitation – Sangley Point .....	2.2-13
Table 2.2-4.	Subbasin Characteristics of Imus Catchment .....	2.2-16
Table 2.2-5.	Calculated Peak Discharge of for Imus River Catchment .....	2.2-16
Table 2.2-6.	Subbasin Characteristics of Panamitan River Catchment .....	2.2-17
Table 2.2-7.	Subbasin Characteristic of Ylang-ylang River Catchment .....	2.2-18
Table 2.2-8.	HEC-RAS Model Parameters .....	2.2-21
Table 2.2-9.	Parameters for hydraulic ylang-ylang River .....	2.2-33

Table 2.2-10.	Parameters for hydraulic analysis- panamitan river .....	2.2-33
Table 2.2-11.	Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Analysis Data .....	2.2-43
Table 2.2-12.	Computed extreme values of precipitation, based on 19 years of record .....	2.2-43
Table 2.2-13.	Hydrometeorological data applications section .....	2.2-43
Table 2.2-14.	Theoretical Values of Runoff Coefficient C .....	2.2-45
Table 2.2-15.	DPWH updated Hydraulic Design Criteria.....	2.2-45
Table 2.2-16.	Values of Manning's Roughness Coefficient 'n' .....	2.2-46
Table 2.2-17.	Pavement Drainage-Intel Spacing Computation Sheet Conceptual and For Illustration Purposes Only.....	2.2-47
Table 2.2-18.	Summary of Climatological Extremes of NAIA Station.....	2.2-51
Table 2.2-19.	Top 5 Historical Typhoons tracked within 200km radius of the site based on wind speed .....	2.2-52
Table 2.2-20.	Tidal Station Information .....	2.2-57
Table 2.2-21.	Tidal Data in Manila Bay .....	2.2-57
Table 2.2-22.	Annual Tidal Extremes for the Manila South Harbor Station (NAMRIA) .....	2.2-57
Table 2.2-23.	Annual Tidal Extremes for the Mariveles Harbor Station (NAMRIA) .....	2.2-58
Table 2.2-24.	Annual Tidal Extremes for the Puerto Azul Station (NAMRIA).....	2.2-58
Table 2.2-25.	Wind and Deepwater wave Condition for Simulated wave Conditions.....	2.2-63
Table 2.2-26.	Simulated Typhoon at the project Site .....	2.2-92
Table 2.2-27.	Non-overtopping Crest Elevation Results during Maximum Tide Conditions .....	2.2-102
Table 2.2-28.	Non-overtopping Crest Elevation Results during Maximum Wave Conditions .....	2.2-103
Table 2.2-29.	Summary of Non-overtopping Crest Elevation Results .....	2.2-104
Table 2.2-30.	Overtopping Discharge Results .....	2.2-106
Table 2.2-31.	Critical values of average overtopping discharges (Coastal Engineering Manual). .....	2.2-107
Table 2.2-32.	CEM Stipulated Overtopping Rates for Various Structures.....	2.2-107
Table 2.2-33.	Synthesized NOCE of the Project Island.....	2.2-107
Table 2.2-34.	Annual Longshore Sediment Transport Rates- Baseline Condition.....	2.2-110
Table 2.2-35.	Annual Longshore Sediment Transport Rates- Modified Condition .....	2.2-111
Table 2.2-36.	Differences of Transport Rates .....	2.2-113
Table 2.2-37.	Groundwater Quality Test Results .....	2.2-122
Table 2.2-37a	Water Quality results for Ylang ilang, Imus & Maalimango River.....	2.2-125
Table 2.2-38.	Water Quality Test Results for Ylang-Ylang and Imus Rivermouths (DENR-4A).....	2.2-125
Table 2.2-38a.	Water Quality Test Results for Ylang-Ylang and Imus Rivers (DENR-4A).....	2.2-126
Table 2.2-38b	Island A Marine Sampling Station Coordinates.....	2.2-132
Table 2.2-39.	Marine Water Quality Test Results .....	2.2-133
Table 2.2-40.	Ylang-Ylang River Fish Assemblage Sub-Indicator Assessment.....	2.2-136
Table 2.2-41.	Ylang-Ylang River Algal Community Sub-Indicator Assessment .....	2.2-136
Table 2.2-42.	Coordinates of spot dive(SDP) and seabed verification dives stations for sediment collection and validation of benthic substrate in Island A; February 2019. ....	2.2-142
Table 2.2-43.	Coordinates of Actual Fishing (AFE) operations encountered (November 2018).....	2.2-145
Table 2.2-44.	Coordinates of Plankton Sampling Stations Island A: November 2018. ....	2.2-147
Table 2.2-45.	Coordinates of macro-invertebrate survey stations in the proposed Reclamation Project; November 2018 .....	2.2-149
Table 2.2-46.	Coordinates of Mangrove Survey Stations – Island A; November 2018 .....	2.2-151
Table 2.2-47.	Results of 30 Manta Tow Benthic Life from and Substrate Investigations in Island A; Nov 2018 and Feb 2019 .....	2.2-153
Table 2.2-48.	Number of Fishers and Fishing Boats in Kawit .....	2.2-157
Table 2.2-49.	List of Fish species caught in inner Bacoar bay within Island A.....	2.2-157
Table 2.2-50.	Number of Mussel Farms, Fish Pens and Fish Corrals in the vicinity of Island A; February 2019 .....	2.2-159
Table 2.2-51.	Results of Actual Fishing Encounters Documented in the Cavite Reclamation Project; Oct/Nov 2018 .....	2.2-160
Table 2.2-52.	Mangrove Species Distribution across two quadrants in Sta. 3 Island; Nov 2018 .....	2.2-163
Table 2.2-53.	Mangrove Species Distribution across two quadrants in Sta. 4 Island; Oct 2018 .....	2.2-166
Table 2.2-54.	Zooplankton Composition and Abundance (ind/m <sup>3</sup> ) in 5 stations near Island A; Feb 2019 .....	2.2-169
Table 2.2-55.	Phytoplankton Composition and abundance (cells/L) in 5 Stations – Island A .....	2.2-173
Table 2.2-56.	Environmental Management Plan for Coastal and Fisheries Management .....	2.2-181
Table 2.3-1.	Reclamation Activities in Relation to Impacts on Air Resources and Climate Change .....	2.3-1
Table 2.3-2.	Climatological Extremes.....	2.3-4
Table 2.3-3.	The Officially Reported Philippine GHG Inventory .....	2.3-8



Table 2.3-4.	Seasonal temperature increase (in °C) in 2020 and 2050 under medium-range emission in Cavite Province .....	2.3-9
Table 2.3-5.	Frequency of extreme events in 2020 and 2050 under medium-range emission scenario in Cavite Province .....	2.3-10
Table 2.3-6.	Results of the Ambient Air Quality Measurements (24-HR) .....	2.3-14
Table 2.3-7.	Results of the Noise Level Measurements (24-HR) .....	2.3-14
Table 2.3-8.	Results of the Ambient Air Quality Measurements (1-HR) .....	2.3-17
Table 2.3-9.	Results of the Noise Level Measurements .....	2.3-17
Table 2.3-10.	Noise Level Standards (NPCC Guidelines of 1978) .....	2.3-18
Table 2.4-1.	Cavite City Land Area and Population Density by Barangay; 2015 .....	2.4-2
Table 2.4-2.	Kawit Land Area and Population Density by Barangay; 2015 .....	2.4-3
Table 2.4-3.	Total Population of Direct Impact Areas; 2015 .....	2.4-6
Table 2.4-4.	Historical Population Growth 1903-2015 (Cavite City) .....	2.4-6
Table 2.4-5.	Historical Population Growth (Municipality of Kawit) .....	2.4-7
Table 2.4-6.	Highest Educational Attainment of Cavite City, 2015 .....	2.4-8
Table 2.4-7.	Highest Educational Attainment of Kawit, 2015 .....	2.4-10
Table 2.4-8.	Household Population 15 years old and over and employment status by region, Philippines 2016- April 2018 .....	2.4-12
Table 2.4-9.	Cavite City Major Occupation group, 2015 .....	2.4-13
Table 2.4-10.	Municipality of Kawit Major Occupation group, 2015 .....	2.4-14
Table 2.4-11.	Number of Rural Health Units, Barangay Health Stations and Barangay Health Workers by City. Municipality, Province of Cavite; 2015 and 2016 .....	2.4-23
Table 2.4-12.	Health Manpower to population ratio by City/ Municipality, Province of Cavite .....	2.4-25
Table 2.4-13.	Leading Cause of Morbidity .....	2.4-25
Table 2.4-14.	Leading Cause of Mortality .....	2.4-26
Table 2.4-15.	Nutritional Status of Children among 0-71 months old in Cavite City and Kawit, 2017 .....	2.4-26
Table 2.4-16.	Major Issues that may affect the communities in case of Disasters .....	2.4-27
Table 2.4-17.	Impact barangays: Sex Distribution .....	2.4-32
Table 2.4-18.	Impact Barangays: Civil Status .....	2.4-34
Table 2.4-19.	Impact Barangays: Religious Affiliation .....	2.4-34
Table 2.4-20.	Impact Barangays: Ethnicity .....	2.4-35
Table 2.4-21.	Impact Barangays: Main Source of Livelihood of respondents .....	2.4-36
Table 2.4-22.	Impact Barangays: Primary earner .....	2.4-36
Table 2.4-23.	Impact Barangays: Monthly Income of Respondents .....	2.4-37
Table 2.4-24.	Impact Barangays: Educational Attainment .....	2.4-38
Table 2.4-25.	Number of family member who got sick for the past 5 years .....	2.4-38
Table 2.4-26.	Malnourish Children for the last three years in the community .....	2.4-39
Table 2.4-27.	Place of treatment for illness of respondents .....	2.4-40
Table 2.4-28.	Types of toilet used by respondents .....	2.4-40
Table 2.4-29.	Household knowledge about the proposed project .....	2.4-42
Table 2.4-30.	Household Source of information about the Proposed Project .....	2.4-43
Table 2.4-31.	Possible Beneficial impacts of the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project .....	2.4-44
Table 2.4-32.	Adverse effect of the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project .....	2.4-44
Table 2.4-33.	Impact Barangays: Sex Distribution of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit .....	2.4-44
Table 2.4-34.	Impact Barangays: Age Distribution of Cavite City and Kawit .....	2.4-46
Table 2.4-35.	Impact Barangays: Ethnicity .....	2.4-46
Table 2.4-36.	Impact Barangays: Dialect .....	2.4-47
Table 2.4-37.	Impact Barangays: Marital Status .....	2.4-48
Table 2.4-38.	Impact Barangays: Religion .....	2.4-49
Table 2.4-39.	Impact Barangays: Educational Attainment .....	2.4-51
Table 2.4-40.	Impact Barangays: Length of Residency .....	2.4-52
Table 2.4-41.	Impact Barangays: Birth Place .....	2.4-54
Table 2.4-42.	Impact Barangays: Occupation .....	2.4-55
Table 2.4-43.	Impact Barangays: Primary Earner of the Family .....	2.4-56
Table 2.4-44.	Impact Barangays: Monthly income of the family .....	2.4-57
Table 2.4-45.	Impact Barangays: Garbage Collection .....	2.4-59
Table 2.4-46.	Impact Barangays: Schedule of Garbage collection .....	2.4-60
Table 2.4-47.	Impact Barangays: Availability of Toilet .....	2.4-61
Table 2.4-48.	Impact Barangays: History of sickness for the past 3 years .....	2.4-63

Table 2.4-49.	Impact Barangays: Years of having sick i .....	2.4-64
Table 2.4-50.	Impact Barangays: Number of family who got sick .....	2.4-65
Table 2.4-51.	Impact Barangays: Types of Disease .....	2.4-66
Table 2.4-52.	Impact Barangays: Place of medication .....	2.4-67
Table 2.4-53.	Impact Barangays: Source of water .....	2.4-68
Table 2.4-54.	Impact Barangays: Availability of Electricity .....	2.4-70
Table 2.4-55.	Impact Barangays: Knowledge of the Reclamation .....	2.4-71
Table 2.4-56.	Impact Barangays: Source Knowledge about the Reclamation .....	2.4-72
Table 2.4-57.	Impact Barangays: Benefits of the reclamation .....	2.4-73
Table 2.4-58.	Impact Barangays: Negative effect of the reclamation .....	2.4-74
Table 2.4-59.	Impact Barangays: Scale Rating .....	2.4-75
Table 2.4-60.	Matrix Summary of Issues and Concern Raised in the Public Scoping .....	2.4-78
Table 3-1.	Impact Management Plan .....	3-3
Table 4-1.	Levels 1 and 2 Threshold Inventory .....	4-3
Table 4-2.	Categories of Hazardous Materials .....	4-4
Table 4-3.	Summary Matrix of Safety Risks .....	4-6
Table 4-4.	Typical Classification of Hazardous Wastes and Relevance to Reclamation Work .....	4-8
Table 4-5.	Initial Listing of Potential Toxic and Hazardous Substances in the Reclamation Activities .....	4-9
Table 4-6.	Summary of Project Components .....	4-10
Table 4-7.	Summary Matrix of Physical Risks .....	4-11
Table 5-1.	Preliminary Social Development Plan (SDP) for the Proposed Project .....	5-2
Table 5-2.	Generic IEC Plan/Framework .....	5-11
Table 6-1.	Summary of EMoP with EQPLs for the Project Cycle .....	6-2
Table 6-2.	List of MMT Stakeholders/Basis of Selection /Proposed Roles .....	6-5

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-A.	Preliminary Overall Master Plan Showing Island A .....	1-4
Figure 1-1.	Project Site Location with Geographical Coordinate Points (NAMRIA Map).....	1-6
Figure 1-2.	Project Site with Geographical Coordinate Points (Google Earth Map).....	1-7
Figure 1-3.	Geopolitical Map of Northern Cavite Province indicating the proposed Project Site and Impact Barangays .....	1-8
Figure 1-4.	Original and Revised Island A Landforms vis-à-vis the Philippine Navy Planned Reclamation Area ...	1-9
Figure 1-5.	Initial Framework Plan for the Cavite Reclamation Projects Showing Conceptual Access Ways to Existing Road and the Future CAVITEx Expansion and Bridges.....	1-12
Figure 1-6.	Vicinity Map of the Proposed Project Showing the nearest Important Land Marks on Cavite City and Kawit .....	1-13
Figure 1-7.	Map Showing adjacent Proposed/Envisioned Reclamation Projects.....	1-14
Figure 1-8.	Map Showing the Distance of the Project Site from the LPPWP .....	1-15
Figure 1-9.	Pre-EIA Direct and Indirect Impact Area .....	1-19
Figure 1-10.	Preliminary Master Development Plan .....	1-39
Figure 1-11.	Process Flow Chart Involving Reclamation Technology .....	1-41
Figure 1-12.	Location of Dike I for Island A .....	1-47
Figure 1-13.	Relative Location of the SNS and the Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A .....	1-52
Figure 1-14.	Conceptual Plan for External and Internal Road System .....	1-60
Figure 1-15.	Suggested Section for 30.0-meter Wide RROW .....	1-61
Figure 1-16.	Suggested Section for 20.0-meter Wide RROW .....	1-61
Figure 1-17.	Suggested Section for 16.0-meter Wide RROW .....	1-62
Figure 2.1-1.	Official Zoning Map of Cavite Province .....	2.1-3
Figure 2.1-2.	Proposed Coastal Land and Sea Use Zone Map of Cavite Province .....	2.1-4
Figure 2.1-3.	Cavite City General Land Use Plan .....	2.1-5
Figure 2.1-4.	Municipality of Kawit General Land Use Plan .....	2.1-6
Figure 2.1-5.	Map of LLPWP Relative to the Project Site.....	2.1-10
Figure 2.1-5.	Map of the Protected Areas in the Greater Manila Area .....	2.1-11
Figure 2.1-7.	Protected Areas Map of Cavite (not NIPAS-Declared).....	2.1-12
Figure 2.1-8.	Protection Framework plan of Cavite .....	2.1-13
Figure 2.1-9.	Typhoon Tracks within 200km of Project Site .....	2.1-15
Figure 2.1-10.	Nearby Reclamation Projects vis-à-vis Project Site and other Proposed Islands .....	2.1-17
Figure 2.1-11.	Navigational Lanes of the PPA and the Philippine Navy relative to Cavite Island A 247.28-ha Reclamation Project .....	2.1-19
Figure 2.1-12.	Topographic Map of Cavite- NAMRIA.....	2.1-24
Figure 2.1-13.	Longshore currents associated with locally generated waves .....	2.1-25
Figure 2.1-14.	Manila Bay Sediment Distribution Map based on NAMRIA data .....	2.1-27
Figure 2.1-15.	Geologic Map of Manila Bay Area.....	2.1-29
Figure 2.1-16.	Distribution of Active Faults and Trenches in the Philippines .....	2.1-33
Figure 2.1-17.	Geological map of Cavite .....	2.1-35
Figure 2.1-18.	Seismicity Map of Manila, Magnitude 5.0 and above (1965-2015) .....	2.1-37
Figure 2.1-19.	Distribution of Historical Earthquakes from 1608 to 1895 .....	2.1-38
Figure 2.1-20.	Distribution of Most Destructive Earthquakes from 1608 to 1999 .....	2.1-38
Figure 2.1-21.	Peak Ground Acceleration Map of Metro Manila, 500-Year Return Period on VS30 Site Model.....	2.1-42
Figure 2.1-22.	Peak Ground Acceleration Map of Manila, 1,000-Year Return Period on VS30 Site Model .....	2.1-43
Figure 2.1-23.	Peak Ground Acceleration Map of the Philippines, 2,500-Year Return Period on Rock Site .....	2.1-43
Figure 2.1-24.	Ground Shaking in Greater Metro Manila and Vicinities (WVF Earthquake) .....	2.1-44
Figure 2.1-25.	Ground Shaking Hazard Map of Cavite .....	2.1-45
Figure 2.1-26.	Ground Rupture Hazard Map of Cavite.....	2.1-48
Figure 2.1-27.	Liquefaction Hazard Map of Cavite .....	2.1-50
Figure 2.1-28.	Map of Epicenters of Tsunamigenic Earthquakes in the Philippines .....	2.1-54
Figure 2.1-29.	Tsunami Hazard Map of Cavite Province (READY Project).....	2.1-55
Figure 2.1-30.	Tsunamigenic Earthquakes that affected Metro Manila shores .....	2.1-56
Figure 2.1-31.	The November 9, 1828 Tsunami in Manila .....	2.1-56
Figure 2.1-32.	The June 3, 1863 Tsunami in Manila .....	2.1-57
Figure 2.1-33.	Earthquake Induced Landslide Hazard Map of Cavite .....	2.1-59
Figure 2.1-34.	Rain-Induced Landslide Hazard Map of Cavite .....	2.1-60



Figure 2.1-35. Stability Analysis by Limit-Equilibrium Methods .....	2.1-61
Figure 2.1-36. Section of Island A Dike (I) (Client-issued) .....	2.1-62
Figure 2.1-37. Slope model of Island A Dike .....	2.1-63
Figure 2.1-38. Case 1: Static (FoS=1.440).....	2.1-64
Figure 2.1-39. Case 1: Earthquake (FoS=0.542) .....	2.1-64
Figure 2.1-40. Slope Model with Soil Cement Column .....	2.1-65
Figure 2.1-41. Case 2: Earthquake (FoS=1.224) .....	2.1-65
Figure 2.1-42. Plaxis Model.....	2.1-66
Figure 2.1-43. Plaxis Result – Total Displacement (Island A) .....	2.1-67
Figure 2.1-44. Satellite Image of Metro Manila and Vicinities Showing Ground Movement.....	2.1-68
Figure 2.1-45. Settlement Analysis Results for 5m fill height .....	2.1-69
Figure 2.1-46. Settlement Analysis Results for 7m fill height .....	2.1-70
Figure 2.1-47. Safe bearing capacities for the reclamation area .....	2.1-75
Figure 2.1-48. Landslide and Flood Susceptibility Map of Cavite City Quadrangle.....	2.1-79
Figure 2.1-49. Flood Hazard Map of Cavite .....	2.1-80
Figure 2.1-50. 100-Year Flood Hazard Map of Cavite and vicinities .....	2.1-81
Figure 2.1-51. Preliminary Storm Surge Hazard Map of Metro Manila.....	2.1-84
Figure 2.1-52. Maximum Storm Surge Hiegt Map of Metro Manila and Vicinities .....	2.1-85
Figure 2.1-53. Storm Surge Advisory 2 Hazard Map of Cavite and vicinities .....	2.1-86
Figure 2.1-54. Borehole Location Plan .....	2.1-89
Figure 2.1-55. Philippine Soil Series Map .....	2.1-93
Figure 2.1-56. Seabed Sediment Sampling Location Map .....	2.1-97
Figure 2.2-1. Regional Hydrogeologic Map .....	2.2-2
Figure 2.2-2. The Project Site (Island A) in relation to existing surface waters .....	2.2-4
Figure 2.2-3. Stitched Topographic Map of the Project Site (Source: NAMRIA) .....	2.2-7
Figure 2.2-4. IFSAR Data of the Project Site .....	2.2-8
Figure 2.2-5. Catchment Delineation of Waterway Discharge Points Near the Reclamation Site .....	2.2-9
Figure 2.2-6. Nearest RIDF Stations .....	2.2-10
Figure 2.2-7. Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Curves for PAGASA Sangley Point Station, Cavite .....	2.2-11
Figure 2.2-8. Design Hyetograph, PAGASA Sangley Point Station RIDF Data .....	2.2-12
Figure 2.2-9. MGB 1:10,000 Flood Susceptibility Map .....	2.2-14
Figure 2.2-10. HEC-HMS Model for Imus River Catchment .....	2.2-15
Figure 2.2-11. HEC-RAS Model for Panamitan River.....	2.2-17
Figure 2.2-12. HEC-HMS Models for Ylang-ylang River .....	2.2-17
Figure 2.2-13. Ylang-ylang River Discharge Hydrograph .....	2.2-18
Figure 2.2-14. Panamitan River Discharge Hydrograph.....	2.2-19
Figure 2.2-15. HEC-RAS model and Sample section for Imus River .....	2.2-20
Figure 2.2-16. Inundation Map of Imus River for 10-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station) .....	2.2-21
Figure 2.2-17. Inundation Map of Imus River for 25-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station) .....	2.2-22
Figure 2.2-18. Inundation map of Imus River for 50-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water at Manila South Tide Station).....	2.2-22
Figure 2.2-19. Inundation map of Imus River for 100-yr Return Period (Based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station) .....	2.2-23
Figure 2.2-20. Sample Cross-Section of Imus River (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station) .....	2.2-24
Figure 2.2-21. Inundation Map of Imus River for 10-yr Return Period (Pre-development and Post-development Condition).....	2.2-25
Figure 2.2-22. Inundation map of Imus River for 25-yr Return Period (Pre Development and Post-development Condition).....	2.2-25
Figure 2.2-23. Inundation map of Imus River for 50-yr Return Period (Pre Development and Post-development Condition).....	2.2-26
Figure 2.2-24. Inundation Map of Imus River for 100-yr Return Period (Pre Development and Post-development Condition).....	2.2-26
Figure 2.2-25. Sample Cross-Section of Imus River (Pre Development and Post-development Condition) .....	2.2-27
Figure 2.2-26. Imus River Profile at Various Outfall Conditions (10-year Return Period).....	2.2-28
Figure 2.2-27. Imus River Profile at various outfall conditions (25-year Return Period).....	2.2-28
Figure 2.2-28. Imus River Profile at various outfall conditions (50-year Return Period).....	2.2-29

Figure 2.2-29.	Imus Ricer Profile at Various Outfall Conditions (100- year Return Period)	2.2-29
Figure 2.2-30.	Imus River Profile at Various Outfall conditions (100-year Return Period)	2.2-29
Figure 2.2-31.	River Sections and 2D Flow area for Ylang-Ylang and Panamitan Rivers	2.2-30
Figure 2.2-32.	Ylang-ylang River Sample Cross-Section	2.2-31
Figure 2.2-33.	Panamitan River Sample Cross-Section	2.2-32
Figure 2.2-34.	Flood Inundation Maps – 10-year Return Period	2.2-34
Figure 2.2-35.	Flood Inundation Maps – 25-year Return Period	2.2-35
Figure 2.2-36.	Flood Inundation Maps – 50-year Return Period	2.2-36
Figure 2.2-37.	Flood Inundation Maps – 100-year Return Period	2.2-37
Figure 2.2-38.	Ylang-ylang River Downstream Sections- (Sta 2+000& Sta 1+560)	2.2-38
Figure 2.2-39.	Ylang-ylang River Upstream Sections- (Sta 2+000& Sta 4+500)	2.2-39
Figure 2.2-40.	Panamitan River Downstream Sections (Sta 0+500 & Sta 0+800)	2.2-40
Figure 2.2-41.	Panamitan River Upstream Sections (Sta 3+800 & 4+200)	2.2-41
Figure 2.2-42.	Rainfall Depth Duration Curves, Sangley Point, Cavite City	2.2-44
Figure 2.2-43.	Bathymetric Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the Project Area	2.2-50
Figure 2.2-44.	NAMRIA Nautical Chart- Manila Bay and Approaches	2.2-50
Figure 2.2-45.	Typhoon Tracks within 200 km of Project Site	2.2-52
Figure 2.2-46.	Individual Tracks of Top 5 Typhoons	2.2-53
Figure 2.2-47.	Annual Windrose diagram at Sangley Point Station	2.2-54
Figure 2.2-48.	Monthly Wind Rose based on the Sangley Point Wind Station	2.2-55
Figure 2.2-49.	Sample Tide Levels	2.2-56
Figure 2.2-50.	Tide Station Location	2.2-56
Figure 2.2-51.	Domain extents for the hydrodynamic computation of the regional model	2.2-59
Figure 2.2-52.	Flexible element mesh for the local Model at Manila Bay	2.2-60
Figure 2.2-53.	Local Model Mesh for hydrodynamic computation at the site (Pre-Devt.)	2.2-61
Figure 2.2-54.	Local Model Mesh for the hydrodynamic computation at the Site (Post-Devt.)	2.2-62
Figure 2.2-55.	Simulated Tide Levels at Manila Bay South Harbor	2.2-62
Figure 2.2-56.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore from N at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-64
Figure 2.2-57.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore from N at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-65
Figure 2.2-58.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore from E at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-66
Figure 2.2-59.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore from E at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-67
Figure 2.2-60.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from ESE at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-68
Figure 2.2-61.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from ESE at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-69
Figure 2.2-62.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SE at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-70
Figure 2.2-63.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SE at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-71
Figure 2.2-64.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-72
Figure 2.2-65.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-73
Figure 2.2-66.	Wave Climate due to 9-12 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-74
Figure 2.2-67.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from WSW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-75
Figure 2.2-68.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from WSW at MHHW(Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-76
Figure 2.2-69.	Wave Climate due to 9-12 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from WSW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-77
Figure 2.2-70.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from W at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-78
Figure 2.2-71.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from W at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-79
Figure 2.2-72.	Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from NW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-80
Figure 2.2-73.	Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from NW at MHHW (Pre & Post-Devt.)	2.2-81

Figure 2.2-74. Simulated tide levels at Manila Bay South Harbor .....	2.2-82
Figure 2.2-75. Snapshot of current magnitude & direction during ebb tide (20:30 Feb 12, 2016) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-83
Figure 2.2-76. Snapshot of current magnitude & direction during flow tide (01:40 Feb 24, 2016) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-84
Figure 2.2-77. Maximum current (Jan 29, 2016 – Feb 29, 2016) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-85
Figure 2.2-78. Chronological snapshots of tidal currents during Jan. 29 – Feb 20, 2016. ....	2.2-87
Figure 2.2-79. Location of Monitoring Points .....	2.2-88
Figure 2.2-80. Tidal current time histories over 15 days at selected monitoring points (MPs 1, 8, 9, & 12). ....	2.2-89
Figure 2.2-81. Tidal current time histories over 15 days at selected monitoring points (MPs 5 & 6) .....	2.2-90
Figure 2.2-82. Illustration of the Combined Effects of Astronomic Tide and Storm Surge .....	2.2-92
Figure 2.2-83. Simulated Storm Tide Level for Typh. Rita/Kading (1978) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-93
Figure 2.2-84. Simulated Storm Tide Level for Typh. Patsy/Yoling (1970) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-94
Figure 2.2-85. Simulated Storm Tide Level for Typh. Xangsane/Milenyo (2006) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-95
Figure 2.2-86. Simulated Maximum Significant Wave Heights for Typh. Rita/Kading (1978) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-97
Figure 2.2-87. Simulated Maximum Significant Wave Heights for Typh. Patsy/Yoling (1970) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-98
Figure 2.2-88. Simulated Maximum Significant Wave Heights for Typh. Xangsane/Milenyo (2006) Pre & Post-Dev. ....	2.2-99
Figure 2.2-89. Wave Runup on a Smooth Impermeable Slope. ....	2.2-100
Figure 2.2-90. Overtopping Discharge of a Slope with Storm Wall .....	2.2-101
Figure 2.2-91. Location of Extraction Points .....	2.2-102
Figure 2.2-92. NOCE Illustration .....	2.2-105
Figure 2.2-93. Directions and magnitudes of annual gross longshore transport Qn for Baseline Condition .....	2.2-115
Figure 2.2-94. Directions and magnitudes of annual gross longshore transport Qn for Modified Conditions. ....	2.2-116
Figure 2.2-95. Directions and magnitudes of annual gross longshore transport Qn for Baseline Condition .....	2.2-117
Figure 2.2-96. Directions and magnitudes of annual gross longshore transport Qn for Modified Conditions. ....	2.2-118
Figure 2.2-97. Groundwater Sample Location Map .....	2.2-123
Figure 2.2-98. Location of Ylang-Ylang and Imus Rivers WQMA Stations .....	2.2-131
Figure 2.2-99. Map of the Marine and Surface Water Quality Sampling Stations .....	2.2-134
Figure 2.2-100. Ylang-Ylang River Watershed .....	2.2-137
Figure 2.2-101. Map of the Marine Survey Areas for the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Projects .....	2.2-140
Figure 2.2-102. The Coastline and General Coastal Habitat Types in the Inner Bacoar Bay .....	2.2-141
Figure 2.2-103. Manta Tow/tuck Dive Pathways and Results in Island A (Nov 2018 and Feb 2019) .....	2.2-143
Figure 2.2-104. Location of Spot Dives for the bottom Sediments and Validation of the Nature of the Seabed in Island A; Feb 2019 .....	2.2-144
Figure 2.2-105. Location of Actual Fishing Encounters Across Four Reclamation Islands under the Cavite Reclamation Project .....	2.2-146
Figure 2.2-106. Location of Plankton Community Sampling Stations in the Proposed Cavite Island A Project; November 2018 .....	2.2-148
Figure 2.2-107. Location of Plankton Community Sampling Stations in the Proposed Cavite Island A Project; November 2018 .....	2.2-150
Figure 2.2-108. Location of three mangrove stations Near Island A Assessed for Species Diversity and Distribution, and Crown Cover; Nov 2018 .....	2.2-152
Figure 2.2-109. Results of Benthic observations from 30 manta tow pathways across Island A .....	2.2-156
Figure 2.2-110. Results of 8 actual fishing operations observed during the survey in the proposed reclamation islands .....	2.2-162
Figure 2.2-111. Relative abundance of mangrove species catalogued in station 3, vicinity of Island A .....	2.2-163
Figure 2.2-112. Average Crown Cover per species of mangroves in station 3; vicinity of Island A .....	2.2-165
Figure 2.2-113. Average height of mangrove species in station 3, vicinity of Island A .....	2.2-166
Figure 2.2-114. Mangrove species relative abundance in station 4 .....	2.2-167
Figure 2.2-115. Average crown cover per mangrove species in station 4 .....	2.2-167
Figure 2.2-116. Average height of mangrove species in station 4 .....	2.2-168
Figure 2.2-117. Percentage composition of major zooplankton groups in five stations .....	2.2-169
Figure 2.2-118. Zooplankton abundance and taxa richness in five stations in Island A .....	2.2-171
Figure 2.2-119. Percentage composition of major Phytoplankton groups in five stations in Island A .....	2.2-172
Figure 2.3-1. Sea Lane to the San Nicholas Shoal Showing Approximate Distance .....	2.3-2
Figure 2.3-2. Annual Windrose Diagram for the Project Site and Environs .....	2.3-5
Figure 2.3-3. Map Showing Frequency of Tropical Typhoons in the Philippines .....	2.3-6
Figure 2.3-4. Map of the Ambient Air Sampling Station (24HR) .....	2.3-13
Figure 2.3-5. Map of the Ambient Air Sampling Station (1HR) .....	2.3-16



Figure 2.4-1.	Barangay Map of Cavite City .....	2.4-4
Figure 2.4-2.	Barangay Map of Municipality of Kawit .....	2.4-5
Figure 2.4-3.	The initial Framework Plan showing therein access ways .....	2.4-16
Figure 2.4-4	Volume Capacity Ration Map of CALABARZON .....	2.4-30
Figure 3-1.	Post ECC Implementation of the IMP .....	3-1
Figure 4-1.	An Illustration of the Risk Assessment Process .....	4-1
Figure 4-2.	Societal Risk Criteria .....	4-4
Figure 4-3.	Map of the Tentative Pathway of the TSHD Vessel .....	4-7
Figure 8-1.	Institutional Plan for Project Implementation – Construction Phase .....	8-2
Figure 8-2	Organizational Chart of Environmental Unit (EU).....	8-3

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1-1.	Vertical Gravity-type Dike .....	1-25
Plate 1-2.	Vertical Pile Foundation Dike .....	1-26
Plate 1-3.	Sloping Dike .....	1-26
Plate 1-4.	Rock Dike .....	1-27
Plate 1-5.	Sand Bag Dike .....	1-27
Plate 1-6.	Artificial Block Armor .....	1-28
Plate 1-7.	Grid Plate .....	1-28
Plate 1-8.	Natural Stone Armor .....	1-29
Plate 1-9.	Artist Conceptual Image of the Initial Master Plan .....	1-40
Plate 1-10.	Typical Silt Curtain Installation .....	1-43
Plate 1-11.	Cross Section View of a Typical Silt Curtains .....	1-43
Plate 1-12.	Sand bag dike + rock armor + wick drains .....	1-46
Plate 1-13.	Illustration of the Principle of Wick Drains .....	1-51
Plate 2.1-1.	Land Subsidence from Multi-sectoral Perspective .....	2.2-52
Plate 2.1-2.	Photographs of the onshore areas fronting project site .....	2.2-99
Plate 2.2-1.	Initial Master Development Plan for Island A and other Islands (C, D & E) .....	2.2-5
Plate 2.2-2.	Flood Studies Process Flow Diagram .....	2.2-6
Plate 2.2-3.	Left to right: Survey team with scuba gear on board survey boat, mussel farms in survey area, team members sorting silt and sediment for macro-invertebrates .....	2.2-139
Plate 2.2-4.	Plankton Sampling and benthos sorting being undertaken; Feb 2019.....	2.2-147
Plate 2.2-5.	Mangrove in a reforestation area in Kawit.....	2.2-151
Plate 2.2-6.	Silt and Muddy Substrate and discarded oyster and mussel carapace dominate the entire seabed for Island A .....	2.2-153
Plate 2.2-7.	Dense Fish and mussel farms were encountered inside the Proposed Island A .....	2.2-156
Plate 2.2-8.	A fisher operating a gill net with a catch of a single juvenile mullet .....	2.2-158
Plate 2.2-9.	Dominant target species in the coastal waters around the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project .....	2.2-158
Plate 2.2-10.	Mussel Stakes and Fish Pen.....	2.2-159
Plate 2.2-11.	Sapra in offshore bacoar bay in the vicinity of Cavite City, and a fishpen inside proposed Island A .....	2.2-161
Plate 2.2-12.	Common Fish Caught in the vicinity of Island A.....	2.2-161
Plate 2.2-13.	Mangroves lining up fishpole dike in Kawit .....	2.2-168
Plate 2.2-14.	Photomicrographs of the dominant and important zooplankton groups(A), calanoid copepod (B), Cyclopoid copepod (C) Harpacticoid copepod (D)Copepod Nauplius (E) Bivalve veliger (F) Fish larvae .....	2.2-170
Plate 2.2-15.	Photomicrographs of common and important phytoplankton observed in Cavite City/ Kawit coastal Waters.....	2.2-172
Plate 2.2-16.	Few Species of micro-invertebrates were found in three stations surveyed, dominated almost entirely by mussel .....	2.2-174
Plate 2.2-17.	Sediment Collected from the proposed Project Site .....	2.2-174
Chart 2.4-1	Historical Population Growth Chart .....	2.4-7

## VOLUME 2: ANNEXES

Annex ES-A	Signed Screening Checklist
Annex ES-B	Sworn Statement of Accountability of Preparers
Annex ES-B1	Accountability Statement of the Project Proponents
Annex ES-C1	FGC and IEC Activities Documentation Report
Annex ES-C2	Perception Survey Results
Annex ES-C3	Public Scoping Report
Annex 1-A	Sangguniang Panlalawigan Resolution No. 1077, S 2018, dated November 19, 2018
Annex 1-B	Sangguniang Bayan of Rosario issued Resolution No. 10-2019 signed on January 28, 2019
Annex 1-C	Geotagged Photos, Notice to Proceed (NTP)
Annex 2.1-A	Letters of No Objection (LONOs)
Annex 2.1-B	List of Recent Earthquakes of Magnitude 5 and above that Affected Metro Manila (1907-2016) and List of Damaging Earthquakes that Affected Cavite Province.
Annex 2.1-C	Borehole Logs and Cavite Island EGGAR
Annex 2.1-D	Sediment Sampling Laboratory Results
Annex 2.2-A	CRL Laboratory Results
Annex 2.2-B	Coastal Engineering Report
Annex 2.2-C	Cavite Integrated Water Resource Management Plan 2012
Annex 2.2-D	Flood Impact Assessment
Annex 2.3	Air Sampling Results
Annex 2.4-A	Perception Survey Form
Annex 4-A	Manila Bay Oil Spill Contingency Plan
Annex 4-B	Oil Pollution Devices and Materials for Containment of Oil Pollution
Annex 4-C	Equipment, Devices and Materials for Containment of Oil Pollution
Annex 6-A.	Project Environmental Monitoring and Audit Prioritization Scheme (PEMAPS)
Annex 6-B.	Draft MMT, EGF and EMF

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation/ Symbol	Meaning
%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celsius
μ	Poisson's Ratio
AAS	Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AFE	Actual Fishing Encounters
AMLLW	Above Mean Lower Low Water
As	Arsenic
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BFAR	Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources
BH	Borehole
BHU	Barangay Health Unit
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
BSWM	Bureau of Soils and Water Management
CAAP	Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines
CALABARZON	Cavite Laguna Batangas Rizal Quezon
CALAX	Cavite-Laguna Expressway
CAVITEx	Cavite Expressway
CCA	Climate Change Adaptation
CDR	Crude Death Rate
cells/L	Cells per Liter
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CLUP	Comprehensive Land Use Plan
cm	Centimeter
cm/sec <sup>2</sup>	Centimeter per Square Second
cm/yr	Centimeter per Year
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
CRO	Community Relation Officer
CRPP	Community Relations and Participation Plan
DAO	DENR Administrative Order
DED	Detailed Engineering Design
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources
DepEd	Department of Education
DIA	Direct Impact Areas
DICT	Department of Information and Communications Technology
DIV	Dutch Intervention Value
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOE	Department of Energy
DOH	Department of Health



DOT	Department of Tourism
DOTr	Department of Transportation
DPWH	Department of Public Works and Highways
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
DSWD	Department of Social Welfare and Development
E-NIPAS	Expanded National Integrated Protected Areas System
ECA	Environmentally Critical Area
ECC	Environmental Compliance Certificate
ECP	Environmentally Critical Project
EGF	Environmental Guarantee Fund
EGGA	Engineering Geological and Geohazard Assessment
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EMB	Environmental Management Bureau
EMF	Environmental Monitoring Fund
EMoP	Environmental Monitoring Plan
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency (USA)
EPZA	Export Processing Zone Authority
ERP	Emergency Response Plan
ESE	East Southeasterly
EVF	East Valley Fault
FARMC	Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Management Council
FEM	Finite Element Analysis
FGD	Focus Group Discussions
FS	Feasibility Study
FS/ FoS	Factor of Safety
GHG	Green House Gasses
GMMA	Greater Metro Manila Area
GPS	Global Positioning System
ha	Hectare
HFC	Hydrofluorocarbon
Hg	Mercury
HH	Household
ICP-OES	Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy
IEC	Information, Education and Communication
IIA	Indirect Impact Areas
IMP	Impact Management Plan
InSAR	Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISF	Informal Settler Family
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature

JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
JSCE	Japan Society of Civil Engineers
kg	kilogram
kh	Coefficient of lateral subgrade reaction unit
km²	Square Kilometer
kms	Kilometers
kN	kiloNewton (Weight unit)
kN/m³	kiloNewton per cubic meter (Weight density unit)
kP	kiloPond (Force unit)
kPa	kiloPascal (Pressure unit)
kph	Kilometers per Hour
kt	kiloton (Mass unit)
kilovolt (electrical potential unit)	
L	Liter
LEM	Limit-Equilibrium Method
LGU	Local Government Unit
LIDAR	Laser Imaging Detection and Ranging
LLDA	Laguna Lake Development Authority
LONO	Letter of No Objection
LPPCHEA	Las Piñas-Parañaque Critical Habitat and Ecotourism Area
LPPWP	Las Piñas-Parañaque Wetland Park
m	Meter
m/s	Meter per Second
m²	Square Meter
MAO	Municipal Agriculture Office
MARPOL	International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
masl	Meters Above Sea Level
MBCMS	Manila Bay Coastal Management Strategy
MBCS	Manila Bay Coastal Strategy
mbgl	Meters Below Ground Level
MC	Memorandum Circular
MENRO	Municipal Environmental and Natural Resources Office
MERALCO	Manila Electric Company
mg/L	Milligram per Liter
MGB	Mines and Geosciences Bureau
ml	Milliliter
mm	Millimeter
mm/yr	Millimeter per Year
MMT	Multi-partite Monitoring Team
mo	Month
MPa	Megapascal (Pressure unit)
MPDC	Municipal Planning and Development Council
Mph	Miles per Hour

MPTC	Metro Pacific Tollways Corporation
MRF	Material Recovery Facility
Ms	Surface wave magnitude (of earthquake)
MSL	Mean Sea Level
MT	Metric Ton
Mw	Moment magnitude (of earthquake)
N	Number of blows
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitrous Oxide
NAAQGV	National Ambient Air Quality Guideline Values
NAIA	Ninoy Aquino International Airport
NAMRIA	National Mapping and Resource Information Authority
NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Command
NBCP	National Building Code of the Philippines
NCR	National Capital Region
NEDA	National Economic and Development Authority
NHCP	National Historical Commission of the Philippines
NIPAS	National Integrated Protected Areas System
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAH	Nationwide Operational Assessment of Hazards (UP Project NOAH)
NPCC	National Pollution Control Commission
NTC	National Telecommunication Commission
NTP	Notice to Proceed
NWRB	National Water Resources Board
OWS	Oily Water Separator
PAGASA	Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration
PAR	Philippine Area of Responsibility
Pb	Lead
PCG	Phil. Coast Guard
PCLUPZO	Provincial Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Zoning Ordinance
PCO	Pollution Control Officer
PDRMO	Provincial Disaster Risk Reduction Management Office
PEATC	Public Estates Authority Tollway Corporation
PEIS	PHIVOLCS Earthquake Intensity Scale
PEM	Philippine Earthquake Model
PEMAPS	Project Environmental Monitoring and Audit Prioritization Scheme
PENRO	Provincial Environmental and Natural Resources Office
PEO	Provincial Engineering Office
PEZA	Philippine Economic Zone Authority
PFC	Per Fluorocarbon
PFZ	Philippine Fault Zone
PGA	Peak Ground Acceleration
pH	Potential of Hydrogen
PHIVOLCS	Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology

Php	Philippine Peso
PMB	Philippine Mobile Belt
PNP	Philippine National Police
PPA	Philippine Ports Authority
PPDO	Provincial Planning and Development Office
PRA	Phil Reclamation Authority
psf	pounds per square foot
PVD	Preloading with Prefabricated Drains
RA	Republic Act
RHU	Rural Health Unit
ROW	Right-of-Way
RPM	Revised Procedural Manual
RQD	Rock Quality Designation
RROW	Road-Right-of-Way
SAFDZ	Strategic Agriculture and Fisheries Development Zone
SDF	Social Development Framework
SDP	Social Development Plan
SEASEE	Southeast Asia Association of Seismology and Earthquake Engineering
SMR	Self-Monitoring Report
SO2	Sulfur Dioxide
SPIA	Sangle Point International Airport
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
St.	Street
Stn	Station
TESDA	Technical Education and Skills Development Authority
TMP	Traffic Management Plan
TPY	Tons per Year
TS	Tropical Storm
TSHD	Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger
TSP	Total Suspended Particulates
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
UP	University of the Philippines
UP-MSI	University of the Philippines-Marine Science Institute
UP-NIGS	University of the Philippines-National Institute of Geological Sciences
VFZ	Valley Fault Zone
WQ	Water Quality
WVF	West Valley Fault
yr	Year



## REFERENCES

- Allen, et.al., 2014. Enhancing Risk Analysis Capacities for Flood, Tropical Cyclone Severe Wind and Earthquake for the Greater Metro Manila Area Component 5 – Earthquake Risk Analysis. PHIVOLCS and Geoscience Australia.
- Allen, Gerald, et. al., 2003. Reef fish identification: Tropical Pacific. New World Publications, Florida, USA.
- A.M. Geoconsult and Associates, 2018. Geotechnical Investigation Report – Proposed Reclamation and Development Project, Cavite Sangley Point, Cavite. Island B. Internal Report. January 2018.
- AMH Philippines, Inc. 2018. Final Report (FS/EIS Inputs) for the Cavite Reclamation Project, Geotechnical and Coastal Engineering. internal report. cla2018.
- Association of Structural Engineers of the Philippines, 2001. National Structural Code of the Philippines, vol. 1, 5<sup>th</sup> edition.
- Aurelio, M.A. and Peña, R., 2002. Geology and Mineral Resources of the Philippines, Vol. 1, DENR -Mines and Geosciences Bureau.
- Aurelio, M.A. and the EGGA Technical Working Group. Engineering Geological and Geohazard Assessment (EGGA) system for sustainable infrastructure development: the Philippine experience.
- Azanza, R. V. and Miranda, L. N. 2001. Phytoplankton composition and *Pyrodinium bahamense* toxic blooms in Manila, Bay Philippines. J. Shellfish Res. 20: 1251–1255.
- Azanza RV, Taylor FJ. 2001. Are *Pyrodinium* blooms in the Southeast Asian region recurring? A view at the end of the millennium. *Ambio* 30(6): 356.64; PubMed PMID: 11757284.
- Bajarias, FA, Montojo UM, Relox JJR, Sato S, Kodama M, Yoshida M, Fukuyo Y. 2003. Paralytic shellfish poisoning due to *Alexandrium minutum* Halim in Northwestern Philippines. In: Nitithamyong, C. (ed) Proceeding of the First Joint Seminar on Coastal Oceanography, 14-16 December, 2003, Chiang Mai, Thailand. Department of Marine Science, Chulalongkorn University.
- Bautista, B.C., 2001. Ground Shaking Hazard Assessment of Metro Manila, Philippines. PHIVOLCS.
- Cavite Provincial Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Office (PDRRMO), 2010. Cavite Provincial Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Plan 2011-2016.
- Clarke, D.G. & Wilber, D.H. (2000). Assessment of potential impacts of dredging operations due to sediment resuspension. DOER Technical Notes Collection (ERDC TN-DOER-E9).
- Day R.W., \_\_\_\_\_. Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Handbook
- De Las Alas, J.G. & Sodusta, J.A. 1985. A model for the wind driven circulation of Manila Bay. *Nat. Appl. Sci. Bull.* 37(2):159-170.
- Dell, et.al., 2001. Characterization of the Reclaimed Soil in the Foreshore Area of Manila Bay, Philippines.
- Deltares - Taskforce Subsidence, October 2013. Sinking Cities, An integrated approach towards solutions. Delft/ Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Department of Environment and Natural Resources - Environmental Management Bureau, 1992. Manila Bay Monitoring Program. 87pp

- Ecosyscorp, Inc. 2012. EIS for the Cavite – Laguna (CALA) Expressway Project (Laguna Section)
- English, S., C. Wilkinson and V. Baker, Editors (1997). Survey manual for tropical marine resources. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Australian Institute of Marine Sciences, Queensland, 390 p.
- Faust, M.A. and R.A. Gulledge. 2002. Identifying harmful marine dinoflagellates. Smithsonian Institution Contributions U.S. Nat. Her., 42:1-144.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. 2004. Marine Biotoxins. Chief Publishing and Multimedia Services. Rome, Italy.
- Fuchs, R., Conran, M. & Louis, E. 2011. Climate change and Asia's coastal urban cities: Can they meet the challenge? Environment and Urbanization Asia, 2:13, 13- 28.
- Gordove, D.M. and R.M.T. Aguinaldo, March 15, 2013. LPPCHEA Baseline Data - Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands, (RIS) – 2009-2014 version.
- Harris, G.P. 1986. 1986. Phytoplankton Ecology. Chapman and Hill Ltd, London, pp 384.
- Idriss I.M. and R.W. Boulanger, 2008. "Soil Liquefaction during Earthquakes"
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, November 2014. The IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5)
- Jacinto GS, Azanza RV, Velasquez IB and FP Siringa. 2006. Manila Bay: Environmental challenges and Opportunities. In: The Environment in Asia Pacific Harbours. Springer Netherlands.
- James M, MacDiarmid A, Beaumont J, Thomson D. 2015. Assessment of Ecological effects of reclamation and extension to Wellington Airport. Aquatic Environmental Science. 59pp
- Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Metropolitan Manila Development Authority (MMDA) and Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (PHIVOLCS), March 2004. Metro Manila Earthquake Impact Reduction Study (MMEIRS) Final Report. Volume I-Executive Summary.
- KRC Environmental Services Inc., 2016. EIS, Preparatory Survey for Cavite Industrial Area Flood Management Project.
- Lagmay, A.F., September 24, 2011, Two Years after Ondoy. Large areas of Metro Manila Sinking. Philippine Daily Inquirer (<http://opinion.inquirer.net/12757/large-areas-of-metro-manila-sinking>)
- Lapidez, J.P., et.al., 2015. Identification of storm surge vulnerable areas in the Philippines through the simulation of Typhoon Haiyan-induced storm surge levels over historical storm tracks. DOST-Project NOAH. Published in Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 1473–1481, 2015
- Margalef, R. 1978. Diversity. In: Phytoplankton Manual (Ed): A. Sournia, UNESCO, Paris, 251-260
- Moestrup, O., Lindberg, K., and Daugbjerg, N.: Studies on woloszynskioid dinoflagellates IV: the genus Biecheleria gen. nov., Phycol. Res., 57, 203–220, 2009
- Montojo UM, Sakamoto S, Furio EF, Gatdula NC, Borja VM, Formeloza MA, Yoshida M, Fukuyo Y, Ogata T, Kodama M. 2003. Occurrence of Three Species of Toxic Dinoflagellates in Manila Bay, Philippines. In:
- NAMRIA, Edition 1, July 2001, Topographic Map of Cavite City, Sheet 3129-I, 1:50,000
- 2001, Topographic Map of Manila, Sheet 2511, 1:250,000

2013. Tide and Current Tables. Philippines. Published by Hydrography department.
- Nelson, A.R., Personius, S.F., Rimando, R.E., Punongbayan, R.S., Tungol, N., Mirabueno, H., and Rasdas, A., 2000. Multiple large earthquakes in the past 1500 years on a fault in Metro Manila, the Philippines. *Bull. Seis. Soc. Am.*, 90 (1), 73-85.
- Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Nov 6, 2009. Tsunami Risk evaluations for the Philippines.
- Olaño, Virginia L. and Noemi SB. Lanzuela, National Stock Assessment Program (2016). Guide in Identifying Common Fishes In Lagonoy Gulf and Sorsogon Bay. Department of Agriculture – Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Regional Office No. V, Tabacao, Albay, Philippines
- Perez, R.T., Feir, R.B., Carandang, E. & Gonzalez, E.B. (196). Potential impacts of sea level rise on the coastal resources of Manila Bay: A preliminary vulnerability assessment. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 92, 137-147
- Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA), 2013. Climatological normals recorded in Sangley Point Station.
- Philippine Bureau of Mines and Geosciences, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., 1984. Geological Map of Cavite Quadrangle, Sheet 3163-II, 1:50,000
- PHIVOLCS, 2017. Seismicity Map of Metro Manila, Magnitude 5 and above, 1965-2015.
- Earthquake Frequency Map of the Philippines
- Philippine Earthquake Model,. December 2017
- Distribution of Active Faults and Trenches in the Philippines. 2017
- Pub. 162, Sailing Directions (Enroute). Philippine Islands. Prepared and Published by National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, Springfield, Virginia, 10<sup>th</sup> edition, 2011.
- Punongbayan, 2011. MMEIRS Scenario 8: Magnitude 7.2 Earthquake along the West Valley Fault System – PHIVOLCS PPT presentation at UN OCHA MTG; RCBC
- Raucoules, D.B., et.al., 2013. Strong non-linear urban ground motion in Manila (Philippines) from 1993 to 2010 observed by InSAR
- Rodolfo, K.S., October 3, 2013. Why reclamation of nearshore Manila Bay is a very bad idea, *The Philippine Star*, Star Science.
- Rodolfo, K.S., 2014. On the geological hazards that threaten existing and proposed reclamations of Manila Bay, *Philippine Science Letters*, Vol 7, No. 1, pp 228-240)
- Rodolfo and Siringan, 2006. Global sea-level rise is recognized, but flooding from anthropogenic land subsidence is ignored around northern Manila Bay, Philippines.
- Siringan and Ringor, 1997. Predominant Nearshore Sediment Dispersal Patterns in Manila Bay, *Science Diliman*, 9: 1 & 2, pp 29-40.
- Siringan, F.P. & Ringor, C.L. 1998. Changes in bathymetry and their implications to sediment dispersal and rates of sedimentation in Manila Bay. *Science Diliman* 10(2):12-26

Solidum R.U., 2013. An Update on the Earthquake Hazards and Risk Assessment of Greater Metropolitan Manila Area

Solidum, R.U., 2016. Tsunami Disaster Management in the Philippines. ppt. presentation

Soria, J.L.A, F.P. Siringan and K.S. Rodolfo, 2006. Compaction rates and paleo-sea levels along the delta complex north of Manila Bay, Luzon Island, Philippines.

Sverdrup, H. U., M. Johnson, and R. Fleming. 1942. The Oceans. Prentice- Hall, Inc., New York. 1087 p.

Tablazon, J., et.al., 2015. Probabilistic storm surge inundation maps for Metro Manila based on Philippine public storm warning signals. DOST-Project NOAH. Published in: Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 557–570, 2015. Pub. by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Thenhaus, P. C., Hanson, S. L., Algermissen, S. T. (US Geological Survey) and Bautista, B. C., Punongbayan, B. J., Rasdas, A. R., Nillos, J. T., Punongbayan, R. S. (PHIVOLCS), Estimates of the regional ground-motion hazard in the Philippines.

Tomas, C. R. 1997. Identifying marine phytoplankton. Academic Press, California.

Torres, R. C., Paladio, M. A. O, Punongbayan, R. S., Alonso, R. A. Liquefaction inventory and mapping in the Philippines: a worst case scenario, 1994, DOST-PHIVOLCS, Natural Disaster Mitigation in the Philippines, pp.71 – 98

Valiela, I. 1984. Marine Ecological Process. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp546

Villanoy, C. & Martin, M. 1997. Modelling the circulation of Manila Bay: Assessing the relative magnitudes of wind and tide forcing. Science Diliman 9(1/2):26-35

Whymark, 2015. Natural and Anthropogenic Hazards in Metro Manila

Yasuda, S., Ishihara, K., Harada, K. and Shinkawa, N., Jan. 1996. Effect of Soil Improvement on Ground Subsidence Due to Liquefaction. Special Issue of Soils and Foundations, pp. 99-107, Japanese Geotechnical Society

Yoshimitsu Fukushima and Teiji Tanaka, 1990, A New Attenuation Relation for Peak Horizontal Acceleration of Strong Earthquake Ground Motion in Japan, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., Vol. 80, No. 4, 757-783.

Yoshimitsu Fukushima and Teiji Tanaka, 1992, The revision of “A New Attenuation Relation for Peak Horizontal Acceleration of Strong Earthquake Ground Motion in Japan”, Abstracts The Seismological Society of Japan, 1992, Fall Meeting, B18 (in Japanese).

Zen K., et.al., 2007. Handbook on Liquefaction Remediation on Reclaimed Land. Edited by Port & Harbor Research Inst.

<http://www.phivolcs.dost.gov.ph/images/EIL/region%20iv-a.pdf>

[http://www.phivolcs.dost.gov.ph/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=78:hazard-map-of-active-fault-and-trenches&catid=45&Itemid=500024](http://www.phivolcs.dost.gov.ph/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=78:hazard-map-of-active-fault-and-trenches&catid=45&Itemid=500024)

[http://202.90.128.67/html/update\\_GGRDD/Maps/AF-and-Trenches/Regional/Luzon/NCR.png](http://202.90.128.67/html/update_GGRDD/Maps/AF-and-Trenches/Regional/Luzon/NCR.png)

<http://blog.noah.dost.gov.ph/2014/02/04/compilation-of-storm-surge-occurrences-in-the-philippines/>

<http://www.cam.ac.uk/research/news/coastal-communities-face-greater-threat-of-flooding-due-to-land-reclamation>

<http://www.scmp.com/news/asia/article/1355407/if-super-typhoon-hit-manila-damage-would-be-bad-or-worse>  
(Nov 2013)

<http://lifestyle.inquirer.net/99009/but-why-reclaim-manila-bay-in-the-first-place/>, (Robillos A., Apr 17, 2013, Phil Daily Inquirer)

<http://opinion.inquirer.net/15993/on-reclamation-and-flooding>, October 25, 2011

<https://www.pressreader.com/philippines/the-philippine-star/20170307/281698319539207>

<http://noah.up.edu.ph/#/section/geoserver>

[open\\_jicareport.jica.go.jp/pdf/11844016\\_05.pdf](open_jicareport.jica.go.jp/pdf/11844016_05.pdf)

<https://www.wunderground.com/> Temperature and precipitation data (2018)

[http://manuals.mikepoweredbydhi.help/2017/Coast\\_and\\_Sea/MIKE\\_213\\_PT.pdf](http://manuals.mikepoweredbydhi.help/2017/Coast_and_Sea/MIKE_213_PT.pdf) (2018)

<http://calabarzon.neda.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Traffic-Mgt-Plan-2018.pdf>

DPWH, Cavite Flood Mitigation for Lowland Areas EIS Report, 2017

Status of Implementation of 2017-2022 OPMBCS Report, DENR-R4A. 1st Quarter 2018; DENR R4 Water Quality Status (Cavite), 20 Nov 2019; & EMB IV-A Water Quality Information System (wqis.emb.gov.ph). 1<sup>st</sup> Q 2020.

TCSI 2018, IEMP-MB 2005, MCTEP 2019, Base Map: 2020 Google Earth

TCSI 2018 and Filipinas Dravo Corp. 2018 data

Olivares, R.R., et.al., June 18, 2019 Environmental Assessment of Metal Pollution in Manila Bay Surface Sediments, DOST-PNRI





## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (ES)

### ES 1.0 Project Fact Sheet

**Table ES- 1. Project Fact Sheet**

<b>Name of Project</b>	<b>PROPOSED CAVITE PROVINCE LAND RECLAMATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT ISLAND A: (247.28 HECTARES)</b>	
<b>Project Location</b>	Along Bacoar Bay and Coastal Waters of Cavite City and Kawit, Within the Jurisdiction of Cavite Province	
<b>Project Category per EMB MC 2014-005</b>	"Category A-1 New": Environmentally Critical Project (ECP) Major Reclamation Project $\geq$ 25 hectares	
<b>Project Classification per EMB MC 2014-005</b>	3.3 Reclamation and other land restoration project	
<b>Scope of Project</b>	Horizontal development Only Including Road Networks and Utilities <i>(Note: separate ECCs will be applied for the vertical development, source of reclamation materials, disposal of dredged materials and all connectors/bridges)</i>	
<b>Project Area</b>	247.28 Hectares	
<b>Project Cost</b>	PhP 12.01 Billion <i>(Note: This is Estimated Cost)</i>	
<b>Summary of Major Components</b>  (Only the "Construction" Phase covered in ECC application, i.e. dredging, reclamation and horizontal development works)	<b>Major Components</b>	<b>Brief Description</b>
	One (1) Island	247.28 ha (Saleable and Non-Saleable Area)
	Internal Road Network	Composed of main roads, interior secondary roads, sidewalks and curb & gutters.
	Storm Surge Protection/ Containment Structure	To consist of sand bags installed around the perimeter of the proposed reclaimed area; and/or combination with the use of armor rocks.
	Drainage System	To consist of networks of drainage pipelines (reinforced concrete pipe) and/or covered canals, box culvert, manholes, inlets and other appurtenant structures. General layout will be along/parallel to the internal roads.
	Utilities Water Supply Power Lines Telecommunication	Water supply will be connected to the Manila Water Service Inc. distribution system. Power shall be tapped from Meralco. Telecommunication shall be linked to nearest existing PLDT exchange and also through the mobile telephone/internet companies. The power and telecom lines shall follow the same lines as much as possible.
	Sewerage Facilities	Integrated sewage disposal system
<b>Project proponent</b>	<b>CAVITE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT</b>  <b>The Honorable Governor Juanito Victor C. Remulla</b> Office of the Governor Provincial Capitol Compound, Provincial Capitol Building, Trece Martires City Telephone No.: (046) 419-1919	
<b>EIA Preparer / Consultant</b>	<b>CEnSe Technical Consultancy Services</b> Unit 405 Yrreverre Square Building, 888 Mindanao Avenue, Quezon City Mobile No.: (0927) 511-6742; Landline: (02) 455-2022; Email add: <a href="mailto:cense_tech@yahoo.com.ph">cense_tech@yahoo.com.ph</a> Contact Person: Engr. Venice Montemayor – Team Leader	



## ES 1.1 Project Description Summary

The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Report has been prepared to serve as a requirement for an application for an Environmental Compliance Certificate (ECC) for the Proposed Project. The ECC application covers only the horizontal development or the reclamation of land, including the construction of roads and utilities. The proposed reclamation project covers 247.28 hectares designated as Island A out of a total proposed reclamation area/project of the province of approximately 1,043.28 hectares (4 islands).

The project is located in Bacoar Bay and coastal waters of Kawit and Cavite City in the Province of Cavite. Barangays fronting the site and hosting onshore establishments are considered DIAs for socio-economic aspects and also for environmental/risks aspects as well, principally regarding flooding and storm surges.

## ES.2.0 Process Documentation of the Conduct of EIA

The content of the EIS report was established during the conduct of Technical Scoping on 17 December 2018 (See **Annex ES-A**). As prescribed by the DENR-EMB under the Revised Manual for Coverage Screening and Standardized Requirements under the PEISS, the appropriate type of documentation for this project is the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

As per Section 14 of the DENR Administrative Order No. 2018-14 or the "Guidelines on the Issuance of Area Clearance for Reclamation Projects and Proclamation/Special Patents over Reclaimed Lands", the Operations Phase (Vertical Developments) of the project shall be subjected to a programmatic Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) requirements. Pursuant to Section 9.6 of the DENR Administrative Order No. 2018-18, the proponent is compliant with the preliminary requirements which was the basis for the Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued by the DENR IV-A dated December 19, 2019, findings of the Composite Team and its current conditional status is presented in **Table ES-1A**.

**Table ES-1A NTP-Findings of the Composite Team and Status**

No.	Findings	Status
a	The proposed inner Island A covers an area of 247.28 hectares within the municipal waters of Cavite City and Bacoar Bay side and portions of the territorial waters of Kawit, Cavite. This observation as shown on the sketch submitted may affect seven (7) coastal barangays of Kawit with more or less 3,888 informal settler families; it may also affect foreshore establishment like the First Orient International Venture Corporation and the GLY Van Terminal.	Island A is discussed separately in its EIS Report and is located along Bacoar Bay and coastal waters of Kawit And Cavite City, within the jurisdiction of Cavite Province. No inland establishment will be affected, except for some existing fishnets in Island A which shall be relocated and transferred.
b	In Cavite City, it may affect thirty-seven (37) coastal barangays with an increasing population of informal settlers	The coastal barangays were being considered as our Direct Impact Area (DIA). No inland informal settlers will be displaced.
c	The proposed Island B covers an area of 220 hectares within the coastal waters of Cavite City, Island C covers an area of 205 hectares within the municipal waters of Noveleta, Island D covers an area of 267 hectares is within the municipal waters of Noveleta and Rosario; while Island E with an area of 324 hectares is within the municipal waters of Rosario.	Island B has been relinquished in favor of Sangley Point International Airport (SPIA). The decision was made based on the result of overlapping plots of portion of Island B and SPIA.
d	Noveleta has three (3) coastal barangays while Rosario has eleven (11) coastal barangays directly affected with the proposed reclamation.	Noveleta's coastal barangays was being considered as our Direct Impact Area (DIA). No inland informal settlers will be displaced.
e	Those coastal areas adjoining the proposed reclamation are inhabited by 2,368 informal settlers/families for Noveleta and 558 informal settlers/families for Rosario.	The coastal barangays were being considered as our Direct Impact Area (DIA). No inland informal settlers will be displaced.
f	Both municipalities have Beach Resorts, Long Beach and Lido Beach Resort for Noveleta and Island Bonita, Mount Sea Resort and Restaurant and a Petron Depot Terminal in Brgy. Wawa, Rosario, Cavite	The preparation of Impact Management Plan (IMP) discussed in Chapter 3 to ensure that every sensitive areas shall be considered, properly addressed and mitigated. The existing resorts are at least 200 meters away from the proposed site.
g	Another municipality adjoining Rosario is Tanza which has twelve (12) coastal barangays with 127 informal settler/families. Establishments along the foreshore area with Foreshore Lease Application are the F and E De Castro Resort, Tanza Oasis Hotel and Resort, Cavite Gateway Terminal, Villa Excellence Beach Resort and the Agripacific Corporation.	Application shall take into consideration that currently FLA application to ensure no conflicts among the applicants and existing establishments.



**CHAPTER ES**  
**Executive Summary**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

h	Vast mangrove area lush vegetable is likely to be affected by the proposed reclamation project, such that utmost conservation measures must be affected to preserve the integrity of the fragile ecosystem.	Establishment of buffer zone away from the site shall be strictly observed to all sensitive area.
<b>Environmental Issues</b>		
1	The proposed Cavite Reclamation Project consist of five (5) artificial islands to be constructed detached from the shoreline of Manila Bay. The first four will be sited in succession parallel to the coastline of Manila Bay while the last will be separated from the rest and will be located between the inner confluence of the sandpits where Cavite City rests and maintained facing Kawit and Bacoar.	The proponent only applies for four (4) artificial islands, relinquishing one (1) island named as Island B, therefore reducing it to first three (3) which will be sited in succession parallel to the coastline and one island will be separated from the rest.
2	These proposed Island-type reclamation will partly enclose the near shores of Rosario, Noveleta, Kawit and Cavite City, al in Cavite Province. Based on studies, the island-type reclamation is more advantageous in terms of reduced adverse environmental impacts compared to peninsular-type reclamation (reclamation that is connected to the mainland/shoreline). However, the proponent must guarantee that the proposed project will not cause potential siltation and reduction of water exchange in the adjacent waterways.	Impact Management Plan (IMP) discussed in Chapter 3 to ensure that siltation will be properly mitigated thru installation of silt curtain thus reducing water turbidity. The separation of islands thus creating three (3) islands instead of one (1) to give way for water exchange, draineg and circulation.
3	There are at least five (5) major sources of seismic activity that may generate severe impact to Manila Bay Region, namely the Valley Fault System (VFS), the Philippine Fault Zone (PFZ), the Lubang Fault and Manila Trench. Movement from these geologic structur4es may bring fort tsunamis, ground motion and liquefaction to the bay area.	The assessment derived during the preparation of EGGAR discussed the management measures for the identified seismic forces in the area.
4	Based on the Project Description submitted by the proponent, the project's ECC application being applied for involves only the horizontal phase. The proponent will engage in land reclamation by raising the elevation of the seabed for the sole purpose of creating new land intended for various functional purposes. However, it is crucial that the design and construction of the horizontal phase should need the weight of the intended load to be emplaced at its top in order to withstand hazard such as, earthquakes and tsunakis and othe external forces such as current, waves, precipitation and winds.	The proponent confirms the application only for land development including road and utilities. While it is crucial that the weight or load for future vertical development, we will assure that prior to any vertical construction, another borehole test and geotechnical investigation study shall be done to determine the load bearing capacity of the area. In this way, heights and weight of the structures will be established.
5	More importantly, the developer must foresee how the reclaimed land will likely respond to the weight of the load in order to decipher the fill's performance and functional requirements into measurable properties with special attention on density, strength and stiffness vis-a-vis liquefaction and breaching. With this underlying mechanism, it is imperative that blueprints for construction of vertical and horizontal phases for ECC application be required simultaneously in order to asses both requirements.	All possible hazards such such geologic, hydrologic, and coastal hazards were considered in the study. These studies will help in the preparation of detailed designs. Vertical components including its blueprint is at this point is not feasible to provide.
6	As per result of the MGB on flooding hazard, the large contiguous coastlines fronting the proposed project areas were found experiencing very high to moderate susceptibility to flooding due to interference of the surrounding natural drainage that coincides with the prevailing hydrologic/oceanographic phenomena along Manila Bay region. Moreover, a research study conducted by PAGASA revealed that the shape and height of Natib and Mariveles stratovolcanoes found west of the proposed project produce an orographic effect and dispersive tail of rain clouds. These further discuss the possible behavioral changes of the abovementioned flooding precursors with the proposed construction of the artificial islands vis-à-vis flooding hazards of Manila Bay Region.	Flooding hazard assessment and management measures is discussed in the submitted EGGAR.
7	Based on the results of the MGB on the coastal geo-hazard assessments covering the concerned study area. The whole shoreline stretch of Rosario municipality is experiencing high coastal accretion while the northern portion of Cavite City has occurrences of low accretion. Coastal erosion is almost negligible to low from Noveleta municipality up to Cavite City. It is therefore recommended that the proposed projects be subjected to hydraulic study to assess the negative impacts to coastal geo-hazards. The study may include hydrodynamics and morphological changes via a modeling approach. Of the studies show adverse impacts, then the developer should offer feasible mitigating measures.	Coastal Engineering Assessment or Hydrodynamics Modelling prepared by AMH Philippines is discussed in Chapter 2.2. Likewise, full report is attached in the submitted application for Area Clearance, also attached as Annex 2.2-B.
8	The developer is taking into consideration San Nicolas Shoal as the primary source that will supply landfill materials for the said reclamation projects. The proponent must conduct a separate hydraulic study for this purpose to guarantee that there will be no major interruption to the fragile equilibrium of sediment movement in the littoral cell. The proponent must also determine the potential impacts caused by sediment extraction on coastal geomorphology and hydro dynamics and the consequential beach erosion and accretion on the coastlines of Manila Bay Region.	This was discussed and addressed in the EIS of SNS separately.
9	The developer is also considering "lahar or volcanic ejecta from Mount Pinatubo as optional sources of fill materials. Fine to medium quarts sands are to be preferred ideal materials. The proponent must therefore conduct extensive and comprehensive study as tot eh strength and competence of this material.	Prior to any acceptance of filling materials. Material testing shall be done to ensure that specifications are within required standards. Sources from river dredging in Zambales, Pampanga and Batangas shall also be considered as alternative.



## ES.2.1 The EIA Team

The table showing the list of EIA Preparers is provided below.

**Table ES- 2. List of EIA Preparers**

Team Member	Field of Expertise	EMB Registry No.	Company
Engr. Venice Montemayor	Team Leader	IPCO-260	CEnSE Technical Consultancy Services
Engr. Rodel Olivares	Asst. Team Leader	IPCO-132	CEnSE Technical Consultancy Services
Felixberto H. Roquia Jr., Ph.D.	Sociology	IPCO-028	Independent
Benjamin Francisco	Marine and Fresh Water Ecology (Team Leader)	IPCO-038	Independent
Virgilio Pantaleon	Coral Reef, Seagrass	-	Independent
Michael Francisco	Fisheries	IPCO-040	Independent
Nazario Sabello	Air Quality	IPCO-240	Independent
Neil John S. Tolentino	Geology	-	Independent
Engr. Emerson B. Doralles	Ocenography	-	Independent
<b>Proponent's External Expertise</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Arch. Armand Alli, EnP – Master Planning</li> <li>Engr. Ricardo Yuson – Engineering</li> <li>Engr. Jon Kasilag (AMH Philippines, Inc.) – Oceanography/Modeling</li> <li>Engr. Lhyman Banganan (FF Cruz) – Topography/Bathymetry</li> <li>Princess Camille Mercado (THEIDI) – Reclamation Methodology</li> </ul>			

The accountability statements of the Preparers and Proponent are in **Annex ES-B and Annex ES-B1**.

## ES 2.2 EIA Schedule

The following are the activities that were conducted for this study. Continuing activities will be based on the results of the Review Committee Meetings.

**Table ES- 3. EIA Study Schedule**

ACTIVITY	DATE
Topographic & Bathymetric Survey of the proposed Islands	Sep. – Dec. 1, 2017
Bathymetric Survey	September 30– December 1, 2017 by F.F. Cruz
Marine Study	October - November 2017 and February 2019
Water Sampling and Laboratory Tests	Oct. – Nov. 2017 and February 2019
Geotechnical Survey (Drilling)	December 2017 – April 2018 By A.M. Geoconsult
Secondary Data Researches	January - March 2018
Conceptual Master Development Planning (CMDP)	Mar. 2018 – Feb. 2019
Feasibility Study	April 2018
Engineering Geological and Geohazard Assessment Report (EGGAR)	2018-2019
<b>SOCIAL PREPARATION UNDERTAKEN</b>	
IEC and Perception Survey (Public participation Documentation provided in <b>Annex ES-C</b> )	
Initial Perception Survey	13-19 October 2018
Information, Education and Communication (IEC)	12 October 2018
Focus Group Discussion	22 June 2018 and 10 July 2018
Public Scoping	20-21 November 2018
Technical Scoping	17 December 2018
Perception Survey Coverage: Barangays 5,6,7,10-A,10-B, 22-, 27, 28, 57, 58, 58-A, 61, 61-A, 62, 62-A, Santa Isabel, Wasak II Kaingen, Marulas, Binakayan- Aplaya, Samala-Marquez, Polvirista, Balsahan-Bisita, Kanluran, Panamitan, and Congbalay	January – March 2019



## ES 2.3 EIA Methodologies

Table ES- 4. EIA Methodology

Module	Baseline	Methodology												
<b>LAND</b>														
Land Use Classification	<b>Secondary data:</b> City Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) of Cavite City and Kawit, Province of Cavite	Assessment of compatibility of the proposed project in the land use classification, Manila Bay Coastal Strategy, Consistency with the PRA Implementing Rules and Regulations, Relation to the PRA Master Plan for Manila Bay												
Geology	<b>Secondary data:</b> Geologic, seismic, liquefaction, slope hazard maps and evaluation based on government data and maps. <b>Primary data:</b> Borehole drilling by 3 <sup>rd</sup> party	Identify and assess project impact in terms of the changed in topography including existing hazard as maybe aggravated.  Conduct of EGGA. MGB Methodology												
Pedology/Soil	<b>Primary data:</b> Geotechnical Investigation	Physical and chemical properties relevant for design purposes												
<b>WATER</b>														
Hydrology / Hydrogeology	<b>Secondary data:</b> Existing drainage system. Historical flooding occurrences	Identify and assess project impact on the change in drainage morphology, local drainage and resulting effects of flooding												
Marine Water Quality	<b>Primary data:</b> Standard Methods for Water Quality Sampling and Monitoring.  <b>Water Body Classification:</b> DENR Class SB <b>Parameters Considered:</b> Total Coliforms, Fecal Coliforms, Dissolved Oxygen, Oil & Grease, Arsenic, Mercury, Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, pH, Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Assess impacts on siltation of surface and coastal marine waters  DAO 2016-08 Analytical Methods: by CRL Laboratory, recognized by DENR. Metals : Spectrophotometry AAS Cold Vapour AAS for Hg Coliform : Multiple Tube Fermentation BOD : Azide Modification Winkler O & G: Gravimetry (n-Hexane extraction) DO : Winkler/Titrametric pH : Electrometry TSS : Gravimetry												
Oceanography	<b>Primary data:</b> <b>Tide Measurements</b> Bathymetric data	Tidal Station  Echo sounder or equivalent												
Marine	<b>Primary data:</b> Abundance / density / distribution of ecologically and economically important species, mangroves, benthism plantons, coral reefs, algae, seaweeds, sea grasses  Presence of pollution indicators	Transect, manta tow and spot dives surveys, marine resource characterization (e.g. city/municipal and commercial fisheries data), Key informant interview.  Microscopic Examination												
<b>AIR</b>														
Ambient Air Quality	<b>Primary data:</b> Ambient air quality sampling and testing.  <b>DENR Classification Ambient Air and Noise Classification: Class B – Commercial Area</b>  Parameters Considered: TSP, PM10, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>Methodology:</b> Standard Methods for Ambient Air Quality Sampling by Volume Sampler <table border="1"> <tr> <td>TSP</td><td>Graseby High Volume Sampler</td><td>Gravimetric</td></tr> <tr> <td>PM10, PM 2.5</td><td>Graseby High Volume Sampler</td><td>Gravimetric</td></tr> <tr> <td>SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub></td><td>Gas Bubbler Sampler</td><td>Pararosaniline Griess Saltzman</td></tr> <tr> <td>Noise</td><td>Type 2 – Sound Level Meter</td><td>Instantaneous reading</td></tr> </table>	TSP	Graseby High Volume Sampler	Gravimetric	PM10, PM 2.5	Graseby High Volume Sampler	Gravimetric	SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub>	Gas Bubbler Sampler	Pararosaniline Griess Saltzman	Noise	Type 2 – Sound Level Meter	Instantaneous reading
TSP	Graseby High Volume Sampler	Gravimetric												
PM10, PM 2.5	Graseby High Volume Sampler	Gravimetric												
SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub>	Gas Bubbler Sampler	Pararosaniline Griess Saltzman												
Noise	Type 2 – Sound Level Meter	Instantaneous reading												
Ambient Noise Quality	<b>Primary data:</b> Noise Meter													
Contribution in terms of GHG	Data on Greenhouse Gases	Estimation of projected greenhouse gasses (GHG)												
<b>PEOPLE</b>														
Demographic Profile / Baseline	<b>Primary data:</b> Conduct of Public Perception Survey, Public Scoping <b>Secondary data:</b> Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) of Cavite City and Kawit													





## **ES 2.4 Public Participation Activities**

### **ES 2.4.1 Information, Education and Communication (IEC) Activities**

IEC activities were conducted with the concerned stakeholders on 12 October 2018 at Roofdeck Noveleta Public Market, attended by twenty-three (23) stakeholders, 22 June 2018 at Mount Resort, Municipality of Rosario attended by eleven (11) participants and 10 July 2018 at Cofftea Zone, Cavite City and Municipal Hall of Noveleta attended by forty-five (45) participants. Among these invited were LGU Officials, Government Offices, Non-Government Organizations (NGO) / People's Organization (PO), Private Offices and Impact Barangays. Provided below in Table ES-4a are the top key issues raised during the IEC and FGD conducted. **Annex ES-C1 for the documentation of the the conducted IEC Activities.**

**Table ES-4a Issues and Concerns Raised During the IEC Activities**

<b>Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion</b>	<b>Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder</b>	<b>How it was Addressed in the EIS</b>
Mr. Alex Maniago Municipality of Rosario	Source of Filling Materials	The alternatives for the sources of materials are presented in Chapter 1. Current best option is San Nicholas Shoal. Other options include lahar from Pampanga, river dredging, etc.
Mr. Alex Maniago Municipality of Rosario  Resident of Barangay 8 Cavite City  Councilor Cris Go of Municipality of Rosario	Impacts on Fisherfolks; near coast fishing areas will have to move further offshore	<p>This is discussed under Chapter 2.2 Marine Ecology. Few fishers, as well as gleaners for macro-invertebrates in the proposed reclamations islands will be dislocated momentarily during reclamation activities but will ultimately resume fishing operations in coastal waters past the reclaimed area. It is noted however, that a sizeable nearshore fishing ground will be lost to reclamation affecting largely small-scale fishers. On the other hand, effects on demersal fisheries productivity will be minimal as no benthic fish habitats will be affected or altered due to the extreme silt and muddy sediments currently deposited in the area. However, schools of <i>Sardinella</i> that normally enter inshore waters can be disturbed and move away from the reclamation site. Tilapia and sardines fisheries in this area will be dislocated and loss of income from fishing will be felt during reclamation activities. Fisheries operation in fishing grounds offshore of the reclamation and generally in the mouth Manila Bay will not be affected as fishers will move to new fishing grounds further away from the reclaimed area where seawater will probably be less polluted and pelagic fish more abundant. However, this will require modifications on fishing gears used. It is likewise noted that there are no permanent or stationary lift nets or "sapras" directly inside the proposed reclamation site.</p> <p>Provision of new fishing paraphernalia to enable affected small-scale fishers to move to deeper fishing grounds past the reclamation area; Provision of alternative livelihoods to affected fishers.</p>
Ms. Vivian Tolentino Municipality of Kawit	Impacts on nearby municipalities	<p>The various reclamation projects in the Province as well as in other parts of Manila Bay are complementary to each other. The PRA, DENR and other agencies who review and approves various pertinent permits see to it that this is so.</p> <p>In Chapter 1 - Project Description, the project's location is described relative to important landmarks, other reclamation projects, protected areas, etc. Relative to this, the impact areas as well as the potential effects are described.</p>
Representative from Philippine Coast Guard	Navigability of channels between island projects	<p>The 3 island projects on the other side of Bacoar Bay will be separated by 200m channels which are navigable. This is discussed under Chapter 2.2 Water - subsection on circulation modeling.</p> <p>The reclamation project will ensure that adequate seawater channels in between islands are designed and maintained open to boat navigation. Such channels will be adequately engineered to ensure suitable depth and seawater flow.</p>
Councilor Cris Go of Municipality of Rosario	Estimate Project Timeline	<p>The Implementation Schedule is provided as a gantt chart under 1.7.3. Construction (including consolidation and stabilization) will take approx 5 years, while site development will take about 2 years for a total of 7 years.</p> <p>This will only start after a Notice to Proceed is issued by the PRA.</p>
Philippine Coast Guard	Impacts on Flooding	<p>This is discussed under Chapter 2.1.3 - Geological Hazards and under Chapter 2.2 Oceanography. Numerical modeling was done and it showed that the island will not cause nor aggravate flooding susceptibility in the municipalities fronting or near the island.</p>



## **INITIAL SURVEY WITH THE COMMUNITIES NEAR THE PROJECT SITE**

The results of the initial surveys covering the communities near the project site are presented in **Annex ES-C2**. The said surveys were conducted as part of the Information, Education and Communication (IEC).

The Preliminary Households Perception Survey with total respondents of 435 was conducted last October 13-19 2018 to assess the socio-cultural economic situation of the communities that are to be affected by the proposed reclamation, particularly Barangays Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista) Barangay 6 (Diego Silang), Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong), Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas), Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher), Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A), Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B), Barangay 11 (Lawin), Barangay 13 (Aguila), Barangay 14 (Loro), Barangay 22-A (Leo A), Barangay 27 (Sagittarius), Barangay 28 (Taurus), Barangay 57 (Repolyo), Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A), Barangay 58-A (Patola A), Barangay 58-M (Patola M), Barangay 61 (Talong: Poblacion), Barangay 61-A (Talong A: Poblacion), Barangay 62 (Kangkong: Poblacion) and Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A: Poblacion) for the City of Cavite.

As far as the perceived benefits is concerned, top answers are on livelihood and business opportunities, improvement of roads and other infrastructure, additional tax, good service of the government and water services. On the other hand, perceived adverse impacts are traffic, water pollution, loss of job, tsunami, corruption, loss of fresh air from the Manila Bay, flood, and death of marine species and loss of view.

## **ENHANCED PERCEPTION SURVEY**

Further and enhanced household perception surveys were made post the activities on January to March 2019 with a total of 2,765 respondents from 27 barangays in the Municipality of Kawit and Cavite City.

For **perceived beneficial and adverse impacts**, top answers are on employment and livelihood, additional tax, road construction, good service of the government and development of the barangay and municipality. On the other hand, perceived adverse impacts are health concerns, traffic and water and air pollution.

## **ES 2.4.2 Public Scoping**

The Public Scoping's conducted on 20 November 2018 at Youth Crisis Center, DSWD Compound, San Roque, Cavite participated by seventy-two (72) stakeholders and 21 November 2018 at Roof Top Noveleta, Public Market and Mount Sea Resort, Municipality of Rosario and was attended by Ninety-one (91) participants from different sectors. Among those invited were LGU Officials, Government Offices, Non-Government Organizations (NGO) / People's Organization (PO), and others. The Summary of Participants during the Public Scoping is provided in **Annex ES-C3**.

### **Summary of Issues and Concerns Raised during Public Scoping Activity**

The objective of the conducted Public Scoping Activity and other continuing IEC to be conducted is to ensure that the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) will address the relevant issues and concerns of the stakeholders and that it will be consistent with the Philippine Environmental Impact Statement System (PEISS). Issues and Concerns raised during the Public Scoping Activity is provided in Table ES-5 below.

**Table ES- 5. Major Issues and Concerns during Public Scoping Activity**

<b>Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion</b>	<b>Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder</b>	<b>How it was Addressed in the EIS</b>
Marcos Aristotle P. Alvarez	Source of Filling Materials	The alternatives for the sources of materials are presented in Chapter 1. Current best option is San Nicholas Shoal. Other options include river dredging from Pampanga, Zambales and Batangas.



Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	How it was Addressed in the EIS
Michael L. Del Rosario Kap. Jomer M. Bumatayo Mayor Jose V. Ricafrente III	Impact on artificial coral reefs and fishing grounds	All observations recorded muddy substrate mixed with coarse sand and shellfish carapace across the entire "Island A" reclamation site. The benthic observations did not encounter coral colonies, soft corals, algal assemblages or significant underwater rocky structures that can serve as habitats for demersal species of fish, macro-invertebrates and crustaceans. The spot dives conducted in four stations to verify alleged claims by boatmen that rocky substrate occur in the area yielded negative results – only mud and silted sand were encountered. Elsewhere in the reclamation site, extensive systematic snorkeling around shallow portions of the sea where corals can normally thrive revealed the same absence of coral life forms. Impacts on fishing discussed under Table ES-5 above.
Congressman Michael Del Rosario	Impacts on drainage system	Project is at sea about 200m offshore, will not impede or block drainages
Alex Mañago Sr.	Employment opportunities for residents	As discussed in Chapter 2.4-People, hiring of local residents will be given priority for as long as there are qualified individuals locally. This is also discussed under Manpower requirements in Chapter 1.
Devina M. Cenizal Resident of Tanza, Cavite	Impacts on nearby municipalities	The various reclamation projects in the Province as well as in other parts of Manila Bay are complementary to each other. The PRA, DENR and other agencies who review and approves various pertinent permits see proper compliance.  In Chapter 1 - Project Description, the project's location is described relative to important landmarks, other reclamation projects, protected areas, etc. Relative to this, the impact areas as well as the potential effects are described.
Pipo Nipomoceno	Impacts on archeological/historical sites	In Chapter 2.1, conflict in landuse issues, this is discussed in connection with coordination with government agencies to acquire LONOs, wherein their specific conditions and concerns are addressed. The proponent shall be coordinating with the National Museum Authority and other concerned agencies in this regard.
Randy Legaspi	Impacts on subsidence, storm surge and other geological hazards	As discussed under Chapter 2.1, the project will not induce natural geological hazards. For storm surge and/or tsunamis, the island can potentially serve as protection or shield for the coastal areas.

### ES 3.0 EIA Summary

#### ES 3.1 Summary of Alternatives

#### Territorial Jurisdiction

- Must not be in or conflict with ECAs or Protected Areas as declared in the NIPAS, principally the LPP Wetland Park and mangrove communities
- The site should be legally within the juridical jurisdiction of the LGU-Proponent, which for this project is Province of Cavite. Conflict on jurisdiction with other LGUs should be avoided.

#### ES 3.2 Summary of Main Impacts and Residual Effects after Applying Mitigation

The summary of Impacts and Residual effects is provided in Table ES-6.

**Table ES- 6. Summary of Main Impacts and Residual Effects**

Activity / Resource Likely	Potential Impact	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Target Performance/ Efficiency
<b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE- DREDGING ACTIVITY/OPERATION</b>			
Removal of unwanted seabeds and silt	Water pollution brought about by silt disturbance within the project area	• Installation of silt curtains around the dredging vessel and around the perimeter area of dredging area/activities	100 % Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards outside the silt curtain area
Transport of dredged material to disposal site	Water pollution due to accidental spillage of dredged materials	• The hauler shall ensure that vessels used for transporting are in good condition to prevent dredged materials from leaking or spilling	100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards outside the silt curtain area
Dumping of dredged material to disposal site	Soil and water Pollution due to disposal of dredged materials	• Installation of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) liner and/or clay for the spoil disposal site to prevent soil	100% No soil contamination and 100%



**CHAPTER ES**  
**Executive Summary**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Activity / Resource Likely	Potential Impact	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Target Performance/ Efficiency
(Inland)		and water (ground and surface) contamination and zero discharge	Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08
Dredging of filling material for reclamation	Water pollution due to turbidity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of silt curtains around the dredging vessel and/or dredging area</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards
Barging of fill materials for reclamation	Water Pollution due to possible spillage of dredged materials during barging. Increase of suspended solids affecting the settlement of marine species in the dredging and reclamation areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of containment facility to prevent spillage</li> <li>Provision of control measures when transporting filling materials</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards 100% no proliferation of suspended solids
<b>RECLAMATION ACTIVITY/OPERATION</b>			
Construction of containment wall system/revetment structures  Installation of sand bags/containment wall system along certain areas along the perimeter of the project area	Water pollution/ Increase turbidity of adjacent areas due to Infrastructure /Construction Activities of adjacent areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of a silt curtain 50m away from the working area, surrounding the area to be filled with reclamation materials and in the containment wall system/revetment structures area.</li> <li>Provision of geotextile membrane on the containment structures throughout the perimeters of the project area.</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards  100% no freshwater quality degradation and loss of freshwater species particularly Ylang Ylang River and 100% no cutting of mangroves
Filling the project area with reclamation materials  Delivery of filling and other construction materials through barges	Water pollution /Increase turbidity due to filling materials near reclamation areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of a silt curtain 50m away from the working area, surrounding the area to be filled with reclamation materials and in the containment system area.</li> <li>Silt curtains shall be removed after filling inside the containment system.</li> <li>Installation of silt curtains within the 50m away from the barge (for comments of the proponent)</li> <li>Provision of permeable geotextile membrane to prevent sediments during high and low tide outside the project area</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards
Hauling of filling materials	Increase in Noise generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of very efficient silencers on equipment and other noise dissipating device on all equipment to be used. Prior to project implementation, the proponent shall submit inventory of noise dissipating devices such as silencers that will be installed in each heavy equipment and corresponding noise level.</li> <li>Avoid use of heavy machinery during night hours. Activities should be strictly done from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM only.</li> <li>Installation of noise barriers along haul roads that will be used by heavy equipment.</li> </ul>	100% compliant to Noise Standards
	Dust pollution due to vehicle movements: -Along the road leading to the reclamation area -Within the project area activities	The project proponent shall ensure its haulers have appropriate mitigating measures to address the impact of dust pollution such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sprinkling of water using water tanker at least four times a day along all possible roads leading to the reclamation area, especially during dry season.</li> <li>Covering all loaded trucks properly/fully using tarpaulin throughout the hauling period.</li> <li>All trucks shall be road-worthy.</li> </ul>	100% compliant to RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards
	Health and Safety due to exposure to Construction Hazard	Implement wearing of PPE's at all times when inside the project site	100% compliant to PPEs and Zero accident
<b>LAND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY/OPERATION</b>			
	Land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Liquefaction due to improper compaction</li> </ul>	100 % No liquefaction



Activity / Resource Likely	Potential Impact	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Target Performance/ Efficiency
Compaction/Soil stabilization of the project area	Noise pollution due to heavy equipment operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of very efficient silencers on equipment and other noise dissipating device on all equipment to be used. Prior to project implementation, the proponent shall submit inventory of noise dissipating devices such as silencers that will be installed in each heavy equipment and corresponding noise level.</li> <li>Avoid use of heavy machinery during night hours. Activities should be strictly done from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM only.</li> <li>Installation of fences/noise barriers along the perimeter of the project area. Corresponding areas to be monitored shall be submitted to EMB.</li> </ul>	100% compliant to RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards
	Air pollution emission of dust due to heavy equipment operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sprinkling of water using water tanker at least four times a day within the project area especially during dry season.</li> <li>Providing adequate water spraying device per hauling unit to water along all possible roads leading to the reclamation area.</li> </ul>	100% compliant to RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards
Construction of horizontal structures such as follows: A. Road networks B. Drainage system C. Water distribution D. Power and telecommunication lines	Land pollution due to indiscriminate /improper dumping of solid wastes and toxic substances	<p>The proponent shall ensure that its contractors shall practice on-site segregation and establish storage facility of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction debris such as used drum, used tires, wood cuttings, iron bar cuttings, etc.</li> <li>Hazardous wastes such as used oil, busted lamps, oily rags, etc.</li> </ul> <p>The above waste materials shall be hauled and disposed of by a DENR accredited hauler and treater. Biodegradable materials shall be used for composting. Compost materials shall be used for greening activities.</p>	100% compliant to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RA 9003</li> <li>DAO 1992-29 and DAO 2013-22 and its Revised Procedural Manual</li> </ul>
	Generation of untreated/improper disposal of domestic wastewater	Personnel stationed at the reclaimed land will be provided with on-site portable toilets and washrooms. Collection and disposal will be done by an DENR accredited hazardous waste hauler and treater	100% Zero discharge of domestic waste to Bacoor Bay
	Water Pollution due Increase storm water run-offs surrounding the Areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drainage system should lead to settling ponds</li> <li>Provision of storm water collection system</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards
	Dust pollution emanating from open areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sprinkling of water along all possible routes leading to the reclamation area, at least four times a day, especially during dry season.</li> <li>Open areas should be covered with greeneries such as grass, shrubs, etc.</li> </ul>	100% compliant to RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards
	Health and Safety due to exposure to Construction Hazard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implement wearing of PPE's at all times when inside the project site</li> <li>Implement SDP in terms of priority for local hires</li> </ul>	100% compliant to PPEs and Zero accident 100% SDP implementation

### ES 3.3 Risks and uncertainties relating to the findings and implications for decision making

The advance reclamation methodologies and the engagement of experienced reclamation contractor will significantly reduce project risks and uncertainties. The containment wall design and construction is a significant aspect in the reduction of risks and uncertainties that could otherwise challenge the integrity of the reclaimed land.

The Detailed Engineering and Design (DED) requirements of the Philippine Reclamation Authority which are complied with post ECC and in the application for a Notice to Proceed (NTP) are another aspect of risk and uncertainty minimization.

The dredging activities to be undertaken at the source of the fill materials, presumably the San Nicholas Shoal (SNS) must necessarily be backed up the expertise in dredging and by complete knowledge of the characteristics (particularly geologic) of the San Nicholas sea bed.





## **CHAPTER 1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Among the relevant instrumentalities covering the Project is the Sangguniang Panlalawigan Resolution No. 1077, S 2018, dated November 19, 2018. This resolution grants the Provincial Governor the authority to award to Century Peak Corporation (CPC) and its consortium, the Cavite Reclamation Project Development covering 1,332 hectares (5 islands) within the territorial jurisdiction of the province. This also grants the Provincial Governor the authority to sign the Joint Venture Agreement for this purpose, pursuant to Provincial Ordinance No. 002-2-2012, otherwise known as "Provincial Government of Cavite's PPP Code". This also resolves to grant the Provincial Governor to apply for the necessary permits/clearances before the appropriate agencies, and to enter into agreement with such agencies, and if necessary, to sign, issue and submit documents in connection with the project. This resolution is provided as **Annex 1-A**.

This provincial resolution is backed by resolutions from the host city and municipality stating no objection to the project. The Sangguniang Bayan of Cavite City issued Resolution No. 2018-95 signed on December 17, 2018, which interposed no objection/opposition to the Cavite Reclamation Project and no objection/opposition to the authority of the Provincial Government to apply for the said project. However, the Resolution from the Sangguniang Bayan of Kawit is still on process. Cavite City resolution is attached as **Annex 1-B**.

On the simultaneous applications for the horizontal and the vertical phase, these cannot be done at the same time. The vertical phase is subject to a different EIS process and may involve a Programmatic Type of document. Moreover, the proponent(s) for the vertical phase, which must be the personality to apply for the ECC, are not identified at this time.

However, as a Planning Tool, the EIS Report in fact considers the vertical phase, as reflected in the Master Development Plan, the Drainage System and the Access ways from the Shore.

The Proponent has demonstrated consideration of geotechnical risk issues in the submission of the EIS, including assessment of settlement, liquefaction and stability of the preliminary revetment sections. Such considerations indicate an understanding of environmental risks and the proposal of mitigation measures, when warranted, for the purpose of securing an environmental permit, particularly that within the mandate of the PRA.

It is also the understanding of the Proponent that additional, comprehensive analysis as well as further construction details will be necessary prior to the issuance a PRA Notice for the construction work, which will be technical speaking, a "permit".

### **Brief Summary of Regulatory Process in Reclamation Projects (PRA Process)**

Reclamation projects are governed by several decrees and proclamations as described hereunder in chronological order:

**Presidential Decree No. 3-A** mandates that all reclamation of foreshore, submerged and offshore areas shall be limited to the National Government or any person authorized by it under a proper contract;

**Executive Order No. 525**, dated February 12, 1979, designated the Philippine Estates Authority-PEA (now known as the Philippine Reclamation Authority-PRA) as the agency primarily responsible for all reclaimed projects for and in behalf of the National Government and mandates that all reclamation projects be submitted to the President for his approval, upon recommendation by the PEA and the same to be undertaken by the PEA or through a proper contract executed by it with any person or entity;





**Executive Order No. 543**, dated June 24, 2006, delegates to the PRA the authority of the President to approve reclamation projects;

**EO No. 146**, dated November 13, 2013, transferred the power to approve reclamation projects from the PRA Board to the National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA) Board. Pursuant to Section 6 of this EO, the NEDA-PRA Joint Order was issued.

**EO 74**, dated February 1, 2019, transferred the PRA to the control and supervision of the Office of the President (OP). EO No. 74 repeals the EOs designating power to the DENR and NEDA Board over the PRA. Furthermore, in this EO, the power of the President to approve all reclamation projects shall be delegated to the PRA Governing Board.

**EO 74** also mandates the PRA to seek advisory opinions from the NEDA, DENR, and Department of Finance on any proposed reclamation project. It states that no reclamation project shall be approved by the PRA without the required area clearance and environmental compliance certificate from the DENR.

**PRA Administrative Order No. 2019-4** embodies the Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of EO 54. Under this IRR, "Area Clearance", one of the mandatory documents for a reclamation project, is defined as:

*3.2 Area Clearance refers to the document issued by the DENR declaring an area suitable for reclamation on the basis of:*

- a. Valid Geohazard Assessment of the Area duly prepared and signed by a licensed Geologist; and*
- b. Community Environment and Natural Resources Office (CENRO) Certification on the status of the area and land classification of adjacent land.*

The capacity of the Province of Cavite to reclaim is pursuant to Republic Act (RA) No. 7160 or the Local Government Code of 199. The Department of Interior and Local Government, under Memorandum Circular No. 120, s.2016, confirmed the authority of local government units to enter into Public-Private Partnerships and Joint Ventures for reclamation projects pursued consistent with the mandate and charter of the PRA. This project is under the EO 543 since this is already covered by the existing JVA Agreement, such application met the minimum requirements as stipulated in the IRR of EO 543.

In connection with the Area Clearance application, a Notice to Proceed has been granted by the DENR-Region IV-A in favor of this proposed project. This enables the Project Proponent to proceed with the ECC Application process.

The NTP and Area Clearance Application are shown in **Annex 1-C**.

### **Project Overview:**

The Province of Cavite plans to build four artificial islands (A, C, D and E) 300 to 600 m offshore from Cavite City, Kawit, Noveleta, and Rosario in Cavite Province, Southeast of Manila Bay, Philippines. The project covers a total area of 1,043.28 hectares and creates four (4) islands through land backfill.

Based on the preliminary plan, the four islands will be built into a modern aerotropolis in the future. Table 1-A presents conceptual overall configuration of the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project. All connectors are not included in the EIS report and not part the application. See Figure 1-A for the preliminary overall master plan showing the location of the specific Island A for application.




**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

**Table 1-A Conceptual Overall Configuration of the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project**

Description	Island A	Island C	Island D	Island E
<b>Project Area</b>	247.28 hectares	205.00 hectares	267.00 hectares	324.00 hectares
<b>No. of Island</b>	One (1) Island	One (1) Island	One (1) Island	One (1) Island
<b>Volume of Earth Fill</b>	21.95 Million cubic meters	21.23 Million cubic meters	25.22 Million cubic meters	31.93 Million cubic meters
<b>Finished Platform Elevation</b>	+ 4.50 meters above MLLW	+ 4.50 meters above MLLW	+ 4.50 meters above MLLW	+ 4.50 meters above MLLW
<b>Dredging Equipment</b>	Cutter Suction Dredger	Cutter Suction Dredger	Cutter Suction Dredger	Cutter Suction Dredger
<b>Reclamation Method</b>	Hydraulic Sand Filling Method	Hydraulic Sand Filling Method	Hydraulic Sand Filling Method	Hydraulic Sand Filling Method
<b>Design of Containment System (Ground Improvement under Dike)</b>	Drainage and consolidation method	Drainage and consolidation method	Drainage and consolidation method	Drainage and consolidation method
<b>Length of the Dike</b>	6,601 linear meters	4,910 linear meters	5,710 linear meters	7,043 linear meters
<b>Structure Type and Materials of Dike</b>	Sloping Dike using Sand Bags	Sloping Dike using Rock and Sand Bags	Sloping Dike using Rock and Sand Bags	Sloping Dike using Rock and Sand Bags
<b>Dike Design</b>	Sand Bag Dike + Rock Armor + Wick Drains	Rock Dike + Accropode Block + Wick Drain (north part of sea-facing dike of island C) Rock Dike + Accropode Block (South part of sea-facing dike of island C) Sand Bag Dike + Grid Plate + Wick Drains (Southeast dikes of island B,C,D and E)	Rock Dike + Accropode Block + Wick Drain (Sea-facing dikes of island B,D, and E) Sand Bag Dike + Grid Plate + Wick Drains (Southeast dikes of island B,C,D and E)	Rock Dike + Accropode Block + Wick Drain (Sea-facing dikes of island B,D, and E) Sand Bag Dike + Grid Plate + Wick Drains (Southeast dikes of island B,C,D and E)
<b>Armor Structure</b>	Natural Stone Armor	Artificial block armor (Accropode) and Concrete Grid Plate	Artificial block armor (Accropode) and Concrete Grid Plate	Artificial block armor (Accropode) and Concrete Grid Plate
<b>Soil Stabilization</b>	Vertical Drains Plus Surcharge	Vertical Drains Plus Surcharge	Vertical Drains Plus Surcharge	Vertical Drains Plus Surcharge
<b>Inter-Island Connectivity/ Bridge</b>	None	C-D ~ 200 meters (Not Included in the Application)	D-E 200 meters (Not Included in the Application)	None
<b>External Bridge</b>	From Manila-Cavite Road and CAVITEx Extension with provision of Access Road (Bridge) to Island A (Not Included in the Application)	From Manila-Cavite Road and CAVITEx Extension with provision of Access Road (Bridge) to Island C (Not Included in the Application)	Planned Road with provision of Access Road (Bridge) to Island D (Not Included in the Application)	Planned Road with provision of Access Road (Bridge) to Island E (Not Included in the Application)
<b>Purpose of the Application</b>	<b>ISLAND A</b>			



**Figure 1-A Preliminary Overall Master Plan Showing Island A**

## **1.1 Project Area, Location and Accessibility**

### **1.1.1 Location and Political Boundaries**

The proposed project is located along the Coastal Waters of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit, within the jurisdiction of Cavite Province. It will occupy a total reclaimed land area of **247.28 hectares** and will be adjacent to the Proposed Cavite Logistic Hub, which shall involve the development of Sangley Point into an international logistic hub with container port and airport complex and will also include the reclamation of approximately 2,000 hectares around Sangley Point. Provided in **Figures 1-1** and **1-2** are the Project Location Maps on NAMRIA and Google base maps, respectively.

The geopolitical map (**Figure 1-3**) shows the location of the project vis-à-vis territorial boundaries, including the barangays adjacent to and fronting the project site (which are also the impact barangays).

The proposed reclamation project covers an originally-planned area of 313 hectares. However, in response to the manifestation of the Philippine Navy during the Public Scoping on 20 November 2019 concerning the **Proclamation No. 88, series of 1963 (“Reserving for Naval Purposes a Parcel of the Public Domain Situated in Bacoar Bay, Cavite City, and Authorizing its Reclamation”)**, the original area was accordingly reduced to **247.28 hectares**. Provided in **Figure 1-4** is the map showing the revision in Island A landform in resolution of the overlap issue with the PN planned project.



### 1.1.2 Geographic Coordinates (Shape File Data) of Project Area

The coordinates are vital for (a) identifying the Scope of the ECC that is being applied for, (b) providing the footprints from which evaluations may be made, e.g. water circulation, bathymetry; geotechnical investigation and marine surveys and for (c) ascertaining that the site is indeed within the political boundaries of the City and Municipality. Table 1-1 presents the geographical coordinates of the original landform and Table 1-2 shows the revised and final land form coordinates. The revised geographic points are likewise shown in **Figure 1-1**.


**Table 1-1 Geographic Coordinates (Shape File Data in WGS 84) of the Original Project Landform (313 hectares)**

CORNER	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE
<b>ISLAND A (313ha)</b>		
1	120° 54' 53.5091" E	14° 27' 50.2052" N
2	120° 54' 37.9138" E	14° 27' 36.3666" N
3	120° 53' 41.2977" E	14° 27' 36.5108" N
4	120° 53' 36.5671" E	14° 27' 53.1950" N
5	120° 54' 20.8244" E	14° 28' 32.3518" N
6	120° 54' 54.8597" E	14° 28' 30.7029" N
7	120° 55' 00.4052" E	14° 28' 26.4869" N

**Table 1-2 Geographical Coordinates of the Revised Project Landform (247.28 hectares)**

CORNER	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE
<b>ISLAND A (247.28ha)</b>		
1	120° 54' 53.5091 E	14° 27' 50.2052 N
2	120° 54' 37.9138 E	14° 27' 36.3666 N
3	120° 53' 41.2977 E	14° 27' 36.5108 N
4	120° 53' 36.5671 E	14° 27' 53.1950 N
5	120° 54' 04.0474 E	14° 28' 17.5088 N
6	120° 54' 51.3784 E	14° 28' 15.9069 N
7	120° 54' 57.5778 E	14° 28' 11.6482 N





Base Map: NAMRIA NAMRIA Map Sheet 3129 1. July 2001

Figure 1-1. Project Site Location with Geographical Coordinate Points (NAMRIA Map)






Source: Google Earth 2020

Figure 1-2. Project Site Location with Geographical Coordinate Points (Google Earth Map)





Base Map: NAMRIA NAMRIA Map Sheet 3129 1. July 2001, Data Sources: NAMRIA Boundary base Map, ESRI Shapefile. 2011

Figure 1-3. Geopolitical Map of Northern Cavite Province indicating the Proposed Project Site and Impact Barangays



Source: 2020 Satellite Image of the Digital Globe (Google Earth)

**Figure 1-4. Original and Revised Island A Landforms vis-à-vis the Philippine Navy Planned Reclamation Area**

### 1.1.3 Accessibility

#### *Existing Transport Network Systems*

The nearest existing major road to the proposed project site on the side of Bacoor Bay is the Manila-Cavite Road, with approximate straight distance of **2.40 km** from the nearest corner of Island A reclamation landform, shown in **Figure 1-5**.

Cavite enjoys strategic access to Manila. Its proximity to the urban centers as well as the international gateways of the country has made it accessible through 12 major entry and exit points. It is located at Region IV-A or the CALABARZON Region. ([www.cavite.gov.ph](http://www.cavite.gov.ph))

These access points are currently being expanded and re-developed to further ease the burden of reaching Manila and its neighboring cities. ([www.cavite.gov.ph](http://www.cavite.gov.ph)). The roads important to the project are:



- Manila-Cavite Coastal Road via Talaba, Bacoor City
- Cavite Toll Expressway (CAVITEX) or R-1 Expressway Extension

### ***Planned Transport Network System***

There is a project in the pipeline for the Manila-Cavite Expressway, also known as Coastal Road/ CAVITEX, (a toll way linking Manila to Cavite Province) to be extended northwards from the Imus Interchange to Sangley Point. This road shall pass over the waters of Bacoor Bay, and is seen as an opportunity for linkage to Island A.

### **The Access Ways**

Preliminary design works are underway for the link from shore to the reclaimed land. In any case, the initial plan is for access ways/viaducts to be linked to the Manila-Cavite Road for Island A Figure 1-5.

### **Inter-island Connectivity**

Inter-island bridges will be built to connecting Islands 1 and 2 will be built. Furthermore, the access ways to Island A shall be interconnected, and will pass over land.

#### **➤ Inter-City Connectivity**

The proposed viaduct system could have spur lines that can connect Cavite City, Noveleta and Rosario, as well as all of their adjoining LGUs.

#### **➤ Inter-Regional Connectivity**

The proposed viaduct system that can connect the Cavite reclamation islands can also effectively interconnect the Project with the southern NCR/ MMA cities of Pasay, Parañaque, and Las Piñas. To effectively connect the Project with the NCR/ MMA, additional or separate linkages to existing, ongoing and planned transit systems and to toll way systems have to be planned.

#### **➤ Extra-Regional Connectivity**

Aside from the planned and existing surface linkages, extra- regional travel (over straight-line distances of from 60 to more than 100 kilometers/km) may already require other forms of non-overland transport i.e. ships, ferries, private boats/yachts, helicopters, and the like. The marina/s that may be later proposed for the Project may effectively host water-borne traffic while the transportation and utility blocks can host heliports and helistops atop the multi-modals/ intermodals/ parking structures; should express (or high speed) railway services become available in the near future. It is also hoped that the viaduct can host this level of service. In such an event, the inter-reclamation island viaduct alignment shall have 4 separate services i.e. water, drainage and wastewater lines at sub-grade level, surface traffic at grade, a commuter rail (express) service cum utility alignments i.e. fiber optic/ telecommunications, power, gas/ fuel, etc. at the 2nd level, a light rail service at the 3rd level, a toll way service at the 4th level and possibly even a Project-wide cable car or monorail service at the 5th level.

### **1.1.4 Vicinity Map and Adjacent landmarks**

The vicinity map is shown in **Figures 1-6. Important landmarks** nearest the project site include:

**Cavite City** - Cavite National High School; Cavite Medical Hospital; Cavite City Hall; Trece Martires Centennial Plaza; Fort San Felipe-Naval Station Pascual Ledesma; and Cavite State University- Cavite City Campus





**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

*Kawit* - Aguinaldo Shrine; St. Michael the Archangel Parish of Binakayan (Aglipayan Church); St. Mary Magdalene Church of Kawit; Baldomero Aguinaldo Shrine; and the Battle of Binakayan-Dalahican

There are also some important **historical sites** in the vicinity, which includes:

- Cavite City Hall - located in pre- World War II site of Dreamland Cabaret and the “Pantalan de Yangco”;
- Sangley Point - used as refuge by the Chinese pirate Limahong in 1574. It was also used as a military base by the American and Japanese troops. It is now occupied by the Philippine Navy for ship repair and dry docking known as Danilo Atienza Airbase;
- Julian Felipe Monument - stands for the composer of the Philippine National Anthem;
- Don Ladislao Diwa Shrine - well remembered as the co-founder of the KKK. The National Historical Institute (NHI) declared his ancestral home as a national shrine;
- Cañacao Bay - was port to both the Spanish galleons and the Pan Am Clipper sea-planes;
- The Emilio F. Aguinaldo Shrine - a national shrine located in Kawit, where the Philippine Declaration of Independence from Spain was declared on June 12, 1898;
- The Baldomero Aguinaldo Shrine - a house built by Gen. Baldomero Aguinaldo, cousin of Gen. Emilio Aguinaldo during the American Colonial Period in 1906 at Binakayan, Kawit, Cavite;
- The Battle of Binakayan-Dalahican – site of 2 simultaneous battles fought from November 9–11, 1896 that led to a decisive Filipino victory. The twin battle took place at the shores of Binakayan, in the town of Cavite Viejo (now Kawit); and
- St. Mary Magdalene Church of Kawit, also known as Kawit Church is the parish church. Construction of the present church was started in 1737

#### 1.1.5 Adjacent Reclamation Projects

The adjacent reclamation projects are shown in **Figure 1-7**. These include the following:

**Table 1-3 List of Nearby Reclamation Projects**


Project	Area (Hectare)	Status of ECC
Bacoor Reclamation and Development Project	320	With ECC
Diamond Reclamation and Development Project	100	With ECC
Sangley Point International Airport Project	>1,400	Planning stage
Philippine Navy Reclamation Project	~68.68 has	Planning stage
Parañaque 286.86-ha Reclamation Project	286.86	Application process ongoing

At this time, however, the ECC applications for the SPIA and PN projects have not been lodged with the DENR-EMB.

There are no overlaps in boundaries between these other projects and this application as there were adjustments made by the proponent with respect to landform and area coverage in consideration of the SPIA and PN reclamation projects to ensure that there will be no conflicts.

#### 1.1.6 Distance of the Las Piñas-Parañaque Wetland Park (LPPWP)


The potential impact of the Project on LPPWP is well considered. **Figure 1-8** shows the LPPWP being approximately **6.24 km** away from the site, a big distance to cause concern over potential impacts of the project.



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 1-5. Initial Framework Plan for the Cavite Reclamation Projects Showing Conceptual Access Ways to Existing Road and the Future CAVITEx Expansion and Bridges





Source: NAMRIA Boundary base Map; ESRI Shapefile

Figure 1-6. Vicinity Map of the Proposed Project Showing the nearest important Land Marks on Cavite City and Kawit (Open Street Base Map)






Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 1-7. Map Showing adjacent Proposed/Envisioned Reclamation Projects





Sources: Base Map- NAMRIA Boundary base Map; Data for Barangay Boundaries – PhilGIS, ESRI Shapefile, 2011

Figure 1-8. Map Showing the Distance of the Project Site from the LPPWP



### 1.1.7 Impact Areas

The guidelines provided by the Revised Procedural Manual (RPM) for DAO 2003-30 relevant to this project are used for the delineation of the DIA and IIA. Moreover, it is also based on the criteria on Section 10.1 of DAO 2017-15 and the results of the hydrodynamic modelling.

**Direct impact area (DIA)** is ... the area where ALL project facilities are proposed to be constructed/situated and where all operations are proposed to be undertaken. For most projects, the DIA is equivalent to the total area applied for an ECC.

The direct impact areas (in terms of the physical environment) are those areas where all project components are proposed to be constructed/situated, which is the reclamation island itself covering 247.28 hectares. This area is currently the body of water covered by the planned landform. There are no structures nor settlers at the DIA.

All twenty-six (26) barangays of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit fronting the proposed site as listed in Table 1-4 below:

**Table 1-4 Impact Barangays**

CITY/MUNICIPALITY	BARANGAY
CAVITE CITY - BACOR BAY SIDE	1. Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)
	2. Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)
	3. Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)
	4. Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)
	5. Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)
	6. Barangay 22-A (Leo A)
	7. Barangay 27 (Sagitarus)
	8. Barangay 28 (Taurus)
	9. Barangay 57 (Repolyo)
	10. Barangay 58 (Patola)
	11. Barangay 58-A (Patola A)
	12. Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)
	13. Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)
	14. Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)
	15. Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)
MUNICIPALITY OF KAWIT	16. Barangay Santa Isabel
	17. Barangay Wasak II
	18. Barangay Kaingen
	19. Barangay Marulas
	20. Barangay Binakayan-Aplaya
	21. Barangay Samala-Marquez
	22. Barangay Polvorista
	23. Barangay Balsaha-Bisita
	24. Barangay Kanluran
	25. Barangay Pamitan
	26. Barangay Congbalay

Another DIA are the nearest existing road where access ways will be built.



**Indirect Impact Area (IIA)** ...an IIA can be the stretch of the river/s OUTSIDE the project area but draining the project site, which can potentially transport Total Suspended Solids and other discharges from the project towards downstream communities. For the proposed project, the IIA are: the navigation lane of dredging vessel and the other adjacent proposed reclamation project, which is the Sangley Point International Airport Reclamation Project, which is still in the planning stage.

Table 1-5 below lists the DIAs and IIAs of the proposed project.

**Table 1-5 Impact Areas**

MODULE	MAJOR IMPACTS	DIRECT IMPACT AREA/S	INDIRECT IMPACT AREA/S
Land	Impacts in terms of compatibility with existing land use	Deemed not applicable, project is at sea.	
		Land Use Certification already issued	
	Impact in existing land tenure issue/s	Adjacent impact barangays are: Barangay 5, Barangay 6, Barangay 7, Barangay 10-A, Barangay 10-B, Barangay 22-A, Barangay 27, Barangay 28, Barangay 57, Barangay 58, Barangay 58-A, Barangay 61, Barangay 61-A, Barangay 62 and Barangay 62-A <b>for Cavity City</b> and Barangay Santa Isabel, Barangay Wakas II, Barangay Kaingen, Barangay Marulas, Barangay Binakayan-Aplay, Barangay Samala-Marquez, Barangay Pulvorista, Barangay Balsahn-Bisita, Barangay Kanluran, Barangay Panamitan and Barangay Congbalay <b>for Kawit</b> . No land tenure issues related to project which is at sea.	
	Improper Solid Waste Management and Other related Impacts	At and vicinity of site	
	Inducement of natural hazards such as liquefaction, storm surge, tsunami, debris flow	Municipality of Kawit and Cavite City	Municipality of Kawit and Cavite City
	Soil Erosion	At and vicinity of site, Shoreline	
	Impacts on Las Piñas – Parañaque Wetland Park	-not applicable-	Las Piñas – Parañaque Wetland Park
Water	Change in drainage morphology	At and vicinity of site/onshore	
	Change in bathymetry	At and vicinity of site	
	Change in water circulation	Project site and vicinities	
	Degradation of freshwater and coastal water quality	Project site and adjacent water bodies. - The extent of water bodies where water quality are projected to exceed the ambient standards. - Coastal areas using groundwater that could possibly be contaminated	



**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

MODULE	MAJOR IMPACTS	DIRECT IMPACT AREA/S	INDIRECT IMPACT AREA/S
		by project activities. - Areas where there are existing users of the same source of water (e.g. fishing) that the proposed project will be using.	
		Nearshore coastal waters fronting the proposed project sites, i.e., coral reefs; seagrass meadows, mangrove habitats, macro-invertebrate habitats, and plankton communities	Offshore coral shoals within 1 to 3 km from the project site; mariculture zones (if any)
	Displacement of established fishing areas within the proposed site	Fisheries resources and practices occurring within the coastal area in front of the project site	
	Displacement of lifts and mussel farms		
	Potential Damage to fish cages due to Navigation of Vessel		Other fish lifts/sapras in the vicinity
	Potential damage to adjacent creeks and rivers		Adjacent freshwater bodies
Air	Areas with projected Ground Level Concentration (GLCs) of emissions higher than the ambient standard	Site of access way connecting points onshore	Roads outside project site
People	Positive impacts on employment and livelihood	Impact Barangays/Municipality of Kawit and Cavite City	Province of Cavite
	Positive impacts on economic uplift of the Province of Cavite	Impact Barangays/Municipality of Kawit and Cavite City	Province of Cavite
	Competition or otherwise enhancement of livelihood or businesses adjacent to site	Impact Barangays/Municipality of Kawit and Cavite City	Municipality of Kawit , Cavite City and Province of Cavite
	Impacts on traffic in nearby existing roads		Adjacent areas onshore

The Direct and Indirect Impact Map is provided in **Figure 1-9**.


### 1.1.8 Proposed Buffer Zone

Generally defined, an environmental buffer zone is a geographical zonal area that separates a project site from the impact areas (water, land, air and people) thereby providing protection from the impacts of the project to communities/people and resources. For the project the buffer zone is the water itself surrounding the landform. The buffer zone is also shown in Figure 1-9.





CHAPTER ONE  
Project Description  
Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)  
Cavite Provincial Government



Source: Base Map @ Open Street Map (and) contributors CC-BY-SA

Figure 1-9. Pre-E IA Direct and Indirect Impact Areas



## **1.2 Project Rationale**

The basic rationale for the Island A Reclamation Project has to be taken in the context of the entire Cavite Land Reclamation and Development Project which consists of four (4) islands.

The Province of Cavite has the advantage of being near/ adjacent to major urban centers in Metro Manila. As such, it enjoys strategic access to Manila. Cavite is committed to becoming a major international gateway and a preferred hub for global companies. Presently, it hosts several industrial/economic productivity zones that continue to persuade investors to choose Cavite.

The increase in businesses also results to population growth. In addition, the areas of Cavite nearest to Metro Manila are increasingly becoming a popular choice for residential areas because of its easy access to work places/business centers, schools, and commercial areas.

The overwhelming congestion in Metro Manila has led urban planners to seek areas for expansion, and what better options are there but for adjacent provinces such as Cavite. In fact, the Sangley Point has been shown to be the most feasible area for the airport-seaport complex with enabling reclamation component. With this, it is necessary for Cavite to provide large parcels of land to accommodate envisioned developmental growth.

### ***Economic Growth***

The proposed Cavite land reclamation project for Island A of 247.28 hectares located along the coast of Manila Bay and Bacoar Bay, near the Sangley Point, can produce some 2,472,800 square meters of additional buildable/developable space. This could be translated into existing 63% buildable vis-à-vis to 30% open space/public area ratio. The additional 1,558,106.20 square meters of building gross floor area can be allocated for tourism, office, residential, commercial, and other non-industrial mixed uses in a master planned community.

It can be a pride for the province and will put it in the world map of business, leisure and investment.

The municipality of Kawit and City of Cavite will have additional land areas for expansion and development. Also, they will have new saleable and leasable prime properties; the project will be completed at no cost to the government; provide additional revenues through taxation, permits and licenses from the various economic activities of the said Project. These taxes in turn will be utilized for the improvement and lifting up of the level of public services being provided by the local government unit in particular as well as the those of the national government in general. The host Province and its cities/municipalities will then be transformed into new, vibrant and self-sufficient communities where employment and other income generating activities could be secured all year round. Moreover, there will be a new destination for local and international tourists. Furthermore, it can serve as a buffer to the coastal areas from storm surge.

The proposed project will further promote the well-being of the people of the 26 impact barangays, the Municipality of Kawit and City of Cavite as well as the Province of Cavite, especially in terms of employment and livelihood. The increase in employment opportunities for the locals will also translate to increased buying capacity of the residents, which will eventually translate into down-the-line benefits in terms of increased sales or business opportunities to local entrepreneurs.

Proceeds from the reclamation shall enable the Provincial as well as the Municipal / City LGU to expand and continually provide for its existing and future projects as indicated in their Comprehensive Development Plans (CDP) such as (i) school and healthcare facilities and services; (ii) medical, burial, educational assistance; (iii) financial assistance to barangays and to victims of fire and other calamities; (iv) social pension and senior citizens' welfare; (v) cash gifts and financial and emergency relief assistance; (vi) medical missions and emergency relief programs; (viii) livelihood programs and others.





### **1.3 Project Alternatives**

#### **1.3.1 Siting Alternative Criteria**

The key to siting of the project is to determine the best option available that will not result in serious environmental and social impacts.

With respect to Territorial Jurisdiction:

The most basic criterion in siting alternatives is that the site must be legally within the political jurisdiction of the LGU Proponent, which for this project is Province of Cavite. Conflict on jurisdiction with other LGUs should be avoided.

With respect to environmental/social impacts:

The severity of impacts is essentially the same for the feasible sites because the sites are essentially dictated by marine resources and bathymetry. Water depths have to be compatible with the dredging requirements and cost considerations, thus limiting the options to sites with depths of approximately 10 meters or shallower.

The perception of affected communities is considered neutral with respect to the site because the community concerns i.e. livelihood, employment, floods, storm surge and threats of earthquakes are essentially independent of the feasible site options.

With respect to risk factors:

The geological and met-ocean risks are the same for the coastal areas of the Province of Cavite, and therefore, are not germane to site selection. Moreover, engineering/design and construction methodology interventions will be adapted and applied to the landforms in whichever site is selected.

With respect to important landmarks:

As required and identified by the LGU, the project site will be set back from the coast for at least 200m, hence, it will have enough buffer to the important landmarks onshore.

With respect to ECAs or Protected Areas as declared in the E-NIPAS:

The project site is not within the LPP Wetland Park nor does it infringe on the mangrove communities (see Figure 1-8).

With respect to the other possible reclamation projects in the future:

There is sufficient buffer zone between the site and these other projects.

In addition, the site must not be in conflict with existing settlers, if any; and must be in reasonable distance from the source of filling materials i.e. the San Nicholas Shoal

With respect to configuration:

- Site and configuration must be acceptable to concerned other government entities, e.g. the PPA as would be established during the securing of the Letters of No Objection (LONOs);
- The Master Plan and the configuration should be in harmony;
- Configuration and site must be in conformance with the PLUP/CLUPs;
- Configuration must not be in conflict with existing and future reclamation plans of the host municipality/province; and
- The design of the viaduct will be influenced by the configuration and site inasmuch as the viaduct must connect to the shore and must be feasible in terms of length.

With respect to number of islands:

The choice on the number of islands must allow sufficient water circulation; sedimentation factors, passage of sea traffic, buffer zones for other users, overall aesthetics, must not result in prohibitive costs, and other



factors. The choice of one island was arrived at because preliminary hydrodynamic modeling showed that such is sufficient and will not impede water circulation nor result in sedimentation issues. In addition, the area has been reduced from 323 has to 247.28 has (Figure 1-4 above) due to overlap issues with Philippine Navy.

### **1.3.2 Technology Options**

#### **Reclamation Method - Options Considered on Choice of Method(s) of Reclamation**

The various reclamation methods refer to the types of equipment to be used. With advance technology and available state of the art equipment and construction methods, reclaiming land offshore even with large area nowadays could easily be implemented efficiently and effectively. With available source, filling materials could easily be extracted and transported within the project area through different equipment and large transportation vessels. Use of large capacity pumps makes it possible to extract sand from the source and convey the materials through long tubes and pipes. In preparation for dredging plan, selection of dredging equipment and dredging-conveying-reclamation methods we considered.

##### **1.3.2.1 Dredging/Reclamation Equipment**

This is closely identified with the equipment to be used. This shall be dependent on the dredging/reclamation contractor. Options for equipment are:

##### ***Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger (TSHD)***

There are two types of Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger; a) Trailing type that utilizes dredging pump inside the ship, slowly sails and dredges the soil and b) Moored type that fixes the Anker, adjusts the Anker rope and dredges. Sans special conditions or situations, trailing type is more widely used. Trailing type is the type that sucks the dredged soil through the Drag Head on the fleet of suction pipe.

After loading the dredged soil to the Hopper and arriving at the reclamation site, the gate bar opens and the soil is loaded or conveyed by a pipe. This dredger type is less affected by weather and unfavorable sea condition and widely used in deep sea soil sourcing. It can hold the Hopper itself, can be separately transported, and is very advantageous for long distance destination.

However, it has a disadvantage in which it will transport more water when it dredges some soil such as clay, and other similar types of soil. Trailing suction hopper dredger has a big dredging capacity (more than 100,000~150,000 m<sup>2</sup>/day) and long conveying distance (more than 20 km), yet, it is not well applied for the area with low water level like the condition of the project area.

##### ***Cutter Suction Dredger***

One type of dredging equipment contains a ladder with a cutter, called as Cutter Suction Dredger. The dredging system is executed by lowering the ladder into the dredging area, and as the ladder hit the target dredging area, the cutter attached to the bottom of the ladder is activated and operated. The soil or sand dredged by the equipment and the water are then extracted simultaneously by the pump and transported and delivered to the identified area using an extension pipe as conveyor.

Generally, cutter suction dredger with engine capacity 12,000 HP is widely used, though project requiring higher engine capacity can secure of up to 20,000 HP.

Capacity of cutter suction dredger differs based on the soil condition. A 12,000 HP Dredger can dredge 1,200~1,300 m<sup>2</sup>/hour with maximum conveying distance of 5km (soft soil characteristic). It has a capacity 5 times bigger than Grab Dredger (bucket capacity 16 m<sup>2</sup>).



Due to the high pressure at the discharge side, it is impossible for cutter suction dredger to directly load the soil into the barge such that it directly conveys the soil to the reclaimed land by a conveyor pipe. Generally, 20,000HP pump dredger and pipes are used to convey dredged soil to the reclamation site for up to a distance of about 5-10 km. On some cases, one 20,000 HP pump dredger in series with one 12,000 HP pump dredger is used for reclaiming sites or land with a distance of more than 10 km.

### ***Barge Loading Dredger***

Barge loading dredger is one of the alternative methods when there are some difficulties in conveying the dredged soil using a pipe, when the conveying distance is more than 15 km and when the higher dredging capacity is necessary. In dredging sandy material, the use of this dredger type is more economical, causes less pollution. Dredging capacity can be increased by increasing the capacity of the pump. However, efficiency of barge loading significantly decreases when the dredged soil mainly composed of mud. Severe pollution will occur due to overflow, and some adverse impacts occur due to the dispersion of sediments.

The dredging method using a pump without cutter usually discharges high pressure water to disturb soil and sand and then sucks them. Knife be may applied in case of solid or hard ground and when excavation difficulties are encountered. Collecting capacity of barge loading cutter dredger varies according to the installed pump capacity. Dredging barges are moored on the both sides of mining boat and then dredged soil is discharged through the discharge pipe of the pump into the barges.

Depending on the soil conditions, an appropriate knife shall be attached in order to improve the excavation capacity. On the other hand, cutter-less suction dredger is usually used for sourcing underwater sand or dredging along soft mud zone. It sucks the soil and conveys the soil for short and medium distance. Using a high-pressure pump instead of cutter knife, it disturbs the sand and earth spewed from inlet port in the end of the ladder and sucks it up. This type is suitable for sandy soil.

### ***Grab Dredger***

Grab dredger operates by loading the crane equipped with grab into moored dredging barges. Grab bucket capacity is expressed as a specification of grab dredger. Grab dredger is suitable for small places, small scale dredging, deep places, and primary excavation. Conveying process during dredging is usually done by a dredging barge and the dredging barge is towed to the area by a tugboat. Comparing with the other dredger, disturbance on earth and sand is less, moisture content is low, and water drawn by the vessel is less so that this dredger may be used for dredging in shallow area.

Grab dredger (with a dredging capacity of 200 m<sup>3</sup> / hr and bucket capacity of 12.5 m<sup>3</sup>) has a lower dredging capacity when compared to that of a pump dredger with capacity of 6 m<sup>3</sup> and 25 m<sup>3</sup>/hr. Moreover, in very loose soil, percentage loss of dredged soil in grab bucket is so high that it becomes less efficient (dredging capacity is lower than 1/3 when compared to that of pump dredgers). It is also very uneconomical to operate as compared to pump dredgers.

### **Selection of Dredging Equipment**

**Cutter Suction Dredger** - Dredging method is selected by considering the capacity of dredging equipment, the distance between dredging area and reclamation land and the effect of dredging activities to the environment. Cutter Suction Dredger is selected because pipes can be used for reclamation work, which has less impact to the environment. Considering such factors as long conveying distance from the source, large scale dredging volume, and other economic issues, large dredger should be used. However, 10,000HP CSD has been observed to be the optimum dredger that can be feasibly used for the Project.



### **1.3.2.2 Reclamation Technology/Method**

#### **General Layout of Land Reclamation**

The proposed project consists of Island A in which the island boundary areas of which is **247.28 ha**.

According to the tide-proof standard of 100-year Return Period and the 100-year wave parameter, taking into account the sea level rise factor, the average elevation of the land formation is +4.50 meters.

#### ***Hydraulic Sand Fill Method***

The island will be reclaimed with hydraulic sand fill which is better to be constructed layer by layer. The estimated volume of hydraulic sand fill is **about 21.95 Million cum**.

### **1.3.2.3 Containment System**

To form closed land formation region, dikes shall be built. Length of the dike for Island A is 6,601 meters. The return period for tide protection of the dike is 100 years. The dike protection level is level 1. The standard of wave protection is the same as the one of tide protection, i.e. for the wave once every 100 years. The control standard of overtopping is that the overtopping shall be  $\leq 0.05\text{m}^3/(\text{s.m})$  under the combination that high tide once every 100 years and wave every 100 years.

#### **Design loads**

- 1) Load in land formation area: hydraulic sand fill, the bulk density is 16~20kN/m<sup>3</sup>;
- 2) Load during construction of dike: the uniform load on the top of the dike is vehicles during construction, the load is assumed to be smaller than 10kPa

#### **Ground Improvement under Dike**

There is 0~12m thick soft soil layers in the project area. To make the dike structure economical, reasonable and to meet the requirements of stability and settlement, the soft soil layers shall be ground improved. There are many ground improvement methods. According to the similar projects, the common ground improvement method is drainage and consolidation, silt displacement, etc.

#### ***Drainage and Consolidation Method***

Drainage and consolidation method is to drain the water out of the soft soil and make the soft soil layer consolidated and then to construct the upper dike structure. The cost of this method is low, the construction is convenient and reliable, and the influence to the environment is small. The disadvantage of this method is that the construction time of drainage and consolidation method is relatively long.

#### ***Silt displacement method***

Silt displacement method focuses on the surface soft soil or the soil layer with soft soil interlayers. This method eliminates the surface soft soil layers and use ship to fill sand or stone back to the dredged trench. The slope of dredged trench is usually 1:3~1:6. The trench shall be re-filled after being dredged immediately. The construction of this method is convenient, but there are many working procedures and large amount of silt shall be disposed of, which has great influence on the environment. And the problem that silt returns is very serious, two times silt dredging is required, the overall construction time is long.



### **Selection of Ground Improvement under Dike**

**Drainage and Consolidation Method.** The area of this project is very large and the soft soil layer in the construction region is relatively thick. Drainage and consolidation method as the ground improvement method is relatively reasonable. In summary, it is recommended to use drainage and consolidation method in the area that there is soft soil layer, and then the upper dike structure can be constructed. For the area that there is no soft soil layer, the upper dike structure can be constructed directly on the natural ground.

#### **1.3.2.4 Structure Type of Dike**

##### ***Vertical Gravity Dike***

The structure features a gravity dike: the inside and the outside of the section are all vertical or approximately vertical walls. This structure generally consists of the riprap foundation bed and the wall. The waves are always reflected in front of the wall. The major advantage of the gravity structure is that when the water depths is relatively deep, the consumption of building materials of this structure is lower than the one of the sloping structures (Plate 1-1).

The major disadvantage of the gravity – type structure: First, the effect of wave elimination is poor. When the depth of water in front of the revetment is smaller than the critical depth of water, the width of the revetment needs to be increased because of the pressure of breaking waves, which leads to the increasing of construction cost. Second, since the foundation stress is relatively high, this structure is sensitive to differential settlement and therefore some reinforcement measures for the foundation are required when it is built on soft foundation. Third, it is difficult to repair a vertical structure once it is damaged.

In summary, vertical gravity – type dike is usually adopted in the area that the water depth is relatively deep and the natural foundation is relatively good.



**Plate 1-1.      Vertical Gravity – type Dike**

##### ***Vertical Pile Foundation Dike***

The vertical pile foundation dike consists of piles or sheet piles which are made up of reinforced concrete. The construction is convenient and fast, the cost is cheap, but the structure integrity is relatively not good. Owing to the limitation of size and bearing capacity of the piles, this structure can only be adopted to the condition that the water is not deep, the wave is small and the horizontal load is relatively low (Plate 1-2).





**Plate 1-2. Vertical Pile Foundation Dike**

### ***Sloping Dike***

The characteristics of the sloping dike are as follows: this structure is built up with excavated natural stones or artificial blocks such as concrete blocks or bagged sand; the section is trapezoidal; and when the waves occur, most of the wave energy will be absorbed or eliminated on the slope (Plate 1-3).

The major advantages of the sloping structure are that the structure is simple and can be applied to different foundations, the construction is convenient, the stability is good, local materials can be sufficiently used and this structure is easy to be repaired after damage happens.

The major disadvantage is that sloping dike consumes large amount of materials and covers large areas.



**Plate 1-3. Sloping dike**

### **Selection of Structure Type of Dike**

Considering that the wave is big and there are various thicknesses of soft soil layer in the project area, vertical gravity dike or vertical pile foundation dike is inappropriate and sloping dike is recommended.

#### **1.3.2.5 Material of Dike Body**

##### ***Rock Dike***

Rock is a traditional dike material with long history and mature technology, which has a large range of applications. The main advantages are that the structure is secure, the durability is good and also the capacity of wave and wind resistance is great. The disadvantage is that large amount of rocks will be consumed (Plate 1-4).





### ***Sand Bag Dike***

The advantages of sand bag are quicker construction, low impact to the traffic, low cost, good integrity of the dike body, etc. There are also some disadvantages but these shall be addressed strictly to ensure the quality of the construction (Plate 1-5).

### **Selection of Material of Dike Body**

Island A is in the bay and there is no wave, therefore sand bag is recommended to be the dike material..



**Plate 1-4.      Rock Dike**



**Plate 1-5.      Sand Bag Dike**



### 1.3.2.6 Armor Structure

Design of armor shall be based on different wave height to choose the appropriate armor structure. Common armor structures are natural stone armor, grid plate armor, shaped block armor, etc.

#### ***Artificial block armor***

The characteristics of artificial blocks are fixed effect between blocks which can make the armor layer have good integrity, the wave elimination capacity and the stability are good, the construction is convenient (Plate 1-6). At present, common types of artificial blocks are hollow block with four supporting feet, dolosses, accropodes, etc.



**Plate 1-6. Artificial Block Armor**

#### ***Sheet shape artificial block armor***

The primary sheet shaped artificial block is concrete grid plate (Plate 1-7). The advantages of grid plate structure are that the members are easy to be prefabricated and the visual impact is good. Grid plate structure is usually thin and is applied to regions where the water is shallow and the waves are small. When the wave height is higher than 4m, grid plate structure is not appropriate.



**Plate 1-7. Grid Plate**

#### ***Natural Stone Armor***

Natural stone armor is widely used in sloping structure (Plate 1-8). Using natural stone armor can make full use of the advantages such as local materials using, short transport distance, convenient and fast construction,



easy future repairing, low cost, good capacity to adapt settlement, etc. Natural stone armor is applied to regions where the waves are relatively small.



**Plate 1-8. Natural Stone Armor**

### **Selection of Armor Structures**

**Island A** is inside the bay and is not affected by the wave, natural stone armor is recommended.

#### **1.3.3 Resources**

*Alternative sources of power, water, raw materials and other resources needed including factors significant to the selection such as supply sustainability and climate change projections*

The “raw materials” needed for reclamation are the fill materials and rocks. There will be no wastes or recycle streams when using these raw materials.

### **Fill Materials**

#### **General Specifications for the Fill Materials (Preliminary)**

- All materials used for fill shall be free of rock boulders, wood, scrap materials, and refuse.
- These should not have high organic content.
- Not more than 10 percent (10%) by weight shall pass the No. 200 sieve (75 microns). Maximum particle size shall not exceed to 100 mm diameter.
- Maximum particle size shall not exceed 75 mm.
- Shall be capable of being compacted in the manner and to the density of not less than 95 %.
- Shall have a plasticity index of not more than 6 as determined by AASHTO T 90.
- Shall have a soaked CBR value of not less than 25 % as determined by AASHTO T 193.

### **Estimated Requirements**

Total volume of fill material for the entire reclamation area is approximately 124.92 Million m<sup>3</sup> of which 21.95 million m<sup>3</sup> is the requirement for Island A.



With respect to the potential sources following are the options identified at this time:

- **San Nicholas Shoal (SNS)**
  - Since materials also coming from Manila Bay characteristics relatively similar to the seabed at project site, minimizing introduction of foreign materials.
  - Closest to project site
  - Cost considerations
  - Dredging at SNS already covered by an ECC in the name of the Philippine Reclamation Authority (PRA).

Additional alternatives to be considered are:

- **River Dredging**
  - Pampanga
  - Zambales
  - Batangas

Supply sustainability will not be an issue since this will be contracted out prior to the start of the reclamation works. Prior to any acceptance of the fill materials, quality specification shall be thoroughly examined to quality for the standard requirements.

#### **General Specifications for Rocks (Preliminary)**

- Rocks should be angular, hard, durable and not likely to disintegrate in seawater,
- Minimum unit weight is 2,650 kg/cu.m. on dry basis
- Rocks of the primary cover layer should be sound durable and hard and should be free from laminations, weak cleavages and undesirable weathering.
- Following test designations should be complied with  
Apparent Specific Gravity ASTM C-127  
Abrasion ASTM C-131

The various options are to be evaluated and the appropriate selection will also depend partly on:

- (a) The requirements based on the final engineering works;
- (b) Cost;
- (c) Transport consideration; and
- (d) Permitting/clearances requirements.

#### **1.3.4 Power and Water Supply**

**Power-** During the dredging/reclamation works, electrical power that will be required by sea craft and auxiliary equipment (e.g. pumps) will be sourced on-board these sea vessels.

During soil consolidation, which may take approximately 1 to 2 years, the minimal power requirements of the maintenance crew and for lighting on the reclaimed land will be sourced through MERALCO.

**Water-** Water supply by the vessel/barge crews will also be on board. No underground water extraction. Internal sourcing by individual contractors or water can be tapped from the designated concessionaire. The reclamation works are “dry” in nature.





### 1.3.5 Hazard Identification and Consideration During the Design Process

Table 1-6 presents the hazard identification, assessment and consideration and measures during the site selection process.

**Table 1-6 Hazard Identification, Assessment and Measures**

Identified Hazard	Assessment	Measures
<b>Geologic Hazard</b>		
<b>Seismic Hazard</b> <i>Ground Shaking/Acceleration</i> <i>Ground Rupture</i> <i>Differential Settlement</i> <i>Liquefaction</i> <i>Tsunami</i> <i>Landslide</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The area investigated is prone to ground shaking hazards due to the presence of several earthquake generators in the region.</li> <li>• Reclaimed lands in general, are considered prone to liquefaction.</li> <li>• Safe with regards to ground rupture; may be affected by strong ground shaking; highly susceptible to liquefaction; and prone to tsunami as it is within the tsunami inundation zone. The nearest active fault to the project site is the WVF, which is approximately 19.1km to the east.</li> <li>• The project site may be affected by strong ground shaking</li> <li>• Buffer zone at least 5m on both sides of a fault trace or from the edge of deformation zone. This hazard is seemingly absent in the project area since the nearest active fault, the West Valley Fault, is about 8.9 kilometers to the east.</li> <li>• The proposed reclamation project will undergo backfilling and is considered to be highly susceptible to this hazard</li> <li>• The proposed project being a reclamation area located along the shoreline of the Manila Bay/Bacoor Bay is inherently susceptible to liquefaction</li> <li>• Susceptible to this hazard due to the presence of an active subduction zone – Manila Trench located west of the area and other active faults and or earthquake generators</li> <li>• The project site is not susceptible to earthquake-triggered landslides. Due to the generally flat topography in the municipalities of Noveleta and Rosario, the earthquake-induced landslide risk is relatively low for the most part</li> </ul>	Engineering Intervention
<b>Mass Movement</b> <i>Landslide</i> <i>Settlement/Subsidence</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landslides can be induced by heavy rains, which add weight and lubricate the soils. The project site, which sits on a flat terrain, is not susceptible to rain-induced landslides</li> </ul>	Engineering Intervention
<b>Volcanic Hazards</b>		
<i>Ash Fall</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Probably the greatest threat to Cavite is Taal Volcano in Tagaytay but is unlikely to cause major problems. It is about 56 aerial kilometers to the southeast of the project site</li> </ul>	Engineering Intervention
<b>Hydrologic Hazards</b>		
<i>Flooding</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The project area falls within the delineated areas with high susceptibility to flooding. Considering that it is low-lying and has a flat terrain, the project site</li> </ul>	Engineering Intervention





**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

	could experience localized flooding especially if the drainage systems are inadequate.	
<b>Coastal Hazards</b>		
<i>Storm Surges / Seiches / Storm Waves / Tsunami</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The reclaimed land will be in front of the existing coastline and therefore the reclaimed land will form the new sea front. This makes it most vulnerable to storm surge and flooding from the sea.</li> <li>The proposed reclamation project may potentially shelter the existing coastal areas from direct impact from storm surges (wave impact). However, the existing coastline is also sheltered by the CAVITEX. The platform level will be above the 100-year RP water level with consideration of sea level rise and/or subsidence. PRA requires a level of minimum +4m MLLW.</li> </ul>	<p>Engineering Intervention and preparation of Tsunami Contingency Plan.</p> <p>To prevent flooding engineering measures will be implemented in project and the sea front will be designed so little flood risk are present.</p> <p>The project will serve as the permanent protection and shield to coastal barangays against coastal hazard.</p>

Detailed discussion is provided in Engineering Geologic and Geohazard Assessment Report (EGGAR) is attached in **Annex 2.1-C**.

**1.3.6 Summary and Discussion of comparison of environmental impacts of each alternative for process/technology selection and resource utilization is presented in Table 1-7 to Table 1-7c.**

**Table 1-7 Summary of Comparison for Dredging Equipment**

	<b>Technology Option</b>	<b>Efficiency/Key Features</b>	<b>Advantage</b>	<b>Disadvantage</b>	<b>Impact to the Environment</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Dredging Equipment</b>				
	Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger (TSHD)	Sucks the dredged soil through the Drag Head on the fleet of suction pipe. After loading the dredged soil to the Hopper and arriving at the reclamation site, the gate bar opens and the soil is loaded or conveyed by a pipe. It can hold the Hopper itself, can be separately transported, and is very advantageous for long distance destination. Transport more water when it dredges some soil such as clay, and other similar types of soil.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not well applied for the area with low water level like the condition of the project area</li> <li>Can work safely effectively, economically in rough and open waters</li> <li>Does not interfere with or obstruct traffic</li> <li>Ability to transport material over long sailing distances. Dredged material is discharged commonly via pipeline for land reclamation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Its deep draft precludes use in shallow waters</li> <li>Cannot dredge continuously</li> <li>Economic load is reduced when dredging a contaminate sediments</li> <li>Difficulty dredging side banks</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increases turbidity</li> <li>Resuspension of sediments</li> <li>Decreases in Dissolved Oxygen</li> </ul>
	Cutter Suction Dredger	The dredging system is executed by lowering the ladder into the dredging area, and as the ladder hit the target dredging area, the cutter attached to the bottom of the ladder is activated and operated. The soil or sand dredged by the equipment and the water are then extracted simultaneously by the pump	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use in new work and maintenance projects and capable of excavating most types of materials and pumping it through pipelines for long distances to upland disposal sites</li> <li>Operates on an almost continuous dredging</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operation problems with areas with high waves</li> <li>The pipeline from cutterhead can cause navigation problems in small, busy waterways and harbors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increases turbidity is much lesser</li> <li>Resuspension of sediments</li> <li>Decreases in Dissolved Oxygen</li> </ul>



**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

		and transported and delivered to the identified area using an extension pipe as conveyor	<p>cycle resulting to economic and maximum economy and efficiency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to dredge rocklike formation</li> <li>• Dredging takes place while the vessel is moored by means of spuds and/or anchors and combines cutting action with suction. Dredged material is discharged into barges or more commonly pumped via a pipeline for land reclamation. The maximum distance of pipeline operation should be 3 km from the shoreline</li> </ul>		
	Barge Loading Dredger	Conveying the dredged soil using a pipe, when the conveying distance is more than 15 km and when the higher dredging capacity is necessary. Dredging capacity can be increased by increasing the capacity of the pump. However, efficiency of barge loading significantly decreases when the dredged soil mainly composed of mud.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Capability of dredging a level bottom topography;</li> <li>• Ability to work in narrow or restricted areas;</li> <li>• Versatility in handling a wide range of sediments; and</li> <li>• Side loaded barges are generally filled with a high solids-to-water ratio</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited dredging depth</li> <li>• Obstruction to navigation routes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increases turbidity</li> <li>• Resuspension of sediments</li> <li>• Decreases in Dissolved Oxygen</li> </ul>
	Grab Dredger	Suitable for small places, small scale dredging, deep places, and primary excavation. Conveying process during dredging is usually done by a dredging barge and the dredging barge is towed to the area by a tugboat.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Capabilities in blasted rock and compact materials are less</li> <li>• Density of materials excavated is about the same as the in-place density of the bottom materials, therefore increases the efficiency of operation in the transportation of materials from the dredging to the disposal area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Barge is brought along side and moored into place by winches and cables</li> <li>• Dredge begins digging and placing the materials into the moored barge</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High turbidity</li> <li>• Resuspension of sediments</li> <li>• Decreases in Dissolved Oxygen</li> </ul>

**Selection of Dredging Equipment**

**Cutter Suction Dredger** as of now is selected because pipes can be used for reclamation work, which has less impact to the environment. Considering such factors as long conveying distance from the source, large scale dredging volume, and other economic issues, large dredger should be used. Depending on the availability, the proponent may opt to utilize variable equipment in order to meet the desired timetable.



**Table 1-7a Summary of Comparison for Ground Improvement Under Dike**

<b>Ground Improvement Under Dike</b>						
	<b>General Standards</b>	<b>Design Loads</b>	<b>Criteria</b>			
<b>Dredging and Consolidation</b>	(a) Return period for tide protection of the dike is 100 year (b) Standard of wave protection is 100 years (c) Control standard of overtopping shall be $\leq 0.05 \text{ m3/(s.m)}$	(a) Load in land formation area: hydraulic sand fill, the bulk density is 16~20kN/m <sup>3</sup> (b) Load during construction of dike: the uniform load on the top of the dike is vehicles during construction, the load is assumed to be smaller than 10kPa	<b>Construction Process</b>	<b>Construction Cost</b>	<b>Duration</b>	<b>Environmental Impact</b>
			The method is to drain the water out of the soft soil and make the soft soil layer consolidated and then to construct the upper dike structure. The construction method is convenient and reliable.	Low Construction Cost	The construction time of this method is relatively long due to its process.	Low in silt return and volume of dredge materials
<b>Silt Displacement Method</b>			The method focuses on the surface soft soil or the soil layer with soft soil inter-layers. This method eliminates the surface soft soil layers and use ship to fill sand or stone back to the dredged trench. The slope of dredged trench is usually 1:3~1:6. The trench shall be re-filled after being dredged immediately. The construction of this method is convenient, but there are many working procedures.	High Construction Cost	The construction time of this method is long due to many working procedure	High in Silt Return and two times silt dredging which results to large volume of dredge materials
<b>Scheme Comparison</b>  If silt displacement method is used in this project, the dredging section is large which will results to high construction cost, volume of silt disposal that shall be disposed is large and high influence on the environment due to silt returns and large volume of dredge materials to be disposed while drainage and consolidation method is relatively reasonable due to uncomplicated construction process, low construction cost and low impact on the environment.						



**Table 1-7b Summary of Comparison for Structure Type of Dike**

Structure Type of Dike							
	General Standards	Design Loads	Criteria				
Vertical Gravity Dike	(a) Return period for tide protection of the dike is 100 year (b) Standard of wave protection is 100 years (c) Control standard of overtopping shall be ≤ 0.05 m3/ (s.m)	(a) Load in land formation area: hydraulic sand fill, the bulk density is 16~20kN/m3 (b) Load during construction of dike: the uniform load on the top of the dike is vehicles during construction, the load is assumed to be smaller than 10kPa	Construction Process	Wave Elimination	Soil Foundation Stability	Construction Cost	Structural Stability
			The inside and the outside of the section are all vertical or approximately vertical walls. This structure generally consists of the riprap foundation bed and the wall. The waves are always reflected in front of the wall. <u>The construction process is convenient but not appropriate to project site since the water depth is not deep.</u> The major advantage of the gravity structure is that when the water depths is relatively deep, the consumption of building materials of this structure is lower than the one of the sloping structures.	Poor wave elimination When the depth of water in front of the revetment is smaller than the critical depth of water, the width of the revetment needs to be increased because of the pressure of breaking waves, which leads to the increasing of construction cost.	Since the foundation stress is relatively high, this structure is sensitive to differential settlement and therefore some reinforcement measures for the foundation are required when it is built on soft foundation.	High cost due to the site location of the project	Good
Vertical Pile Foundation Dike			consists of piles or sheet piles which are made up of reinforced concrete. <u>The construction is convenient and fast but the structure integrity is relatively not good.</u>	Poor wave elimination since this structure is adoptable to project site with low waves	Owing to the limitation of size and bearing capacity of the piles, this structure can only be adopted to the condition that the water is not deep and the horizontal load is low.	Low cost	Relatively not good, due to limitation of size and bearing capacity of the piles
Sloping Dike			The characteristics of the sloping dike are as follows: this structure is built up with excavated natural stones or artificial blocks such as concrete blocks or bagged sand; the section is trapezoidal; and when the waves occur, most of the wave energy will be absorbed or eliminated on the slope. <u>The construction is convenient and the sloping structure is simple and applicable to different type of foundation.</u>	Good wave elimination due to the trapezoidal shape of its section. It has a good capacity of wave and wind resistance.	This sloping structure is suitable to any type of foundation and the stability is good.	Low cost	Good
Scheme Comparison							
Considering that the wave is big and there are various thicknesses of soft soil layer in the project area, vertical gravity dike or vertical pile foundation dike is inappropriate and sloping dike is recommended							





**Table 1-7c Summary of Comparison for Material of Sloping Dike**

<b>Material of Sloping Dike</b>			
	<b>Criteria</b>		
	<b>Construction Process</b>	<b>Wave Elimination</b>	<b>Stability</b>
<b>Dike Body</b>			
Sand Bag and Rock	The advantage of using sand bag for slopping dike structure is that the construction is convenient and quick.	<b>Good wave elimination capacity and wind resistance</b>	Good stability, good integrity of the dike body and durable.
<b>Armor Structure</b>			
Artificial Block Armor	The characteristics of artificial blocks are fixed effect between blocks which can make the construction convenient.	<b>Good wave elimination capacity</b>	Good Stability
Sheet shape artificial block armor	The primary sheet shaped artificial block is concrete grid plate. The advantages of grid plate structure are that the members are easy to be prefabricated and the visual impact is good.	<b>Poor wave elimination</b> since natural stone armor is usually applied where the waves are relatively small.	Good Stability
Natural Stone Armor	Natural stone armor is widely used in sloping structure because its construction is fast and convenient.	<b>Poor wave elimination</b> since natural stone armor is usually applied where the waves are relatively small.	Good Stability and the capacity to adapt to settlement
<b>Scheme Comparison</b>  <b>The wave is high in island B, C, D and E. Accropode is recommended to be used as the armor structure for the dikes that have a direct contact on waves. For the southeast dikes of these four islands which do not face the wave directly, concrete grid plate is recommended to be used as the armor structure. Island A is inside the bay and is not affected by the wave, natural stone armor is recommended.</b>			

### 1.3.7 Discussion of the consequences of not proceeding with the project on a “No project option”

Under this scenario:

- The Province of Cavite will lose the opportunity to have developed land at no cost. It will therefore have to find land onshore and pay from its financial resources.
- Taxes to be paid during the reclamation works will be denied the Province of Cavite.
- The province will lose the opportunity of business and economic growth expansion for commercial, industrial, residential and government facilities resulting to backlog of housing, employment, congestion in other cities and limited public services to the community.
- Permanent protection and shield against storm surge and tsunami to coastal barangays will be gone, thus, exposure to coastal hazards will continue to experience.

### 1.4 Project Components

The Master Plan will undergo iterative process prior to finalization. Among the decision parameters are: (a) project cost (b) timetable (c) market considerations (d) long term vision of the Province and (e) environmental considerations.

The Final Master Development Plan will cover a long-term period of at least twenty-five (25) years noting that it may take long period before the reclaimed land is fully utilized by the prospective locators.

The components herein listed in Table 1-8 are those associated with the various activities during the dredging/reclamation and horizontal development works only and Plate 1-1 shows the artist conceptual development plan.



**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

**Table 1-8 Project Components**

Facilities	Project Components		
	No. of units	Area (sq.m)/ Capacity	Specification/ Description/ Remarks
Project Area	247.28 hectares		
No. of Island	One (1) Island		
Volume of Earth Fill	21.95 Million cubic meters		
Finished Platform Elevation	+ 4.50 meters above MLLW		
Dredging Equipment	Cutter Suction Dredger		
Reclamation Method	Hydraulic Sand Filling Method		
Design of Containment System (Ground Improvement under Dike)	Drainage and consolidation method		
Structure Type and Materials of Dike	Sloping Dike using Sand Bags		
Armor Structure	Natural Stone Armor		
Soil Stabilization	Vertical Drains Plus Surcharge		
MAJOR COMPONENT			
Containment Wall System/Structures in a form of Sloping Dikes	1	6,601 linear meters	Recommended to use sand bag as the material for the dikes it is inside the bay and is not affected by the wave
Reclamation Method using Hydraulic Sand Fill Method	1	21.95 Million Cubic Meters	Cutter Suction Dredger shall be used for dredging activity which can be used both for dredging and reclamation using appropriate filling materials from valid source with permit.
Commercial Area	1 lot	25.51 Hectares	Commercial, high density superblocks and superblocks
Mixed-used Development Area	6 lots	130.30 Hectares	This is a combined and balance of mainly office/business or mainly residential
Open Space and Parks	1 lot	7.91 Hectares	Area allocated for vast open areas including open spaces (parks), rotundas (place identifiers)
Road Connectivity Road-Right-of-Way (RROW)	1 lot	30.31 Hectares	a. Principal Main Road, collects all traffic from the development areas and convey them to the existing major roads and vice versa. b. Secondary roads - serves traffic from proposed development areas along the routes while at the same time collecting traffic from tertiary roads. c. Tertiary Roads - serves traffic from pocket development areas and convey them to secondary or even to the primary main road
Utility/Transportation Area	1 lot	53.25 Hectares	This is allotted for the transportation and utilities of the project.
SUPPORTING FACILITIES AND UTILITIES			
Admin Support (Site Office, and Barracks/ Quarters etc.)	1	100 square meters (Temporary)	The project shall be provided with admin and barracks for use of office and site personnel.




**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Water Supply System	-	20.0 cu.m/day	Water supply by the vessel/barge crews will also be onboard. Mobile water tanks most likely to be used by contractors. No underground water extraction. The proposed development will be provided with adequate water supply system which will be connected to the existing water supply network of Maynilad, specifically to the existing 900 mm diameter water pipeline running along the Manila Cavite Coastal Road
Electrical Supply System	-	20.0 cu.m/day	<p>During the dredging/reclamation works, electrical power that will be required by sea craft and auxiliary equipment (e.g. pumps) will be sourced on-board these sea vessels.</p> <p>During soil consolidation, which may take approximately 1 to 2 years, the minimal power requirements of the maintenance crew and for lighting on the reclaimed land will be sourced through MERALCO.</p> <p>The power source is located along the Manila Cavite City Road while substations will be constructed in each island. Separate tapping or connection points were proposed should there will be power interruption in one section. Power emergency system was also considered in the study.</p>
Drainage System	NA	Underground RCP	Properly designed surface run-off thru construction of drainage system to divert to the settling pond
<b>POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITIES</b>			
Silt Curtain	1	6,601 linear meters	Silt curtain shall be installed along the perimeter of the area in order to contain potential dispersion of silt materials and turbidity
Cofferdam	1	500,000 cum (Estimate Only)	Cofferdam should be set around the reclaimed area before the land is reclaimed. To reserve enough settling time for the mud inside, and to guarantee the concentration of suspended substances in overflow water, non-woven geotextile should be set
Solid Waste Management Facility (MRF)	1	100	MRF shall be provided which shall form part of the utility area.
Toxic and Hazardous Waste Facility	1	100	Toxic and Hazardous Waste Facility area shall be provided which shall form part of the utility area.
Domestic Wastewater Management Facility	NA	NA	For future locators, an individual treatment facility shall be strictly enforced by the city for treatment of their own wastes.
Buffer Zone	1	NA	Buffer zone shall be observed at least 200 meters along the coastline. LPPCHEA is located 6.24 km northeast of the proposed site.

**Preliminary Master Development Plan is presented in Figure 1-10**



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020


Figure 1-10. Preliminary Master Development Plan





A color-coded image of the Plan viewed from West facing East is shown in **Plate 1-9**.


**Plate 1-9**      An artist's conceptual image of the initial master development plan





## 1.5 Process/Technology

a. The process flow chart involving the reclamation activity is presented in Figure 1-11.



**Figure 1-11 Process Flow Diagram for Reclamation Projects**



Referring to the above, the major activities or aspects of the reclamation works are described below.

### 1.5.1 Site Survey

Establishing of boundaries of the property is essential in the reclamation project so as not to encroach the boundaries of coastal waters.

### Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes to be generated by the workers during setting of temporary facilities, barracks, among others.

-  Use of portable toilets or portalets for construction workers
-  Provision for temporary treatment facility such as portable septic purifying tanks



- ✚ Segregation and disposal through a disposal entity or the LGU solid waste management unit in compliance to RA 9003.
- ✚ Segregation, proper labeling and temporary storage of hazardous wastes

### **1.5.2 Removal of Unwanted Solid wastes/Scraps/Debris at the Site**

Clearing of the site of debris, scraps, plastic wastes and silts shall be done at the site as part of the preparation process. The solid wastes at the seabed (e.g. plastics, metallic scraps, etc.) will be collected and disposed onshore through a third-party disposal entity.

Also, to be cleared are silts, which have accumulated with wastes discharged with storm water onshore. These wastes will most likely be disposed outside of the reclamation site in an approved dumpsite onshore.

### **Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

During removal of unwanted solids, it is expected that domestic solid, liquid, hazardous wastes and other wastes to be generated including disturbance of the area such as marine and existing fish lifts structures

- ✚ Coordinate with LGU for proper disposal
- ✚ Transfer/scrape net marine lives
- ✚ Relocation/transfer of lift nets or fish lifts

### **1.5.3 Construction of Environmental Protection**

#### **1.5.3.1 Placement of containment boom and silt curtains Area**

By its term, these are literally curtain that serve as physical barriers for the migration of silt to the water body by containing them within the contained or curtained area. These are made of geotextile materials placed around portions of the reclamation work area wherein fugitive dredged materials/silts may be generated. Silt curtains are a common and well-established method for containing and minimizing sediment plume spread, and when properly deployed, are an effective measure for mitigating adverse impacts due to release and transport of suspended solids.

Silt Curtains shall be installed around civil works in or adjacent to waterways to control the migration of suspended silt and sediment into the waterway. Turbidity curtains should be placed parallel to the direction of flow of a moving body of water to mitigate the load and strain on the system.

The two layered (inner and outer layer separated at 30 meters) containment boom and silt curtain will utilize fine mesh sized material to filter fine and very fine sands to prevent transport across the block boundary. The containment boom and silt curtain will control suspended solids and turbidity in the water column generated by dredging and unloading of the dredged materials. Type II silt and turbidity curtain and containment boom will be installed at the unloading site where the water swell is up to 36 inches. The Type III silt and turbidity curtains will be used at the dredging site to keep turbidity and silt contained. This type of material is built specifically for moving water in conditions where there are rough waves, fast moving waters or harsh tidal conditions (*cited in the EIS for SNS Project - [www.erosionpollution.com](http://www.erosionpollution.com). Erosion Control and Water Pollution Prevention Products*).

The curtain extends to the bottom of the seawater so as to trap the heavier particles, which may tend to settle down the water column.

Plate 1-10 illustrates a typical installation of silt curtains.

Plate 1-11 illustrates a typical cross section of a silt curtain.



### Locations of the Silt Curtains


Inasmuch as the function of the silt curtains is to prevent dispersal of silts from dredging and filling activities these are to be located to enclose the active work areas and thus the locations are variable. The silt curtain shall be installed around civil works in or adjacent to waterways to control the migration of suspended silt and sediment into the waterways.

**Plate 1-10. Typical Silt Curtain Installation**



Source: Terrafix Geosynthetics Inc. Silt Curtains. Retrieved from <http://terrafixgeo.com/products/silt-curtains/>

**Plate 1-11. Cross Sectional View of a Typical Silt Curtain**



### Maintenance and Care

The maintenance of a silt curtain most commonly involves cleaning and the replacement of sections of the screen and anchor lines that are worn or damaged.





### **1.5.3.2 Construction of Cofferdam**

Cofferdam should be set around the reclaimed area before the land is reclaimed. To reserve enough settling time for the mud inside, and to guarantee the concentration of suspended substances in overflow water, non-woven geotextile should be set.

### **1.5.4 Dredging of Unwanted seabed materials and seabed**

The work area will be dredged beyond the soft/clayey layer to allow the fill materials to occupy a large volume of the seabed, thus further ensuring integrity of the land to be created using Cutter Suction Dredger equipment. This basically removal of silt, debris, sediment and/or rock from the seabed or bottom of the water body derived from the result of the borehole.

A geotechnical investigation comprising three boreholes (BH-22, BH-24 and BH-27) to 30m below the seabed (total of 450m) was carried out in October 19 to November 16, 2017 by A. M. Geoconsult and Associates (AMGA), in the proposed project site. All boreholes were drilled within the vicinity of the property boundaries to establish the existing geotechnical conditions at the site.

47 Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) was performed at 1.5 m interval and core samples are taken when hard strata or rock material is encountered. Undisturbed Sampling was also done on selected boreholes, aimed at acquiring very soft soil. 47 particle size analysis, 18 hydrometer analysis, 47 natural moisture content, 47 Atterberg Limits, 47 Unified Soil Classification System, and 47 specific gravity of soil were tested.

Based on these boreholes, the site subsurface generally consists of an upper 0m to 4.5m thick layer of very soft to soft silts and clays and very loose to loose sands (N-value < 10). It is underlain by about 9m-13.5m thick layers of stiff to very stiff silts and clays and medium dense sands. These are all underlain by the competent strata consisting of dense to very dense sands and hard clays encountered at depths from 9m to 15m.

The designation of this disposal site is subject to approval/permits from government entities i.e. the Philippine Coast Guard and the Province of Cavite.

Buffer zone shall be observed from the edge of the landform about 200 meters from the coastline and 6.24 km northeast from LPPCHEA.

### **Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

The use dredging equipment to dredge the unwanted seabed materials will result to disturbance of marine ecosystem, increase in turbidity resulting to silt dispersal, hazardous waste emission coming from the vessel or machine and the generation of domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes from vessel and equipment operators.

- ✚ Provision for Silt curtain
- ✚ Implement Bilge Water Management
- ✚ Compliance with MARPOL 73/78
- ✚ For Sea-based Operations- These are generally garbage from the crew and are segregated onboard, placed in bins and disposed onshore.
- ✚ No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea
- ✚ Compliance to Sections of the Philippine Clear Air Act, R.A. 8749
- ✚ Equipment shall be provided with filter media



### **1.5.5 Reclamation Activity and Method**

The reclamation activity includes construction of dikes with total length of 6,601 meters and construction of landforms with total fill volume 21.95 Million cubic meters sea sand land formation materials using Hydraulic Sand Fill Method.

#### **1.5.5.1 Construction of Dikes**

There is only one (1) types of dikes to be constructed for Island A identified as Dike I which is the Sand bag dike + rock armor + wick drains (Plate 1-12), Figure 1-12 shows the location of Dike I for Island A. The construction process for each type as enumerated as follows, *source: Cavite Reclamation Project Feasibility Study, THEIDI Construction Corporation, Version March 20, 2018.*

#### **Sand bag dike + Rock Armor + Wick Drains**

Island A dike has a total length of 6,601 meters made of sand bag dike + rock armor + wick drain since it is located inside a cove.

##### *Dike Ground Improvement*

The natural ground surface elevation is -1.5~3.0m and thickness of soft soil is 6~12m in island A. Dike ground improvement method is to lay the medium coarse sand bag cushion first, and then the construction of C type wick drains on water. The wick drains are arranged into square with spacing of 1m and shall be constructed through the soft soil and into the hard soil no less than 1m.

##### *Dike Structure*

The dike material is sand bags. The elevation of the crest is 5.0m. Considering 2-way traffic of construction vehicles, the width of the crest is 8.3m. 50cm-thick Clay-bound macadam is paved on the crest with 50cmthick hill-skill soil below, and C40 reinforced concrete wave wall is constructed on the sea side of the crest, with the top wall elevation of 5.5m. The elevation of the crest and wall top is based on the wave data. One-stage platform is set up on the outside slope, with the elevation of 2.0m and the length of 13.5m. The length of the platform is based on the slope stability, and the outside slope gradients are all 1:2. Without the effect of waves, simple armor structure is set up on the outside slope, which is 400g/m<sup>2</sup> geotextile, 500mm-thick bags of crushed aggregates, 500mm-thick 30~70kg rock cushion, and 800mm-thick 100~200kg rock armor, respectively, from inside to outside. The toe protection of outside slope is 100~200kg stone, with width of 9m and thickness of 2m, and the stability weight is based on the bottom velocity of wave in front of the dike. No platform is set up in inside slope, and the inside slope gradients are all 1:1.5. For the inside slope, and the armor structure is 400g/m<sup>2</sup> geotextile and 500mm-thick sand bags, respectively, from inside to outside. The toe protection of inside slope is sand bags with width of 3~5m and thickness of 2m. Plate 1-12 shows the dike structure for Island A.



# CHAPTER ONE Project Description Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government





Plate 1-12 Sand bag dike + rock armor + wick drains







#### **1.5.5.2 Construction of Landform using Hydraulic Sand Fill Method**

The land form shall be developed through filling with sand to be sourced from the dredging of an estimated volume of approximately **21.95 million cubic meters** of fill materials from a reliable and feasible sand source. The reclaimed land of 205.00 hectares will have a finished platform elevation of +4.5 meters above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW). The activity is presented below:

- (1) The land reclaimed layer by layer. Every layer shall be 2m~3m thick. There shall be a certain consolidation time after every layer of land formation then the upper land formation can be carried out. The land formation material is sand, drainage and land formation can be carried out synchronously.
- (2) The pipes are set up in the land formation area and the disturbance from each other shall be avoided.
- (3) Maintenance and inspection for pipelines shall be strengthened during construction to avoid leakage and destruction of the pipeline. The location of hydraulic fill shall be adjusted appropriately according to the land formation condition.
- (4) When it is hydraulic filled to the design elevation, the elevation of layout shall be controlled. Hydraulic filling and pipeline moving shall be carried out synchronously. The higher shall be eliminated while the lower shall be filled.

#### **Transport of the Dredging Vessel to/from Source of Fill Materials.**

Dredge-fill materials abound in the PRA offshore burrow area at the San Nicolas Shoal (SNS), which reportedly has a vast deposit and which replenishes on its own through time. Shown in **Figure 1-13** is the location of the SNS relative to the project is about 17.3 KM. As may be needed, alternate sources to the SNS will be studied; these include the river dredging deposit in Pampanga, Zambales and Batangas, which can be transported to the proposed site by barges.

#### **Securing/Sourcing of the Burrows/Fill Materials**

The initially identified burrow area for this project is the SNS. The quarrying in SNS for the fill materials needed for the Project is covered by an EIS Report and an ECC application by the PRA. The environmental concerns and mitigation and legal responsibilities therefore fall on the PRA. When the reclamation contractor undertakes dredging at the SNS, it will have to observe the rules of PRA in respect of environmental concerns. The Project recognizes the environmental concerns at the SNS and **commits to faithfully comply with the requirements of the PRA**. As one requirement to secure permit from the PRA, the Contractor will need to submit an Environmental Protection and Enhancement Plan (EPEP) approved by the MGB. The ensuing discussions are derived from the EIS Report for the SNS Quarry Project, for which the securing of said information was cleared with the PRA.

#### **Basic Information on the SNS:**

The following basic information relates to the Reclamation Project.

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>PRA Seabed Quarry Project</b>
<b>Location:</b>	San Nicolas Shoal (SNS) along coastal towns and the offshore areas of the barangays of Ternate, Naic, Tanza and Rosario of Cavite
<b>Type of Project:</b>	Offshore Quarrying Project
<b>Project Size:</b>	20,000 hectares



The expressed intention by PRA for the SNS Quarry Project is to provide the various reclamation projects in Manila Bay with most suitable fill materials.

The water environment is the most important component of the resources. The EIS Report for the SNS stated the absence of major marine species and that there are essentially no coral covers except for approximately 2-4% coral cover for the Municipality of Ternate while the rest of the quarry area has no coral community.

### **Volume of SNS Reserves and Volume of Fill Materials Required for the Project**

Based on the PRA EIS Report, the volume of reserve at the SNS totals to **2,009,336,597 m<sup>3</sup>**. It may therefore be concluded that the Project can well be provided by SNS with the required fill materials (of approximately **100.33 M m<sup>3</sup> total for Island A and the other three Islands**).

Is considerably much lesser than the estimated volume of reserves of the SNS shown in Table 1-9 below (Reference: EIS of the "PRA Seabed Quarry Project").

**Table 1-9 Volume of Reserve Per Area**

Area	Size of Area (Hectares)	Volume Reserve (Cubic Meters)
1	4,393.60	337,266,377
2	5,606.40	849,399,596
3	10,000	822,670,624
<b>Total Reserve</b>		<b>2,009,336,597</b>

### **Heavy Metal Content of the SNS Sands**

Any and all materials that will be introduced to the reclamation area will be subject to pre-screening to ensure that the reclamation site will not be contaminated with undesirable elements or substances. It is notable that based on the information for SNS fills shown hereunder, Table 1-10 the metallic elements are present in minimal concentrations.

**Table 1-10. Concentrations of Selected Heavy Metals in the PRA GSQP**

Sample	Cr, mg/Kg	Cd, mg/Kg	As, mg/Kg	Pb, mg/Kg	Hg, mg/Kg
1	4.50	4.65	36.84	9.10	<.004
2	12	6.08	75.28	10.09	<0.004
3	2	6.08	54.84	3.75	<0.004
4	1.5	5	17.92	22.28	<0.004
5	3.75	4.55	15.38	18.9	<0.004
6	4	6.53	47.76	7.73	<0.004
Dutch Intervention values	380	12	55	530	10
Dutch Target Values	100	0.8	29	85	0.3

*Soil remediation intervention values (Ref: email communications with LLDA)*

From the above table it can be deduced that:

- The SNS fills will not contaminate the reclamation project area
- There is no need for any intervention related to the quality of the fill materials.

The materials encountered in two borings conducted south of San Nicholas Shoals (between Timalan and Maragondon Pt.) in connection with other Manila Bay Land Reclamation Projects are of a rather similar character. Materials with the actual grain size distribution are prone to liquefaction if not densified by means of relevant techniques.



### **Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

The perceived relevant wastes for the proposed project are silts, which are the solid wastes from the dredging of undesired seabed materials, possible silt dispersal, emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment and domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated

- ✚ Secure dredging permit from the source of filling materials
- ✚ Adhere to the compliances of the permit acquired by the filling material source
- ✚ Implement Bilge Water Management
- ✚ Compliance with MARPOL 73/78
- ✚ Compliance with the PCG Protocol
- ✚ For Sea-based Operations- These are generally garbage from the crew and are segregated onboard, placed in bins and disposed onshore.
- ✚ No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea
- ✚ Compliance to Sections of the Philippine Clear Air Act, R.A. 8749
- ✚ Compliance to RA 9003

#### **1.5.6 Soil Stabilization**

The newly reclaimed area needs to be compacted and consolidated to a specified strength so that it can support the roads, infrastructure, utilities, and buildings. Several stabilization methods are available but the most common is the paper wick drain with surcharge method. This method can accomplish the compaction process within a year or less.

The project shall adopt the Vertical Drains Plus Surcharge. This method functions in exactly the same way as the Sand Drains Plus Surcharge Method. The only difference is that with this system, the sand drain piles are replaced with the vertical drains, which are manufactured for the purpose in the form of wicks or strips and made of non-degradable materials. The core consists of ducts where water can flow upwards and wrapped around with very porous sheeting through which water can enter the core. The wick comes in various trademarks and designs but more or less uniform in the overall dimensions. For ease in handling and installation, the wick comes in coils.

Under this method, the vertical drains have high breaking strength and reinforce the soil in tension. Various types of drains are commercially available that a specific type of drain can be chosen to be exactly consistent with the actual permeability of the soil. Equipment required to install the drain is very light and can easily be supported by the newly reclaimed land. The rate of flow within the drain is higher, thus less height of surcharge is required. From the economic viewpoint, the surcharge can be eliminated if good dredge fill materials are available. Upon completion of the reclamation, the dredge fill itself will function as the surcharge.

Trapped water could weaken the integrity of the reclaimed land and therefore should be removed. An acceptable method for removal of water is by the use of wick drains.

In order to accelerate the consolidation of the underlying strata at the platform, and hence the use of the reclaimed areas for final structures in a short period of time, it is foreseen to install vertical wick drains over the total area.

Wick Drains are artificial drainage paths consisting of central core which functions as a free-draining water channel, surround by geosynthetic filter jacket. With the drainage of water consolidation of soils is expedited and long-term settlement is limited. Typical image of wick drains is shown in Plate 1-13:



**Plate 1-13. Illustration of the Principle of Wick Drains**



Source: US Wick Drain. Wick Drain. Retrieved from <http://www.uswickdrain.com/faqs.htm>. Retrieved on July 2017

### **Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Potential impacts identified are Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment, Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated and Noise Pollution

- ✚ Installation of vertical drain pipes (wick drains)
- ✚ No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea
- ✚ Compliance R.A. 8749
- ✚ Compliance to RA 9003
- ✚ Properly maintained equipment





Figure 1-13 Relative Location of the San Nicolas SNS and Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: Island A



**b. Description of the Pollution Control Devices and Waste Management System**

Table 1-11 presents the Pollution Control Device and waste management system based on the process technology of the project.

**Table 1-11. Major Components, Pollution Control Devices in Relation to Various Project Activities**

Item No	Activity	Environmental Component	Environmental Impacts	Pollution Control Device and Waste Management System
1	Site Survey/Works/ Temporary facilities	The Land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of portable toilets or portalets for construction workers</li> <li>Provision for temporary treatment facility such as portable septic purifying tanks</li> <li>Segregation and disposal through a disposal entity or the LGU solid waste management unit in compliance to RA 9003.</li> <li>Segregation, proper labeling and temporary storage of hazardous wastes</li> </ul>
		The People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Displacement of existing fish lifts</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relocation and compensate affected fisherfolks</li> </ul>
2	Removal of Unwanted Solids/ wastes	The Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disturbance of the area Such as marine</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coordinate with LGU for proper disposal</li> <li>Transfer/scrape net marine lives</li> </ul>
3	Dredging of Sediments/Seabed	The Water, Air and People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disturbance of the area Such as marine</li> <li>Increase in Turbidity</li> <li>Silt Dispersal</li> <li>Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment</li> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision for Silt curtain</li> <li>Implement Bilge Water Management</li> <li>Compliance with MARPOL 73/78</li> <li>For Sea-based Operations- These are generally garbage from the crew and are segregated onboard, placed in bins and disposed onshore.</li> <li>No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea</li> <li>Compliance to Sections of the Philippine Clear Air Act, R.A. 8749</li> <li>Equipment shall be provided with muffler filter media.</li> </ul>
4	Disposal of unwanted seabed materials	The water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Turbidity</li> <li>Silt Dispersal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Secure permit from National and Local agencies in terms of final disposal location</li> <li>Implement Bilge Water Management</li> <li>Compliance with the PCG Protocol</li> <li>Compliance with MARPOL 73/78</li> <li>For Sea-based Operations- These are generally garbage from the crew and are</li> </ul>



**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Item No	Activity	Environmental Component	Environmental Impacts	Pollution Control Device and Waste Management System
				segregated onboard, placed in bins and disposed onshore. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea</li> <li>Segregation of solid wastes</li> <li>Compliance to Sections of the Philippine Clear Air Act, R.A. 8749</li> <li>Equipment shall be provided with muffler filter media.</li> </ul>
5	Placement of Containment Wall/Dike	The Water and People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disturbance of the area</li> <li>Increase in Turbidity</li> <li>Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment</li> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision for Silt curtain</li> <li>Compliance R.A. 8749</li> <li>Compliance to RA 9003</li> <li>Installation of wave deflectors</li> </ul>
6	Construction of Land Form	The Water, Air and People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Possible silt Dispersal</li> <li>Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment</li> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Secure dredging permit from the source of filling materials</li> <li>Adhere to the compliances of the permit acquired by the filling material source</li> <li>Implement Bilge Water Management</li> <li>Compliance with MARPOL 73/78</li> <li>Compliance with the PCG Protocol</li> <li>For Sea-based Operations- These are generally garbage from the crew and are segregated onboard, placed in bins and disposed onshore.</li> <li>No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea</li> <li>Compliance to Sections of the Philippine Clear Air Act, R.A. 8749</li> <li>Compliance to RA 9003</li> </ul>
7	Development of Land form (Island) above the sea water level	The Land, Water, Air and People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Possible Erosion</li> <li>Dust and noise Pollution</li> <li>Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment</li> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision for temporary sedimentation pond</li> <li>Immediate compaction of soil</li> <li>No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea</li> <li>Compliance R.A. 8749</li> <li>Compliance to RA 9003</li> <li>Properly maintained equipment</li> </ul>
8	Soil Stabilization	The Land, Water, Air and People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of vertical drain pipes (wick drains)</li> <li>No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea</li> </ul>



**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Item No	Activity	Environmental Component	Environmental Impacts	Pollution Control Device and Waste Management System
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> <li>Noise Pollution</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compliance R.A. 8749</li> <li>Compliance to RA 9003</li> <li>Properly maintained equipment</li> </ul>
9	Construction of Horizontal Development such as Road, Drainage and Water line system	The Land, Water, Air and People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emission of CO and NOx from dredging equipment</li> <li>Domestic solid, liquid and hazardous wastes generated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No disposal of liquid and solid wastes at the sea</li> <li>Compliance R.A. 8749</li> <li>Compliance to RA 9003</li> </ul>
3		Noise Pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The mechanical noise caused by the operation of machines (such as hammers, bulldozers and concrete mixers, etc.).</li> <li>The traffic noise caused by transport vehicles and ships.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction and operation time should be scheduled well and strengthen the inspection of the site, equipment with high noise should be limited working time to reduce the impact to the sensitive surrounding environment.</li> <li>Choose the construction machines and trucks with low noise and vibration priority. Enhance the maintenance of them to make work smoothly.</li> <li>The machines and trucks should be scheduled well and the traffic should be guided on time. Strictly control the whistles of the trucks and ships to reduce the traffic noise</li> </ul>





### **Other Wastes**

The perceived relevant wastes for the proposed project are silts. Silts are the solid wastes from the dredging of undesired seabed materials. Silt curtains will be used as waste management facility to contain the dispersal of these materials. These are discussed in the section on Reclamation Methodology.

The proponent shall strictly comply with Requirements and Standards of the Philippine Coast Guard for Vessels such as:

- **MC No. 01-2005 Revised Rules on Prevention, Containment, Abatement and Control of Oil Marine Pollution.** The purpose of this Memorandum Circular is to provide implementing guidelines pursuant to the above-mentioned authorities as rationalized in accordance with the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, MARPOL 73/78;
- **MC No. 07-2005 Prevention of Pollution by Sewage from Ships Discharge of Sewage.** The purpose of this Memorandum Circular is to provide implementing rules to prevent pollution by sewage from ships;
- **MC No. 01-2006 Rules Prohibiting the Dumping and Discharging of Wastes and Other Harmful Matters.** To prescribe the procedures and policies for the proper dumping of wastes and other harmful matters into Philippine waters in order to prevent pollution which may create hazards to human health, marine life and other resources, damage amenities or interfere with other legitimate uses of the sea.

**Annex 1-D** attached are MC No. 01-2005, MC 07-2005 and MC No. 01-2006

#### **b. Operation and Maintenance of the Facility**

During the reclamation activity, all equipment, vessel and machine shall be maintained on a regular basis depending on the specification of each equipment following the manual of operation. The equipment shall be operated by a well-trained and educated crew as the inspection requires the sufficient knowledge of mechanical equipment and its function. Establish a system of regular preventative inspection. Otherwise, it will take more time and money to rectify damage by acting after problems happen. Items of equipment to be inspected, inspection interval and the crew in charge, etc. should be decided for each dredger.

As inspection items and intervals are generally recommended by the manufacturer of engine or equipment, inspection works should follow these instructions.

General inspection items are shown below for reference.

- ✚ Quantity, pressure and temperature of lubricating oil
- ✚ Quantity, pressure and temperature of cooling water
- ✚ Quantity of fuel oil
- ✚ Exhaust gas temperature
- ✚ Leakage of oil or water
- ✚ Filter
- ✚ Abnormal vibration or noise

All pollution control facilities such as:

- ✚ The maintenance of a silt curtain most commonly involves monthly cleaning and the replacement of sections of the screen and anchor lines that are worn or damaged.
- ✚ Temporary portable purifying septic tank shall be desludged at least once a month by an accredited hauler, and
- ✚ Buffer zone shall be observed at all times



## 1.6 Project Size

The Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: **ISLAND A** will occupy a total reclaimed land area of **247.28 hectares** along the coastal waters of Kawit and Cavite City, Province of Cavite. Hence, the proposed project is covered under Category A of EMB MC No. 2014-005, which covers projects undertakings that are classified as Environmentally Critical Projects (ECPs) and are required to secure an Environmental Compliance Certificate (ECC).

## 1.7 Development Plan

The reclamation project involves two (2) type of development, First is the development below or under the sea thru the reclamation activity method discussed above under Section 1.5.5 and second is the development of above the sea. This section describes the development of based on the conceptual master development plan.

### 1.7.1 Pre-construction (e.g. planning, acquisition of clearances, permits etc.)

There are no activities during this phase that will result in significant environmental impacts. As maybe seen in Table 1-12 on Project Implementation Schedule, the activities are: design and engineering, technical plans and documentations, securing of permits and clearances

Following are the clearances, permits and documentations needed:

**Table 1-12 List of clearances, permits and documentations needed from following authorities**

Item	Authorities Involved
ECC	DENR
Area Clearance/NTP	RED/DENR
Letters of No Objection (LONO)	a. Department of Tourism (DOT) b. Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) c. Philippine Navy d. Department of Energy (DOE) e. Regional Development Council (RDC) f. Philippine Reclamation Authority (PRA) g. Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) h. Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT) i. National Headquarters Philippine Coast Guard (PCG) j. Philippine Ports Authority (PPA) k. National Commission for Culture and the Arts l. Department of Health (DOH)
Notice to Proceed (NTP)	Philippine Reclamation Authority (PRA)
Construction Permits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• LGU</li><li>• DPWH</li><li>• Philippine Coast Guard</li></ul>



### 1.7.2 Construction (e.g. dredging and reclamation phase)

There are two (2) types of construction activities, the landform preparation-structure and the horizontal development as discussed below:

#### 1.7.2.1 Landform Preparation -Structure (1 Reclamation Island-Dredging and Reclamation)

The land form shall be developed through construction of containment wall/dike, dredging and reclamation using hydraulic sand fill method, and soil stabilization with an estimated volume of approximately 21.95 million cubic meters of fill materials from a reliable and feasible sand source of offshore area up to a finished platform elevation of +4.5 meters above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW).

#### 1.7.2.2 Horizontal Development

Upon reaching the finished platform elevation of +4.5 meters above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW), horizontal development based on conceptual development plan shall be constructed. This includes construction of Road and access and construction of utilities such as drainage system, sewer collection, power and telecommunication. Table 1-13 provides an idea of how the reclaimed land will be allocated which identifies as 63.01% is considered saleable, 36.99% is non-saleable and 18.44% is for government share. (This table is based on the originally-planned land areas and will be accordingly revised for the final area).

The Project, once completed, shall be ready for the development and construction of various structures such as commercial, industrial, institutional and residential buildings.

**Table 1-13 Land Area Distribution for Island A**

	Island A	Percentage
<b>A. Saleable</b>		
Mixed Use Dev't/MXD	130.30	52.69
Commercial	25.51	10.32
Sub-total A (ha)	155.81	63.01
<b>B. Non-Saleable</b>		
Utility & Transportation	53.25	21.53
Parks & Open Spaces	7.91	3.20
RROWs	30.31	12.26
Sub-total B (ha)	91.47	36.99
<b>C. Others</b>		
Government Share	-	-
<b>Grand Total (ha)</b>	<b>247.28</b>	<b>100</b>

Presented in Table 1-14 are the description of the impact and waste management system during Earthmoving, and Re-filling of earth materials to achieve the designed elevation of the landform and soil stabilization.



**Table 1-14 Description of the Impact and Waste Management Measures During Land Development Phase**

Key Environmental Aspects	Environmental Impact	Waste Management System
Earthmoving, and Re-filling of earth materials to achieve the designed elevation of the landform and soil stabilization	Water - Possible Siltation of the sea  Road Safety and Traffic  Dust Pollution and Increase in Noise Level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Immediate compaction of the area to further mitigate the dust.</li><li>• Implementation of traffic management that is appropriate for the area</li><li>• Road signs shall be placed at appropriate locations to alert motorists along the highway.</li><li>• Traffic warden shall be stationed at strategic locations to guide traffic.</li><li>• Observed operating hours</li><li>• Regular Maintenance of equipment and vehicles</li></ul>

**a. Proposed Access Ways / Access Link to the Shore / Road Transport Accessibility**

All proposed access links/connectors and bridges are not part of this application which shall be applied separately. However, based on the initial plan, the proposed land reclamation project shall be provided with main access system through the construction of Elevated Access Road or Viaduct to be connected to the existing Radial Road R1 or Manila Cavite Expressway Project or Cavite Expressway Project and its proposed alignment expansion, Segment 5. The proposed main access system connecting the 4 islands shall consist of 4 km viaduct and an interchange which shall interface with the Manila Cavite Expressway Project to provide all directional traffic movements from the existing roadway to the proposed reclamation project area and vice versa. For Island A, the plan is to put up an approximately 1.1km viaduct going east to the Manila-Cavite Road. This is shown in Figure 1-5 above.

**The Internal Road Network and Pavement Structure System**

The proposed Cavite Land Reclamation Project will be developed with complete access system consisting of primary arterial road, Secondary Road and tertiary road systems. All islands will be connected by link bridge structures in between.

Road access will be made through the proposed CAVITEX Expansion, Segment 5 while railway system can be developed from the Proposed Extension of LRT Line 1, which traverses alongside the Manila Cavite Coastal Road and terminates in Brgy. Niog, Bacoar, Cavite.

Two (2) types of pavement structure system were considered in the evaluation, i.e., Rigid Type or Portland Cement Concrete Pavement (PCCP) and Flexible Pavement or Asphalt Concrete Pavement (ACP). While PCCP offers more advantages in terms of availability of material locally and minimum maintenance requirement, such system however, is very expensive to reconstruct or repair. Noting that the subgrade will rest on embankment with height of more than nine (9) meters, the area is susceptible to settlement in spite of application of stabilization measure. Settlement of the underlying supporting material will result as well in differential settlement of the pavement and eventually to its deterioration, which will require reconstruction.

Application of flexible pavement or Asphalt Concrete Pavement, offers an alternative to this kind of development issue since the flexible pavement adopts to the subgrade movement without significant material damage. Further, the surface of the pavement lends itself to future expansion or improvement without necessarily disturbing the





**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

existing riding surface. The work on the pavement could be completed earlier and the completed pavement can be opened to traffic after minimum curing time or within the day after completion. PCCP needs at least minimum of seven (7) days to 14 days before the completed surface can be opened to traffic.

Based on the proposed conceptual land use plan, a road network system plan was developed and recommended, **Figure 1-14** presents the conceptual plan for external and internal road network system for Island A which consists of the following road classifications:

- a. Principal Main Road, collects all traffic from the development areas and convey them to the existing major roads and vice versa.
- b. Secondary roads - serves traffic from proposed development areas along the routes while at the same time collecting traffic from tertiary roads.
- c. Tertiary Roads - serves traffic from pocket development areas and convey them to secondary or even to the primary main road

**Figure 1-15 to 1-17** presents the suggested Section for the 30.0 m, 20.0 and 16 Wide RROW.



**Figure 1-14 Conceptual Plan for External and Internal Road System**




Figure 1-15. Suggested Section for the 30.0 m Wide RROW (Spine Road) for the Proposed Cavite (CAV) Island A Reclamation Project (247.28 has. GRSA)





Figure 1-16. Suggested Section for the Minimum 20.0 m (Suggested Average is 22.0 m) Wide RROW (Local Road) for the Proposed Cavite (CAV) Island A Reclamation Project (247.28 has. GRSA).



**Figure 1-17. (Top Image) Suggested Section for the 16.0 m Wide RROW (Local Road) and Suggested Section for the 20.0 m Wide RROW (Esplanade) for the Proposed Cavite (CAV) Island A Reclamation Project (247.28 has. GRSA)**

Presented in Table 1-15 are the description of the impact and waste management system during construction of internal and external road system.

**Table 1-15 Description of the Impact and Waste Management Measures During Construction of Road**

Key Environmental Aspects	Environmental Impact	Waste Management System
Construction of Internal and External Road System	<p>Water - Possible Siltation of the sea</p> <p>Road Safety and Traffic</p> <p>Dust Pollution and Increase in Noise Level</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Immediate compaction of the area to further mitigate the dust.</li> <li>• Implementation of traffic management that is appropriate for the area</li> <li>• Road signs shall be placed at appropriate locations to alert motorist along the highway.</li> <li>• Traffic warden shall be stationed at strategic locations to guide traffic.</li> <li>• Observed operating hours</li> <li>• Regular Maintenance of equipment and vehicles</li> </ul>



**b. Construction of Utilities**

**Drainage System**

***Storm Drainage System***

The existing development will be provided with adequate site drainage system to ensure that the surface is free from flooding and surface inundation or water ponding. The proposed drainage system consists of curb inlet manholes and pipe drains or covered concrete drainage structure discharging to the existing sea water surface. Sufficiently sized pipe or rectangular drainage outfalls will be provided to discharge estimated surface runoff discharge.

***Open Spaces as Drainage Facilities***

The parks and open spaces shall be utilized for drainage facilities as well as rainwater harvesting. Surface runoff retention tanks will be installed, both for the use of water for plants and other domestic use, as well as for flood control.

**Sewer Collection and Treatment System**

The project locators will provide the sewer collection and treatment systems within their respective properties and connects with the site drainage system of the development, if combined sewer and storm drainage system will be allowed in the proposed development.

Separate sewerage system for the handling of treated wastewater effluents will be constructed and will involve underground concrete pipes.

The DED to be submitted to the PRA for the purpose of securing an NTP shall include consideration of the storm run-off and wastewater/sewage along the following basis:

- a. Location must allow for flow by gravity to the discharge channels/canals
- b. The population of the various land use locators
- c. The City's own land use planning for the share of land it will get at no cost to the government
- d. Use of rainfall intensities in the Rational Formula based on Climate Change Projections.

**Power Generating Facility or Energy Source**

The sea vessels will have their individual onboard power generating facility while the electricity for lighting purposes will be reclaimed at the soil being stabilized and will be sourced from the Manila Electric Company.

Meralco shall supply the power requirements of the development. Should the Proponent decide to install the development's power system underground, proposed ducting provision was considered in the study.

Meralco shall supply the power requirements of the development. The power source is located along the Manila Cavite City Road while substations will be constructed in each island. Separate tapping or connection points were proposed should there will be power interruption in one section. Power emergency system will also be considered.



## Telecommunications

For the construction phase, telecommunications will be among and between crew at sea and contacts at land and will be through mobile phones and/or radio

Table 1-16 presents the description of the impact and waste management measures during the construction of utilities.

**Table 1-16 Description of the impact and Waste Management Measures During Construction of Utilities**

Key Environmental Aspects	Environmental Impact	Waste Management System
Construction of Utilities	Water - Possible Siltation of the sea  Road Safety and Traffic  Dust Pollution and Increase in Noise Level  Solid Wastes Generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Immediate compaction of the area to further mitigate the dust.</li><li>• Implementation of traffic management that is appropriate for the area</li><li>• Road signs shall be placed at appropriate locations to alert motorist along the highway.</li><li>• Traffic warden shall be stationed at strategic locations to guide traffic.</li><li>• Observed operating hours</li><li>• Regular Maintenance of equipment and vehicles</li><li>• Implement Solid Waste management plan such as segregation at source</li></ul>





### 1.7.3 Implementation Schedule

The preliminary implementation schedule is presented in the Gantt chart under Table 1-17. The concept is that one (1) island for construction of containment wall/dikes and landform will be completed first prior to the construction of the next island which will last for fifteen (15) years. Given the availability of the number of equipment, number of years may further shorten to six (6) years, the schedules shall be adjusted based on the demand for land during the implementation period.

**Table 1-17 Preliminary Implementation Gantt Chart**

Cavite Reclamation Project	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Pre-Construction																
<b>Cavite Island A = 247.27 has</b>																
Construction Phase – Dredging and Reclamation																
a. Removal and disposal of unwanted seabed/scraps/debris																
b. Construction of Environmental Protection such as installation of silt curtain and construction of cofferdam																
c. Dredging of Seabed																
d. Reclamation-Filling of Materials																
d.1 Construction of Containment Wall/Dikes																
d.2 Construction of Land Formation																
e. Land filling development above the sea																
Consolidation and Stabilization																
Site Development such as roads and utilities																
Operation Phase																
<b>Cavite Island C=205 has</b>																
Construction Phase – Dredging and Reclamation																
a. Removal and disposal of unwanted seabed/scraps/debris																
b. Construction of Environmental Protection such as installation of silt curtain and construction of cofferdam																
c. Dredging of Seabed																
d. Reclamation-Filling of Materials																
d.1 Construction of Containment Wall/Dikes																
d.2 Construction of Land Formation																
e. Land filling development above the sea																
Consolidation and Stabilization																
Site Development such as roads and utilities																
Operation Phase																
<b>Cavite Island D=267 has</b>																
Construction Phase – Dredging and Reclamation																
a. Removal and disposal of unwanted seabed/scraps/debris																
b. Construction of Environmental Protection such as installation of silt curtain and construction of cofferdam																



**CHAPTER ONE**  
**Project Description**

**Proposed Cavite Province Reclamation and Development Project Island A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

c. Dredging of Seabed																			
d. Reclamation-Filling of Materials																			
d.1 Construction of Containment Wall/Dikes																			
d.2 Construction of Land Formation																			
e. Land filling development above the sea																			
Consolidation and Stabilization																			
Site Development such as roads and utilities																			
Operation Phase																			
<b>Cavite Island E=324 has</b>																			
Construction Phase – Dredging and Reclamation																			
a. Removal and disposal of unwanted seabed/scraps/debris																			
b. Construction of Environmental Protection such as installation of silt curtain and construction of cofferdam																			
c. Dredging of Seabed																			
d. Reclamation-Filling of Materials																			
d.1 Construction of Containment Wall/Dikes																			
d.2 Construction of Land Formation																			
e. Land filling development above the sea																			
Consolidation and Stabilization																			
Site Development such as roads and utilities																			
Operation Phase																			

#### 1.7.4 Operations (e.g. construction of horizontal structures)

The operations phase involves the construction of buildings and structures by various locators and the operation of their activities, e.g. food stores, convention centers, movie houses, etc. This phase is not included in the scope of this EIS and in the application for an ECC.

#### 1.7.5 Decommissioning Phase

This refers to the permanent cessation of all activities involved through the formation of stable land forms.

Under this scenario, all the construction vessels and equipment shall be returned to the contractor. The Province of Cavite and the members of the Project Consortium will decide on how the reclaimed land will be used. Hence, remediation of the site will not be relevant.



**1.8 Manpower**  
**1.8.1 Construction (Reclamation Phase)**

**Dredging / Reclamation Works**

The payment of statutory benefits of workers will be in accordance with the Contractor's policy but shall observe Philippine labor laws, particularly of the DOLE.

The Reclamation/Dredging Contractor will directly hire these personnel because of the technical requirements prescribed by the Contractor. Policies on the hiring of men and women and on PWG and age will be dictated by the safety requirements of working in sea vessels and operating heavy equipment as well as the technical training required for the personnel.

There are no known indigenous peoples in the City and municipality. The nature of the project construction and the needs for specialized works at the sea vessels may not encourage certain sectors of the society.

Expertise/skills needed for the dredging/filling vessel are indicated in Table 1-18.

**Table 1-18 Manpower Specialized Skills Requirement**

<b>POSITION</b>	<b>Expertise/skills needed for the dredging/reclamation vessels</b>	<b>Estimated Number of Manpower</b>
<b>Pre-Construction Phase</b>		
Technical Consultants	All Professionals and Experts (Local and Expats)	50
<b>Construction Phase</b>		
Sea-Based Crew	Professional	240
<b>Land Formation</b>		
Project Manager, Engineers, Equipment Operators, CADD Operators, Surveyors	Professional	30
Admin Officer and Staff, Guard, Mechanics, Etc.	Graduate and requirement with corresponding Licenses	30
Various Construction Workers	Skilled and Semi-Skilled	80
<b>Horizontal Development</b>		
Foreman	Skilled	50
Helper	Semi-skilled	250
Utilities	Semi-skilled	100
<b>Estimated Number of Manpower During Construction Phase</b>		<b>780</b>

**Soil Stabilization/Horizontal Works Phase**

During the horizontal works, skills needed will be of the nature of the usual on-land construction works, and could serve as temporary employment opportunities for skilled construction workers of Cavite City and Kawit as priority will be given to locals, **if the skills are available**. The proponent shall give priority to all qualified locals hires with proper coordination with the concerned barangay Local Government Units (LGUs).

**1.8.2 Vertical Construction Works**

After the creation of soil stabilized reclaimed land complete with horizontal components, vertical construction works will take place. These will provide substantial job opportunities. The job hiring will be undertaken by the individual contractors; they will be persuaded to give preferences to qualified locals. Payment of wages and provision for all benefits prescribed by the DOLE will be ensured by the Province since it will be part of the Consortium that will implement the Project.



## **1.9 Project Cost. Indicative Project Investment Cost**

The estimated total investment costs for the reclamation project including attendant expenses is estimated at **Php 12.10 Billion**

The estimated cost of reclamation and land development including supporting data (i.e., existing labor force, structure and average cost and available equipment and average cost/rental rates) would be divulged by the contractor during the competitive bidding process.

### **1.9.1 Proposed funding/ financing of the Project**

The Project is expected to be financed by the private sector. The private funds will be sourced from investors' equity and borrowings, with an assumed equity-loan mix of 30%-70% — the usual industry practice. Any fund shortfall, if any, will be covered by additional equity infusion  
This is under study.



## CHAPTER 2. KEY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

The assessment of environmental impacts were identified based on the preparation of simple checklist presented in **Table 2.1-0** below.

**Table 2.1-0. Identification of Environmental Impacts**

Impacts	Construction-Dredging and Reclamation	Consolidation and Stabilization	Site Development
<b>LAND</b>			
Land Use and Formation	✓		
Solid Wastes	✓	✓	✓
Hazardous Wastes	✓	✓	✓
Geologic Hazard		✓	✓
<b>WATER</b>			
Water (Marine) Quality	✓	✓	✓
Domestic Wastewater	✓	✓	✓
Hydrologic Hazard	✓		
Coastal Hazard	✓	✓	✓
Marine Ecology	✓		
Navigational Traffic	✓	✓	✓
<b>AIR AND NOISE</b>			
Air Quality	✓	✓	✓
Noise Quality	✓	✓	✓
<b>PEOPLE</b>			
Socio-Economics	✓	✓	✓
Health and Safety	✓	✓	✓

### 2.1 LAND

#### 2.1.1 Land Use and Classification

##### 2.1.1.1 Impact in Terms of Compatibility with Existing Land Use

The proposed project site is situated in the waters of Bacoar Bay along the coastline of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit, within the political jurisdiction of the Province of Cavite. The communities are in full support to the proposed reclamation project noting that the project proponent is the Provincial Local Government Unit (LGU). The 2010 Provincial Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Zoning Ordinance (PCLUPZO) provides the Official Zoning Map given in Figure 2.1-1.

With regards to the sea use classification, a large portion of the proposed project site is within the indicated areas for aquaculture purposes and some within recreational area and fishing zone as delineated in the Proposed Coastal Land and Sea Use Zone Map of Cavite Province (Figure 2.1-2) and Cavite City General Land Use Plan.

Historically, In the latter part of 1960s or early 1970s, the land adjacent to the San Roque isthmus was reclaimed. The new land is now occupied by the San Sebastian College - Recoletos de Cavite and some residences. The present Cavite City Hall is built where the north tower end of the western wall was, which was already partly reclaimed by 1945.





In addition, the CAVITEX itself is principally a road built on reclaimed land. In fact, it is owned and operated by the Public Estates Authority Tollway Corporation (PEATC), a government-owned and controlled corporation and a subsidiary of the PRA. To date, a total length of 7 km was reclaimed along the coast of Bacoor Bay to construct the extension of the Manila-Cavite Expressway (CAVITEX) connecting the province to Metro Manila (PPDO 2016). Cavite City has also proposed to reclaim some areas for purposes of light industrial development and port development (Figure 2.1-3). There is the envisioned Sangley Point Development Project with enabling reclamation component to transform Sangley Point into an international logistics hub with a modern airport (and seaport) through Executive Order no. 629 of 2007, directing the PRA to execute the plan. Present land use in areas fronting the project area or the Bay is a mix of residential, commercial, and institutional.

In Kawit, the existing Island Cove in its eastern boundary is partly a reclaimed area (Figure 2.1-4), while the other coastal areas are predominantly used for aquamarine agricultural purposes. While it has 223,29ha of existing aquaculture areas, it proposes to add 127.26ha more into this land use, to total 351.05ha. Inland fishponds shall also be maintained as eco-parks to be used as water retention areas for disaster risk reduction against flooding.

For its mangrove area, the total existing rehabilitation area is 10 ha along Barangays Poblacion and Wakas II. Areas forested by mangroves serve as fertile spawning and feeding grounds. An additional 20 ha are allotted for the mangrove rehabilitation program through the help of the National Government. (*Kawit CLUP 2012-2022*)

The lot situated in the reclamation area along Covelandia Diversion Road in the vicinity of the CAVITEX Toll Gate, which was owned and registered in the name of the Municipality of Kawit and portion of lands in Brgys. Tabon I, Tabon III Batong Dalig, Toclong and Binakayan-Tramo-Bantayan are proposed to be used as "Planned Unit Development". This covers 341.17ha. It should be like a foyer of galleries or a 'receiving area' amidst an enriched environment and warmth of nature, stunned with late afternoon bay sunsets. It may well be complemented with an arcade of souvenir items of historical and cultural values, adjoined with convenient stores and/or fast-food chains, so as to advance cost recovery program. Several more proposals were moving, but the LGU would move ahead with that most feasible and advance plan. (*Kawit CLUP 2012-2022*)


The foreshore area along Bacoor Bay shall be kept as an easement (Salvage/Buffer Zone) free from any structure to allow intertidal waters and water from upstream to naturally drain to the bay unimpeded for disaster risk reduction.

The land use within the proposed project shall be planned in accordance with the existing and planned developments in the area, i.e., it will conform to the presence of airport, sea port, and various economic (industrial) productivity zones in the vicinity. As such, an aerotropolis is envisioned to be the driving development concept for the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project. A metropolitan sub-region where the layout, infrastructure, and economy are centered on an airport, which serves as a multimodal "airport city" commercial core. It is similar in form to a traditional metropolis, which contains a central city commercial core and commuter-linked suburbs. In particular, Island A can serve as a partial logistics center respectively. As such, it has the potential to become the future clearing house and supply center for all manner of goods and services for the CALABARZON Region.

In compliance with DAO 2007-20 dated 31 July 2007, an Area Clearance is being applied for wherein a Notice to Proceed (NTP) for ECC application was already issued (See **Annex 1-A**). The securing of the Letters of No Objection (LONOs) from the various concerned agencies, in support of the application for Area Clearance will reveal if there are conflicts with the Land Use and Classification of the proposed project (**Annex ES-D**). At present, the LONOs were already issued by the following stakeholder agencies:




1. Philippine Ports Authority (PPA);
2. Department of Tourism (DOT)
3. DA-Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR)
4. Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT)
5. Phil. Coast Guard
6. Phil Reclamation Authority (PRA)
7. Department of Energy (DOE); and
8. Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)



SOURCE: Cavite Province Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Zoning Ordinance. Provincial Planning and Development Office: Official Zoning Map of Cavite

Figure 2.1-1 Official Zoning Map of Cavite Province






Source: Cavite PG-ENRO 2012

Figure 2.1-2 Proposed Coastal Land and Sea Use Zone Map of Cavite Province






Source: Cavite City Comprehensive Land Use Plan

Figure 2.1-3 Cavite City General Land Use Plan





Source: Municipality of Kawit Comprehensive Land Use Plan (2011-2022)

Figure 2.1-4 Municipality of Kawit General Land Use Plan



### 2.1.1.2 Impact on Compatibility with Classification as an Environmentally Critical Area (ECA)

The intent of declaration of ECAs is that projects within ECAs must secure an ECC, as stipulated in PD 1586.

In reference to EMB MC 2014-005, the Project falls under Infrastructure Type and is greater than 50 hectares and thus, an Environmentally Critical Project (ECP).

Furthermore, the project will be located in a declared environmentally critical area (ECA) as defined by Presidential Proclamation No. 2146, series of 1981 and Table 1 (Technical Definition of ECA and Corresponding Operationalization Guide) of DENR-EMB MC 2014-005. Specifically, the project site is within ECA Category # 6, wherein area is prone to ground shaking, liquefaction, tsunami, and storm surge. See table below.

**Table 2.1-1. The 12 ECA Categories under DENR-EMB MC 2014-005 in Relation to the Project**

No.	ECA CATEGORIES	APPLICABILITY TO PROJECT SITE
1	All areas declared by law as national parks, watershed reserves, wildlife preserve and sanctuaries	Project site is not located within this category. LPPWP is distant at approx. 11.5km.
2	Areas set aside as aesthetic potential tourist spots	Project site is not a declared tourist spot
3	Areas which constitute the habitat for any endangered or threatened species of Indigenous Philippine Wildlife (flora and fauna)	Project area is not a habitat of any endangered or threatened species
4	Areas of unique historic, archaeological, or scientific interests	Project area has no known historic, archaeological and scientific resources based on the marine survey and the soil tests done on the seabed. Also, there was no reported encounter of any archaeological finding in all of the existing reclamation areas in the Bay. Nevertheless, proponent shall coordinate with the NHCP and National Museum.
5	Areas which are traditionally occupied by cultural communities or tribes	Project area is on water, and is not occupied by cultural communities or tribes.
6	Areas frequently visited and/or hard-hit by natural calamities, geologic hazards, floods, typhoons, volcanic activity, etc.	Manila Bay (project location) is relatively less frequented by typhoons (5 cyclones in 3 years - PAGASA). Flooding susceptibility is low to moderate. Area is safe from ground rupture but prone to ground shaking, liquefaction, and tsunami. Safe from volcanic hazards.
7	Areas with critical slopes	Coastal area fronting site is flat, no critical slopes.
8	Areas classified as prime agricultural lands	Project site is not located within this category
9	Recharge areas of aquifers	Project site is not located within this category
10	Water bodies characterized by one or any combination of the following conditions:	
	a. tapped for domestic purposes;	Project site is not located within this category
	b. within the controlled and/or protected areas declared by appropriate authorities;	Project site is not located within this category
	c. which support wildlife and fishery activities.	No significant marine fishes, macro invertebrates or crustaceans encountered during marine ecology survey.
11	Mangrove areas characterized by one or any combination of the following conditions:	
	a. with primary pristine and dense young growth;	no primary pristine and dense young growth
	b. adjoining mouth of major river systems;	no major river systems nearby



No.	ECA CATEGORIES	APPLICABILITY TO PROJECT SITE
	c. near or adjacent to traditional productive fry or fishing grounds;	none
	d. which act as natural buffers against shore erosion, strong winds and storm floods;	none
	e. on which people are dependent for their livelihood.	none
12	Coral reef characterized by one or any combination of the following conditions:	
	a. with 50% and above live coral line cover;	none
	b. Spawning and nursery grounds for fish;	none
	c. Which act as natural breakwater of coastlines	none

### **The NIPAS and E-NIPAS**

Protected areas are designated under the National Integrated Protected Areas Systems (NIPAS). Restrictions or prohibitions of activities in Protected Areas fall under this law.

There are no protected or proclaimed areas/sites within the Project Location (Figure 2.1-5). Figures 2.1-6 and 2.1-7 show the Protection Areas Map and Protection Framework Plan of Cavite, respectively, which show that the project site is outside any protection area. It should be emphasized that these protection areas are not covered by any NIPAS declaration.

The site of the wetlands nearest the project site is the Las Piñas-Parañaque Wetland Park (LPPWP), formerly known as Las Piñas-Parañaque Critical Habitat and Ecotourism Area (LPPCHEA).


R.A. 11038 signed on June 22, 2018 is an amendment to R.A. 7586, which created 94 new protected areas in the Philippines including the LPPWP.

The LPPWP is the nearest protected area relative to the project site, though it is still faraway at approximately 8.5 km northeast distance. Refer to Figure 2.1-8.

### **Sites under the Scope of the Ramsar Convention**

The Convention on Wetlands or Ramsar Convention is an intergovernmental treaty officially named the Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat. Its original emphasis was on the conservation and wise use of wetlands primarily to provide habitat for water birds. The LPPWP is among the sites under this Convention.






Sources: Base Map- NAMRIA Boundary base Map; Data for Barangay Boundaries – PhilGIS, ESRI Shapefile. 2011

Figure 2.1-5 Map of LPPWP Relative to Project Site





Source: ArcGIS Online, screen captured February 2020. <https://www.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?useExisting=1>

Figure 2.1-6 Map of the Protected Areas in the Greater Manila Area





**Figure 2.1-7 Protection Areas Map of Cavite (not NIPAS-declared)**






Figure 2.1-8 Protection Framework Plan of Cavite



**On Proclamation 41 dated July 5, 1954**

The spirit behind the proclamation is seen to be preservation of parks and wildlife by the Commission on Parks and Wildlife.

The project is compatible with the Proclamation and is in fact not relevant to it for the following reasons:

- There are no parks and wildlife in the project site;
- The authority over the project site, which is portion of the sea body is on the Provincial Government;
- The Comprehensive Land Use Plans of the Province, Cavite City, and Kawit do not see issues vis-à-vis Proclamation 41; and
- There have been reclamation works in the boundaries of the proclaimed parks and wildlife.

A general summary of compatibility for the project with various rules/issuances and policies is shown in the table below.

**Table 2.1-2. Summary of Compliances to and Consistencies with Various Regulations/Laws/Treatises**

Regulations/Laws/Issuances	Consistency With and Compliance To
PRA Guidelines	Consistent with General Guidelines Specific Guidelines to be Defined when Proponent applies for Notice to Proceed (NTP) from PRA; one of requirements for NTP is an ECC
Environmental Critical Area of the NIPAS	Project not in conflict
Ramsar Convention	Site is NOT within Project included in the Convention
Manila Bay Coastal Strategy (MBCS)	Policies and Guidelines of MBCS to be complied with
Supreme Court Mandamus on Manila Bay	Mandamus serves as guideline for EMP Mandamus itself does not directly restrict reclamation projects in Manila Bay

**Areas Vulnerable/Susceptible to Natural Hazards**

The project area being located within Bacoar Bay, is susceptible to various natural hazards such as earthquake-related hazards (i.e., ground acceleration, liquefaction, tsunami and subsidence), flooding and storm surge. These are discussed in more detail under subsection 2.1.2.3. Inducement of subsidence, liquefaction, landslides, mud / debris flow, etc., including maps.

**Historical Typhoon Passage Frequency**


An average of 20 typhoons enter the Philippine area of responsibility (PAR) annually, 9 of which make landfall passing through the southern part of Luzon island and eastern part of the Visayan islands. (Lapidez et al., 2015).

The top 5 strongest historical typhoons based on wind speed that have affected the project site and vicinities are: Typhoon Rita / Kading in 1978 (203.72 kph), Typhoon Georgia / Ruping in 1986, Typhoon Patsy / Yoling in 1970, Typhoon Betty / Herming in 1987, and Typhoon Koppu / Lando in 2015. Typhoon Xangsane (Milenyo) likewise devastated Metro Manila and Cavite in 2006.

Cavite City is most often affected by flooding when typhoons and/or continuous heavy rains pass through the site especially when coupled with high tides.

Historical typhoons are discussed in more detail under subsection **2.2.1.2. Meteorological Data.**





Source: Digital Typhoon

Figure 2.1-9 Typhoon Tracks within 200km of Project Site



### 2.1.1.3 Impact on Existing Land/Water Tenure Issue/s

The proposed project site will be in Bacoar Bay along the coastline of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit, and not on land. As such, there are no issues with respect to CARP, CADC / CADT / CALC/ CALT, IFMA/CBFMA or COC. Likewise, it is not within MPSA or other tenurial instruments.

The capacity of the Province of Cavite to reclaim is pursuant to Republic Act No. 7160 or the Local Government Code of 1999, the Department of Interior and Local Government, under Memorandum Circular No. 120, s.2016, confirmed the authority of local government units to enter into Public-Private Partnerships and Joint Ventures for reclamation projects pursued consistent with the mandate and charter of the PRA.

The proposed project will reclaim about 247.48 hectares of land in Bacoar Bay. It is important to note that the proposed project is to be located at sea and not on shore (land) and is within the jurisdiction and territorial waters of Cavite City, Province of Cavite, thus there is no existing tenure issues present in the proposed project. However, Informal settlers at the site (at sea) are absent and likewise on land where the access way to the shore will connect.

Based on Presidential Decree No. 3-A, all reclamation of foreshore, submerged and offshore areas shall be limited to the National Government or any person authorized by it under a proposed contract.

On the other hand, Executive Order No. 52 dated February 12, 1979 designates then Public Estates Authority (PEA) presently called as the PRA as the agency primarily responsible for all reclamation projects for and in behalf of the National Government and mandated that all reclamation projects be submitted to the President for his approval, upon the recommendation by the PEA and the same to be undertaken by the PEA or through a proposed contract executed by it with any person or entity.

Based on Executive Order No. 146, Former President Benigno S. Aquino III issued an order transferring the power to approve reclamation projects from PRA Board to the National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA) Board on 13-November-2013.

The ownership of the reclaimed land will be stipulated in the JVA to be executed among PRA, the Cavite Provincial Government, and the Private Sector Project Developer.

### Nearby Reclamation Projects

The nearby reclamation projects (figure below) are being pursued by other LGU-private sector project developer partnerships, which are independent of each other. There are no overlaps in boundaries between these other projects and this application. The ability of the projects to be implemented after the securing of their individual ECCs will be subject to the granting of individual Notices to Proceed (NTPs), which are under the mandate of the PRA.

The map of these projects is shown in the figure below. This also shows that the proposed project site as well as the other proposed islands are not in conflict with other projects.

**Table 2.1-3. List of Nearby Reclamation Projects**

Project	Area (Hectare)	Status of ECC
Bacoar Reclamation and Development Project	320	With ECC
Diamond Reclamation and Development Project	100	With ECC
Sangley Point International Airport Project	>1,400	Planning stage
Philippine Navy Reclamation Project		Planning stage
Parañaque 286.86-ha Reclamation Project	286.86	Application process ongoing





Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

**Figure 2.1-10** Nearby Reclamation Projects vis-à-vis Project Site and other Proposed Islands



---

## **Other Conflicting Tenurial/Water Issues**

### **Informal Settlers/Water-based Settlement**

Informal settlers at the site (at sea) are absent and likewise on land where the access way to the shore will be connected.

### **Fishing**

Matters relating to fisheries and aquatic management are discussed in the Chapter on “Water” (Chapter 2.2)

### **Port**

The nearest port from the project area is that of the Naval Base in Cavite City. Its shipyard and port is about 930m NE of the site. In the planning of this project and consultation with the Philippine Navy, the proponent has considered this port and the PN’s planned future reclamation area. Thus, the landform of the project was reduced in its northern side to allow sufficient buffer of 300m from their future reclamation project.


### **Water Supply**

The project site will be at sea, which is not used as a source of bulk water supply.

### **Navigational Lane**

The LONO was already secured from the Philippine Ports Authority on this matter while the LONO from the Philippine Navy is being coordinated.

The figure below shows the PPA navigation lane indicating that there is no overlap, blockage or restriction of the proposed reclamation project within the PPA. Furthermore, no PPA-administered port or lawfully-operated private port apparently operates within the subject portion of Manila Bay.



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 2.1-11 Navigational Lanes of the PPA and Philippine Navy relative to the Cavite Island A (247.28-ha) Reclamation Project





#### 2.1.1.4 Impairment of Visual Aesthetics

*Visually significant landforms/ landscape/structures - Identify and assess impact of the project on these visually significant landforms/landscape/structures*

The proposed development plans within the reclamation area will consider height restriction limits around the waterfront area to allow those located deep into the reclaimed area and those at the mainland to have a visual corridor to the world-famous Manila Bay sunset.

The matter of the Manila Bay sunset while considered significant is deemed to be more relevant in other Reclamation Projects, i.e. those in the City of Manila.

#### 2.1.1.5 Devaluation of Land Value as a Result of Improper Solid Waste Management and Other Related Impacts

Devaluation of land value as a result of improper solid waste management and other related impacts is not perceived. Solid wastes are generated by the population near the project site and solid wastes to be generated by the proposed project are specific to the type and site of activities such as:

- The use of construction equipment will necessarily involve fossil fuels and products such as lubricating oil and generate spent oil wastes. These wastes will not be disposed to the bay waters and instead be disposed to DENR-accredited third party TSD entities.
- On the other hand, maintenance works on the machinery and equipment, which would generate wastes e.g. oil filters will be confined to the maintenance shop(s) onshore.
- Seabed silts are the solid wastes from the dredging of undesired seabed materials. Silt curtains will be used as waste management facility to contain the dispersal of these materials. The unwanted solid wastes will be disposed outside the project site by a third-party accredited disposal firm. Alternatively, these silts may still be usable as fill materials and compressed on site thus avoiding disposal outside the reclamation site.
- Domestic wastes from workers during the construction stage will be monitored weekly through visual count weekly; the garbage will either be picked up by the garbage disposal unit of the City or transported to its garbage dumpsite.

#### Estimates of Domestic Solid Waste Generation in Vessels and in land stabilization and horizontal component construction.

The sea-based crew is very minimal with only 30 people at the start and will peak at about 240 members. At a rate of 1kg per person per day, 30kg of solid wastes will be generated on a daily basis to a peak of 240kg of solid wastes per day.

#### Estimates of Domestic Solid Waste Generation in Land Stabilization and Horizontal Component Construction.

Compacting, configuring and development structuring of the reclaimed area will result to increased human activity in the project site due to the influx of workers and this is expected to generate a significant amount of solid wastes.

About 140 workers will be at the site during the soil stabilization phase, at which period, the total volume of wastes produced will amount to 140kg/day.

During the horizontal component construction, the number of workers could peak at around 400, and therefore, solid wastes generation will be at 0.4t/day.





### **Compliance with MARPOL 73/78 and PCG Guidelines on Handling and Disposal of Solid wastes in Vessels.**

The contractor for the proposed project will be required to comply with the applicable environmental laws with respect to DENR, MARPOL 73/78, and PCG guidelines, and provide the appropriate mitigating measures at their expense.

Bilge water (wastewater in the vessel) can be managed by either retaining it onboard the vessel in the holding tank and later discharging it to an accredited third-party waste treater onshore. Onboard treatment may also be undertaken as an option.

Oil which represents a significant portion of the bilge water falling in the category of “hazardous” wastes may be treated in Oily Water Separators (OWS). Current regulations of oily bilge water discharge from vessels is based on Annex I of the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973 as modified by the Protocol of 1978 (MARPOL 73/78).

The bilge separators are treatment systems that combine a gravity oil-water separator (OWS) or centrifuge with one or more additional unit operations that “polish” the bilge water effluent to reduce concentrations of emulsified oil.

To ensure the continued efficiency of the OWS, an Oil Content Monitor and Control System will be installed.

#### **Additional Wastewater Management under MARPOL 73/78**

- a. Oil filtering systems for connection to treated wastewater discharges
- b. Continuous monitoring and recording of all discharges
- c. Maintenance of valves and ensuring these are “NC” (Normally Closed)
- d. Installation of Slop Tanks and Continuous Maintenance and Cleaning
- e. Categorization and Specific Regulations for “Noxious” Substances
- f. As maybe applicable installation of sewage treatment plant onboard
- g. Special procedures for handling of food wastes and garbage

#### **PCG Guidelines**

There are 3 existing circulars set by PCG with regards to waste management at sea. The dredging/reclamation contractor shall be made to comply with all these, and are as follows:

PCG MC No. 01-2005, otherwise known as the Revised Rules on Prevention, Containment, Abatement and Control of Oil Marine Pollution,

- a. All vessels shall have onboard wooden scupper plugs equivalent to the number of scupper lips and various sizes of wooden plugs for use on possible holes that may develop in the hull.
- b. There shall be a minimum of 3kg of rags or other appropriate sorbent materials and appropriate number of open-ended drums with cover for clean-up of oil spills on decks and pump rooms.
- c. Vessels of 400 gross tons and above but less than 10,000 GT shall be fitted with an oil-water separating equipment or filtering system duly approved by PCG to ensure that any oil mixture discharged into the open sea after passing through the separator or filtering system shall have an oil content of not more than 15 ppm. Effluent discharges in ports and harbors to include other navigable lakes and rivers shall not exceed the water quality standards.
- d. Vessels of 10,000 gross tons and above shall be fitted, in addition to OWS, with an oil discharge monitoring and control system.
- e. Every vessel of 400 gross tons and above shall be provided with tank or tanks of adequate capacity, having regard to the type of machinery and length of voyage to receive the oil residues.



- f. All shipping companies shall provide for a system of collection and disposal of all types of wastes accumulated aboard ship notwithstanding public port reception facilities duly approved by the PCG. A Plan for Collection and Disposal of Waste shall likewise be submitted by these shipping companies to the PCG for approval. Cooperative efforts among shipping companies in connection with the acquisition and utilization of such system of collection and disposal is highly encouraged.
- g. Chemical dispersant to be utilized by the ship owner, master of the vessel, oil companies, terminals/depots, power plants/barges, oil drillers, oil tankers, shipyards and salvors during oil spill shall be duly accredited by the PCG.

PCG MC No. 07-2005, otherwise known as the Prevention of Pollution by Sewage from Ships; Discharge of Sewage:

The discharge of sewage into Philippine waters is prohibited except when:

- a. The ship is discharging contaminated and disinfected/treated sewage at a distance of more than 5 nautical miles from the nearest shoreline;
- b. The ship is discharging sewage which is not contaminated or disinfected/treated at a distance of more than 12 nautical miles from the nearest shoreline;
- c. The ship has in operation an approved sewage treatment plant and that the effluent shall neither produce visible and floating solids nor cause the discoloration of surrounding waters; or
- d. The discharge is necessary for purposes of securing the safety of the ship and/or saving life at sea in case of a real, grave and imminent danger, provided that all reasonable precautions have been taken to prevent or minimize the discharge. Provided further, that in the first two exceptions, the sewage that has been stored in holding tanks shall not be discharged instantaneously but at a moderate rate when the ship is enroute and proceeding at no less than 4 knots.

PCG MC No. 01-2006, otherwise known as the Rule Prohibiting the Dumping and Discharging of Wastes and Other Harmful Matters

Concerned parties shall initiate measures to protect the marine environment against pollution caused by:

- a. Hydrocarbons, including oil and their wastes;
- b. Other noxious or hazardous matter transported by vessels for purposes other than dumping; and
- c. Wastes generated in the course of operations of vessels, aircraft, platform and other man-made structures at sea

### 2.1.2 Geology/ Geomorphology

#### Methodology

The geological assessment consists of collation and interpretation of existing geologic reports and literature of the project area, including topographic, geologic, and other thematic maps. These data and reports are predominantly from concerned government agencies and academic institutions such as: DENR-Mines and Geosciences Bureau (DENR-MGB), Department of Science and Technology-Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (DOST-PHIVOLCS), and the University of the Philippines Nationwide Operational Assessment of Hazards (UP-NOAH), previously DOST-Project NOAH.

The borehole drilling, preliminary geotechnical and coastal engineering studies were undertaken by an independent drilling Contractor, which interpretations were made by AMH Consulting Phils., Inc.

#### Nature/Sources of Information

Study of previous works include among others, available geological, seismological and hydrological reports and maps covering the project area that were conducted by the Department of Environment and Natural Resources-Mines and Geosciences Bureau (DENR-MGB), Department of Science and Technology-Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (DOST-PHIVOLCS), the University of the Philippines Nationwide



Operational Assessment of Hazards (UP-NOAH), previously DOST-Project NOAH, the University of the Philippines-National Institute of Geological Sciences (UP-NIGS), and the University of the Philippines-Marine Science Institute (UP-MSI).

### **2.1.2.1 Change in Surface Landform/Geomorphology/ Topography/ Terrain/Slope**

#### **Geomorphology**

Cavite City in Cavite Province and vicinities belong to the coastal lowlands, particularly the lowest lowland and the lowland areas (**Figure 2.1-12**). It is divided into four physiographical areas, namely: the lowest lowland area, lowland area, the central hilly area and the upland mountainous area. (PDRRMO 2010)

The lowest lowland area is the coastal plain in particular, which are the areas nearest to the project site. These areas have extremely low ground level of 0 to 2 meters elevation compared to the high tide level of about 0.8m elevation from the Mean Sea Level (MSL). These are the municipalities of Bacoor, Kawit, Noveleta and Rosario. (PDRRMO 2010)

The lowland area consists of the coastal and alluvial plains. These areas have flat ground slope of less than 0.5 % and low ground elevation of 2m to 30m. The alluvial plain can be found in the municipality of Imus and southern part of General Trias. Within these municipalities forms the transition area between the coastal plain and the central hilly area. It also covers some areas of Bacoor, Kawit, Noveleta, Rosario and Tanza. (PDRRMO 2010)


The central hilly area and the upland mountainous areas are found distant from the project site from the mountain foot slopes and the uplands. These have elevations from 30m to 400m and are found in Trece Martires, Dasmarinas, Indang Silang, and Tagaytay City.

This land is dissected by drainage systems emptying into the Manila Bay. The nearest natural rivers to the project site include Maragondon, Labac, Cañas, San Juan, Bacoor and Imus rivers. These have various tributaries passing through the towns of the province.

Within the coastal plain, the river course is morphologically controlled, running parallel to the coastline following the landward boundary of the beach ridges and exhibits a meandering course. The flow in the coastal plain is generally sluggish, dominated by standstill water condition. This is mainly caused by the influence of tidal fluctuations and the flat topography.

On the opposite side of the Cavite Spit, at about 10.5 km to the east of the project site is the LPPWP. For the proposed Island A, which will be within Bacoor Bay, LPPWP is relatively nearer at 8.5 km to the northeast. This is a coastal wetland composed of 2 inter-connected reclamation islands called Freedom Island and Long Island, plus a smaller island to the south. This wetland is composed of: intertidal mudflats; intertidal forested wetlands; intertidal marshes; coastal brackish/saline lagoons/ponds; and estuarine waters. The mudflat is adjacent to a densely populated mangrove swamp. This serves multiple purposes such as a pollutant “sink” and provide shoreline defense against floods, erosion and storm surges. It is a catchment area for floodwaters.





Source: NAMRIA 1:50,000, July 2001 (3129-I)

Figure 2.1-12 Topographic Map of Cavite






## General Profile of Manila Bay

Manila Bay is a semi-enclosed water body facing the South China Sea. It has a surface area of about 1,800 km<sup>2</sup> and coastal length of about 190 km (EMB, 1992). The bay width varies from 19 km at its mouth to a maximum of about 60 km. The bay's length is about 52 km with the average depth of 17 meters with a volume of 31 km<sup>3</sup>. It has a gently sloping basin with increasing depth at a rate of 1 m/km (PRRP, 1999). Manila Bay's coastal margin is a low-lying flat strip of land with elevations of <5 meters. The catchment area is bounded by the Sierra Madre mountain range to the east, the Caraballo mountains to the north, the Zambales mountains to the northwest and the Bataan mountains to the west (BFAR, 1995). The bay receives discharged water from numerous sources including 26 river catchments (account for about 17,000 km<sup>2</sup>), and domestic and industrial water from Metro Manila and Laguna Bay.

Various sub-environments characterize the coastal areas. Near the mouth, Cavite and Bataan coastlines are rocky and deeply embayed, with local pockets of sand forming thin strips of beach at the head of coves. Going north towards Bulacan, the coastline becomes more linear marked by a series of beach ridges. In Bulacan, the ridges are sandy but the surrounding fishpond areas are muddy. (Siringan and Ringor, 1997)

The combined effects of fluvial, wave and tidal processes creating longshore currents, as well as the morphology of the bay, have influenced the sediment dispersal pattern. The net sediment drift is to the NE along Cavite, to the NW along Manila-Bulacan (from Pasig River mouth to Meycauayan R.), to the SW from Zapote to Bacoar, and to the north along Bataan. Siringan and Ringor (1997) in their report entitled "Predominant Nearshore Sediment Dispersal Pattern in Manila Bay", stated that the wind direction plays an important role in the characteristics of sediment dispersal in Manila Bay. Southwesterly winds produce longshore currents that flow up the bay along Bataan and to the northeast along Cavite. Refraction at the tip of Cavite Spit causes longshore currents along the Las Piñas-Kawit coast. For southeasterly winds, the currents move to the NW along Manila-Pampanga coast and to the north along Bataan. NE winds create currents that move towards the mouth of the bay. During rainy days with winds predominantly coming from the southwest, greater input of sediments from rivers flows into the bay. The greater amount of the fine sediment gets transported in the northeastern Manila Bay (Figure 2.1-13). (Siringan and Ringor, 1997)



Source: Siringan and Ringor, 1997

**Figure 2.1-13 Longshore currents associated with locally generated waves**  
a) south westerlies; b) south easterlies; c) north easterlies

The **Cavite Spit** is a very prominent feature of the bay (Figure 2.1-12). It is 10km-long, 1.25km wide at its broadest portion, and has two recurved termination at its northeast end. It is approximately 30 km from the southern edge of the mouth of Manila Bay (Siringan and Ringor, 1997).




The proposed Island A project will be located at the western portion of Bacoor Bay. This is a large inlet of southeastern Manila Bay partly enclosed by the Cavite Spit. Its northeastern edge is defined by a smaller spit that serves as a divide between this bay and Cañacao Bay. The latter is a smaller inlet within Manila Bay bordered to the north by Sangley Point (Danilo Atienza Air Base) and by Cavite Point (Naval Base) to the south.

The Cavite coastline lies on the southern opening of Manila Bay. It is dissected by several large rivers such as Maragondon, Labac, and Cañas rivers characterized by wave-dominated deltas, as indicated by a series of arcuate sandy strand plain ridges and by a lack of major distributaries. Spits mark the mouth of smaller rivers. The mouths of these rivers are deflected to the northeast, indicating the predominance of northeasterly sediment drift. (Siringan and Ringor, 1997)

Shielded by wave impact in the lee of Cavite Spit, fluvial-dominated deltas have formed at the mouths of the San Juan/Ylang-ylang and Imus rivers. Wave diffraction at the tip of Cavite Spit has probably caused the western distributary of Las Piñas River to be deflected to the SW, the delta of Imus River to be skewed to the SW, and created a southeastern net sediment drift. It also turned the area from wave-dominated to fluvial-dominated. According to Siringan (1997), prior to the formation of Cavite Spit, longshore transport was probably uninterrupted from Cavite to Bulacan. The spit cut-off the sediment supply thus turning the northern part into a muddier environment. Siringan et.al., further suggests that the planned reclamation of the bay to almost the tip of the spit may re-establish the continuous northeastward sediment drift. This could also cause rapid shallowing of the gap between new reclaimed land and the spit, and of Bacoor Bay.

Rocky, rocky sand, and sandy substrates characterize the areas at the baymouth and along the Cavite coastline. At the lee side in Bacoor Bay, the substrate consists of mud, similar to the rest of the bay except for the Bataan coastline, which is rocky. Small pockets of sandy mud are found in Bulacan and Pampanga coasts. (Siringan and Ringor, 1997) **Figure 2.1-14** shows the sediment distribution within Manila Bay.



Source: Siringan and Ringor, 1998

Figure 2.1-14 Manila Bay Sediment Distribution Map based on NAMRIA Data



## Impact Analysis

### Creation of Landform

The creation of landform of the island is a key direct impact of the proposed project. A landform of one (1) island will arise with the configuration of the master layout and with a minimum finished platform elevation of 4m Above Mean Lower Low Water (AMLLW). The platform surface is flat.

The reclamation landform will be almost adjacent to the mudflats in Bacoar Bay and is about 8.5 km to the northeast is the LPPWP area.

To determine the impact, a sediment transport modeling was done and presented under **Chapter 2.2.1. Hydrology/Hydrogeology**.

In terms of the flow of water from San Juan River, a numerical modeling was conducted and presented under **Chapter 2.2.2 Oceanography**.

Changes in surface landform/topography/terrain/slope of the land (onshore outside of the reclamation site are deemed not relevant because no activities will be undertaken therein, except the construction of connecting points for the access way(s) to the land. The construction will not result in changes in geology.

In relation to storm surges and tsunamis, the reclamation project will act as a shield to the surrounding coastal areas, and hence, will lessen the impact of these natural hazards. On the other hand, the project will not cause or aggravate other hazards such as liquefaction, ground shaking, and subsidence. These are discussed in more detail under **Chapter 2.1.2.3**. Inducement of subsidence, liquefaction, landslides, mud / debris flow, etc.

### 2.1.2.2 Change in Subsurface Geology / Underground Conditions

## REGIONAL SETTING


### 2.1.2.2.1 Regional Geologic Setting

The southwestern part of Luzon represents the southern segment of the West Luzon Volcanic Arc, which is related to the eastward subduction of the South China Sea Plate at the Manila Trench.

Different kinds of rocks can be found in southwestern Luzon (**Figure 2.1-15**). The greater part of Cavite consists of volcanic materials, tuff, cinders, basalt, breccia, agglomerate and interbeddings of shale and sandstone.

The southern part occupied by Batangas Province likewise exhibits mixed rock types that include andesite, limestone, agglomerate tuff, alluvium, quartz-diorite, metavolcanics, metasediments, dacite, and shale. The general geology of Laguna shows alluvium, conglomerate, sandstone, tuff, andesite, basalt and volcanic breccia particularly in the southern shores of Laguna de Bay, western side of Mt. Makiling, Mt. Lagula, and Nagcarlan.





Source: cropped from the Geologic Map of the Philippines, Aurelio and Peña (DENR-MGB) 2002,

**Figure 2.1-15 Geologic Map of Manila Bay Area**



#### 2.1.2.2.2 Regional Stratigraphy

The regional stratigraphic setting covering Cavite and the adjacent provinces of Laguna and Batangas is composed of sedimentary and volcanic rock formations. The stratigraphic units are described below:

**Recent Alluvium:** (Holocene) composed of unconsolidated deposits of silt, sand and gravel

**Guadalupe Formation:** Composed of the Alat Conglomerate member (conglomerate, sandstone, mudstone) and Diliman Tuff member (tuffs, pyroclastic breccias, and tuffaceous sandstones). It unconformably overlies the Tartaro Formation. The formation occupies a large area from Quezon City, Pasig, Makati, southern Rizal, eastern Bulacan, to southeastern Nueva Ecija. (Aurelio and Peña, 2002)

**Pinamucan Formation:** (Pliocene) named by Avila (1980) for the interbedded sequence of conglomerate, sandstone and shale that crop out in the vicinity of upper Pinamucan, upper Calumpit and middle Lobo rivers, where they rest unconformably over the Tolos Quartz Diorite and metavolcanic rocks of the San Juan Formation. The conglomerate is poorly indurated but well sorted with pebbles of andesite, diorite and metasediments set in a sandy tuffaceous matrix. The sandstone and shale are well-bedded, poorly indurated and tuffaceous. The upper horizon is intercalated with pyroclastic rocks designated as Lobo Agglomerate. (Aurelio and Peña, 2002)

**Looc Volcanic Complex:** (Middle Miocene) found in Looc, Taysan, and Lobo, Batangas. It may be divided into three members: andesitic pyroclastic member, andesitic pyroclastics and flows, and dacitic pyroclastics and flows. Altogether, the thickness of the three members totals about 500 m. This unit is equivalent to the Batangas Volcanics (Corby and others, 1951), Talahib Andesite (Avila, 1980), and Banoy Volcanics (Wolfe and others, 1980).

**Calatagan Formation:** (Early Pliocene) equivalent to Mapulo Limestone (Avila, 1980). This is found at Calatagan Peninsula; Taysan; Conde Mataas; Mt. Banoy, peninsulas and islands south and east of Mabini, Batangas province. The lithology varies from soft tuffaceous marine siltstone to coralline limestone. The limestone is massive, white to buff, soft and porous with abundant coral fingers.

**San Juan Formation:** (Oligocene) refers to the metavolcanic rocks found at San Juan and Lobo, Batangas. It is composed of basalt, andesite, graywacke, shale, slates, paraschists, marble, and hornfels. It is intruded by the Tolos Diorite.

#### 2.1.2.2.3 Tectonic Setting

The Philippine Islands is generally interpreted as a collage of insular arcs, ophiolitic suites and continental rocks of Eurasian affinity. The formation of this belt is controlled by subductions, collisions and major strike-slip faults. (Aurelio and Peña, 2002). It has evolved from the collision between the Eurasian Plate, South China Sea Plate, the Philippine Sea Plate, and the Pacific Plate. The collision resulted to several subduction zones marked by oceanic trenches. The development of the archipelago was caused by the active squeezing and magma rise producing a chain of volcanoes from the remelting of the subducting lithosphere.

The Philippine Mobile Belt (PMB) is surrounded by subduction zones moving in opposing directions simultaneously. On the western side, the Eurasian Plate (or South China Plate) subducts eastward beneath Luzon Island along the Manila Trench. On the eastern side, the Philippine Sea Plate subducts westward along the East Luzon Trench. This results to an actively deforming zone in between 2 active subduction systems as manifested by high seismic activity. (Aurelio and Peña, 2002).



The significant geologic structures in the region include: the Philippine Fault; Valley Fault System; Lubang Fault; Manila Trench; and Macolod Corridor. The maps of distribution of active faults and trenches in the Philippines, distribution of active faults and trenches in Region 4A, are shown in **Figure 2.1-16**.

### **Philippine Fault**

The 1,200 km-long Philippine Fault Zone (PFZ), a major strike-slip fault extending from Lingayen to Davao, lies parallel to the subduction trenches. The PFZ is assumed to release the shear stress caused by the oblique subduction of the ocean plates. On the southwest, the seafloor of the Sulu Plate subducts near the west side of Negros Island along the Negros Trench and along Sulu Trench near the northwest side of Zamboanga. The Celebes Sea Plate subducts near the west side of Central Mindanao along the Cotabato Trench and in Davao Gulf along the Davao Trench.

About one-third of the destructive earthquakes that have affected Cavite, Metro Manila and vicinity were generated from the PFZ. Its movement produced the majority of the most devastating earthquakes in Philippine history including the 16 July 1990 earthquake event. The 1990 earthquake generated from the PFZ's northern segment, the Digdig Fault, was recorded at Ms 7.8. A seismic gap along this fault located about 82km east of Manila can produce a future earthquake in the order of at least magnitude 7 is highly possible (upon the release of large stresses stored along the locked portion).

### **Valley Fault System**

Many faults are identified around Cavite Province. The project site is found about 14.4 km west of the western extension of the Valley Fault System (VFS). The VFS consists of two northeast-trending structures that bound the Marikina Valley: the West Valley Fault (WVF) on the west and on the east, the East Valley Fault (EVF). The EVF was traced for 38 km from San Rafael, Rodriguez (Montalban) in the north to the Pasig City area. However, LANDSAT imagery shows that it extends farther to the northeast. However, PHIVOLCS (2000) reported that EVF is ~10km long. It is located approximately 40 km northeast from the project site.

The WVF, on the other hand, stretches out north of Rodriguez in western Rizal province, passes east of Metro Manila and possibly extends as far as the Batangas-Cavite boundary at Tagaytay Ridge in the south. It is ~90km long (PHIVOLCS, 2000; READY, 2008; JSP & MLPM, 2009). This is a geomorphologically active fault that is thought to pose the greatest threat to Metropolitan Manila and vicinities due to their proximity. Recent investigation by PHIVOLCS along the Sucat-Muntinlupa-Alabang stretch have confirmed the existence of creep (active fault movement) along what is believed to be a step-over segment of the fault there (Rimando et al, 1995). With regards to recorded events, the WVF moved 4 times in the past 1,400 years, hence, PHIVOLCS places its movement interval to ~ 400 years (Solidum, 2013).

This project site is located about 14.4km west of the WVF. The southern end of this fault traverses the municipalities of Carmona, General Mariano Alvarez and Silang of Cavite Province.

### **Lubang Fault**

Lubang Fault is considered to play a significant role in the transition from subduction along the Manila Trench to collision in the Mindoro-Palawan-Panay area (Aurelio and Pena, 2004). It is an active strike-slip fault that has also been the site of large earthquakes in the past, notably that of 1852 and 1972 (Daligdig and Besana, 1993). The most recent one was the 1994 earthquake in Mindoro, which registered a seismic magnitude of 7. It is located about 101 km south-southwest of the project site.

### **Manila Trench**

Manila Trench, found about 186 km west of the project site, is a 1,100-km long trench system, which extends from south of Taiwan to west of Mindoro Island in Southwest Luzon (Bautista, 1999). The Manila Trench




represents the morphologic expression of the subduction of the oceanic crust of the South China Sea under the Luzon Arc (Karig, 1973; Cardwell and others, 1980). It is an elongated bathymetric depression that reaches depths of 5,100 m in the latitude of Manila (Ludwig and others, 1967).

Bautista et al. (2001) describe the Manila trench as a straight line from 13-18°N, which swerves abruptly to ESE at latitudes lower than 13°N because of a collision of micro-continental fragments with Mindoro and Panay islands. Hayes and Lewis (1984) state that the rate of subduction along the northern Manila Trench is probably not extended so far south.

### **Macolod Corridor**

The Macolod Corridor is an approximately 40 km-wide zone located in southwestern Luzon and pervaded by active intense Quaternary volcanism, faulting, and crustal thinning. It perpendicularly crosses Luzon in a NE-SW direction (Förster et al., 1990). The alignment of the corridor is at a right angle to the Manila Trench; and is distinguished from other active volcanic areas of Luzon, which are aligned in one or two chains parallel to the Manila Trench (Yueh et al., 2009). According to Defant et al., (1988) the corridor is a northeast-southwest trending pull-apart rift zone that includes directional lineaments (northeast trending fracture lineaments) and volcanic centers. The NE-trending Tagaytay Ridge is the corridor's northern structural boundary.





Source: PHIVOLCS, April 2015

Figure 2.1-16 Distribution of Active Faults and Trenches in the Philippines



#### 2.1.2.2.4 Local Stratigraphy


The most dominant rock formation in the area is the Guadalupe Formation (Pleistocene Age). It consists of an upper member, the Diliman Tuff, and a lower member, the Alat Conglomerate. Diliman Tuff, the most widespread rock in the area, is generally flat-lying (dips generally ranging from 3° to 10°) and medium to thin bedded. It is composed of thin- to medium-bedded, fine-grained vitric tuffs and welded pyroclastic breccias with minor fine- to medium-grained tuffaceous sandstone. The tuff varies from well lithified and massive to loosely-bedded (Aurelio and Peña, 2002). These are the rocks found at the northern parts of Cavite Province.

Conformably overlying the Guadalupe Formation is the Quaternary Alluvium consisting of unconsolidated deposits of silt, sand and gravel. These are deposited during the Holocene. The alluvium deposits are commonly found along stream channels, flood plains and coastal areas. The project site vicinity is underlain by sand, gravel, cobbles with clay and silt material and falls under this formation. The beaches and nearshore areas of the Cavite coastline are underlain by rocks, rocky sand, sand, and mud. The fringes of the Cavite Spit are underlain by sand at the western part and mud at the northern tip and lee side (**Figure 2.1-14**). Immediately to the south of the spit, is a rocky shoreline made up of the Diliman Tuff.

The offshore area of Manila Bay is underlain by stiff to very dense sandy silt materials. Below this, layers of tuff, tuffaceous sandstone and siltstone of Guadalupe Formation are found.

Dell, et.al., (2001) wrote Characterization of the Reclaimed Soil in the Foreshore Area of Manila Bay, Philippines based on site investigations including boreholes, SPT's, CPT's, etc. It reveals similar discussion of geology wherein Guadalupe Tuff is the "bedrock". It was deposited under marine conditions. The ash is pozzolanic, rendering the tuff weakly cemented. The tuff varies in grain size from "gravel", through "sand", "silt", and clay. The first three types are relatively strong, with strengths of approximately 1-7 MPa and less susceptible to weathering. The clay type has strengths of 0.6-1.5 MPa, showing slickensided structure that is typical of active clays. Paleosol layers were encountered within the Guadalupe Tuff. This is unconformably overlain by clayey layers, then by silty sand, and then by soft mud layers. The silty sand layers are generally loose below the water table, which is liquefaction-prone.

The geology of the project site and vicinities is shown in **Figure 2.1-17** below.



SOURCE: Geological Map of Manila and Quezon City Quadrangle, MGB, 1983 and 1984

Figure 2.1-17 Geological Map of Cavite





## Impact Analysis

The subsurface geology will experience changes but in the nature of enhancement because of the replacement of portion of the seabed with fill materials and rocks to lend geotechnical integrity to the reclaimed land when vertical structures and roads are built during the Operations Phase. The extent of the changes will depend on the Design and Engineering Details (DED), the detailed geotechnical investigations and the master plan which (the latter) will show the specific locations of load bearing structures and thus, the engineering/geotechnical interventions necessary.

## Mitigating Measures

To ensure the stability of the new landform and other superstructures, the following measures will be undertaken.

Geotechnical investigations were already conducted confirming the properties of the fill materials/borrow. The soil investigation will necessarily be updated prior to the execution of the engineering design.

In Manila Bay, the settlement of the reclaimed platform is mainly depending on the foundation and on the fill materials. There are available process engineered solutions such as vertical drains and surcharge to speed up the settlement and stabilization. The time needed for consolidation depends on the technique used, but it is safe to assume that 90% of primary consolidation will be reached after 1-2 years.

For greater stability of tall and heavy structures current plans are to place these on piles.

The proponent will follow internationally accepted soil remediation procedures for reclaimed land.

Necessary steps to be taken to achieve land mass stability are as follows:

- Removal of unwanted materials (dredging) at the reclamation site
- Placement of containment structures
- Rock or concrete revetment
- Dumping of the fill
- Soil stabilization (determine location of the infrastructures and buildings, to be built later during the operation phase, that will require higher degree of soil stabilization)
- Installation or construction of supporting components of the reclaimed land such as wave deflectors and overall drainage system

More details on the reclamation technology options are discussed in **Chapter 1.0**.

Observation stations shall be established in strategic locations within the reclaimed land. These will be used to monitor the degree of compaction and stability of the reclaimed land. These will record settlement/compaction rates.


### 2.1.2.3 Inducement of Subsidence, Liquefaction, Landslides, Mud/Debris Flow, etc.

#### 2.1.2.3.1 Seismic Hazard

Earthquake is the perceptible trembling to violent shaking of ground caused by either tectonic movements or volcanic activity. Areas that are most susceptible to this hazard are those underlain by unconsolidated soils and sediments deposited on the low-lying areas and reclaimed areas (**Figures 2.1-17 and 2.1-18**).

The area investigated is prone to ground shaking hazards due to the presence of several earthquake generators in the region (Punongbayan, 1989). These possible seismogenic structures include the active Valley Fault System, Lubang Fault, the Philippine Fault and Manila Trench.






Source: PHIVOLCS, May 2017

**Figure 2.1-18 Seismicity Map of Manila, Magnitude 5.0 and above (1965-2015)**

A record of the recent earthquakes affecting Metro Manila and vicinities is shown in **Table 1 of Annex 2.1-A: List of Earthquakes**. These recently-recorded earthquakes of magnitudes 5 and above are shown on the seismicity map in Figure 2.1-18 above.


The MMEIRS provided a list of the most destructive earthquakes that affected Metro Manila and vicinities from 1608 to 1895 and its distribution is shown in **Figure 2.1-19**. On the other hand, **Figure 2.1-20** shows the most destructive earthquakes from 1608-1999. The Southeast Asia Association of Seismology and Earthquake Engineering (SEASEE) lists the historical earthquakes in the Philippines in its report entitled “Series on Seismology, Volume IV – Philippines”. From this catalog, the earthquakes that affected Cavite Province including the three most destructive episodes are extracted and shown in **Table 2 of Annex 2.1-A**. The top three on the list are the 1863 (6.5 Ms; 298.3 PGA gal), 1880 (7.6 Ms, 139.8 PGA gal originating from PFZ: Infanta Segment) and, 1937 (7.5 Ms, 174.7 PGA gal, originating from Laguna-Banahaw Fault) earthquakes. All three brought damages to Cavite City and vicinities.

These lists provide reference information, but it does not necessarily follow that the occurrence or not of an earthquake and the magnitude thereof will be related to recent episodes.



Source: MMEIRS Executive Summary Vol 2, March 2004

Figure 2.1-19 Distribution of Historical Earthquakes from 1608 to 1895



Source: PHIVOLCS 1999

Figure 2.1-20 Distribution of Most Destructive Earthquakes from 1608 to 1999





## Earthquake-induced Hazards

The attendant hazards attributable to earthquake events include ground rupture, ground shaking, liquefaction, landslide, and tsunami.

Landslide hazard in the vicinity is nil as it has a flat to gently rolling topography. Reclaimed lands in general, are considered prone to liquefaction.

The earthquake hazard assessment by PHIVOLCS dated February 18, 2019 is shown below. This report indicates that the nearest fault is the West Valley Fault located about 14.4km to the east, and hence, the site is safe from ground rupture hazard but may experience strong ground shaking. It also states that it will be susceptible to liquefaction and is within the tsunami inundation zone.

 <b>PHIVOLCS</b>	Republic of the Philippines Department of Science and Technology <b>PHILIPPINE INSTITUTE OF VOLCANOLOGY AND SEISMOLOGY</b>	 <b>TÜVRheinland</b> CERTIFIED	Management System ISO 9001:2015 www.tuv.com ID: 9108834644	
HAS-Feb-19-197		HAS-EQ-04		
DATE FOR REPRESENTED BY PURPOSE		18 February 2019 <b>TECHNOTRIX CONSULTANCY SERVICES, INC.</b> <b>EDGARDO G. ALABASTRO</b> EIA requirement		
<b>EARTHQUAKE HAZARD ASSESSMENT</b>				
PROJECT NAME, LOCATION	GROUND RUPTURE	LIQUEFACTION	TSUNAMI	
<b>Proposed Cavite Reclamation and Development Projects;</b> <b>Brgys. 8 (Manuel S. Rojas), 11 (Lawin), 13 (Aguila), 14 (Loro), 5 (Hen. E. Evangelista), 6 (Diego Silang), 7 (Kapitan Kong), 10-M (Kingfisher), 10-A (Kingfisher A), 10-B (Kingfisher B), 22-A (Leo A), 27 (Sagitarus), 28 (Taurus), 57 (Repolyo), 58 (Patola), 58-A (Patola A), 58-M (Patola M), 61 (Talong; Poblacion), 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion), 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion) and 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion), Cavite City, Cavite;</b> <b>Brgys. San Rafael 2, San Rafael 3 and San Rafael 4, Noveleta, Cavite;</b> <b>Brgys. Bagbag II, Kanluran, Ligdong I, Muzon II, Sapa II,</b>				
<small>d:\b\jdb\TUFF\Volume_2\01 SERVICES_DATABASE\03 DRAFTS\Hazard Assessment Services\2019\February\HAS-Feb-19-197_Technotrix Consultancy Services, Inc.-Cavite</small>				
<small>PHIVOLCS Building, C.P. Garcia Avenue, U.P. Campus, Diliman, Quezon City 1101 Philippines Tel. Nos.: (+632) 426-1468 to 79; (+632) 926-2611   Fax Nos. (+632) 929-8366; (+632) 928-3757 Website: www.phivolcs.dost.gov.ph</small>				






Sapa III, Wawa I, Wawa II and Wawa III, Rosario, Cavite; Brgys. Santa Isabel Wasak II, Kaingen, Marulas, Binakayan-Aplaya, Samala-Marquez, Polvorista, Balsahan-Bisita, Kanluran, Pamitan and Congbalay, Kawit, Cavite				
Island A	Safe; Approximately 14.4 kilometers west of the West Valley Fault	Susceptible	Prone; within the tsunami inundation zone	
Island B	Safe; Approximately 18.2 kilometers west of the West Valley Fault	Susceptible	Prone; within the tsunami inundation zone	
Island C	Safe; Approximately 18.8 kilometers west of the West Valley Fault	Susceptible	Prone; within the tsunami inundation zone	
Island D	Safe; Approximately 19.1 kilometers west of the West Valley Fault	Susceptible	Prone; within the tsunami inundation zone	
Island E	Safe; Approximately 20.2 kilometers west of the West Valley Fault	Susceptible	Prone; within the tsunami inundation zone	

#### EXPLANATION AND RECOMMENDATION

- ✓ All hazard assessments are based on the latest available hazard maps and on the location indicated in the vicinity map provided.
- ✓ Ground rupture hazard assessment is the distance to the nearest known active fault. The recommended buffer zone, or Zone of Avoidance, against ground rupture hazard is at least 5 meters on both sides of the active fault or from its zone of deformation.
- ✓ All sites may be affected by strong ground shaking.
- ✓ Ground shaking and liquefaction hazards can be mitigated by following the provisions of the National Building Code and the Structural Code of the Philippines.





✓	<u>Tsunami</u> threat to people's lives can be addressed by community preparedness and tsunami evacuation plan. Advice for tsunami evacuation comes from public agencies and local governments. But more importantly, coastal communities must learn to evacuate themselves when they recognize the three natural signs of tsunami, namely 1) strong ground shaking, 2) unusual rise or fall of sea level, and 3) strong or unusual sound coming from the sea.	
✓	This hazard assessment supersedes previous assessment made by this office regarding the site.	
Assessed by	Daniel Jose L. Buhay	Officer-of-the-Day
Verified by	Mabelline T. Cahulogan	Senior Science Research Specialist
Approved by	 RENATO U. SOLIDUM, JR.	Undersecretary for DRR and CC, DOST and Officer-in-Charge, PHIVOLCS
V2-2017-05-19		

#### 2.1.2.3.1.1 Ground Shaking/Acceleration

Most of the known damages incurred during earthquakes are caused by strong ground vibration. This results from the passage of seismic waves from the earthquake source to the ground surface.

Ground shaking refers to the actual trembling or jerking motion produced by an earthquake. Seismic magnitude, epicenter distance to earthquake generators and the modifying effects of subsoil conditions mainly influence the intensity of ground vibration in an earthquake. Soil that is thicker, more unconsolidated and water saturated is more prone to ground shaking. It is usually stronger on areas that are filled or underlain by alluvium and colluvium, which may also be considered as soft soil. The proposed project site is underlain by water-saturated alluvium and the future reclamation area shall also be considered as soft soil. The project site may be affected by strong ground shaking.


Factors that influence the intensity of ground shaking include the following: magnitude of the earthquake, distance of the site in relation to the earthquake generator, characteristics of the underlying rocks and the soundness of the buildings/structures.

The Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (PHIVOLCS) and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) conducted a ground motion hazard mapping in terms useful to engineering design using modern probabilistic methodology. In the study, the peak horizontal ground accelerations that have a 10% probability of being exceeded in 50 years have been uniformly estimated for rock, medium soil and soft soil site condition.

The following PGA maps (**Figures 2.1-21 to 2.1-23**) from PHIVOLCS's Philippine Earthquake Model – A Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment of the Philippines and of Metro Manila (2017) indicate the maximum site acceleration response from a most probable earthquake. These are based on  $VS_{30}$  (shear wave velocity on the upper 30 meters of soil layer) site model.


Based on these maps, the ground acceleration for 500 year return period at the project site for  $VS_{30}$  site model is: 0.25 to 0.3; for 1,000 year return period is: 0.3 to 0.35; and for 2,500 year return period is: 0.35 -0.4.

For probabilistic ground acceleration estimates, values derived in a study by Thenhaus, et al. (1994) suffice for preliminary estimates. However, site-specific probabilistic determinations may be performed for projects of major importance such as large dams and bridges, elevated highways, seaports, reclamation and the like.




Source: PHIVOLCS 2017. *The Philippine Earthquake Model*

**Figure 2.1-21 Peak Ground Acceleration Map of Metro Manila, 500-Year Return Period on VS<sub>30</sub> Site Model with 10% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years**



Source: PHIVOLCS 2017. The Philippine Earthquake Model

**Figure 2.1-22 Peak Ground Acceleration Map of Manila, 1,000-Year Return Period on VS<sub>30</sub> Site Model with 10% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years**



Source: PHIVOLCS 2017. The Philippine Earthquake Model

**Figure 2.1-23 Peak Ground Acceleration Map of the Philippines, 2,500-Year Return Period on Rock Site with 10% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years**






The nearest active fault to the project site is the West Valley Fault found about 14.4 km to the east. The paleoseismological studies on this structure by Nelson et al (2000) concluded that the chance of an earthquake larger than  $M7$  on the two faults of the VFS is seemingly small. However, in the MMEIRS, a  $M7.2$  earthquake is the estimated largest credible earthquake that can be generated by a movement of the VFS, based on available geological and seismological data. Earthquakes cannot be predicted. What may be estimated is the return period of this earthquake which is at about 200 -400 years and that no large earthquake has happened in the West Valley Fault since the 1700s. The last significant event was in 1658, almost 360 years ago.

**Figure 2.1-24** shows that in Model 08 (magnitude 7.2 from WVF), the PEIS is HIGH VIII (brown) or destructive from Rosario to Cavite City, including the vicinities of the project site.


The Ground Shaking Hazard Map released through the READY Project in June 2008 shows that the whole northernmost portion of Cavite Province, including the project site vicinity, is within the PEIS VIII (red) zone. Please refer to **Figure 2.1-25**.



Source: Risk Analysis Project, 2013: PHIVOLCS

**Figure 2.1-24** Ground Shaking in Greater Metro Manila and Vicinities (WVF Earthquake)





**Figure 2.1-25 Ground Shaking Hazard Map of Cavite**



Estimation of PGA factors using the deterministic method of Tanaka and Fukushima with the following attenuation relation:

$$\text{Log}_{10}A = 0.41M - \log_{10}(R + 0.032 \times 10^{0.41M}) - 0.0034R + 1.30$$

Where: A= mean of the peak acceleration from two horizontal components at each site (cm/sec<sup>2</sup>)

R= shortest distance between site and fault rupture (km)

M= surface-wave magnitude

Considering an earthquake magnitude of 7.2 and distance of the site of **14.4 km** to the WVF, the following peak ground acceleration (PGA) values of **0.227g**, **0.378g**, and **0.53g** for bedrock, medium soil and soft soil, respectively were computed as shown in the table below. The project site being reclaimed land will fall under the soft soil condition and will experience **0.53g**, which is slightly higher than the probabilistic estimates shown on PEM map (**Figure 2.1-24**).

Thus, the recommended 'g' value to be used in seismic load evaluation and building design is **0.53g**.

The table below shows different values of PGA based on assumptions made. The appropriate choice will, be based on several other considerations, including the Codes/Standards of the National Structural Code of the Philippines and the expertise/experiences of the particular design/engineering expert of firm.

**Table 2.1-4. Computed PGA Values for Different Earthquake Generators**

Earthquake Generator	Magnitude	Distance	Calculated PGA (g) Values		
			Bedrock	Medium Soil	Soft Soil
West Valley Fault	7.2	14.4	0.227	0.378	0.530
Manila Trench	7.9	185.7	0.021	0.034	0.048
PFZ: Infanta Segment	8	76.4	0.093	0.155	0.217
East Valley Fault	7	33.7	0.121	0.202	0.283
Lubang Fault	8	100.9	0.065	0.109	0.152

### Impact Analysis

This is a natural hazard that can occur with or without the project. It can bring damage to the project but the project will not bring aggravating effects on ground acceleration. Ground acceleration caused by earthquakes if not properly addressed in engineering and design may potentially result to great damage and destruction to property and infrastructure and maybe accompanied by loss of life in the reclaimed land itself and vicinities.

There were no major structures identified in the surveyed area. The WVF, an active fault, lies about 14.4 km east of the proposed project site. Still, the area and its vicinities is prone to ground acceleration.

#### 2.1.2.3.1.2 Ground Rupture

Ground rupture occurs when a new rupture is created or when renewed movement of old fractures takes place (Punongbayan, 1994). PHIVOLCS is recommending a buffer zone at least 5m on both sides of a fault trace or from the edge of deformation zone. Please refer to **Figure 2.1-26**.

### Impact Analysis


This hazard is seemingly absent in the project area since the nearest active fault, the WVF, is about 14.4 km away. Furthermore, the reclamation project will not aggravate this. Therefore, there will be no impact on the project nor by the project to the environment.

**Mitigating Measures**

Ground acceleration caused by earthquakes if not properly addressed in engineering and design may potentially result to great damage and destruction to property and infrastructure and maybe accompanied by loss of life.

The buildings, infrastructure, wave deflectors, containment wall and other defense structures that would be constructed on the proposed reclamation site should conform to the National Structural Code of the Philippines. These structures must be able to withstand an earthquake with a magnitude of at least 7.2. The computed “g” values of 0.53g must be utilized in the design of the structures. The retaining wall that will support the earth fill materials must be properly designed to resist the lateral and hydrostatic pressures.

This PGA value should also serve as guide in the degree of soil remediation/compaction.



Source: READY Project, June 2008

Figure 2.1-26 Ground Rupture Hazard Map of Cavite





#### 2.1.2.3.1.3 Differential Settlement

Differential settlement refers to the distortion or disruption of parts of a structure or building due to either; unequal compression of its foundations, shrinkage or by undue loads being applied to the structures/buildings. Differential settlement is the unequal settling of materials; gradual uneven downward movement of foundation due to compression of soil during loading or ground shaking due to earthquake event.

Areas susceptible to liquefaction (discussed below) are likewise susceptible to differential settlement.

The proposed reclamation project will undergo backfilling and is considered to be highly susceptible to this hazard. Furthermore, it has been established that the coastal lowlands of Manila, underlain by unconsolidated settlements, is highly susceptible to settlement and subsidence due to both natural (geology and tectonic setting) and anthropogenic (groundwater extraction) causes. The cumulative effects can be very damaging to the project if not properly addressed in the engineering design.

#### 2.1.2.3.1.4 Liquefaction


Liquefaction is the process that transforms the behavior of cohesionless water-saturated unconsolidated sediments from a solid to a liquid state usually caused by seismic stresses (Torres et al, 1994) that create ground shaking. Water saturated soils loose strength and liquefy and thus the material tends to flow causing buildings to sink and rotate or lean into the soil (Keller, 1985).

Saturated sandy soil may suddenly change into a liquid-like muddy water when subjected to earthquake shaking. Liquefaction is a phenomenon in which a granular material changes to a liquid state, whether the material is saturated with water or not. When sandy soil deforms due to shear stress caused by vibration during an earthquake, contact between the particles is lost, so that the shear resistance of the soil is lost. Then, the force originally supported in a vertical direction through the contact points is then transmitted through the pore water. The soil will stabilize again when the pore water flows out, but settling (volume decrease) will have occurred. (K. Zen., et.al., 2007. Handbook on Liquefaction Remediation on Reclaimed Land. Edited by: Port & Harbor Research Inst.)

Reyes et al, of UP-Engineering Research and Development Foundation, Inc., in their soil study of areas that liquefy during the 16<sup>th</sup> July 1990 Luzon earthquake came out with the following soil conditions for the potential liquefiable layers:

- (1) loose soil classification;
- (2) upper layers of the surveyed areas;
- (3) water table near the ground surface;
- (4) N-value of less than 30 using the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) method and less than 35 using the Japan Society of Civil Engineers (JSCE) method; and
- (5) 50% passing (D50) of approximately 0.001-1.8mm.

The proposed project being a reclamation area located along the shoreline of the Manila Bay is inherently susceptible to liquefaction. On the delineated liquefaction potential areas in the READY Project (2008), the land areas adjacent to the project site falls within the High Susceptibility (red) area (**Figure 2.1-27**).



Source: READY Project, June 2008

Figure 2.1-27 Liquefaction Hazard Map of Cavite



### **Geotechnical Liquefaction Analysis (by AMH Phils., Inc.)**

Soil liquefaction is a phenomenon that occurs mostly in medium to fine-grained sands wherein a mass of soil loses a large percentage of its shear resistance when subjected to monotonic, cyclic or shock loading, and flows in a manner resembling a liquid. Much of the damage on substructures and foundation during earthquake is attributed to this phenomenon.

Liquefaction analysis considering SPT data was undertaken using LiquefyPro software for the twelve (12) boreholes within the vicinity of the project site. This is based on the most recent methods recommended by the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER).

The Factor of Safety (FS) for liquefaction potential is calculated as the ratio of the Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR) to the Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR).

$$FS = CRR_M / CSR_{fs}$$

From the results of the initial analysis, numerical calculation shows that the thick layer of loose sand observed at depth of 0.0m to 19.5m is liquefiable. These liquefiable layers may induce settlements ranging from 23 to 430mm as summarized in **Table 2.1-5**.

**Table 2.1-5. Summary of Liquefaction-induced Settlement**

<b>BH No.</b>	<b>Liquefiable Layers, m</b>	<b>Liquefaction-induced Settlement, mm</b>
44	3.0 - 4.5, 12 - 13.5, 18.0 - 19.5	63.3
45	0.0 - 4.5, 6.0 - 7.5, 9.0 - 10.5	291.7
46	0.0 - 7.5	202.0
47	2.0 - 2.5, 3.0 - 4.5	23.0
48	0.0 - 3.0, 4.5 - 6.5, 9.0 - 12.0	179.2
49	3.0 - 9.0, 10.0 - 11.0, 13.5 - 15.0	206.9
50	0.0 - 9.0, 13.5 - 16.5	350.7
51	2.0 - 10.5, 12.0 - 13.5	259.0
52	0.0 - 10.5	189.2
53	1.5 - 5.0, 7.0 - 9.0, 10.5 - 16.5	322.5
54	3.0 - 6.0, 7.5 - 15.0	430.2
55	6.0 - 6.5, 7.5 - 16.5	83.4

### **Impact Analysis**

The reclaimed land will not induce nor aggravate liquefaction, but the project will be vulnerable to this hazard.

The reclaimed land will not always undergo liquefaction during an earthquake. Occurrence of liquefaction is strongly related to the age of the landfill, type of fill materials and the construction methods (K. Zen., et.al., 2007).


The reclamation project site would be underlain by fill materials that are highly compressible, which makes it prone to this hazard. The presence of soft saturated unconsolidated material with very low N values up to a depth of 19.5 meters from the surface along the reclamation site makes the area a high-risk zone for liquefaction.

This hazard has deleterious effects on the environment including: trigger minor seismicity; ground cracking, tilting and cracking of buildings, loss/reduction of soil resistance; threaten the security and stability of



infrastructure facilities such as underground pipes and drainage system; can impact all the utilities (gas, electric, petroleum pipe lines, storm sewers, sewage and septic systems, and water supplies); and reduced bearing capacity of foundation soil causing settlement and ground displacement. Moreover, the potential lowering of the ground level can cause wider expansion of inland and coastal flooding areas, and tides moving into areas that were once above sea level thereby expanding the coverage and deeper water depth of flooded (inundated) areas. Another possible effect is the disruption of the water management and related effects (changing gradient of streams, canals and drains, increased salt water intrusion, and increased need for pumping).

The impacts are further exacerbated by extreme weather events (short term) and rising sea levels (long term). Sea level has been recorded at Manila's South Harbor since 1902. It rose around 1.3 mm/yr (the global rate) until the early 1960s, when it increased to about 2.6 cm/yr (Siringan and Ringor, 1998; Siringan and Rodolfo, 2003). **Plate 2.1-1** demonstrates the interplay of climate change, subsidence, and anthropogenic factors.



Adapted from: Deltares - Taskforce Subsidence, October 2013

**Plate 2.1-1. Land Subsidence from a Multi-sectoral Perspective**

### Mitigating Measures

Studies on liquefaction potential of the reclaimed land including that of the inherent potential of the project site will be done during the DED (Detailed Engineering Design) phase to determine appropriate remediation methods (soil improvement and/or structural design). Simplified liquefaction analysis will be done according to the Summary Report from the 1996 NCEER and the 1998 NCEER/NSF Workshops on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils. The safety against liquefaction will be assessed based on the ratio between the resistance against liquefaction and the force leading to liquefaction. Other methods that can be used are those listed in Robert W. Day's Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Handbook for calculation of excess pore water pressure ratio and strength reduction. For the determination of seismic-induced settlements, methods as reported in "Soil Liquefaction during Earthquakes" by I.M. Idriss and R.W. Boulanger (2008) shall be adapted.

Remediation options include: compaction – densifying sandy soil with vibration and impact; pore water pressure rod (vibro) compaction, dissipation – installing permeable drain pipes; cementation and solidification – mixing stabilizing material in sandy soil; replacement; lowering of groundwater level; shear strain restraint; preload; and structural measures. A combination of these methods has been found to be more effective. The choice of the remediation method will depend on site characteristics. It is important that the chosen method will minimize or mitigate the impacts to the reclaimed land and at the same time, will not bring adverse effects





to its immediate environs. The selection is in accordance with international standards and suitability to the reclaimed land in terms of type of fill materials and existing ground conditions.

Precisely because of this physical characteristic of the underlying fill materials, deep foundation systems for planned structures should be undertaken to address or mitigate this hazard. A settlement criterion shall be calculated and will include settlements that will develop in the natural subsoil and those that will develop in the reclamation fill from project handover to the end of project life. The reclamation to be constructed must be founded on the solid bedrock and appropriate foundation design should be put in place to mitigate these hazards

The fill materials must be fully engineered and compacted/densified to ensure stability and mitigate liquefaction potential. The soil remediation process that will increase the N-value should be advanced to the bottom of pre-existing alluvium, which is the cohesionless soft soil at the upper layers of the subsurface.

A study by Yasuda, et.al., on the liquefaction event in Kobe City shows that soil remediation is effective in mitigating liquefaction even though the ground shaking was as extreme as more than 400 gals of maximum surface acceleration. During the 1995 Hyogoken-Nambu earthquake (7.2M), some zones in two big artificial islands, Port Island and Rokko Island, in Kobe City, Osaka Bay did not liquefy even though the zones surrounding the islands liquefied. Very strong shaking, of 400 gal or more, hit the site. The order of decreasing subsidence is the same as the order of increase in N values in SPT. (Yasuda, et.al., 1996).


The platform level shall be above the extreme water level, securing a safe situation for future inhabitants. The required platform level will therefore be above the most extreme water level that can occur given the design life of the land reclamation, also considering the storm surge for the defined safety level of 1/1000 year. The platform level has to be at least +4.0 MLLW at the moment the Proponent hands over the land to the PRA, as per the latter's requirement. Predictions/modeling will be done in consideration of the cumulative effects of subsidence, settlement, liquefaction and SLR to ensure that the platform level is still meeting requirements at the end of the design life.

#### **2.1.2.3.1.5 Tsunami**

Tsunami, sometimes incorrectly referred to as tidal wave, is a series of huge sea waves brought about by massive underwater disturbances that may be caused by under-the-sea earthquakes, submarine eruptions and undersea landslides (Punongbayan, 1994). Tsunami is considered the most dangerous coastal hazard. It can exceed 25 meters in height. It can occur when the earthquake is shallow-seated and strong enough to displace parts of the seabed and disturb the mass of water over it (PHIVOLCS). The magnitude of earthquake that can cause tsunami usually exceeds 7.0 and earthquakes that had caused tsunami occurred in the shallow parts of the crust and were usually offshore in the deep parts of the ocean (Punongbayan, 1994).


The project site, being located along the coast of Manila Bay, is susceptible to this hazard due to the presence of an active subduction zone – Manila Trench located west of the area and other active faults and or earthquake generators. See **Figure 2.1-28** below.

Based on the 2014 READY Project map (**Figure 2.1-29**), an earthquake-generated tsunami can inundate the whole Cavite Spit and the coastal areas of Cavite. Potential wave height near the project site is estimated at 5.5m.



Source: PHIVOLCS (Bautista, B.), 2001

**Figure 2.1-28 Map of Epicenters of Tsunamigenic Earthquakes in the Philippines**



Source: READY Project, December 2014

Figure 2.1-29 Tsunami Hazard Map of Cavite Province (READY Project)



Five candidate earthquakes were investigated by PHIVOLCS for possible tsunami effects to the shores of Metro Manila: December 1770 (quite possible), November 9, 1828 (positive), September 16, 1852 (quite possible), March 4, 1862 (doubtful) and June 3, 1863 (positive). Of these 5, only two earthquakes were confirmed to have caused tsunamis to occur. The Nov 1828 earthquake was recorded at Ms 6.6 with estimated tsunami height at the port of Manila of about 1 meter while the 1863 earthquake was recorded at Ms 6.5. In Manila Bay, the wave action was observed as coming from the SE to NW. Tsunami height in Manila is estimated to be 1-2 meters. (Bautista et al., 2014) See Figures 2.1-30 to 2.1-32.






Figure 2.1-30 Tsunamigenic Earthquakes that affected Metro Manila shores



Source: PHIVOLCS 2014

Figure 2.1-31 The November 9, 1828 Tsunami in Manila





Source: PHIVOLCS 2014

**Figure 2.1-32 The June 3, 1863 Tsunami in Manila**

### Impact Analysis

Manila is at lower risk compared to Pacific coastal areas in the Philippines, but due to population density, a tsunami would be devastating. In a presentation on Tsunami Disaster Management in the Philippines held in Tokyo, Japan in 2016, Solidum stated that ~90 destructive earthquakes occurred for the past 400 years with ~ 40 tsunamis for the past 400 years – an average of 1 in 10 years. Coastal areas at eastern and western margins fronting major seas and inland seas have been affected by tsunamis.

Overtopping could potentially result in a scenario of high tsunami heights. Manila Bay was affected by storm waves riding atop storm surge. The gentle seabed slopes of the bay means higher waves can affect the shore.

The location of the reclaimed land will be such that it will be the nearest to the waterfront relative to land-based sites. This makes it the most vulnerable to tsunamis. At worst case, the project will not increase the effects on land-based structures and facilities as well as on population. In fact, the proposed reclamation project has the potential of sheltering onshore population and structures/properties from tsunamis.

More discussions on tsunami hazard are presented under **Chapter 2.2.1 Hydrology/hydrogeology**.

### Mitigating Measures

A more detailed design is considered based on the additional height/volume of seawater near the coast, which could be created by a tsunami/waves. This could be significantly deflected from reaching land, thus creating flood by the elevated reclaimed land.

Conservative scenarios of high tsunami heights, which could result from a case of strong earthquakes will be studied and mathematical modeling will be applied. The final design of the platform level will consider both the tsunami scenario and the guidelines and requirements of the PRA, as well as economic viability.

An updated mathematical modeling will be conducted to simulate the storm surges/waves in terms of force and direction. Wave deflectors and other similar defenses such as revetment will be part of the study. The modeling will consider the volume of water that will inundate the project area should tsunami reach to maximum predicted wave heights as well as the appropriation of “no-build zone” and wave water catchment channels. The “no-build” zone will observe the requirements of the PRA, which is 50 meters from the coastline.



During the final design stage of the project by which time other aspects of the Project shall have been firmed up, such as but not limited to: a) exact configuration of the islands b) use of stilts in certain portions of the project c) final platform level, etc., consultation will be made with PHIVOLCS and other concerned agencies on this matter as well as on other design aspects such as liquefaction and ground shaking.

The elevation of the reclaimed land and the use of properly designed structures such as wave deflectors will provide further effective method of dissipating storm waves, such protection not available in a “No Project Scenario”.

#### **2.1.2.3.2 Mass Movement**

##### **2.1.2.3.2.1 Landslide**

The project site is not susceptible to earthquake-triggered landslides. Due to the generally flat topography in Cavite City, the earthquake-induced landslide risk is relatively low for the most part. Landslides can also be induced by heavy rains, which add weight and lubricate the soils. They can also be induced by ground shaking from an earthquake. Risk may be increased if an earthquake occurred in the wet season. See **Figure 2.1-33** for earthquake-induced landslide susceptibility maps and **Figure 2.1-34** for rain-induced landslide. There is still a remote possibility of collapse of the fill materials in the reclamation area due to engineering/structural failures.


#### **Impact Analysis**

The project site has low susceptibility to landslide. That said, there is still a remote possibility of collapse of the fill materials in the reclamation area due to engineering/structural failures

There will be no impact on the project nor by the project to the environment.

#### **Mitigating Measures**


The retaining wall that will support the earth fill materials must be properly designed to resist the lateral and hydrostatic pressures



Source: READY Project, June 2008

Figure 2.1-33 Earthquake Induced Landslide Hazard Map of Cavite





Source: READY Project, June 2008

Figure 2.1-34 Rain-Induced Landslide Hazard Map of Cavite





### Stability Analysis of Confinement Walls (by AMH Phils., Inc.)

Two (2) methods were considered as possible confinement measures for the reclamation area: 1) Sand Bag and Rock Dike and 2) Anchored sheet pile wall. In order to establish the stability and adequacy of each method, stability analysis by Limit-Equilibrium Method (LEM) for the Dike System and Finite Element Analysis (FEM) for the Anchored Sheet Pile Wall is performed.


The following section presents the schematic design for each confining measure as well as the preliminary stability analyses.

#### 1. Sand Bag and Rock Dike

##### Limit Equilibrium Methods

Slope stability is the potential or likelihood of a slope to fail due to a specific mechanism. It involves the interplay of two types of forces: a) driving forces which promotes the downward movement of materials and b) resisting forces which defer the downward movement of materials. Typical causes of slope failures are erosion, rainfall, earthquakes, geologic features, and specifically for the project, the induced loads.

The analysis of slope stability is done by Limit-Equilibrium Methods. The mass is divided into small slices along an assumed or known failure surface as shown in the figure below. Forces that are acting on each slice such as weight, normal and tangential reactions, and shear forces are determined and by equilibrium conditions, the moment of the driving forces about the center of the failure surface should be equal to the moment of the resisting forces.



**Figure 2.1-35 Stability analysis by Limit-Equilibrium Methods**

The Factor of Safety (FS) is expressed as the ratio of resisting forces to the driving or overturning forces.

$$FS = \frac{\text{Resisting Forces}}{\text{Overturning Forces}}$$



## Where

- $FS < 1$  indicates an unstable slope  
 $FS = 1$  indicates a critically stable slope  
 $FS > 1$  indicates a stable slope

An acceptable factor of safety is based on various considerations such as the recurrent period of heavy rainfall, seismic activity, as well as the assessment of risk or hazard brought about by the slope failure. With these factors considered, recommended factors of safety for static conditions range from 1.2 to 1.5, and a value greater than unity ( $>1$ ) for earthquake conditions (References: a) Cheng, et. al. Slope Stability Analysis and Stabilization; b) Duncan, et. al. An Engineering Manual for Slope Stability Studies; c) Towhata. Seismic Behavior of Slopes and Embankments)

For this study, the following factors of safety were used:

- Factor of Safety for Static Conditions: 1.5
- Factor of Safety for Pseudo-Static Conditions: 1.1

Rocscience Slide 6.0®, a slope stability computer software, was utilized to facilitate calculations for determining the global stability of the embankments for proposed dike system. This modeling software performs slope stability analysis procedure based on Limit Equilibrium Methods. Several trials were carried out, varying the slip circle coordinates for determining the minimum factor of safety under static and pseudo-static (earthquake) conditions.

## Slope Section

An initial analysis is performed for Island A Dike (I) in order to assess and verify the stability of the proposed design for the dike system. The following figure was used as reference in modelling of the slope in Slide 6.0. Island A is also identified as the most critical area because of the thick soft soil layer (~15.0m).




Figure 2.1-36 Section of Island A Dike (I)

## Geotechnical Parameters

The following table presents the geotechnical parameters used in the subsequent analyses. BH-22 in nearby Cavite Island D was used as reference borehole since it has the thickest soft soil layer.



Table 2.1-6. Geotechnical Parameters for Proposed Dike

Depth (m)	Soil Type	Relative Density	SPT N-value	Geotechnical Parameters		
				(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	c (kPa)	(°)
0.0 - 15.0	Clay	Very Soft	1	11	20	0
> 15.0	Sand	Very Dense	50	20	0	38
Sand Bag				18	5	31
Backfill Sand				18	5	31
Clay-bound Macadam				17	50	0
Hill-skill Soil				18	0	30
Rock Armor / Rock Underlayer				20	50	30
Crushed Aggregates				19	0	35
Concrete				24	150	26
Ground Improvement (Soil Cement Column)				15	250	0

A uniform load of 12.0 kN/m is applied on top of the road for traffic loading. The figure below presents the dike system as modelled in Slide 6.0.




Figure 2.1-37 Slope Model of Island A Dike

## Results of the Analysis

The following table presents the summary of the results of the slope stability analysis for Island A Dike (I). The resulting FoS considering static conditions is found to be adequate, however, the FoS considering pseudo-static (earthquake) conditions is below the passing criteria.

As seen in **Figure 2.1-38**, the failure plane for the slope is deep-seated in nature and can be mainly attributed to the underlying soft soil layer. Ground improvement may be necessary to improve the strength parameters of the soil and address the slope failure during earthquake conditions.

Table 2.1-7. Summary of SSA results

Case No.	Type of Analysis	Seismic Coefficients		Min. FS
		kh (g)	kv (g)	
1	Static	0.00	0.00	1.440 $\approx$ 1.5 OK
2	Earthquake	0.20	0.10	<b>0.542 &lt; 1.1</b>




Figure 2.1-38 Case 1: Static (FoS=1.440)




Figure 2.1-39 Case 1: Earthquake (FoS=0.542)


### Proposed Ground Improvement

One option for ground improvement is by construction of soil cement columns. In this method, columns of specified spacing made up of a mixture of soil and cement is inserted into the ground by deep mixing method. The columns formed will then increase the shear strength of the underlying soil and improve the overall geotechnical capacity of the ground.






In Slide 6.0, the soil cement column is modelled until the depth of the soft soil layer (15.0m) and as a composite material with cohesion of 250 kPa. The value for cohesion is calculated from the weighted average of the cohesion of the surrounding soft soil and the soil-cement column.



**Figure 2.1-40** Slope model with soil cement column



**Figure 2.1-41** Case 2: Earthquake (FoS=1.224)

From the results of the re-run, the FoS considering earthquake conditions is adequate ( $1.224 > 1.1$ ). Hence, ground improvement is recommended to mitigate deep-seated slope failures beyond the dike system. Depth of the ground improvement will most likely be equal to the depth of the soft soil layer for each location. Further study should be conducted for the ground improvement.

## 2. Anchor Sheet Pile Wall



### Finite Element Analysis

Although Limit Equilibrium is most commonly used and a simple solution method, it can become inadequate if the slope fails by complex mechanisms (e.g. internal deformation and brittle fracture, progressive creep, liquefaction of weaker soil layers, etc.). In these cases, more sophisticated numerical modeling techniques should be utilized. Plaxis 2D is a finite element modeling software capable of two-dimensional analysis of deformation and stability for various problems in geotechnical engineering. Plaxis is also capable of creating complex soil and structure interactive models and can constitute nonlinear strength, time dependent and anisotropic behaviors of soils and rocks. It provides more thorough analysis and investigation of the problem using 2D Finite Element Method analysis with more refined soil model.

For the containment structure of the Cavite Reclamation sites, the sheet pile wall were analyzed using finite element model utilizing Plaxis 2D. The figure below presents the model prepared for the analysis of each island.




Figure 2.1-42 Plaxis Model

The following table summarizes the geotechnical parameters used:

Table 2.1-8. Geotechnical Parameters

Depth (m)	Soil Type	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Cohesion (kPa)	Angle of Friction (deg.)	Elastic Modulus (MPa)	Poisson's Ration ( $\mu$ )
0.0 - 15.0	Clay	16	30	0	10	0.30
15.0 - 30.0	Sand	20	0	38	40	0.35

### Results of Analysis

The table below presents the summary of the findings while the succeeding figures contain the screenshot images of the results from Plaxis 2D.



Table 2.1-9. Plaxis 2D Results

Max. Exposed Height (m)	Anchor Length (m)	Anchor Dia. (mm)	Sheet Pile Type	Max. Disp* (mm)	Max. Moment (kN-m)	Sheet Pile Adequate ?	Max. Tensile Force (kN)	Anchor diameter adequate?
10.25	16	46	PZC 18	20.24	107.5	Yes	804.18	Yes

\*Displacement after compaction of backfill




Figure 2.1-43 Plaxis Result – Total Displacement (Island A)

The results of the finite element analysis show that the preliminary design will be able to sustain the loads during construction stage, operation, and during seismic conditions. Moreover, the displacements after compaction of backfill are within the tolerable limits.

#### 2.1.2.3.2.2 Settlement / Subsidence


Metro Manila's coastal areas are sinking as fast as 9 cm/y (Rodolfo et al. 2003, Siringan and Rodolfo 2003, Rodolfo and Siringan 2006). Accelerating subsidence of the coastal lands bordering the bay is worsening both floods and high-tide invasions. Aggravating factors likewise exist in the area. Siringan and Rodolfo (2003) and Rodolfo and Siringan (2006) have established that accelerated sediment compaction and ground subsidence occur in **areas north of Manila Bay** due to excessive groundwater withdrawal. Before 1991, the area subsides at a rate of 0.16-0.56 cm/yr, 0.36 cm/yr on the average. This natural compaction accounts for 2 to 8 percent of the estimated 2 to 8 cm/yr typical subsidence rates from 1991 – 2001 (Rodolfo and Siringan, 2006). This implies that enhanced dewatering of the upper 30 m of the sediment column can potentially account for almost 98 % of the subsidence rates during the past decade. (Soria, et.al., 2005)

Considered as critical areas for subsidence susceptibility in Metro Manila are: 1) Guiguinto 2) Bocaue-Marilao 3) Meycauayan-North Caloocan 4) Navotas-Caloocan-West Quezon City 5) Makati-Mandaluyong-Pasig-Pateros 6) Parañaque-Pasay 7) Las Piñas-Muntinlupa and 8) Dasmariñas, Cavite (NWRB (2004).



"The Volcano-Tectonics Laboratory at U.P. Diliman's National Institute of Geological Sciences (Lagmay 2011, Eco et al. 2013) has analyzed Persistent Scatterer Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar data from satellites to verify subsidence over wide areas of Metro Manila, with the proposed reclamation areas experiencing up to 6 cm/y." (Rodolfo. K.S., 2014)

The satellite image of Metro Manila and vicinities shows movement of the ground. (See figure below.) **Blue** areas correspond to sinking ground with the highest rates of subsidence at 5.5 cm per year. The image was processed by Narod Eco of the DOST project team. Subsidence will aggravate flooding from heavy rainfall and constitute a coastal-dike breach hazard in areas near Manila Bay. (Lagmay, 2011). From the map, it can be deduced that subsidence rate within the Cavite Spit is from 3 to 4 cm/yr. Towards Rosario, a circular blue pattern indicates a rate of 4.5 cm/yr. This is likewise attributed to groundwater extraction.



Source: <http://opinion.inquirer.net/12757/large-areas-of-metro-manila-sinking> (Lagmay, 2011)

**Figure 2.1-44 Satellite Image of Metro Manila and Vicinities Showing Ground Movement**

According to the report "Sinking Cities, An integrated approach towards solutions" by Deltares - Taskforce Subsidence (October 2013), the mean cumulative subsidence 1900-2013 is 1,500mm, mean current subsidence rate is up to 4.5 cm/yr, maximum is 4.5 cm/yr, estimated additional mean cumulative subsidence until 2025 is 40cm.

In the lowland areas covering the towns of Bacoor, Imus, General Trias, Dasmariñas, Naic, Tanza, Ternate, hundreds of artesian wells and deepwells provide water supply for both domestic and irrigation purposes. According to a JICA study, the groundwater in Cavite is depleting at a rate of 1 meter water level decrease per year. Consequently, subsidence has also occurred in the northern and central parts of Cavite.

#### **Geotechnical Settlement Analysis (by AMH Phils., Inc.)**

The settlement analysis was carried out with the aid of Settle 3D software. Settle 3D is a 3-dimensional program for the analysis of vertical consolidation and settlement under foundations, embankments, and surface loadings.





For each island, the subsurface conditions were idealized and the most critical condition, i.e. thickest soft soil layer, was modelled in the analysis. Settlement analysis was conducted for all islands except Island E. From the results of the soil investigation for Island E, the underlying soil consisted of hard clays (N-value > 30) and dense to very dense sands (N-value > 50). Settlement (both immediate and long-term) for this type of soil is deemed negligible.

The parameters use in the analysis based on the results of the soil investigation as well as established correlations for settlement parameters are presented in **Table 2.1-10**.


**Table 2.1-10. Geotechnical Parameters for Settlement Analysis**

Depth, m	Soil Type	N	Consistency	Ave LL	g	E	C <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>r</sub>	e <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>v</sub>	OCR
0.0 - 15.0	Clay	1	Very Soft	46	11	-	0.324	0.0324	0.9	0.002	1
15.0 - 30.0	Sand	50	Very Dense	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-


In the analysis, the fill needed to achieve final elevation of +4.00m was considered as surface loading on top of the existing soil layers. The corresponding surface load for each fill height is summarized in the following table.

**Table 2.1-11. Equivalent Surface Load for Each Reclamation Fill Height**

Thickness of Fill (Seabed to Elev +4m), m	Surface Load, kPa
5.0	90
7.0	126



**Figure 2.1-45 Settlement Analysis Results for 5m fill height**



**Figure 2.1-46 Settlement Analysis Results for 7m fill height**

The results of the settlement analysis are presented in the following. Since the underlying soil layers are mostly silts and clays of varying plasticity, settlements are found to be long-term. The maximum estimated settlement ranges from 2850 mm to 3180 mm for Island A where the thickness of soft soil is significantly large (~15 m thick). Pre-loading with prefabricated vertical drains (PVD) is recommended to accelerate the consolidation / long-term settlement.

**Table 2.1-12. Results of Settlement Analysis**

Depth of Fill Required for Elev. +4m,	Immediate Settlement, mm	Consolidation Settlement, mm	Total Settlement, mm	Time to 95% Consolidation, month
5	-	2850	2850	132
7	-	3180	3180	127

### Impact Analysis

Settlement and subsidence are natural geological hazards that exist with or without the project. The reclaimed land will not induce nor aggravate these hazards, but the project will be vulnerable to them. To a certain extent, load of constructions and infrastructure (i.e. settlement of high compressibility soil) and site dewatering for foundation excavations can induce settlement/subsidence if not done properly. However, as dredging of foundation/unwanted seabed materials will be above groundwater level, no significant settlement will arise.

The main causes of subsidence and settlement in Cavite and Manila Bay area as a whole are natural compaction of soil and excessive groundwater drawdown. These can bring about serious effects on the project if not properly addressed. The proposed land reclamation is located at a relatively large distance from the reported critical spots in Navotas and Malabon cities, and the Manila Port area.

The reclamation project site would be underlain by fill materials that are highly compressible, which makes it prone to these hazards. Construction of buildings or other structures on the site will put additional load on the fill materials that could result to settlement. The presence of soft saturated unconsolidated material with very



low N values up to a depth of 10 meters from the surface along the reclamation site makes the area a high-risk zone for settlement and subsidence.

The impacts of settlement and subsidence are further exacerbated by extreme weather events (short term) and rising sea levels (long term). Sea level has been recorded at Manila's South Harbor since 1902. It rose around 1.3 mm/yr (the global rate) until the early 1960s, when it increased to about 2.6 cm/yr (Siringan and Ringor, 1998; Siringan and Rodolfo, 2003).

### Mitigating Measures

Remediation options include: compaction – densifying sandy soil with vibration and impact; pore water pressure rod (vibro) compaction, dissipation – installing permeable drain pipes; cementation and solidification – mixing stabilizing material in sandy soil; replacement; lowering of groundwater level; shear strain restraint; preload; and structural measures. A combination of these methods has been found to be more effective. The choice of the remediation method will depend on site characteristics. It is important that the chosen method will minimize or mitigate the impacts to the reclaimed land and at the same time, will not bring adverse effects to its immediate environs. The selection is in accordance with international standards and suitability to the reclaimed land in terms of type of fill materials and existing ground conditions.

Precisely because of this physical characteristic of the underlying fill materials, deep foundation systems for planned structures should be undertaken to address or mitigate this hazard. A settlement criterion shall be calculated and will include settlements that will develop in the natural subsoil and those that will develop in the reclamation fill from project handover to the end of project life. The reclamation to be constructed must be founded on the solid bedrock and appropriate foundation design should be put in place to mitigate these hazards

The fill materials must be fully engineered and compacted/densified to ensure stability and mitigate liquefaction potential. The soil remediation process that will increase the N-value should be advanced to the bottom of pre-existing alluvium, which is the cohesionless soft soil at the upper layers of the subsurface.

### Deep Foundation

Considering the geotechnical conditions of the site wherein the upper 3.0m to 15.0m of the existing soil layer consist of very loose to loose sands and very soft silts and clays (N-value < 10), deep foundation may be warranted to carry the large loads and mobilize the high bearing capacities of the competent materials. From the subsurface idealizations, the bearing layer (SPT N-value > 50 for sand, > 30 for clays) is estimated to be at depths of about 9.0m to 16.0m below the seabed.

Calculations of pile capacities were carried out using the AllPile software. AllPile is capable of vertical and lateral analysis for both driven and bored piles. Vertical analysis is based on the approaches and methods recommended by Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), American Association of State and Highway Transport Officials (AASHTO), and NAVY Design Manual-07 Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC). Lateral analysis uses the finite-difference method to model soil-structure interaction.

Input parameters include pile geometry (including orientation) and head/loading conditions and soil properties. The reclaimed area (Elev. +4m from MLLW) was considered in modelling the soil profile. Shear strength parameters were estimated using established SPT-N correlations and local project experiences. Included in the parameters are the coefficient of lateral subgrade reaction (kh), used in lateral analysis of piles. These are presented in terms of 'B', the pile diameter or width. The geotechnical parameters for deep foundations are shown in Table 2.1-19 under Pedology subsection.

To obtain the safe pile capacities (axial downward and uplift), a Factor of Safety (FS) of 3.0 was adopted for axial capacities and the FS for uplift capacity is 3.0.



Calculations of pile capacities were carried out considering 600mm, 800mm, and 1000mm concrete bored piles. Pile lengths are reckoned from the final reclamation elevation of +4.00m from MLLW level. The pile tips are estimated to be embedded 3.0m below the competent strata.

The following table provides the summary of the computed allowable pile capacities.

**Table 2.1-13. Summary of Allowable Pile Capacities for Concrete Bored Piles**

Borehole No.	Width mm	Estimated Pile Length m	Compression kN	Uplift kN	Lateral kN
BH-44	600	21	545	185	210
		26	770	330	210
		31	990	475	210
	800	21	930	300	355
		26	1270	525	355
		31	1605	755	355
	1000	21	1420	445	545
		26	1925	790	545
		31	2430	1130	545
BH-45	600	24	865	425	210
		29	1095	575	210
		34	975	725	210
	800	24	1350	625	370
		29	1665	840	370
		34	1400	1055	370
	1000	24	1970	875	550
		29	2435	1195	550
		34	2005	1515	550
BH-46	600	26	955	515	200
		31	915	675	200
		36	1160	935	200
	800	26	1485	755	365
		31	1345	990	365
		36	1665	1345	365
	1000	26	2165	1056	570
		31	1905	1395	570
		36	2305	1850	570
BH-47	600	18	460	330	200
		23	700	595	200
		28	940	850	200
	800	18	685	480	375
		23	1010	840	375
		28	1325	1190	375
	1000	18	950	650	575





Borehole No.	Width mm	Estimated Pile Length m	Compression kN	Uplift kN	Lateral kN
		23	1355	1105	575
		28	1750	1560	575
BH-48	600	24	790	375	210
		29	1020	525	210
		34	1250	675	210
	800	24	1210	510	375
		29	1575	755	375
		34	1940	1000	375
	1000	24	1790	725	575
		29	2320	1080	575
		34	2850	1440	575
BH-49	600	27	725	320	210
		32	925	450	210
		37	1125	585	210
	800	27	1185	500	370
		32	1495	705	370
		37	1800	920	370
	1000	27	1740	705	570
		32	2155	995	570
		37	2570	1285	570
BH-50	600	26	735	325	205
		31	925	455	205
		36	1115	585	205
	800	26	1220	520	365
		31	1515	720	365
		36	1810	925	365
	1000	26	1835	755	570
		31	2265	1060	570
		36	2700	1360	570
BH-51	600	22	740	320	200
		27	940	455	200
		32	1145	590	200
	800	22	1210	495	360
		27	1520	710	360
		32	1835	925	360
	1000	22	1790	700	560
		27	2255	1020	560
		32	2720	1345	560
BH-52	600	17	630	200	200



Borehole No.	Width mm	Estimated Pile Length m	Compression kN	Uplift kN	Lateral kN
		22	830	335	200
		27	1025	465	200
	800	17	1060	325	375
		22	1380	540	375
		27	1700	755	375
	1000	17	1565	450	550
		22	2030	770	550
		27	2520	1105	550
BH-53	600	26	750	325	200
		31	815	455	200
		36	790	580	200
	800	26	1245	525	360
		31	1545	730	360
		36	1255	935	360
	1000	26	1855	755	550
		31	2285	1055	550
		36	1800	1355	550
BH-54	600	24	680	270	190
		29	850	390	190
		34	1020	505	190
	800	24	1160	450	335
		29	1445	645	335
		34	1730	845	335
	1000	24	1750	655	550
		29	2165	950	550
		34	2585	1245	550
BH-55	600	20	565	180	190
		25	700	280	190
		30	840	375	190
	800	20	975	300	355
		25	1205	470	355
		30	1435	635	355
	1000	20	1485	445	560
		25	1855	715	560
		30	2230	980	560

### Shallow Foundation Analysis (by AMH Phils., Inc)

The choice of the foundation scheme mainly depends on the magnitude of the structural loads that have to be transmitted by the foundations into the underlying ground.




In the subsequent analyses, the fill materials required to reach reclamation elevation of +4.00m (from MLLW) was considered. From the range of fill height required for the island, the depth of fill ranges from 5m to 7m, hence, it was assumed in the analysis that shallow foundations lie directly on the reclaimed fill. The parameters for the fill are shown in the table below.

**Table 2.1-14. Properties of Sand Fill for Shallow Foundation Analysis**

Ground Improvement	N-value	Unit Weight, $\gamma$ (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Cohesion, $c$ (kPa)	Friction Angle, $\phi$
Vibrocompacted sand fill	15	18	0	30

For the reclamation area, the safe bearing capacity is **90 kPa ( $\approx 1870$  psf)** at a minimum foundation depth of 1.0m BGL (below ground level – reclaimed area at elev. +4.00m). For proportioning of various foundation widths and depths, allowable bearing capacity charts are presented in the graph below.



**Figure 2.1-47 Safe bearing capacities for the reclamation area**

### 2.1.2.3.3 Volcanic Hazards (Ash Fall)

The dangers posed by volcanoes are associated with eruption. Hazard from volcanic eruption depends on the magnitude of its explosion. Hazards associated with volcanic eruptions include pyroclastic flows and base surges, lava flows, lahars and the ash or tephra fall.

Probably the greatest threat to Cavite is Taal Volcano in Tagaytay but is unlikely to cause major problems. It is about 56 aerial km to the southeast of the project site. The ash fall may be a nuisance and reduce air quality. Taal Volcano is closely monitored and one would likely receive a few weeks warning of a possible eruption. However, considering the distance of the project site to Taal Volcano, even the far-reaching ash fall/tephra fall hazard has little effect the proposed project.



## Impact Analysis

There will be no impact on the project except probably for some degree of nuisance (dust particles). Similarly, the project will not aggravate this hazard to harm the environment.

## Mitigating Measures

This hazard is seemingly absent in the project area and therefore no mitigation measures to be implemented.

### 2.1.2.3.4 Hydrometeorological Hazards

#### 2.1.2.3.4.1 Flooding

The Philippines lies in the Western Pacific basin, the world's most active typhoon belt. It is visited by an average of 20 typhoons a year. Typhoons and monsoons often bring disasters such as flooding, landslides, and storm surge. Floods usually occur during or after heavy rainfall wherein the river channels are saturated with water resulting to river swelling and overflowing of floodplains. The low-lying areas and those areas with poor drainage system are susceptible to flood hazard.

The project area falls within the delineated areas with high susceptibility to flooding as shown in the flood hazard maps (**Figures 2.1-48 and 2.1-49**) by MGB (2010) and READY Project (2008). Considering that it is low-lying and has a flat terrain, the project site could experience localized flooding especially if the drainage systems are inadequate. The 100-Year Flood Hazard Map (**Figure 2.1-50**) by Project NOAH is consistent with the other two hazard maps. The whole Cavite Spit and the coastal areas from Bacoor to Rosario are highly susceptible to flooding, though in varying extent. Areas near the rivers are more flood-prone.

Generally, flooding in Cavite is only experienced in low-lying towns of the Province based on the study conducted by the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) in 2008. There are major rivers of the Province that serves as catchment areas.

The flooding in Cavite has three types: the River Overflow Flood, Inland Flood and Coastal Flood.

**River overflow flood** is defined as the flood caused by the overflow from the river. This flood type is usually associated with typhoons. In Cavite, this flooding is due to inadequate flow capacity of various rivers and tributaries. The floods usually occur at the low dike section, narrow or bottleneck sections and the bridge sections. This is because those areas are usually clogged with debris. This can also be attributed to intensive land conversion and development for industrial and residential uses. In the year 2000-2006, there are four major river overflow floods recorded. These were brought by Typhoons Reming, Gloria, Inday and Milenyo. Typhoon Milenyo and Reming both caused damages to properties as well as public infrastructure such as bridges, dams and ripraps of flood ways. The water volume coming from the upland part of the province also contributes to the volume of water in the lowland rivers and tributaries. The upland municipalities of Indang, Amadeo and Silang also experience river overflow flooding but at minimal circumstances and extents. (PDRMO, 2010)

**Inland flood** is defined as inundation caused by the stagnation of the storm rainfall and/or the overflow from the local drainage channel. Intrusion of seawater during high tide would also cause this kind of flooding. (PDRMO, 2010)

The project site in Cavite City is generally highly susceptible to flooding. In fact, all barangays have been affected by flooding in the past. (PDRMO, 2010)

Cavite is shielded by mountain ranges but is open to rains brought in by the Southwest Monsoon locally known as "Habagat." This natural phenomenon occurs when warm moist air flows over the country from the southwest direction that brings rain to the western portion of the country. Cavite was one of the provinces affected by the





two consecutive-years of extreme flooding referred to as the “2012 and 2013 Habagat Floods.” (PDRMO, 2010)

**Coastal Flooding.** Cavite’s coastline stretches around 123 km and could be found along Cavite City, Bacoor, Kawit, Noveleta, Rosario, Tanza and Naic. The coastal barangays of these towns are highly susceptible to flooding. The coastal plain covering the Project Area in Kawit, Noveleta, and Rosario has extremely low ground level of EL. 0 to 2 meters, and the tidal flood often occurs in its substantial part even without storm rainfall. Such tidal inundation is being aggravated by the progress of land subsidence. (PDRMO, 2010)

The table below enumerates the recent typhoons that affected the Province of Cavite.

**Table 2.1-15. List of Recent Typhoons that Affected Cavite**

Tropical Cyclone	Date of Occurrence	Maximum sustained winds (kt)	Population/Areas Affected	Impact
TS Santi (Nari)	11-Oct-13			
TS Maring (Trami)	22-Aug-13		Carmona, <b>Cavite City</b> , Bacoor, Dasmariñas, Imus, GMA, Gen. Trias, Indang, Kawit, Naic, Noveleta, Rosario, Silang, Tanza, Ternate, Trece Martires	
TS Gener (Saola)	Jul 30-31, 2012		Bacoor, <b>Cavite City</b> , Imus, Ternate, Kawit, Naic, Rosario, Noveleta, Ternate	
TS Pedring (Nesat)	Sep 26-28, 2011		<b>Cavite City</b>	
TS Falcon (Meari)	21-Jun-11		Kawit – 23 barangays, 4,438 families, 8,870 individuals	No significant damages incurred
TS Dodong (Sarika)	9-Jun-11		Noveleta flooded. 20 fam and 40 ind	No significant damages incurred
Typhoon Juan (Megi)	October 13-24, 2010	155	Tagaytay City – 1 brgy, 15 fam, 57 ind Ternate – 1 brgy, 64 fam, 315 ind <b>Cavite City</b> – 2 brgy, 107 fam, 428 ind	No significant damages incurred
Typhoon Basyang (Conson)	July 11-18, 2010	75	<b>All</b> municipalities of Cavite - with 729 brgys, 49,678 fam, 247,537 ind	Dead – 14, Injured – 13, Missing 3, totally damaged houses (TDH) - 2,558; partially damaged houses (PDH) - 32,735; Cost – Php 14M
Typhoon Santi (Mirinae)	Oct. 27-Nov. 3, 2009	90	16 mun, 126 brgys, 4,141 fam, 18,954 ind	Dead – 1; Injured – 13; TDH - 155; PDH -1,267; Cost of damages - Php 177.72M
Typhoon Pepeng (Parma)	Sept. 27-Oct. 14, 2009	130	5 mun, 470 fam, 1,402 ind	
Typhoon Ondoy (Ketsana)	Sept. 25-30, 2009	90	19 mun, 442 brgys., 113,817 fam, 534,209 ind	Dead – 6; Injured – 5; Missing - 1; TDH - 293; PDH -2,325; Cost -: Php 37M
Flashflood – Pansol River	21-Sep-09		Dasmariñas, barangays Paliparan & Sampaloc IV	Dead - 5
Typhoon Isang (Molave)	July 15-19, 2009	65	Kawit, Rosario, Imus, Bacoor, Noveleta and Naic: 53 barangays, 16,993 families	TDH - 11 PDH – 120
Typhoon Feria (Nangka)	June 22-27, 2009	45	3 mun, 8 brgy, 706 fam, 3,484 ind	TDH - 84 PDH - 5



Tropical Cyclone	Date of Occurrence	Maximum sustained winds (kt)	Population/Areas Affected	Impact
Typhoon Frank (Fengshen)	June 18-26, 2008	95	12 mun, 166 brgy, 40,645 fam, 206,827 ind	TDH - 43 PDH -227; Cost of Damages – Php 40.43M
Typhoon Hana (Lekima)	Sep 30 - Oct 4, 2007	70	Rosario and Noveleta: 639 families.	TDH - 28 PDH - 10
Typhoon Egay (Sepat)	August 12-20, 2007	140	14 mun, 232 brgy, 53,090 fam, 260,561 ind	1 missing
Typhoon Chedeng (Pabuk)	August 5-9, 2007	65	11 mun, 122 brgy, 87,920 fam, 438,701 ind	Partially damaged houses - 13
Typhoon Milenyo (Xangsane)	Sep 25 to Oct 2, 2006	125	All mun, 463 brgy, 164,137 fam, 794,339 ind	Dead – 31; Injured – 64; Missing – 18; TDH - 8,509; PDH - 48,562; Cost - PhP 835.24M
Typhoon Florita (Bilis)	13-Jun-06		7 mun, 45 brgy, 2,260 fam, 1,111 ind	TDH - 51 PDH - 38
Typhoon Inday (Halong)	July 2002		Bacoor, Noveleta, Rosario, Imus, Kawit, etc., 168,025 ind	Dead – 1
Typhoon Gloria (Chataan)	July 2002		Bacoor, Noveleta, Rosario, Imus, Kawit, etc., 173,075 ind	
Typhoon Reming (Xangsane)	Oct 2000		Bacoor, Noveleta, Rosario, Imus, Kawit, etc., 380,616 ind	Dead – 10

Source: NDCC, Cavite PDCC, Cavite PSWDO

As sea levels rise due to climate change, low-lying coastal areas are frequently flooded by the sea. Global warming is known to be causing stronger cyclones and rising oceans levels. Other aggravating factors include occurrence of high tide at same time with heavy rains, and non-climate factors (e.g. land subsidence and loss of natural retention areas mostly due to concreting of ground surface).

The rising sea level will adversely affect any properties located near the shoreline and in reclaimed areas of Metro Manila and vicinities. Geologically, these areas are those located along what geologists dubbed the Coastal Margin. A lot of areas in the coastal lowlands are already experiencing perennial flooding with or without the proposed project.

### Impact Analysis

Where land reclamation is known to cause loss of wetlands, floodwater storage is likewise lost or decreased and thus may lead to flooding. In Bacoor Bay, the island will be adjacent to mudflats. The original mudflats and mangroves have decreased in area because of conversion to fishponds. These wetlands act as catch basin or temporary sink for floodwaters. Without dredging, land accretion may eventually occur because of the reclaimed island, the mudflat areas will decrease, and therefore, decrease the carrying capacity as floodwater sink. The project will be set back from the shoreline so as not to affect nearshore sediment transport or the local sediment budget. Likewise, the mouth of Imus River and San Juan River will be obstructed if drainage system is not properly designed.

According to the PRA, well-designed and properly constructed reclamation projects will not cause flooding. On the contrary, they can prevent flooding by providing added protection, such as sea barriers to mitigate the effects of accelerated rising sea levels, which is a direct effect of global warming. What happened, in fact, was that the whole stretch covered by the reclamation projects under the Boulevard 2000 Plan along Roxas Boulevard—from the Cultural Center of the Philippines to the coastal road—did not suffer the same catastrophic rush of seawater, unlike the areas from the Manila Yacht Club to the US Embassy. The seawalls built to protect the reclaimed area




saved the establishments and inhabitants there. <http://opinion.inquirer.net/15993/on-reclamation-and-flooding> (October 2011) More detailed analysis on flood hazard are presented under **Chapter 2.2.1 Hydrology/Hydrogeology – Flood Studies**.

### Mitigating Measures

For flooding mitigation, the engineering design of the reclamation should provide for adequate channels, drainages and runoff discharges to the open sea as well as non-blockage of river outfalls and other flood paths.


The design of structural flood defenses should account for possible overtopping but should not be over-estimated as this could also possibly cause trapping of floodwaters. Where flood defenses are breached, it will usually result in sudden flooding with little or no warning and will present a significant hazard and danger to life.



Source: MGB 2010 (from 1:50,000 base maps)

**Figure 2.1-48 Landslide and Flood Susceptibility Map of Cavite City Quadrangle**





Source: READY Project June 2008

Figure 2.1-49 Flood Hazard Map of Cavite





Source: UP Project Noah, screen captured on August 2017

Figure 2.1-50 100-Year Flood Hazard Map of Cavite and vicinities



#### **2.1.2.3.4.2 Storm Surges / Seiches / Storm Waves**

Storm surge is an abnormal rapid rise of sea level resulting from strong winds pushing water towards the shore (NOAA, 2013). This can cause severe destruction and damage in its surrounding areas. High winds push the ocean's surface that causes water pile up higher than the ordinary sea level. Storm surges have known to damage nearby coastal structures, resulting from the wave impacts and debris carried by the surge. Other effects include flooding of low-lying coastal areas and intense wave erosion of beaches, dunes and other structures. Based on the meteorological data, Manila Bay is exposed to an average of 5 typhoons in 3 years period vulnerable during the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of the year.

According to PAGASA, storm surges occurred seven times from 1960–72. **Table 2.1-16** below is a list of storm surge events that affected the Manila Bay area. This is taken from the Compilation of Storm Surge Occurrences in the Philippines (Project NOAA, 2014).

On September 26-28, 2011, Typhoon Pedring (international name T. Nesat) hit the country generating storm surge as high as 6 meters in Manila Bay that damaged part of the breakwater and sea wall along Roxas Boulevard resulting to waist-deep flooding of the road and areas along the shoreline and causing millions of damages to properties. In 2012, Typhoon Saola (Gener) caused another surge that damaged the seawall and deposited tons of rubbish and filth along Roxas Boulevard and affected barangays San Rafael 3 & 4, Cavite City.

Dr. Mahar Lagmay stated that the 2011 storm surge was **1.5m high**, "with splash waves higher than the coconut trees" while Yolanda's surge in Tacloban was 5m.

Parts of the municipalities of Bacoor and Kawit are susceptible to inundation of 1m surges, while the coastline along parts of Cavite City are exposed to inundations of > 1m to 4m surges. The former two are considered to be of low susceptibility level while the latter three are classified under moderately susceptible (PDRRMO, 2010). One documented major storm surge, which affected Cavite, occurred at the peak of Typhoon Sening on October 10-15, 1970 and had an **actual height of 3-5 m** (PAGASA, 2004).

Therefore, it can be safely stated that waves/surges can occur with or without the project. However, the project will be vulnerable to this hazard.

**Table 2.1-16. Storm Surges in the Manila Bay Area and Vicinities**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Date of Occurrence</b>	<b>Associated Tropical Cyclone</b>	<b>Surge Height (m)</b>	<b>Affected Areas</b>	<b>Casualties</b>	<b>Damage</b>
1	June 29, 1589	Unnamed typhoon		Manila Bay		
2	Aug 29, 1863	Unnamed typhoon		Manila		Destroyed Bagumbayan drive due to inundation, several houses unroofed
3	Sep 20-26, 1867	Unnamed typhoon		Manila Bay		17 ships tossed onto Sta Lucia & Tondo shores
4	Oct 25, 1873	Unnamed typhoon	0.6	Cavite		
5	October 10-15, 1970	Typhoon Sening*	3-5	Cavite		
6	Nov 19, 1970	Typhoon Yoling (Patsy)	4	Manila Bay, southern coast of Luzon		Destroyed \$40M property, sank 21 fishing boats near North Harbor
7	Jun 23-25, 1972	Typhoon Konsing (Ora)		Manila Bay & Bicol region	1	Several ships washed ashore



No.	Date of Occurrence	Associated Tropical Cyclone	Surge Height (m)	Affected Areas	Casualties	Damage
8	Jul 2, 1983	Typhoon Bebeng (Vera)	4	Bataan & at least 10 villages in Manila Bay's western banks	182	49,000 houses
9	Sep 26-28, 2011	Typhoon Pedring (Nesat)	6	Coastal areas of Manila Bay, Brgys San Rafael 3 & 4, Cavite, Brgy. Pasungol, Santa, Ilocos Sur & Sta Rita Aplaya, Batangas City	12	Damaged the breakwaters & seawall along Roxas Blvd
10	Jul 30-31, 2012	Typhoon Gener (Saola)		Zamboanga del Norte, Ternate, Cavite, Bulan, Sorsogon, & So. Tinago, Bgy Tibpuan, Lebak, Sultan Kudarat		214 houses
11	Aug 22, 2013	Typhoon Maring (Trami)		Bgy Mabolo, Naic, Cavite & Molo District, Iloilo		14 houses damaged
12	Oct 11, 2013	Typhoon Santi (Nari)		Manila Bay		

Source: Project <http://blog.noah.dost.gov.ph/2014/02/04/compilation-of-storm-surgeoccurrences-in-the-philippines/>. NOAH Open File Report Vol 2. Pages 7-11, February 2014


\* - Source is PAGASA, 2004 as cited in the Cavite Provincial Risk Reduction and Management Plan 2011-2016.

The Storm Surge Hazard Map of Cavite is presented in **Figure 2.1-51** from READY Project while **Figure 2.1-53** is the storm surge (advisory 2) map by UP NOAH. The choice of SSA level 2 is based on the Maximum Storm Surge Height of Metro Manila as shown in **Figure 2.1-52** (Lapidez et al., 2015).

Climate change (global warming) has potential impacts on the frequency and strength of typhoons, and hence, on storm surge and storm waves. Rise in sea levels, spurred by climate change, will increase water depths and, by extension, wave heights in stormy weather. Even if the rise in sea level during storms does not flood the coastal areas, the resulting waves probably will. Storm surges can also be enhanced by tidal fluctuations and can be difficult to prepare for unless one has an hour-by-hour estimation of the weather situation.

As sea levels rise due to climate change, low-lying coastal areas are permanently flooded by the sea. The likelihood and severity of storm surges also rises since weaker winds will also be able to increase the sea level enough to flood coastal areas. In addition, as the sea level rises, the water depth increases and the wave base becomes deeper; waves reaching the coast have more energy and therefore can erode and transport greater quantities of sediment.





Source: READY Project, June 2008


Figure 2.1-51 Preliminary Storm Surge Hazard Map of Metro Manila





Source: Lapidez, JP, et.al., DOST Project NOAH, June 2014

Figure 2.1-52 Maximum Storm Surge Height Map of Metro Manila and vicinity



Source: UP Project Noah, screen captured on October 2017

Figure 2.1-53 Storm Surge Advisory 2 Hazard Map of Cavite and vicinity





## Impact Analysis

Manila Bay was affected by storm waves riding atop storm surge. The gentle seabed slopes of the bay means higher waves can affect the shore.

The location of the reclaimed land will be such that it will be the nearest to the waterfront relative to land-based sites. This makes it the most vulnerable to storm surge and flooding. At worst case, the project will not increase the effects on land-based structures and facilities as well as on population. In fact, the proposed reclamation project has the potential of sheltering onshore population and structures/properties from storm surges or storm waves. This is evident in the areas along Roxas Boulevard in Pasay and Paranaque where reclaimed lands fringe the coastline. Going back to the Storm Surge Hazard Map – SSA2 (**Figure 2.1-53**), it is clear that the areas behind MOA to CCP Complex are safe from this hazard.

For the proposed project site in Cavite, Island A will have a shielding effect on the coastal areas.

Reclaimed lands are in fact known to serve as massive breakwater. Environmental planner Armando Alli and architect Jun Palafox agree that reclamation may serve as a breakwater. Alli cites the success of reclamation projects in China, Hong Kong, the Netherlands, and Dubai in terms of maximizing available space; while Palafox agrees that reclaimed patches of land do become tsunami or wave breakers if executed properly. <http://lifestyle.inquirer.net/99009/but-why-reclaim-manila-bay-in-the-first-place/> (Robillos A., Apr 17, 2013, inquirer).

### Synthesis of Storm Conditions/Tide Levels

This is discussed under **Chapter 2.2.1 Hydrology/Hydrogeology**.

### Synthesis of Storm Waves

This is discussed under **Chapter 2.2.1 Hydrology/Hydrogeology**.

## Mitigating Measures

At worst case, the project will not increase the effects on land-based structures and facilities as well as on population. In so far as those in the reclaimed land itself, in addition to the platform level, some structures may be placed in stilts while others will be designed with certain parts (floors) of a building/structure at high levels. The proposed project will include a “no build” zone and wave water catchment channels.

A more detailed design is considered based on the additional height/volume of seawater near the coast, which could be created by a tsunami/waves. This could be significantly deflected from reaching land, thus creating flood by the elevated reclaimed land.

An updated mathematical modeling will be conducted to simulate the storm surges/waves in terms of force and direction. Wave deflectors and other similar defenses such as revetment will be part of the study. The modeling will consider the volume of water that will inundate the project area should storm surges and tsunami reached to maximum predicted wave heights as well as the appropriation of “no-build zone” and wave water catchment channels. The “no-build” zone will observe the requirements of the PRA, which is 50 meters from the coastline.

The elevation of the reclaimed land and the use of properly designed structures such as wave deflectors will provide further effective method of dissipating storm waves, such protection not available in a “No Project Scenario”.

Storm surges are difficult to mitigate; however, the construction of wave deflector may help in minimizing the effect of surges. Construction of breakwater could also minimize the effect of storm surges



## **Overall Safety Awareness and Preparedness**

An essential part of hazard mitigation is the people's awareness and preparedness.

Safety drills should be institutionalized throughout the project life. This will include fire drills, earthquake drills, and the like. Evacuation muster points will be established. These efforts shall be in consonance with the Disaster/Risk Reduction and Management Plan of the government.

Lastly, the proponent will be actively involved in the Information, Education and Communication campaign to increase public awareness (especially the island dwellers and stakeholders) on hazard management.

A multi-hazard mitigation and protection plan for natural coastal hazards should be developed. Similarly, awareness about climate change impacts on coastal zone systems such as coastal erosion, sea level rise, and flooding risks should be promoted with emphasis in the threat to life, structures, and economic production.

### **Monitoring Plan**

Vigilance and sustained community-level public education on tsunami awareness, preparedness and mitigations are very important. The coastal communities must be aware of tsunami facts and must react appropriately during an earthquake event

Monitoring of ground level should be done during the reclamation phase up to the end of the project. This is to determine quantitative surface movements with respect to both spatial and temporal rates. Known accurate measuring techniques include: InSAR (Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar) satellite imagery - time-series techniques; GPS surveys; leveling surveys; optical leveling; Laser Imaging Detection and Ranging (LIDAR); and field observations (ground truthing on buildings and infrastructure, including the use of extensometers). This can be done in partnership with government agencies to allow sharing of data

Structural defenses and infrastructures will be inspected periodically for integrity and soundness.

Continuous monitoring of structural defenses should be implemented. Likewise, the Proponent should encourage awareness on all geohazards. Make use of the government's alert systems such as those of PAGASA, MGB, PHIVOLCS, NOAH and other such agencies.

### **2.1.3 Pedology**

#### **2.1.3.1 Soil Erosion / Loss of Topsoil / Overburden.**

The reclamation work shall involve seabed materials rather than topsoil; hence, soil erosion and loss of topsoil or overburden is not germane to this project.

The top part of the upper 3.0m to 15.0m made up of thick layer of very soft to soft silts and clays and very loose to loose sands (N-value < 10) shall be dredged as part of ground preparation before the area is filled with sand materials. It is possible that part of the dredged materials will be re-used and put back to the seabed.

### **Summary of Soil Investigation Report – Geotechnical Studies**

The geotechnical investigation program consists of twelve boreholes at depths ranging from 30m to 42m each. All boreholes were drilled within the vicinity of the property boundaries to establish the existing geotechnical conditions at the site. The location of the drilled holes is presented in **Figure 2.1-54**.

The borehole logs are provided in **Annex 2.1-B**.





Figure 2.1-54 Borehole Location Plan

#### SPT Drilling Procedure per ASTM D1586

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was done in accordance with ASTM specifications, with energy transfer efficiency of at least 60%. For each test, a 2-inch (50.8mm) outside diameter Split – Spoon sampler was driven into the soil at a depth of 18 inches (460 mm) by means of a 140 lb. (63.5 kg) driving mass falling freely from a height of 30 inches (760 mm). The number of blows needed to drive the split spoon sampler 18 inches (460 mm) was recorded and the number of blows needed to drive the last 12 inches (305 mm) was taken as the N – value. Soil samples were recovered using the spoon sampler and were then taken to the laboratory for testing and analysis.

#### Rock Coring and Sampling per ASTM D2113

Whenever Standard Penetration Test (SPT) refusal is reached, rock coring was performed. SPT refusal occurs when a total of 50 hammer blows causes less than or equal to 25.4 mm of ground penetration, or no measurable penetration occurs after 10 hammer blows.

Coring techniques were performed in accordance with the American Standard for Testing Materials (ASTM D2113). The core recoveries were analyzed, stored in core boxes, delineated by properly marked spacers marked with indelible ink, labelled and wrapped in plastic bags for laboratory strength tests

##### 2.1.3.1.1 Subsurface Idealization

The succeeding table presents the subsurface conditions at each borehole location based on the results of the soil investigation. Engineering parameters were assigned on the following soil profiles necessary for the design of foundations and various geotechnical analysis.

From the results of the soil investigation, the site subsurface generally consists of an upper 2.5m to 15.0m thick layer of very soft to soft silts and clays and very loose to loose sands (N-value < 10). It is underlain by



0m to 7.0m thick layers of stiff to very stiff silts and clays and medium dense sands. These are all underlain by the competent strata consisting of dense to very dense sands and hard clays, encountered at depths of around 9.5m to 16.5m

**Table 2.1-17. Idealized Subsurface Conditions based on Results of Borehole Tests**

Hole No.	Depth, m	Soil Description	SPT	Consistency / Relative Condition	$\gamma$	c (kPa)	$\phi$ (°)	$k_h$ (kPa/m)
BH-44	0.0 – 11.0	MH / SM / CH / CL / CL-ML	0 – 2	Very Loose	16	0	28	2,200/B
				Very Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	11.0 – 12.0	SM	23	Medium Dense	18	0	31	17,250/B
	12.0 – 30.0	MH / SP-SM / ML	39 - 'refusal'	Dense to Very Dense	19	0	34	52,500/B
				Hard	20	0	34	84,000/B
BH-45	0.0 – 9.0	ML / MH	0	Very Loose	16	0	28	1,800/B
				Very Soft	11	12	0	1,440/B
	9.0 – 12.0	CL	19 – 24	Very Stiff	18	105	0	12,600/B
	12.0 – 25.0	SM / ML / MH	36 – 'refusal'	Dense to Very Dense	19	0	34	46,250/B
				Hard	20	0	34	74,000/B
	25.0 – 28.5	SM	33 - 36	Dense	19	0	33	66,875/B
	28.5 – 33.0	MH	11 – 22	Stiff to Very Stiff	17	50	5	6,000/B
					18	120	8	14,400/B
	33.0 – 34.5	MH	0	Very Soft	11	12	1	1,440/B
	34.5 – 42.0	MH	40 – 46	Hard	20	180	10	21,600/B
BH-46	0.0 – 9.5	ML / CL-ML / CL	0 - 5	Very Loose to Loose	16	0	28	1,900/B
				Soft	15	18	0	2,160/B
	9.5 – 13.5	CL-ML / CL / MH	17 - 46	Very Stiff	18	150	0	18,000/B
				Hard	19	180	0	21,600/B
	13.5 – 30.0	ML / SC-SM / SM / MH	44 – 'refusal' [33]	Very Dense	20	0	34	87,000/B
BH-47	0.0 – 2.5	MH / SM	0 – 1	Very Loose	16	0	28	500/B
				Very Soft	11	12	0	1,440/B
	2.5 – 4.5	SW-SM / SP-SM	14 – 16	Medium Dense	18	0	29	5,250/B
	4.5 – 9.5	CL / ML / CL / CH	0 - 3	Very Soft to Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	9.5 – 30.0	CL / MH / SM / ML / GM / SC	'refusal' [45]	Very Dense	20	0	34	79,000/B
BH-48	0.0 – 4.5	SP-SM / SW-SM	12 – 19	Medium Dense	18	0	29	3,375/B
	4.5 – 15.0	ML / MH / CL / CH	0 – 5 [21]	Very Loose to Loose	16	0	28	3,900/B
				Very Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	15.0 – 31.5	MH / ML / SM / SC-SM / SC	47 – 'refusal'	Dense to Very Dense	19	0	34	58,125/B
BH-49	0.0 – 6.0	SM / SC	8 – 9 [2]	Loose	17	0	30	1,200/B
	6.0 – 10.5	SM	0 – 4	Very Loose	16	0	28	3,300/B



## CHAPTER TWO THE LAND

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Hole No.	Depth, m	Soil Description	SPT	Consistency / Relative Condition	$\gamma$	c (kPa)	$\phi$ (o)	$k_h$ (kPa/m)
	10.5 – 12.0	CL	0 – 3	Very Soft to Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	12.0 – 13.5	SC	27	Medium Dense	18	0	32	19,125/B
	13.5 – 15.0	SM	'refusal'	Very Dense	20	0	34	57,000/B
	15.0 – 18.8	CL / MH	10 – 13 [0]	Stiff	17	50	0	6,000/B
	18.8 – 33.0	SM / MH / ML	41 – 'refusal' [28]	Very Dense Hard	20 20	0 180	34 0	103,600/B 21,600/B
BH-50	0.0 – 9.5	SC-SM / SC / SP-SM / ML / CL	0 – 8 [17]	Very Loose to Loose / Medium Stiff	16	24	0	2,880/B
	9.5 – 12.0	CL	20 – 36	Very Stiff to Hard	18 20	50 105	0 0	6,000/B 12,600/B
	12.0 – 16.5	SM / ML / MH	11 – 13 [2]	Medium Dense / Stiff	17	65	0	7,800/B
	16.5 – 30.0	CL / MH / SM	'refusal'	Very Dense Hard	20 20	0 180	34 0	93,000/B 21,600/B
BH-51	0.0 – 10.5	CL / SC / SM / MH / ML	0 – 4 [13]	Very Loose / Very Soft to Soft	13	12	0	1,440/B
	10.5 – 30.0	SM / CH / MH / ML	'refusal' [16]	Very Dense Hard	20 20	0 180	34 0	81,000/B 21,600/B
BH-52	0.0 – 3.0	MH / SM	0 – 3 [refusal]	Very Loose / Very Soft	16	0	28	600/B
	3.0 – 6.0	SM	14 – 19	Medium Dense	18	0	30	6,750/B
	6.0 – 9.0	ML / SC	0 – 3	Very Loose	16	0	28	3,000/B
	9.0 – 30.0	SC / SM / MH / GM / ML / CL	43 – 'refusal' [26]	Dense to Very Dense Hard	19 20 20	0 0 180	33 34 0	48,750/B 78,000/B 21,600/B
BH-53	0.0 – 10.0	CH / SM / SC / CL / ML / CH	0 – 8	Very Loose to Loose / Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	10.0 – 12.0	SM	34 – 37	Dense	19	0	33	27,500/B
	12.0 – 16.0	SP-SM / SM	0 – 8	Very Loose to Loose	16	0	28	5,600/B
	16.0 – 18.0	MH	19 – 20	Very Stiff	18	100	0	12,000/B
	18.0 – 28.5	SM / SC / MH / CL	49 – 'refusal' [23]	Dense to Very Dense Hard	19 20 20	0 0 180	34 34 0	58,125/B 93,000/B 21,600/B
	28.5 – 31.5	SM / MH	30 – 36	Dense Hard	18 20	0 180	32 0	75,000/B 21,600/B
	31.5 – 36.0	MH	18 – 24	Very Stiff	20	0	34	135,000/B
	36.0 – 39.0	CL / MH	36 – 'refusal'	Hard	20	180	0	21,600/B
BH-54	0.0 – 9.0	MH / ML / CL	0	Very Loose / Very Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	9.0 – 12.0	MH / SC	Nov-13	Medium Dense / Stiff	17	100	0	12,000/B
	12.0 – 15.0	ML	0 – 3	Very Loose	16	0	28	5,400/B



Hole No.	Depth, m	Soil Description	SPT	Consistency / Relative Condition	$\gamma$	c (kPa)	$\phi$ (°)	$k_h$ (kPa/m)
BH-55	15.0 – 16.5	MH	17	Very Stiff	18	96	8	11,250/B
	16.5 – 30.0	SM / MH / SC	'refusal'	Very Dense	20	0	34	93,000/B
				Hard	20	180	0	21,600/B
	0.0 – 8.0	MH / ML	0 – 2	Very Loose / Very Soft	12	12	0	1,440/B
	8.0 – 30.0	SM / SP-SM / ML / MH	38 – 'refusal' [27]	Dense to Very Dense	19	0	34	47,500/B
				Hard	20	0	34	76,000/B
					20	180	0	21,600/B

The maximum thickness of soft soil in the project area is 15m. Therefore, the range of depth required to fill the reclamation area up to elevation +4m above Mean Low Low Water (MLLW) is **5 to 7 meters**. Since there are no available data on elevations of the tide and seabed in the area of the project site, it is assumed that the measured water level during drilling is the mean sea level (MSL).

From the Philippine Ports Authority Manual, the nearest port with tide records which shall be used as reference for this project is the Manila South Harbor. It is approximately 15km northeast of the project site. The recorded MSL is +0.49m (or approx. +0.50m) and MLLW is +0.00m.

### Soil Types

The Bureau of Soils and Water Management (BSWM) of the Department of Agriculture (DA) published and released soil maps of the Philippines. The maps aim to provide information on the type of surface soil the country is composed of to aid in land development, agriculture, etc. These characterization and evaluation of soil play a major role since different soil type/group affects the parameters that are used in a hydrologic study (i.e. peak discharge calculations).

Based on the Philippine Soil Series Map of the BSWM, the southern part of Metro Manila and lowland area of Cavite are underlain by Guadalupe Soil. Guadalupe soils are composed of weathering clay residuum, and waterlaid tuffaceous material that are very poorly drained on flat areas and a major lowland for soils. This soil type is categorized as coarse when dry, and sticky and plastic when wet.

### Water and Wind Erodibility Potential

The project site will be on water, soil pertains to seabed materials, which will not be subject to erosion.


### Sediment Sources

The San Juan/Ylang-ylang River and Imus River are the 2 nearest major waterways in the vicinity that will contribute sediments to Bacoar Bay, and specifically in the project area. Also, longshore currents coming into Manila Bay are protracted from Cavite Spit into Parañaque area and downwards to Bacoar Bay. This configuration of Bacoar Bay behind Cavite Spit has helped make it a catch basin of sediments from the mouth of Manila Bay. In fact, the bay is inherently progradational, meaning that the bay is shallowing with the steady deposition of sediments, and thus, the presence of wide mudflats.

### Riverbank Stability

The project shall be located offshore, and hence, the riverbanks will be at a distance from the site and shall not be affected. There will be no soil erosion nor loss of topsoil.





Source: BSWM

Figure 2.1-55 Philippine Soil Series Map

### Proposed Methodology for Compaction.

Ground improvement measures for densifying upper loose sand layer and strengthening bearing capacity include jet grouting, vibroflotation/vibro replacement, and pre-loading.

#### Jet Grouting

Jet grouting is a ground stabilization procedure which uses the principle of ultra-high pressure injection of cement grout (>100m/s) into the ground. It increases the soil bearing capacity of the underlying weak soil. Jet grouting can be employed in all types of soils, from clay to coarse gravel.

Jet grouting creates in situ geometries of soilcrete (grouted soil, 3000 – 6000 psi), using a grouting monitor attached to the end of a drill stem. The jet grout monitor is advanced to the maximum treatment depth, at which time high velocity grout jets (and sometimes water and air) are initiated from ports in the side of the monitor. The jets erode and mix the in-situ soil as the drill stem and jet grout monitor are rotated and raised. Jet grouts can be installed at angles from vertical to 45°.

#### Vibroflotation/Vibro Replacement

Vibro Replacement is an effective technique for improvement of soft clays, mixed deposits of clay, silt and sand with fines of more than 10%, and fine sands. It reduces the liquefaction potential of fine sands where the ground water table is at a shallow level.

Originally used for improving loose, granular soils, these techniques have been improved by NSCC to extend their range of application from loose granular soils to poor cohesive soils in which stone columns are built by the wet or dry method.

Stone columns refer to columns of compacted, gravel size stone particles constructed vertically in the ground to improve the performance of soft or loose soils. The stone can be compacted with impact methods, such as



with a falling weight or an impact compactor or with a vibroflot, the more common method. The method is used to increase bearing capacity (up to 5 to 10 ksf or 240 to 480 kPa), reduce foundation settlements, improve slope stability, reduce seismic subsidence, reduce lateral spreading and liquefaction potential, permit construction on loose/soft fills, and pre-collapse sinkholes prior to construction in karst regions.

A specific application is referred to as vibro piers. The process refers to short, closely spaced stone columns designed to create a stiff block to increase bearing capacity and reduce settlement to acceptable values. Vibro piers are typically constructed in cohesive soils in which a full depth predrill hole will stay open. The stone is compacted in 1 to 2 ft (0.4 to 0.8 m) lifts, each of which is rammed and compacted with the vibroflot.

### **Preloading with Prefabricated Drains (PVD)**

Surcharging alone can induce bearing failures and the settlement of soil may extend over a long period of time because of its low permeability. Vertical drains are installed together with preloading in order to shorten the drainage path of the pore water and accelerate settlement. Vertical drains are artificial drainage paths inserted into the cohesive soil layer allowing the water to flow faster in the horizontal direction towards the vertical drains. Since most clayey soils have higher horizontal permeability, water can flow faster into the drain and out of the soil rather than the conventional preloading where water can only flow vertically.

#### **2.1.3.2 Change in Soil Quality or Fertility.**

The soil of relevance in a reclamation project is the seabed that will be dredged, and thus, raise concern of possible migration of fugitive silt materials in other parts of the sea outside the reclamation project. If the dredged soils are not reused as fill materials, these could disperse to the other portions of the bay. Furthermore, silt dispersal during dredging could happen upon disturbance of seabed. During the sand-filling phase, silt dispersal could potentially occur as well. If such event happens, the quality of the seabed sediments may change.

Such concern, however, is essentially preempted with the following measures:

- Silt curtains will be placed in the periphery of the dredged area to trap any dispersed silts/soils.
- The unwanted soil is disposed outside of the project area in a dumping site to be approved by the PCG and DENR. Normally, this is located at a distance from the dredged area, in the deeper parts of Manila Bay over 20m depth. Special permits are to be secured for the disposal of the unwanted soil.
- If there are materials that will need to be disposed, laboratory tests shall be done on these materials for further checking of trace elements/metal content. If found to have contents beyond the Dutch standards, treatment/intervention shall be done before final disposal to a site onshore. Offshore disposal may be chosen if the materials do not contain elevated values of deleterious metals, and these shall be contained either through sand-bagging or covering with clay to avoid silt dispersal.
- Another method for handling the unwanted soil is the placement of such in sand bags and the reuse of these sand bags as fill materials for the reclamation.

At depths, the soils/sediments are essentially homogeneous over a large part of Manila Bay as they are geologically contiguous. These are the weathering derivatives of Guadalupe formation, a rock suite of volcanic materials predominantly made up of tuff and pyroclastics. Products of soil erosion from inflowing rivers likewise contribute to the sediment composition. These are the geogenic sources while the anthropogenic sources are the pollutants coming from landuse in the areas surrounding the bay.

Sediments are usually a useful medium of monitoring pollutants in aquatic systems due to their ability to accumulate contaminants while maintaining reasonable uniform composition (Larsen and Jensen, 1989;



Chapman, et.al., 1992). Most eroded materials are trapped in reservoirs, lakes and food plains or much of it is deposition in deltas, bays and estuaries (Gehm and Bregman, 1976).

The key parameters for the sediments are the metallic elements because silt dispersal could potentially occur to other areas of the Manila Bay outside of the project site, thereby transporting these elements. “Fertility” is not germane to this project because the site is offshore, hence, fertility is more relevant to projects that involve or which could impact on plantations and agriculture.

The **Dutch standards for soil remediation** shall be adopted by the Project Proponent until Philippine standard have been formulated.

The **TARGET VALUE** (Ref: email communications with LLDA) is the baseline concentration value below which compounds and/or elements are known or assumed not to affect the natural properties of the soil.

The **INTERVENTION VALUE** (Ref: email communications with LLDA) is the maximum tolerable concentration above which remediation is required. This occurs if one or more compounds in concentrations equal to or higher than the intervention value is found in more than 25 m<sup>3</sup> of soil or 1000 m<sup>3</sup> of ground water.

**Table 2.1-18. The Dutch Target/Intervention Values**

METALS	EARTH/SEDIMENT (mg/kg dry matter)		
	National Background Concentration (BC)	Target Value (incl BC)	Intervention Value
Antimony	3	3	15
Arsenic	29	29	55
Barium	160	160	625
Cadmium	0.8	0.8	12
Chromium	100	100	380
Cobalt	9	9	240
Copper	36	36	190
Mercury	0.3	0.3	10
Lead	85	85	530
Molybdenum	0.5	3	200
Nickel	35	35	210
Zinc	140	140	720

Sediment sampling was conducted on June 24, 2020 for two (2) sampling per island. The sampling station map is provided in the figure below while the test results are in Table 2.1-19 while the Sediment Sampling Map is presented in Figure 2.1-56. Based on the quality of sediments for Island A, parameters such as Arsenic, Lead, Mercury, Hexavalent Chromium show higher than the allowable limit for both Stations 1 and 2. The laboratory tests were conducted by CRL Environmental Corp attached in Annex 2.1-D, for Laboratory Results.



**Table 2.1-19. Test Results for Seabed Sediments**

Sample ID	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	Cadmium (mg/kg)	Pb (mg/kg)	Hg (mg/kg)	Cr +6 (mg/kg)	pH	Date Sampled	Date Reported
<b>Test Method</b>	ICP-OES	Flame AAS	Flame AAS	Manual Cold Vapor AAS	DCM (SM3500-Cr-B)	EPA 9045D		
<b>MDL</b>	1.0	0.32	2.41	0.1	0.1	0.1		
<b>SA1</b> N 14°-27'44.10" E 120°-54'09.67"	2.7	0.9	24	ND	ND	7.0	06/24/2020	7/6/2020
<b>SA2</b> N 14°-27'46.43" E 120°-54'19.54"	2.8	0.9	25	ND	ND	6.9	06/24/2020	7/6/2020

Source: CRL Environmental Corporation, July 6, 2020

It may be seen from the table that the soil properties at the site in Manila Bay are well within the intervention value to cause concerns of metallic interventions in the quality of Manila Bay waters.





Data Source: Base Map: 2020 GoogleEarth

Figure 2.1-56 Seabed Sediment Sampling Stations Location Map



## 2.1.4 Terrestrial Ecology


By way of background, based on the Manila Bay Coastal Strategy, one of the ecological values of the Manila Bay is the mangroves. At the turn of the 20th century, there were about 54,000 hectares of mangrove around the Bay. By 1990, only 2,000 hectares were recorded, and in 1995, only about 794 hectares remained (BFAR, 1995).

On the other hand, millions of shorebirds rest and feed in wetlands of Manila Bay area when flying south from their breeding grounds in the arctic tundra during September to April, and returning North during the short northern hemisphere summer of May to August.

As may be gleaned from recent photographs of the on shore areas fronting the project site, these areas are characterized by mangroves with terrestrial (flora and faunal species) being absent.

Mangroves are more appropriately discussed in **Chapter 2.2.5 – Marine Ecology**.

Photographs which include the other islands are provided below indicating therein the absence of terrestrial ecological resources except mangrove communities.



## IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Biodiversity involving terrestrial resources is deemed not significant for the project for the following reasons:

- The reclamation works will be undertaken at sea and distant from lands.
- The access links, roads and bridges are not components of the project and instead will be subject to separate EIA Report and applicable requirements of the PEISS.
- The migratory and important avian species are located at the Las Piñas-Parañaque Critical Habitat and Ecotourism Area (LPPCHEA) distant from the project site.





**Plate 2.1-2. Photographs of the onshore areas fronting project site**

Southern coast bordering proposed reclamation area. Big portion of coastline is planted with mangrove trees. Coordinates: in the vicinity of N 14.456711°; E 120.887667°



Dense built-up area in the “claw” of Cavite City





## **SUMMARY OF IMPACT MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING – LAND RESOURCES**

Based on the baseline conditions for Land discussed in the foregoing, the following are the identified impacts and the corresponding management and monitoring plans. Also included are issues raised during the Public Scoping.

**Table 2.1-20. Impact Management and Monitoring – Land Resources**

<b>IMPACTS</b>	<b>MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>MONITORING</b>
<b>PRE- CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>		
Not Applicable. The activities at sea which are largely survey works have been completed.		
<b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>		
Inconsistency or incompatibility with the operational plan of the Manila Bay	In general, the Vision of the Province and its CLUP and in particular the Reclamation Project will be consistent with the Manila Bay Coastal Strategy (MBCS)	Monitoring of Master Plans
Solid waste generation during construction phase	Reclamation activities do not generate debris and wastes; except domestic garbage from construction crews, which are disposed on shore according to RA 9003. No garbage disposal to the Manila Bay.	Inventory of solid wastes, principally garbage through records of amount of garbage disposed on shore.
Urban Renewal	The Project is supportive of the Province's Vision for Enhanced Urbanization	Monitoring of Master Plan
Aesthetics (Manila Bay sunset)	Viewing spot in the master plan	Monitoring of Master Plan for provision for viewing spot(s)
Change in subsurface geology	Reclamation will instead provide enhancement by improving soil properties	Monitoring of potential fugitive silt dispersals near the work areas
Erosion/sedimentation	Engineering design – to consider mitigation of impacts water changes in the bay profile.	Visual observation especially along the shorelines fronting the Project
	In-depth study (including simulations) on prevailing and predicted sedimentation patterns, wave transformation, longshore currents, tidal currents, wind patterns, bay morphology and bottom topography, etc.	
	Some areas in the vicinity will be shielded from erosion because the project will serve as barrier against strong waves that can cause erosion.	
Storm surges/storm waves/tsunamis and flooding on land and impacts of the proposed project during typhoons	Reclamation platform itself with wave deflector gives sheltering effect. Reclaimed lands are in fact known to serve as massive breakwater.	Recording of events
	Structural defense options are: seawalls at breakwaters, wave deflectors, other similar defenses such as revetment; angled bypass walls. Appropriate structure to be selected in the DED.	
	For the vertical structures, especially those facing the main body of the Bay, the structures are to be designed to resist wave forces, i.e.: lowest horizontal structure above wave crest; rigid connection of roof; lateral bracing; deep protective piles; placement of buildings on stilts or locate at higher levels; and with many openings on the ground floor.	
	Layout of the landuse and structures in the entire reclaimed land will provisions for easy "evacuation routes" in case of early and swift evacuation to elevated areas	





IMPACTS	MANAGEMENT	MONITORING
	Flood control infrastructure will be installed within the reclaimed land, i.e., adequate channels, drainages and runoff discharges to the open sea as well as non-blockage of river outfalls and other flood paths; pumping; retention tank, etc.	
	The design of structural flood defenses will account for possible overtopping but should not be over-estimated as this could also possibly cause trapping of floodwaters.	
	For riverine flooding, design will ensure outflow of floodwaters to Manila Bay will not be obstructed	
Subsidence, Settlement and Liquefaction	Caused by underground water extraction, which will not be undertaken.	Monitoring of ground level will be done during the period of soil stabilization. This is to determine quantitative surface movements with respect to both spatial and temporal rates. Known accurate measuring techniques include: InSAR (Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar) satellite imagery - time-series techniques; GPS surveys; leveling surveys; optical leveling; Laser Imaging Detection and Ranging (LIDAR); and field observations (ground truthing on buildings and infrastructure, including the use of extensometers).
	Engineering intervention. Design of containment using geotechnical survey baselines.	
	In-depth studies and monitoring to be undertaken settlement of reclaimed land (fill materials), incorporating cumulative effects of natural subsidence of foundation/underlying soil/rock.	
	Buildings and structures to be constructed will be founded on the solid bedrock or dense layer and appropriate foundation design will be put in place	
	Remediation options include: compaction – densifying sandy soil with vibration and impact; pore water pressure rod (vibro) compaction, dissipation – installing permeable drain pipes; cementation and solidification – mixing stabilizing material in sandy soil; replacement; lowering of groundwater level; shear strain restraint; preload; and structural measures. A combination of these methods has been found to be more effective. The choice of the remediation method will depend on site characteristics.	
	A settlement criterion shall be calculated and will include settlements that will develop in the natural subsoil and those that will develop in the reclamation fill from project handover to the end of project life	
	Fill materials will be fully engineered and compacted/densified. The soil remediation process that will increase the N-value should be advanced to the to the bottom of pre-existing alluvium.	
Ground shaking	Engineering intervention: structural and engineering designs to withstand ground shaking	Recording of events
	The computed “g” values of 0.449g will be utilized in the design of the structures and also serve as guide in the degree of soil remediation/compaction	
All known geohazards in the area	Public education, awareness and preparedness campaign to include each of the known hazards. This will include evacuation drills, placing of signages, and establishing alert systems.	Monitoring of geohazards throughout the construction phase through existing government forecasts and warning systems.



## CHAPTER 2.2 THE WATER

### 2.2.1 Hydrology/Hydrogeology

The succeeding discussions while made for the Island A Project, are essentially the same as for the other Islands ("C", "D" and "E") of Cavite Province Reclamation Project.

#### Groundwater in the Greater Metro Manila Area (GMMMA)

The major (confined) aquifers of Metro-Manila is found in groundwater formations underneath the Guadalupe Plateau and the Antipolo Plateau. The main aquifer is the one formed by the Guadalupe Formation, 15 to 45m thick, which covers 472 km<sup>2</sup> predominantly spanning the area of the NCR. This extends beneath the bed of Laguna Lake. Groundwater is stored and transmitted in this main aquifer by openings and fractures in the tuffaceous formation. This main aquifer is under pressure (artesian) and is separated from the overlying material by a semi-permeable or semi-confining layer, also called an aquitard. The semi-permeable layer separates the aquifer below and is responsible for creating a pressurized condition. However, in some parts of Metro-Manila where drawdowns of more than 50 m have been caused by over-pumping, the main aquifer has been converted to a water table aquifer.

Water is also stored in the materials above the confining layers of the main aquifer (water table aquifers). Alluvial sediments provide the medium or material for water table aquifers. These occur within the Manila Bay deltaic plain (50m thick), the Marikina Valley, and the alluvial deposits found at the periphery and bottom of Laguna Lake (100m thick). The layers confining the main pressurized aquifer in the predominantly tuffaceous strata and the water table aquifer in the overlying alluvial formation are not totally impermeable, however. Some "leakage" is believed to take place between the main pressurized (or confined) aquifer and the overlying water table aquifer. (Clemente R.S., et al., 2001)

Due to over-pumping, the water pressure in the artesian aquifer becomes lower than the hydrostatic head of the water table aquifer, thus, causing leakage in the downward direction. In this scenario, the overlying water table aquifer recharges the artesian aquifer below, and this is what is occurring in the Metro-Manila aquifer system. (Clemente R.S., et al., 2001)

In the lowland areas spanning Cavite City, Noveleta, and Rosario, there are 1,962 artesian wells and deep wells (1995) provide water supply for both domestic and irrigation purposes. Which have caused the salt water intrusion in the aquifers due to over-extraction. The groundwater in Cavite is depleting at a rate of 1m water level / year. In the upland areas of the province, groundwater is tapped mainly for domestic use through local water supply systems. There are two tanks with 400,000-gallon capacity in the CEZ and 10 Maynilad pumping stations in the area. The average surface water level is 5.20 m from a range of 3.05 m. to 12.07 m. The average specific capacity is 1.23 L/s/m and the average specific discharge is 5.88 L/s.

The hydrogeologic map is given in Figure 2.2-1. It is seen from this map that the onshore areas adjacent to the project site is within the classification of "Local and less productive aquifers with very low to moderate permeability".

The project will not involve underground water extraction as the water will be sourced from the water concessionaire. This fact is likewise relevant from the perspective of land subsidence in Metro Manila, which is generally attributable and accepted to be the major cause of land subsidence in the city.




Figure 2.2-1 Regional Hydrogeologic Map



### **2.2.1.1 Change in Drainage Morphology / Inducement of Flooding / Reduction in Stream Volumetric Flow**

#### **General Statements**

Changes in drainage morphology, inducement of flooding as a result of these changes, reduction in stream volumetric flow and identification of aquifers are significant considerations if the reclamation landform and the activities during the Construction Phase of the project will cause diversion and/or disturbance of the existing drainage system.

- The landform is located at sea;
- The existing drainage system on shore will not be disturbed; and
- The construction works on shore are those associated with the construction of the connecting points of the access ways

Figure 2.2-2 shows the nearest waterways to the proposed Island A in Cavite. The outfalls of Ylang-Ylang River (0.93 km away from the Project Site), Panamitan River (1.85 km away), and Imus River (1.1 km away). There are other small waterways (distributaries), which also drains to the coast and traversing the fishing pond areas south of the island A.

There are no bodies of freshwater, natural or manmade, the latter in the case of manmade drainage channels or canals that are located within the project site itself. From Figure 2.2-2, it is gleaned that these rivers will not be significantly affected by the project. Furthermore, there are no lakes within the project site.

In respect of climate change considerations, rainfall intensity is a key parameter in climate change projections. The project will not in any way affect rainfall. In the design of the reclamation drainage system, however, rainfall is an important input in the application of the relevant formula.

**Figure 2.2-2** shows the rivers adjacent to Island A and to the other islands of the total project (islands C, D and E) from which is gleaned that these rivers will not be significantly affected by the project. Furthermore, there are no lakes within the project site.

Concerning aquifers, these are likewise absent in and within the site and neither will there be groundwater extractions to serve the needs of the Project during the Construction Phase.

**Plate 2.2-1** is an initial conceptual master development plan for Island A and all the other Islands wherein may be gleaned that the landform is distant from the shore at which the existing drainage systems are located.







Source: Actual survey of project sites and waterways plotted on Google Earth. Arrows indicate flow direction.

Figure 2.2-2 The Project Site (Island A) in relation to Existing Surface Waters





Plate 2.2-1. Initial Master Development Plan for Island A and the Other Islands (C,D & E)






## Flood Studies

(by AMH Phils., Inc. May 2019)

### General Methodology

Plate 2.2-2. Flood Studies Process Flow Diagram



### Hydrologic Analysis:

A flood runoff-routing modelling software HEC-HMS was used in the study to estimate the Peak Discharge of the waterways with catchment size greater than or equal to 20 sq.km. Simulations were done for various return



period. The Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) was developed by the US Army Corps of Engineers to simulate the rainfall-runoff processes in the watershed systems.


### Hydraulic Analysis:

The river system was analyzed with the aid of HEC-RAS (Hydraulic Engineering Center River Analysis System). HEC-RAS is a public-domain program developed by the US Army Corps of Engineers that allows modelling of one-dimensional, two-dimensional, steady flow, unsteady flow, sediment transport/mobile bed computations, and water temperature modelling. For this study, HEC-RAS was utilized to simulate one-dimensional steady flow, or coupled one-dimensional and two-dimensional, unsteady flow on the river network for the multiple return period discharge hydrographs derived from the hydrologic calculations.

### General Topography

A topographic map and a bathymetric map are graphical representations of the topography of the landforms above and below the sea level, respectively. Both maps are represented through the use of contour lines corresponding to elevation values to illustrate the locations of vertical depressions and protrusions of the area.


The general topographic information for the project area was obtained from the National Mapping and Resource Information Authority (NAMRIA), under the Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) of the Philippines. Based on the stitched clipped topographic map of the Cavite (Map #3129-I), Muntinlupa City (Map #3229-IV), Quezon City (Map #3230-III), and Manila (Map #7172-II), the municipalities near the project site have relatively flat topography (Figure 3). Salt flats, which reportedly have been converted to fish ponds, are found south of Island A. Due to the sparseness of data, the local topographic features of the shore or site are not evident, and hence AMH requested remote sensing data in the form of IfSAR-based DTM's from NAMRIA (Figure 2.2-3).



Source: NAMRIA

**Figure 2.2-3**      **Stitched Topographic Map of the Project Site**





Source: NAMRIA

**Figure 2.2-4 IFSAR Data of the Project Site**

### Existing Waterways


Figure 2.2-2 shows the nearest waterways to the reclamation sites in Cavite. The outfalls of Ylang-Ylang River (0.93 km away from the Project Site), Panamitan River (1.85 km away from the Project Site), and Imus River (1.1 km away from the Project Site), which is one of the six (6) identified major rivers in Cavite, are located near Island A. There are other small waterways which also drain to the coast near the same Island, traversing the fishing pond areas south of the island A. Cañas River also drains to the Manila Bay, at south of Island E.

### Catchment Delineation

A watershed, also called a catchment or drainage basin, refers to the topographic area that collects and discharges surface stream flow through one outlet or mouth (Mays, 2005), termed as “control point” in this report. Watershed delineation is the process in which the boundaries of a watershed are identified by passing an imaginary line that traces the ridges and divides. The delineated area represents a “bowl” that drains into a lowest portion, known as the outfall or outlet.

The Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) from Japan’s Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) and Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (IFSAR) from NAMRIA Digital Elevation Models were used to delineate the extent of the catchments of the six (6) major outfalls near the reclamation site. The resulting delineations were overlain onto satellite imagery for checking. The resulting catchments were also verified using the NAMRIA 1:50,000 topographic map.





Source: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 2.2-5 Catchment Delineation of Waterway Discharge Points Near the Reclamation Sites






## Soil Map

Discussion and maps for soil are presented under Chapter 2.1.4. Pedology. Based on the Philippine Soil Series Map of the BSWM, the southern part of Metro Manila and lowland area of Cavite are underlain by Guadalupe Soil. These soils are composed of weathering clay residuum, and waterlaid tuffaceous material that are very poorly drained on flat areas and a major lowland for soils. This soil type is categorized as coarse when dry, and sticky and plastic when wet.

## Rainfall Data

The Rainfall Intensity Duration and Frequency (RIDF) Data is a tabulation of the rainfall depth (or equivalent rainfall intensity) corresponding to a selected storm duration and return period. These values are based on probabilistic analysis on the rainfall data collected for an extensive period of time. For this study, the RIDF data served as the basis for the design frequency storm used in the hydrologic analysis. The rainfall data from Sangley station will be used for the hydrologic analysis of the inland waterways due to their proximity, topography and length of record.




Source: Google Earth®

**Figure 2.2-6 Nearest RIDF Stations**

The Rainfall Intensity Duration and Frequency (RIDF) Data is a tabulation of the rainfall depth (or equivalent rainfall intensity) corresponding to a selected storm duration and return period. These values are based on probabilistic analysis on the rainfall data collected for an extensive period of time.

**Table 2.2-1. Recorded Extreme Values of Precipitation (in mm) – Sangley Station**

Return Period (yr)	5 min	20 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	3 hr	6 hr	12 hr	24 hr
5	28.3	41.8	50.8	64.6	89.8	106.8	140.3	174.0	209.4
10	33.6	49.7	60.2	76.7	107.3	128.2	169.2	210.0	250.1
25	40.3	59.6	72.1	91.9	129.5	155.2	205.8	255.5	301.5
50	45.3	66.9	80.9	103.3	146.0	175.2	233.0	289.3	339.7
100	50.3	74.2	89.7	114.5	162.3	195.1	259.9	322.8	377.6



Source: PAGASA Sangley Point Station

**Figure 2.2-7 Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Curves for PAGASA Sangley Point Station, Cavite**

Based on the RIDF data from PAGASA, RIDF curves were derived for Sangley Point, Cavite Station. The RIDF curves were used to develop design hyetographs for this study using the alternating block method. The alternating block hyetograph method determines the rainfall intensities for a specific increment of time.

For large catchment areas, rainfall of an extreme precipitation event is unlikely to be distributed uniformly over all subbasins. An area reduction factor may be applied to reduce the point rainfall and use the value as the basin rainfall depth. This can be calculated using the Horton's Formula:


$$r = r_o e^{[-0.1(0.386A)^{0.31}]}$$

Where

r	=	basin mean rainfall (mm)
r <sub>o</sub>	=	point rainfall (mm)
A	=	catchment area (km <sup>2</sup> )

The final design hyetograph for various return periods are presented in figure below.





Source: PAGASA Sangley Point

**Figure 2.2-8 Design Hyetograph, PAGASA Sangley Point Station RIDF Data**

The return period is the average interval of time within which the magnitude of a hydrologic event will be equaled or exceeded once, on the average. It is determined by taking the reciprocal of the probability of exceedance per year of an event. The probability of exceedance is determined by analyzing a set of data (e.g. rainfall depths, river gages, etc.) that has been collected for an extensive period of time. For example, a storm event with a probability of exceedance per year of 1% (0.01) is equivalent to a return period of  $1/0.01$  or 100 years.

### Climatological Normals

Climatological Normals are period averages computed for a uniform and relative long period comprising of at least three (3) consecutive 10-year periods. It summarizes the average values of rainfall, temperature, and wind speed per month.



**Table 2.2-2. Recorded Normal Values of Precipitation – Sangley Point**

	Rainfall		Temperature										Wind			No. Days w/	
Month	Amount	No. of RD	Max	Min	Mean	Dry Bulb	Wet Bulb	Dew Pt.	Vapor Pressure	Rel. Hum.	MSLP	DIR	SPD	Cloud	TSTM	LTNG	
	(mm)		(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(mbs)	%	(MBS)	(16 pt)	(mps)	(okta)			
JAN	16.9	4.0	30.0	23.3	26.6	26.8	23.9	22.8	27.7	79.0	1012.5	ESE	3	5	0	0	
FEB	11.1	2.0	30.8	23.6	27.2	27.4	24.1	22.9	27.7	76.0	1012.7	ESE	3	5	0	0	
MAR	9.4	2.0	32.7	24.6	28.6	28.7	25.0	23.7	29.1	74.0	1012.1	ESE	3	4	1	1	
APR	18.5	2.0	34.4	25.9	30.1	30.3	26.0	24.6	30.6	71.0	1010.5	ESE	3	4	2	5	
MAY	139.1	9.0	34.1	26.1	30.1	30.3	26.5	25.3	32.0	74.0	1008.8	ESE	3	5	11	16	
JUN	264.5	15.0	32.8	25.8	29.3	29.5	26.4	25.4	32.3	78.0	1008.4	ESE	3	6	14	18	
JUL	422.4	20.0	31.7	25.3	28.5	28.6	26.0	25.1	31.8	81.0	1008.0	W	3	6	16	17	
AUG	457.2	14.0	31.3	25.2	28.3	28.2	25.8	25.0	31.5	83.0	1007.6	SW	3	7	13	14	
SEP	341.8	19.0	31.4	25.2	28.3	28.4	25.9	25.1	31.7	82.0	1008.4	W	3	6	15	16	
OCT	224.3	15.0	31.4	25.3	28.4	28.4	25.8	24.9	31.4	81.0	1009.3	ESE	3	6	9	14	
NOV	110.5	11.0	31.1	25.0	28.1	28.1	25.3	24.3	30.3	80.0	1010.4	ESE	3	6	4	5	
DEC	62.7	7.0	30.0	23.9	27.0	27.1	24.3	23.3	28.4	79.0	1011.9	ESE	3	5	1	1	
ANNUAL	2078.4	127.0	31.8	24.9	28.4	28.5	25.4	24.4	30.4	78.0	1010.1	ESE	3	5	86	107	

Source: PAGASA Sangley Point Station

### Climatological Extremes

Climatological Extremes refer to maximum and, where applicable, minimum values of weather related data at a certain station as determined from a long record of data. These values include the precipitation, temperature, wind speed, and sea level pressure at the weather station and can be requested from PAGASA at monthly or annual extremes. It is from this data that tropical cyclone events which affected the project site in the past can be extrapolated.

**Table 2.2-3. Recorded Extreme Values of Precipitation – Sangley Point**

MONTH	TEMPERATURE (°C)				GREATEST DAILY RAINFALL (mm)		STRONGEST WINDS (mps)			SEA LEVEL PRESSURES (mbs)			
	HIGH	DATE	LOW	DATE	AMOUNT	DATE	SPD	DIR	DATE	HIGH	DATE	LOW	DATE
JAN	34.8	01-25-1999	19.0	01-03-1982	94.0	01-12-1977	17	ESE	01-19-2010	1023.4	01-16-1999	1001.9	01-31-2001
FEB	35.2	02-28-1998	18.0	02-01-1982	45.8	02-06-2016	15	ESE	02-27-1982	1019.9	02-03-1993	1005.4	02-21-2001
MAR	36.6	03-29-1981	19.1	03-25-1980	52.4	03-22-2013	24	ESE	03-23-1998	1020.5	03-05-2005	1003.9	03-06-1999
APR	37.8	04-07-1983	21.5	04-03-2007	53.9	04-24-1975	16	ESE	04-05-1996	1017.5	04-14-1993	1002.0	04-30-1988
MAY	38.5	05-16-1987	22.0	05-15-1980	237.1	05-26-1997	27	SW	05-22-1976	1015.5	05-25-1983	993.4	05-22-1976
JUNE	38.4	06-04-1987	22.0	06-16-1981	172.4	06-27-1985	25	SE	06-08-2011	1014.3	06-08-1997	997.6	06-28-2004
JULY	36.3	07-25-2007	21.2	07-15-1982	231.4	07-20-2002	54	E	07-13-2010	1013.8	07-29-1983	986.1	07-15-2014
AUG	36.5	08-16-2009	22.0	08-02-1994	475.4	08-19-2013	30	W	08-18-1990	1014.5	08-13-2005	998.1	08-17-1990
SEP	35.6	09-02-1996	21.0	09-18-1979	275.4	09-22-2013	44	NNW	09-28-2006	1015.6	09-18-2005	984.3	09-28-2006
OCT	35.8	10-08-1996	21.0	10-24-1988	260.7	10-05-1988	45	NW	10-21-1994	1016.4	10-27-1993	990.3	10-21-1994
NOV	36.4	11-08-1978	21.5	11-26-1982	171.2	11-02-2000	49	NW	11-03-1995	1017.5	11-30-1989	977.0	11-03-1995
DEC	34.0	12-06-1998	20.0	12-24-1985	131.3	12-10-2006	22	NNW	12-05-1993	1019.1	12-31-1992	997.9	12-02-2004
ANNUAL	38.5	05-16-1987	18.0	02-01-1982	475.4	08-19-2013	54	E	07-13-2010	1023.4	01-16-1999	977.0	11-03-1995
Period of Record	1974 - 2015				1974 - 2015		1974 - 2015			1974 - 2015			

Maximum Wind (MPS)

Lowest Pressure

### Flood Hazard Map


### MGB Flood Hazard Map

Philippine topography varies from lowlands to mountain ranges, valleys, alluvial plains and coastal rims. Major bodies of water such as rivers and lakes are dominant in the country. Being an archipelago, the country is surrounded by seas and ocean. Varying topography means varying susceptibility to different hazards such as landslides and floods. Since the country experiences an average of 20 typhoons every year, many parts of the country are prone to landslides and floods.



To address the issue on these possible hazards, landslide and flood susceptibility maps for the entire country were published by the Mines and Geosciences Bureau (MGB). These maps shown in were based on the 1:10,000 scale topographic maps by NAMRIA. Generally, rolling to steep terrains was classified for their susceptibility to landslides, while flatter and low-lying areas were classified for their susceptibility to flooding.

The MGB Flood Susceptibility Map shows that the project vicinity is low to moderately susceptible to flooding. Noveleta, which provides access to Cavite City from the south, is generally classified under high to very high flood susceptibility. Ylang-Ylang River, Panamitan River, Imus River, Cañas River and the other small waterways are expected to overflow during extreme rainfall events.



Source: MGB 2015

**Figure 2.2-9 MGB 1:10,000 Flood Susceptibility Map**

Other flood maps by MGB and UP Project NOAH as well as discussion on flooding are shown in **Chapter 2.1.2.3. Inducement of subsidence, liquefaction, mud / debris flows, etc.**

### HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

The peak runoff discharges on the waterways were determined using the Rational Formula. This method is applicable for watersheds with a total area less than 20 km<sup>2</sup>. The Rational Formula is expressed below.

$$Q = kCiA$$

Where  
Q = peak runoff discharge (cms)




k	=	unit conversion factor (k=0.278)
C	=	runoff coefficient
i	=	rainfall intensity (mm/hr)
A	=	catchment area (km <sup>2</sup> )

For catchment areas greater than 20 km<sup>2</sup>, a flood runoff-routing modelling software HEC-HMS was used in the study to estimate the Peak. The Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) was developed by the US Army Corps of Engineers to simulate the rainfall-runoff processes in the watershed systems. Simulations were done for various return period.

### Imus River Basin Model

The HEC-HMS basin model was generated based on the catchment delineation and parametrization using the available elevation datasets. shows the HEC-HMS model domain for Imus River Basin consisting of sub basins, reaches, and junction elements.



**Figure 2.2-10 HEC-HMS Model for Imus River Catchment**

The catchment area is characterized in terms of total area, flow length, slope, and land use. Two land cover conditions were considered: pre-development conditions and post-development conditions. The NAMRIA topographic maps and existing satellite images were utilized to determine the pre-development condition. As of the present, approximately 45% of the total catchment is paved, 26% is grass area, 17% is cultivated land, and the remaining 12% is forest and woodland are considered for the existing conditions.





The above-mentioned basin parameters were determined by processing maps and terrain data using ArcMap 10.2, a Geographic Information System (GIS) software. GIS software are designed to store, process, analyze and manage spatial datasets. Presented in **Error! Reference source not found.** are the sub basin characteristics of Imus River Catchments. The parametrization of the HMS Basin model was calculated based on these values.

**Table 2.2-4. Sub-basin characteristics of Imus Catchment**

SUBBASIN	AREA (SQ.KM.)	BASIN SLOPE (%)	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP
Imus (1)	16.20	2.31	D
Imus (2)	4.81	1.96	D
Imus (3)	0.74	2.18	D
Imus (4)	1.35	1.97	D
Imus (5)	13.80	1.52	D
Imus (6)	3.69	1.90	D
Imus (7)	9.20	0.76	D
Imus (8)	16.80	0.81	D
Imus (9)	3.20	1.04	D
Imus (10)	6.10	1.34	D
Imus (11)	5.29	1.47	D
Imus (12)	3.27	0.85	D
Imus (13)	2.88	0.29	D
Imus (14)	12.50	0.66	D
Imus (15)	1.68	0.48	D
Imus (16)	6.62	0.11	D
Imus (17)	0.59	0.41	D
Imus (18)	0.41	0.60	D
Imus (19)	1.51	1.23	D
Imus (20)	3.64	1.40	D
Imus (21)	1.10	1.25	D
Imus (22)	0.57	0.36	D
Imus (1)	16.20	2.31	D

After completing the watershed model and meteorological models, simulation for Imus River was done in HEC-HMS. The control specification for the simulation was set to 24 hours. Table below shows the calculated peak discharge at the control point.

**Table 2.2-5. Calculated Peak Discharges for Imus River**

Return Period (Probability of Occurrence)	Peak Discharge (cms)
10-year Return Period (10%)	342 m <sup>3</sup> /s
25-year Return Period (4%)	424 m <sup>3</sup> /s
50-year Return Period (2%)	486 m <sup>3</sup> /s
100-year Return Period (1%)	545 m <sup>3</sup> /s


### Ylang-ylang and Panamitan River Basin Models

The catchment area is characterized in terms of total area, flow length, slope, and land use. Two land cover conditions were considered: pre-development conditions and post-development conditions. The NAMRIA topographic maps and existing satellite images were utilized to determine the pre-development condition. As of the present, approximately 45% of the total catchment is paved, 26% is grass area, 17% is cultivated land, and the remaining 12% is forest and woodland are considered for the existing conditions.

The above-mentioned basin parameters were determined by processing maps and terrain data using ArcMap 10.2, a Geographic Information System (GIS) software. GIS software are designed to store, process, analyze




and manage spatial datasets. Presented in Table 2.2- 5 are the subbasin characteristics of Ylang-ylang River Catchments. The parametrization of the HMS Basin models was calculated based on these values.



**Figure 2.2-11 HEC-HMS Model for Panamitan River**

**Table 2.2-6. Subbasin Characteristics of Panamitan River Catchment**

SUBBASIN	AREA (SQ.KM.)	BASIN SLOPE (%)	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP
W9	12.40	0.39	D
W10	0.44	0.49	D






**Figure 2.2-12 HEC-HMS Model for Ylang-ylang River**


**Table 2.2-7. Sub-basin Characteristics of Ylang-ylang River Catchment**

SUBBASIN	AREA (SQ.KM.)	BASIN SLOPE (%)	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP
W1	18.10	3.07	D
W2	16.00	2.49	D
W3	25.10	1.22	D
W4	12.00	1.13	D
W5	4.60	0.34	D
W6	19.70	2.15	D
W7	23.60	1.91	D
W8	9.69	0.66	D

After completing the watershed model and meteorological models, simulation for Panamitan River and Ylang-ylang River were done in HEC-HMS. The control specification for the simulation was set to 24 hours. Figure 2.2-13 shows the calculated discharge hydrograph for the Ylang-ylang River, at the control point.



**Figure 2.2-13 Ylang-ylang River Discharge Hydrograph**



**Figure 2.2-14 Panamitan River Discharge Hydrograph**


#### HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

The river system was analyzed with the aid of HEC-RAS (Hydraulic Engineering Center River Analysis System). HEC-RAS is a public-domain program developed by the US Army Corps of Engineers that allows modelling of one-dimensional steady flow, unsteady flow, sediment transport/mobile bed computations, and water temperature modelling. For this study, HEC-RAS was utilized to simulate one-dimensional steady flow on the river network for the different peak runoff flows derived from the hydrologic calculations.

#### Imus River

The elevation data from the client-issued creek survey were used to generate the river sections of the waterways. Shown in Figure 2.2-15 is the geometry of the HEC-RAS models for Imus River. The sections were extended up to 20 meters beyond the banks to generate the floodplains wherein the floods will extend in the case that the river section is inadequate to contain the estimated river discharges.





**Figure 2.2-15 HEC-RAS Model and Sample Sections for Imus River**

**Table 2.2-8** shows the different parameters used in the hydraulic analysis of the two rivers using HEC-RAS. Different values of Manning's roughness coefficient were used for all the portions of the river (main channel and banks). The roughness coefficient is an empirical coefficient used to represent the surface roughness of the channel and overbanks, to take into account the energy loss due to the roughness/friction. The overbank is defined as the area above and beyond the main channel depression, in which water does not usually flow under normal conditions.

To simulate the pre-development conditions and post-development conditions, the water level values from the coastal engineering study were used as downstream control. Another simulation was done for the highest maximum historical water level at Manila South Harbor Tide Station.



**Table 2.2-8. HEC-RAS Model Parameters**

<b>Channel</b>	<b>Imus River</b>
<b>Flow Regime</b>	Subcritical
<b>Type of Flow</b>	Steady
<b>Downstream Control</b>	Known Water Level (MSL)  Extreme condition - Maximum Recorded Water Level = 1.48m Pre-development Conditions - Storm Tide Level = 1.2m* Post-development Conditions - Storm Tide Level= 1.2m*  *from coastal engineering study
<b>Manning's Coefficient for Channel</b>	0.03 for channel
<b>Manning's Coefficient for overbank</b>	0.013 for Concrete, 0.03 for Riprap, 0.04 for settlements

Source: AMH Philippines Inc, May 2019. Cavite Reclamation and Development Project: Final Report. Coastal Engineering Studies

The results and findings of the hydraulic analysis are as follows:

Downstream control - One of the scenarios simulated in HEC-RAS was the use of the highest historical recorded water level at the nearest station (Manila South Harbor) as the outfall conditions of the waterways. Inundation maps for the 100-year return period rainfall as shown in the figures below were plotted using RAS MAPPER, the floodplain mapping extension built-in the HEC-RAS Program. See **Figures 2.2-16 to 2.2-20**.

The blue areas reflect the flood extents, with darker shades indicating deeper water depths. Water level at the sample sections shown that even at a 10-year rainfall event, Imus River and its flood plains are at risk to experience flooding.



**Figure 2.2-16 Inundation map of Imus River for 10-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station)**




**Figure 2.2-17** Inundation map of Imus River for 25-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station)




**Figure 2.2-18** Inundation map of Imus River for 50-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station)





**Figure 2.2-19** Inundation map of Imus River for 100-yr Return Period (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station)





**Figure 2.2-20 Sample Cross-Sections of Imus River (based on highest historical water level at Manila South Tide Station)**

Downstream control – Water elevation at outfall for Pre-development condition (based on results of the coastal engineering study).

Inundation maps for the varying rainfall conditions as shown in the figures below were plotted using RAS MAPPER. Similar with the previous scenario, even at a 10-year rainfall event, Imus River and its flood plains are at risk of experience flooding.




Figure 2.2-21 Inundation map of Imus River for 10-yr Return Period (Pre-development and Post-development Condition)



Figure 2.2-22 Inundation map of Imus River for 25-yr Return Period (Pre-development and Post-development Condition)






Figure 2.2-23 Inundation map of Imus River for 50-yr Return Period (Pre-development and Post-development Condition)





Figure 2.2-24 Inundation map of Imus River for 100-yr Return Period (Pre-development and Post-development Condition)



**Figure 2.2-25 Sample Cross-Sections of Imus River (Pre-development and Post-development Condition)**

Downstream control – Water elevation at outfall for Post-development condition (based on results of the coastal engineering study).

Based on the storm tide levels for TS Rita, one of the past typhoon events critical to the project site, the water levels at the Imus River outfall for post-development condition is equal to the water levels for pre-development condition. In effect, the downstream control of the Hydraulic model for both scenarios are known water levels of equal values. **It can be concluded that the proposed reclamation sites will have negligible impact to the inland flooding of areas near Imus River.**

The results of the hydraulic analysis agree with the existing flood hazard maps. According to the MGB Flood Susceptibility Map, the extent of the river model is classified as highly to very highly susceptible to flooding.






Based on Project NOAH flood hazard map, the area is at risk of experience flood heights greater than 1.5m (high risk). The existing flood risk in the project area vicinity are not likely to be aggravated by the proposed reclamation project.


### Potential Effects of Backflow

To determine the potential effects of backflow due to high submergence at the outfalls (i.e. high wave run-up or potential storm surge generation during extreme typhoon events), HEC-RAS simulations for various outfall conditions were performed. It was determined that increasing depths of submergence caused the water level in the waterways to increase.

The results for Imus River are shown in the channel profiles plots in Figure 2.2-26 to Figure 2.2-29. **Effects of the increase in water level at tidal boundary water level is evident for all rainfall return periods.**



**Figure 2.2-26 Imus River Profile at Various Outfall Conditions (10-year Return Period)**



**Figure 2.2-27 Imus River Profile at various outfall conditions (25-year Return Period)**




Figure 2.2-28 Imus River Profile at various outfall conditions (50-year Return Period)




Figure 2.2-29 Imus River Profile at Various Outfall Conditions (100-year Return Period)

Figure 2.2-30 shows the velocity at Imus River outfall for various water levels. As shown, the velocity is decreasing as the water level increase, which indicates potential backflow effects.




Figure 2.2-30 Imus River Profile at Various Outfall Conditions (100-year Return Period)



### Ylang-ylang and Panamitan Rivers

The elevation data from the client-issued IFSAR DEM data was used to generate the model geometry of the Ylang-ylang River. The extent of the model is from Antero Soriano Bridge up to the outfall of the Ylang-ylang River near Island A of the proposed reclamation development.



**Figure 2.2-31 River Sections and 2D Flow area for Ylang-ylang and Panamitan Rivers**

The IFSAR DEM was used generate cross-sections along the waterway alignments. The waterway sections were limited to the riverbanks and 2D areas were delineated beyond the banks to generate the floodplains wherein the floods will extend in the case that the river section is inadequate to contain the estimated river discharges. Flood walls were modelled in the interface between the 1D river sections and the 2D flood plain areas.



Figure 2.2-32 Ylang-ylang River Sample Cross-Sections






Figure 2.2-33 Panamitan River Sample Cross-Sections



**Tables 2.2-9 and 2.2-10** shows the different parameters used in the hydraulic analysis of the two rivers using HEC-RAS. Different values of Manning's roughness coefficient were used for all the portions of the river (main channel and banks). The roughness coefficient is an empirical coefficient used to represent the surface roughness of the channel and overbanks, to take into account the energy loss due to the roughness/friction. The overbank is defined as the area above and beyond the main channel depression, in which water does not usually flow under normal conditions.

**Table 2.2-9. Parameters for Hydraulic Analysis- Ylang-ylang River**

<b>Channel</b>	Ylang-ylang River
<b>Flow Model</b>	Coupled 1D-2D
<b>Type of Flow</b>	Unsteady
<b>Manning's Coefficient for channel</b>	0.045
<b>Manning's Coefficient for overbank</b>	0.060

**Table 2.2-10. Parameters for Hydraulic Analysis - Panamitan River**

<b>Channel</b>	Panamitan River
<b>Flow Model</b>	Coupled 1D-2D
<b>Type of Flow</b>	Unsteady
<b>Manning's Coefficient for channel</b>	0.045
<b>Manning's Coefficient for overbank</b>	0.060

Downstream control – Water elevation at outfall for Pre-development condition (based on results of the coastal engineering study)

Inundation maps for the varying rainfall conditions during pre-development alongside with post-development scenarios, are shown in Figures 2.2-34 to 2.2-37 below. These were plotted using RAS MAPPER, the floodplain mapping extension built-in the HEC-RAS Program. The blue areas reflect the flood extents, with darker shades indicating deeper water depths. Flood levels reflected correspond to the 10-yr, 25-yr, 50-yr and 100-yr Return Period Rainfall. The flood extents in the inundation maps are based on the topographic map extents with limited extents based on the boundary of the 2D area applied. Sections below are limited to the 100 m section width but computation for flooding extends up to the 2D floodplain area.

The pre-developmental and post-developmental flood level for the sample cross sections of Ylang-ylang River are shown in Figures 2.2-38 to 2.2-39 and of Panamitan River in Figures 2.2-40 to 2.2-41.

Results of the simulations for 10-yr, 25-yr, 50-yr, and 100-yr return periods considering pre-developmental conditions yield flood values that reach up to 1.8 meters in depth particularly on the upstream areas preceding the junction near the Ylang-ylang River while flood levels reach up to 1.4 meters in the downstream portion of the study site where land use is predominantly fish pens and salt flats. Areas near the junction creek are generally susceptible to floods up to 1.7 meters.




Figure 2.2-34 Flood Inundation Maps - 10-year Return Period






Figure 2.2-35 Flood Inundation - 25-year Return Period






Figure 2.2-36 Flood inundation - 50-year Return Period




Figure 2.2-37 Flood Inundation -100-year Return Period






Figure 2.2-38 Ylang-ylang River Downstream Sections - (Sta 0+200 & Sta 1+560)






Figure 2.2-39 Ylang-ylang River Upstream Sections (Sta 2+000 & Sta 4+500)






Figure 2.2-40 Panamitan River Downstream Sections (Sta. 0+500 & Sta. 0+800)






Figure 2.2-41 Panamitan River Upstream Sections (Sta 3+800 & Sta 4+200)



## Internal Drainage System

The primary objective of hydrology study for the internal drainage system is to provide information on meteorology and climate and derived extreme rainfall frequencies for use in the hydraulic analysis. The design discharges of waterways through the area and reclamation drainage design discharges will be derived. Coastal analysis may also be included in the study.

Information from hydrology will be used in hydraulic analysis to derive dimensions of the inland channels, drainage layout pipes or channels and design tide levels of the project.

Basic data sources included or will include:

- 1) Sangley Point rainfall and climatological data were obtained from Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical Services Administration (PAGASA).

Data from Sangley Point, PASAGA Synoptic Station includes monthly historical climatological normals of rainfall, temperature, wind and number of rainy days that may not only be relevant during the planning and design stage but also during construction stage.

- 2) Sangley Point Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (RIDF) was from also PAGASA prepared by Hydrometeorological Data Application Section, Hydrology Division, PAGASA.

RIDF values were derived from data sets of historical record of extreme rainfall duration measurements in a day say 10 minutes to 24 hr duration. These sets of data were subjected to statistical analysis to derive the return periods. Statistical methods commonly used are Gumbel and Log Pearson 2 analysis.

The analysis will give return period that a value of extreme rainfall probability to occur again in a given time but that given time may occur any time.

- 3) Site development and grading plan prepared for the project.
- 4) Land use map
- 5) Areal map (Google Earth etc.)
- 6) Updated tidal levels from the Bureau of Coast and Geodetic Survey or from Hydrographic Division of the NAMRIA

## Design Discharge Estimates

### i. Rational Method

The Rational Method is based on the following empirical formula in which rainfall information and watershed characteristics (area, slope, and surface cover) are used to determine discharge.

$$Q = Q_i = 0.278CI_iA$$

Where:

- |                              |                          |   |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| $Q_i$<br>$C$<br>$I_i$<br>$A$ | $=$<br>$=$<br>$=$<br>$=$ | The design discharge, m <sup>3</sup> /sec<br>The runoff coefficient C equal to 1 for mostly paved areas.<br>The rainfall intensity in minute<br>The catchment area in km <sup>2</sup><br>For Node 1 or Manhole 1, $Q_1 = CI_1A_1$ ; for Manhole 2, $Q_2 = CI(A_1+A_2)$ and so on $Q_n = CI(A_1+A_2+A_3...+A_n)$ until the outfall |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|

## Catchment Area Delineation



Catchment area per manhole will be drawn based from the site grading and roads of the project. Assignment of runoff coefficient will also depend from the existing or future land use of the area.

**Rainfall Intensity – Duration – Frequency** For catchment area not more than 10 km<sup>2</sup> and in an urban drainage, design discharges were estimated using the Rational Formula using the RIDF data mentioned earlier, Rainfall depth duration and rainfall intensity duration frequency curves are illustrated in Figures 2.2-42 below and 2.2-4 above.

**Table 2.2-11. Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Analysis Data**

SANGLEY POINT - COMPUTED EXTREME VALUES (in mm) OF PRECIPITATION, Based on 19 years of record

T (yr)	10 min	20 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	180 min	360 min	720 min	1440 min
2	20.3	30.0	36.6	46.4	63.2	74.6	96.6	119.6	147.9
5	28.3	41.8	50.8	64.6	89.8	106.8	140.3	174.0	209.4
10	33.6	49.7	60.2	76.7	107.3	128.2	169.2	210.0	250.1
15	36.6	54.1	65.5	83.5	117.2	140.3	185.6	230.3	273.1
20	38.7	57.2	69.2	88.3	124.2	148.7	197.0	244.6	289.1
25	40.3	59.6	72.1	91.9	129.5	155.2	205.8	255.5	301.5
50	45.3	66.9	80.9	103.3	146.0	175.2	233.0	289.3	339.7
100	50.3	74.2	89.7	114.5	162.3	195.1	259.9	322.8	377.6

Source: PAGASA Sangley Point Station

**Table 2.2-12. COMPUTED EXTREME VALUES (in mm) OF PRECIPITATION, (19 years record)**

T (yr)	10 min	20 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	180 min	360 min	720 min	1440 min
2	20.3	30.0	36.6	46.4	63.2	74.6	96.6	119.6	147.9
5	28.3	41.8	50.8	64.6	89.8	106.8	140.3	174.0	209.4
10	33.6	49.7	60.2	76.7	107.3	128.2	169.2	210.0	250.1
15	36.6	54.1	65.5	83.5	117.2	140.3	185.6	230.3	273.1
20	38.7	57.2	69.2	88.3	124.2	148.7	197.0	244.6	289.1
25	40.3	59.6	72.1	91.9	129.5	155.2	205.8	255.5	301.5
50	45.3	66.9	80.9	103.3	146.0	175.2	233.0	289.3	339.7
100	50.3	74.2	89.7	114.5	162.3	195.1	259.9	322.8	377.6

Source: PAGASA Sangley Point Station

**Table 2.2-13. Hydrometeorological Data Applications Section (HMDAS)  
Equivalent Average Intensity (in mm/hr) of Computed Extreme Value**

T (yrs)	10 min	20 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	180 min	360 min	720 min	1440 min
2	112.5	90.0	73.2	46.4	31.6	24.9	16.1	10.0	6.2
5	169.7	125.5	101.6	64.6	44.9	35.6	23.4	14.5	8.7
10	201.6	149.0	120.4	76.7	53.7	42.7	28.2	17.5	10.4
15	219.6	162.3	131.0	83.5	58.6	46.8	30.9	19.2	11.4
20	232.2	171.5	138.5	88.3	62.1	49.6	32.8	20.4	12.0
25	242.0	178.7	144.2	91.9	64.8	51.7	34.3	21.3	12.6
50	271.9	200.7	161.8	103.3	73.0	58.4	38.8	24.1	14.2
100	301.6	222.6	179.3	114.5	81.2	65.0	43.3	26.9	15.7

Source: PAGASA Sangley Point Station






Figure 2.2-42 Rainfall Depth Duration Curves, Sangley Point, Cavite City

### Time of Concentration

Time of concentration is the time required for a run-off to travel from most remote point in the watershed to point of interest. Time of concentration used in the estimate of rainfall intensity (I) and computed from this equation:

$$t_c = \frac{L}{51H^{0.38}}^{1.15}$$

where :

- tc - time concentration in minutes. In urban area a minimum value of 5 minutes is set for time of concentration and increases with length the water travels
- L - length of longest water course in watershed in meters
- H - difference in elevation between the highest point of the watershed and point under consideration in meter

### Coefficient of Runoff

Coefficient of runoff, C is a factor that represents that portion of runoff which results from a unit of rainfall. It is dependent on the terrain and topography. The rate of runoff to precipitation which takes into account that not all of the rainfall flows as runoff due to terrain and topography like ground cover and terrain condition and land use.



In commercial areas wherein most of the ground surface is paved a higher value of coefficient will be adopted. In flooded and clogged areas, the coefficient of runoff will approach to 1 depending on the degree of flooding. The values of run-off coefficients specified for types of terrain and ground cover is shown in **Table 2.2-14**.

**Table 2.2-14. Theoretical Values of Runoff Coefficient C (Adopted by DPWH)**

Watershed Condition	Recommended Range of C Values
Concrete or Asphalt Pavement	0.90 - 1.00
Steep Mountainous Area	0.75 - 0.90
Alluvial Deposits in Mountainous Area	0.70 - 0.80
Silt and Sand (Upstream and Downstream)	0.50 - 0.75
Flat Agricultural Area	0.45 - 0.60
Paddy Field With Water	0.70 - 0.80
River in Mountainous Area	0.75 - 0.85
Rivers in Flat Plain Area	0.45 - 0.75
Major River in Flat Plain Area	0.50 - 0.75
Rocky Surface	0.70 - 0.90
Residential Area (City)	0.30 - 0.60

### Hydraulic Analysis

Hydraulic design and investigation will be conducted based from the updated DPWH design criteria as tabulated in **Table 2.2.15**.

**Table 2.2-15. DPWH Updated Hydraulic Design Criteria**

Structure	Return Period, years	
	Design	Checking
Dams/Major Bridges (CA>40km <sup>2</sup> )	100	-
Minor Bridges (CA<40km <sup>2</sup> )	50	100
Tunnel	100	-
Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts	25	50
Reinforced Concrete Pipe Culverts	15	25
Embankment	15	-
Side Ditches and Surface Drainage/Gutter	5	-

### Urban Drainage

Based from the computed design Q, pipe sizes will be estimated node to node or manhole to manhole from this basic equation:

$$Q = AV$$

Where:

A = Flow are

V = Mean Velocity, m/sec computed by Manning's Equation,

$$V = \frac{1.49 R^{2/3} S^{1/2}}{n}$$

Where :

V = Design Velocity, m/sec



n = Manning's Roughness coefficient  
R = Hydraulic Radius  
S = Design slope

**Table 2.2-16. Values of Manning's Roughness Coefficient "n"**

Type of Material	n	
Glass, plastic, mechanical metal	0.010	
Dressed timber, joints flush	0.011	
Sawn timber, joints uneven	0.014	
Cement plaster	0.011	
Concrete, steel troweled	0.012	
Concrete, timber forms, unfinished	0.014	
Untreated unite	0.015	- 0.017
Brickwork or dressed masonry	0.014	
Rubble set in cement	0.017	
Earth, smooth, no weeds	0.020	
Earth, some stones and weeds	0.025	
<i>Natural river channels:</i>		
Clean and straight	0.025	- 0.030
Winding, with pools and shoals	0.033	- 0.040
Very weedy, winding and overgrown	0.015	- 0.300
Clean straight alluvial channels	$0.031d^{1/6}$	
	(d=D-75 size in ft.)	

### Pavement Drainage

Pavement drainage is necessary to maintain highway traffic safety. Water on the pavement can interrupt traffic and cause accidents due to skidding and hydroplaning. Hydroplaning can reduce driver's visibility and cause difficulty in driving due to splash and spray as vehicle wheels encounters puddles.



Table 2.2-17. Pavement Drainage-Inlet Spacing Computation Sheet Conceptual and For Illustration Purposes Only

GUTTER FLOW						INLET SPACING													
Curb-opening Inlet		W =	0.30	M	Design Criteria	Curb-opening Inlet Intercepted Flow		C <sub>w</sub> =	1.60	m	Design Criteria								
		L =	1.00	M				L =	1.00	m									
Allowable Spread, Legends		n =	0.015	M	Allowable Spread, Legends	Allowable Spread, Legends		C =	0.80	I =	421.08	m/hr	If Q <sub>i</sub> > Q, PASSED						
		K <sub>u</sub> =	0.376					T =	2.00		m								
		T =	2.00					HP =	High Point										
		HP =	High Point					LP =	Low Point										
		LP =	Low Point																
ROAD 2B						Road width		22	m	ROAD 2B						Road width		22	m
Station		Length (m)	S <sub>L</sub> m/m	S <sub>x</sub> m/m	Q	Station		Spacing	Q	S <sub>x</sub>	T	d	Q <sub>i</sub>	REMARKS					
LP-HP/HP-LP		m	m/m	m/m	m³/sec	LP-HP/HP-LP		m	m³/sec	m/m	m	m	m³/sec						
0+000.00	1+037.88	1037.88	0.00300	0.02	0.00711	0+000.00	1+037.88	12.00	0.01245	0.02	2.00	0.04	0.0128	OK					
ROAD 2G						Road width		30	m	ROAD 2G						Road width		30	m
Station		Length (m)	S <sub>L</sub> m/m	S <sub>x</sub> m/m	Q	Station		Spacing	Q	S <sub>x</sub>	T	d	Q <sub>i</sub>	REMARKS					
LP-HP/HP-LP		m	m/m	m/m	m³/sec	LP-HP/HP-LP		m	m³/sec	m/m	m	m	m³/sec						
0+000.00	0+570.00	570.00	0.00300	0.02	0.00711	0+000.00	0+570.00	9.00	0.01273	0.02	2.00	0.04	0.0128	OK					
0+570.00	1+077.71	507.71	0.00396	0.02	0.00840	0+570.00	1+077.71	9.00	0.01273	0.02	2.00	0.04	0.0128	OK					
ROAD 2H						Road width		30	m	ROAD 2H						Road width		30	m
Station		Length (m)	S <sub>L</sub> m/m	S <sub>x</sub> m/m	Q	Station		Spacing	Q	S <sub>x</sub>	T	d	Q <sub>i</sub>	REMARKS					
LP-HP/HP-LP		m	m/m	m/m	m³/sec	LP-HP/HP-LP		m	m³/sec	m/m	m	m	m³/sec						
0+027.04	1+060.00	1032.96	0.00368	0.02	0.00804	0+027.04	1+060.00	9.00	0.01273	0.02	2.00	0.04	0.0128	OK					
1+060.00	1+864.83	804.83	0.00326	0.02	0.00747	1+060.00	1+864.83	9.00	0.01273	0.02	2.00	0.04	0.0128	OK					





### **2.2.1.2 Change in Stream / Lake Water Depth**

There are no streams, rivers and lake water bodies in the impact areas that the Proposed Reclamation Project will disturb or divert.

### **2.2.1.3 Depletion of Water Resources / Competition in Water Use**

Noting the following features of the Project:

- Only the reclamation works are included in the application for an ECC.
- Activities (reclamation and dredging) are confined to the project site which is at sea
- These activities are dry in nature; no water is used except by the construction crews for domestic purposes.
- The water supply for the construction crew is from purchase of bottled water onshore.

The following statements are thereby made:

- There are no current or projected water use sourced from ground or from the surface water in the project area and adjacent areas.
- There are no springs and wells in the project area and adjacent areas.
- There are no competitions in water use.

The PAGASA medium to long term projects are deemed not germane to the reclamation works with respect to water sourcing.

Water usage and potential competition during the Operations Phase are recognized. The baseline information on water supply for the City are provided in Chapter 2.4.4. The water balance will be subject to more in-depth studies for the master planning for the operations phase and will include water sourcing such as from rain harvesting in addition to the sourcing of supply from the water concessionaires.

## **2.2.2 Oceanography**

### **2.2.2.1 Change in Water Circulation Pattern, Littoral Current, and Coastal Erosion and Deposition**

#### **2.2.2.1.1 Bathymetric Survey, Measured Bathymetry and Bathymetric map**

Bathymetry is a key baseline in the evaluation of oceanography

### **General Bathymetry**


Bathymetric maps are graphical representation of the seabed through the use of contour lines corresponding to elevation values to illustrate the locations of vertical depressions and protrusions of the area. These maps are usually measured from the Mean Tide Level (MTL), while depth soundings are measured from Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW). The following charts have been collected:

- NAMRIA Nautical Chart 1501 (Manila Bay and Approaches),
- NAMRIA Nautical Chart 4243 (Manila to Cavite),
- NAMRIA Nautical Chart 4236 (Fairways and Anchorages), and
- General Bathymetry Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) which provides gridded depth points at 30 arc-second intervals (~1 km).

Based on the NAMRIA topography and the nautical map of Manila Bay and Approaches shown in Figure 2.2-43, the bathymetry of the project site is mild; at one location the depth of only 10m is reached at 2.5 km away from the shore, where at another location the 10m depth only materializes at 5km from the shore. Manila Bay generally has a mild slope; at one location the depth of only 10m is reached at 2.5km away from the shore,




where at another location the 10m depth only materializes at 5 km from the shore. Figure 2.2-43 shows a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the bathymetry in the vicinity of the project area, overlain on Google Earth satellite imagery, derived from various Nautical Charts available for Manila Bay.



Source: Google Earth and NAMRIA

Figure 2.2-43 Bathymetric Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the Project Area



Source: NAMRIA Chart#1501

Figure 2.2-44 NAMRIA Nautical Chart - Manila Bay and Approaches



### USLE/Similar modelling when applicable

The bathymetric information above are considered sufficient and complete for the purpose of modeling. The Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) is deemed not applicable as the USLE relates to estimation of soil cover loss due to surface runoff action from upstream of a catchment area. Since the project is an island development and the project site is water, this approach is not applicable.

### Meteorological Data

Meteorology, being another key baseline in oceanographic assessment is presented hereunder, notwithstanding that similar discussions are separately made in Chapter 2.3 ("Air" Module)

The Philippine Astronomical and Geophysical Services Administration (PAGASA) installed several surface synoptic stations, agro-meteorological stations, and other weather stations that collect meteorological, astronomical, and climatological information over the country. The weather station that is nearest to the project site and has comparable meteorological condition is the Sangley Point station in Sangley Point, Cavite, which is approximately 7.0 kilometers north of the property and has 19 years of record. See Figure 2.2-6 above.

Climatological extremes refer to maximum and minimum values of weather-related data at a certain station as observed and determined from a long record of data. These values include the precipitation, temperature, wind speed, and sea level pressure at the weather station and can be requested from PAGASA at monthly or annual extremes. The climatological extremes of the NAIA Station as of 2016, as of 2016 are shown in Table 2.2-18. For Sangley Point Station, this is previously shown in Table 2.2-3 under Chapter 2.2.1.1.1 above. This table contains the highest winds in meters per second (MPS) and lowest pressures in millibars (MBS). Generally low sea level pressures (highlighted in blue) coupled with high wind speeds (highlighted in red) correspond to a strong typhoon tracking close to the weather station.

Table 2.2-18. Summary of Climatological Extremes of NAIA Station

MONTH	TEMPERATURE (°C)				GREATEST DAILY RAINFALL (mm)		STRONGEST WINDS (mps)			SEA LEVEL PRESSURES (mbs)			
	HIGH	DATE	LOW	DATE	AMOUNT	DATE	SPD	DIR	DATE	HIGH	DATE	LOW	DATE
JAN	35.8	01-07-1989	14.8	01-18-1961	55.3	01-03-1970	20	ENE	01-12-1986	1022.3	01-27-1987	1004.4	01-01-1950
FEB	35.1	02-21-1998	14.6	02-01-1962	16.5	02-27-1950	20	E	02-28-1988	1021.4	02-01-1998	1003.8	02-21-2001
MAR	36.5	03-30-1978	16.0	03-03-1963	36.0	03-07-2011	26	E	03-29-1992	1021.1	03-02-1987	1002.4	03-06-1999
APR	37.8	04-23-1948	18.7	04-01-1994	63.0	04-04-1992	22	ESE	04-06-1986	1019.9	04-23-1987	1002.8	04-21-2001
MAY	38.2	05-18-2014	19.1	05-11-1950	229.1	05-27-1960	31	SW	05-22-1976	1015.9	05-09-1957	992.2	05-17-1989
JUNE	38.0	06-02-1991	20.0	06-22-1954	353.8	06-01-1958	36	S	06-29-1964	1016.0	06-07-1997	974.6	06-29-1964
JULY	36.4	07-26-2016	18.3	07-28-1948	472.4	07-20-1972	36	W	07-08-1986	1014.9	07-07-1953	990.1	07-16-2014
AUG	35.2	08-29-1989	17.4	08-09-1949	401.8	08-10-1947	30	WSW	08-16-1984	1015.2	08-12-1958	992.8	08-24-1978
	35.2	08-19-2014											
SEP	35.2	09-02-2013	19.1	09-15-1950	228.9	09-08-1963	40	NNW	09-28-2006	1016.2	09-18-2005	986.7	09-30-1995
OCT	36.0	10-24-1976	18.0	10-23-1981	274.5	10-09-1978	27	W	10-18-1985	1017.0	10-25-1986	977.9	10-14-1970
NOV	35.8	11-17-1972	17.2	11-26-1949	121.7	11-14-1977	56	W	11-19-1970	1019.4	11-03-1989	899.4	11-03-1995
DEC	34.2	12-29-1978	16.3	12-18-1955	125.5	12-15-2015	25	NW	12-30-1950	1020.9	12-08-1960	995.5	12-02-2004
ANNUAL	38.2	05-18-1969	14.6	02-01-1962	472.4	07-20-1972	56	W	11-19-1970	1022.3	01-27-1987	899.4	11-03-1995
Period of Record	1947 - 2015				1949 - 2015		1950 - 2015			1950 - 2015			

Maximum Wind (MPS)

Lowest Pressure

Source: PAGASA

### Historical Typhoons


A tropical cyclone is caused by large temperature differences between the sea surface and the overlying atmosphere. Water vapor rises from the sea surface releasing latent heat that decreases atmospheric pressure, and induces atmospheric currents that further affect the sea surface. This interaction of the seawater with the atmosphere, together with the effect of the earth's rotation, can cause the seawater to swirl into a vortex with a translational motion, with a large pressure drop at the center and extreme wind speeds and





gustiness around it. In the Philippines classification system, a tropical storm is formed when sustained gust speed reach 61 kph (16.94 mps), and a typhoon when gust speeds reach 117 kph (32.5 mps). Tropical storms and typhoons are thus characterized by a large atmospheric pressure drop ( $\Delta P_c$ ), extreme gusts with sustained wind speed ( $V_{max}$ ), and some translational or forward speed of their centers ( $V_f$ ). The size of typhoons is associated with the radius from the center ( $R_{max}$ ) to where the wind gusts reach their maximum speeds, while the strength of the typhoon is associated with both the maximum wind speed,  $V_{max}$ , and the cyclone's lifetime.

To determine the potentially critical typhoons, which could affect the project site, all typhoons whose tracks passed within a 200 km radius from the site were determined from secondary data (Figure 2.2-45). From this long list, the top five (5) strongest typhoons in terms of wind speed were further selected, with their properties shown in Table 2.2-3. The individual tracks of the top five typhoons are shown in Figure 2.2-46.




Source: Digital Typhoon

Figure 2.2-45 Typhoon Tracks within 200km of Project Site

Table 2.2-19. Top 5 Historical Typhoons Tracked within 200km Radius of the Site Based on Wind Speed

	Name Int'l / Local	JMA No.	Duration	Vmax (kph)	Rmax (km)	Pc (hPa)	Relative Track to the Site
1	Rita / Kading	197826	11 Days 18 Hours	203.72	14.42	905	S
2	Georgia / Ruping	198622	4 Days 6 Hours	198.57	17.33	920	S
3	Patsy / Yoling	197025	8 Days 0 Hours	192.66	18.31	925	S
4	Betty / Herming	198709	8 Days 0 Hours	185.20	19.28	930	S
5	Koppu / Lando	201524	7 Days 18 Hours	185.20	18.31	925	N





Source: Digital Typhoon

Figure 2.2-46 Individual Tracks of Top 5 Typhoons


### Wind Rose Data

A wind rose diagram represents the frequency of winds blowing from particular directions. It uses sixteen (16) cardinal directions—North (N), North-northeast (NNE), Northeast (NE), East-northeast (ENE), East (E), East-southeast (ESE), Southeast (SE), South-southeast (SSE), South (S), South-southwest (SSW), Southwest (SW), West-southwest (WSW), West (W), West-northwest (WNW), Northwest (NW), and North-northwest (NNW). The PAGASA wind station at Sangley Point was used to determine the wind conditions at the project site.



From the annual wind rose diagram (Figure 2.2-47), it is evident that the prevailing critical wind directions are from the west northwest, west, west southwest, and southwest. Although there are other prevailing directions, these are not as critical due to exposure of the project site to winds coming from the West Philippine Sea.

The monthly wind rose diagram (Figure 2.2-48) shows the variation of the wind directions over the entire year. The northeasterly winds occur during the amihan season from November to April, while the southwesterly winds occur during the habagat season from June to September. The remaining months are considered transition months between the two seasons.



Source: PAGASA

**Figure 2.2-47 Annual Wind Rose Diagram at Sangley Point Station**



Source: PAGASA


Figure 2.2-48 Monthly Wind Rose based on the Sangley Point Station

### Tide Data/ Tidal Analysis


Tide levels are the horizontal planes representing averaged vertical positions of the sea surface at a particular site as influenced by astronomical effects such as the combined effects of the gravitational forces of attraction between the earth, sun, and moon, and modified by the land masses on the earth's surface. These levels are determined from daily sea surface fluctuation recordings over a period of at least nineteen (19) years. In general, the levels are typically noted as the Mean Tide Level (MTL), Mean High Water (MHW), Mean Higher High Water (MHHW), Mean Low Water (MLW), and Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW).



Tides in the Philippines vary from diurnal (high tide occurs once a day) to semi-diurnal (high tide occurs twice a day), depending on the location and date as illustrated in Figure 2.2-49. Up to Day Four in the figure, there are two high tides and two low tides per day (semi-diurnal); after which, the tides become diurnal again. The Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) corresponds to the average of all higher high tide (during semi-diurnal seasons) and high tide (during diurnal seasons) levels, while the Mean High Water corresponds to the average of all high tides (including the lower high tide). The corresponding MLLW and MLW follow the same principle.



The location and data of nearby tide stations are shown in Figure 2.2-50 and Table 2.2-20, respectively. The nearby stations consist of one (1) primary station (Manila South Harbor) and two (2) secondary station (Puerto Azul & Mariveles).



Source: Google Earth

**Figure 2.2-50 Tide Station Location**





**Table 2.2-20. Tide Station Information**

Name	Type	Lat	Long	Municipality	BM	EL. (MTL)
Manila South Harbor	Primary	14°35'N	120°58'E	South Harbor, Manila	BM 66	1.30
Mariveles Harbor	Secondary	14°26'N	120°30'E	Mariveles, Bataan	BM 1	2.696
Puerto Azul	Secondary	14°47'N	120°41'E	Ternate, Cavite	BM 2A	3.386

Shown in Table 2.2-21 are the tide data recorded and tide statistics in the Manila South Harbor, Mariveles Harbor, and Puerto Azul stations. For every station, tide data indicating the mean, high, and low elevations are presented. The closest tide station to the project site is the Manila South Harbor station, which has a mean tidal range of 1.0m – the difference between the mean higher high water (MHHW) and mean lower low water level (MLLW).

**Table 2.2-21. Tide Data in Manila Bay**

Station	Tide Elevation (m)						
	HHWL Highest Observed	MHHW Mean Higher High Water	MHW Mean High Water	MTL Mean Tide Level	MLW Mean Low Water	MLLW Mean Lower Low Water	LLWL Lowest Observed
Manila South Harbor	1.475	0.51	0.39	0	-0.38	-0.49	-1.635
Mariveles Harbor	1.083	0.50	0.42	0	-0.41	-0.48	-0.977
Puerto Azul	1.293	0.51	0.42	0	-0.42	-0.49	-0.967

Note: All heights are referred to mean tide level (MTL) in meters.

Shown in Tables 2.2-22 to 2.2-23 are the available data of the annual highest and lowest tide level at each station and the dates when the tidal extremes occurred.

**Table 2.2-22. Annual Tidal Extremes for the Manila South Harbor Station**

Year	Highest tide level			Lowest tide level		
	Month	Date	Meter	Month	Date	Meter
1997	August	18	0.975	December	31	-0.885
1998	November	22	1.095	January	28	-0.885
1999	April	22	1.085	February	14	-0.805
2000	July	4	1.205	December	13/14	-0.665
2001	June	30	1.205	January	10	-0.715
2002	July	11	1.175	February	26	-0.905
2003	October	5	0.985	December	24	-1.265
2004	December	14	1.005	December	14	-0.975
2005	June	24	1.205	February	8	-0.985
2006	August	9	1.415	December	22	-0.865
2007	November	26	1.295	December	25	-0.895
2008	December	14	1.365	January	21	-0.935
2009	June	24	1.395	January	12	-0.905
2010	August	8	1.225	January	30	-0.885
2011	September	27	1.475	January	19	-0.715



Year	Highest tide level			Lowest tide level		
	Month	Date	Meter	Month	Date	Meter
2012	July	30	1.345	December	15	-0.715
2013	October	12	1.275	January / December	12/5	-0.665
2014	July	16	1.305	January / December	2/24	-0.695
2015	September	27	1.075	January	22	-0.685
2016	October	20	1.165	January	11	-0.685

Note: all heights are referred to mean tide level (mtl) in meters.

Source: NAMRIA

Table 2.2-23. Annual Tidal Extremes for the Mariveles Harbor Station

Mariveles Harbor						
Year	Highest tide level			Lowest tide level		
	Month	Date	Meter	Month	Date	Meter
2002	July	12	0.863	December	6	-0.837
2003	November	26	0.823	December	25	-0.927
2004	June	6	0.943	December	14	-0.977
2005	July	22	0.873	June	23	-0.967
2006	July	13	0.913	July	11	-0.857
2007	July	14	0.943	June/ February	1/15	-0.857
2008	July	3	1.063	December	14	-0.817
2009	June	25	1.083	January	11	-0.897
2010	August	9	0.933	February	26	-0.827
2011	January	20	0.843	January	20	-0.747
2012	August	2	0.903	May	8	-0.857
2013	August	21	1.213	June	24	-0.697
2014	July	13	0.823	February	27	-0.687

Note: All heights are referred to mean tide level (mtl) in meters.

Source: NAMRIA

Table 2.2-24. Annual Tidal Extremes for the Puerto Azul Station

Puerto azul						
Year	Highest tide level			Lowest tide level		
	Month	Date	Meter	Month	Date	Meter
2002	June	26	0.953	December	6	-0.807
2003	June	16	0.873	December	25	-0.867
2004	August	1	0.923	December	14	-0.967
2005	July	22	1.013	January	10	-0.937
2006	July	13	1.003	January/ December	29/7	-0.897
2007	November	26	1.293	January	4	-0.887

Note: All heights are referred to mean tide level (MTL) in meters.

Source: NAMRIA

## Hydrodynamic Modeling

The software(s) used for the numerical modelling, considered adequate and complete is: MIKE 21, which is a computer program developed by Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI), an established global organization in the field of water and environmental engineering, specifically for modeling coasts and seas. It can simulate physical, chemical or biological processes in the coastal or marine areas using rectangular grid, nested grid or flexible meshes which make it particularly well-suited to handle variable spatial resolution in the model domain. The model includes the main physical phenomena such as wave-wave interaction, white capping, dissipation, refraction and shoaling.




Hydrodynamic module solves equations for the conservation of mass and momentum as well as for salinity and temperature in response to a variety of forcing functions. The Spectral Wave module simulates the growth, decay and transformation of wind-generated waves and swells in offshore and coastal areas.

A public domain software like the USEPA EFDC, although recognized is not used because the software utilized for the analysis work is likewise an industry-standard tool with comparable level of sophistication and output capability as the ones listed above.


The hydrodynamics of the sea waters around and far offshore of the project coast is described by the water level variation and currents induced by both astronomic and meteorological tides. In this study, numerical modelling of tide flows is carried out for the project's offshore region. The governing mathematical model applies to the so-called "long-period oscillations" that are non-dispersive, but accounts for the nonlinearity of the tide motion. It also accounts for the Coriolis effects (due to the earth's rotation), wind shear stress, translating pressure field, long-period wave damping, and bottom friction (linear and nonlinear). While the numerical model used can handle inundation conditions along the coasts, such as that caused by storm surge overtopping, this model capability is not activated during the simulations. In the sea hydrodynamics modelling, the main external loadings are as follows: (1) astronomic tides through the open boundaries; (2) surface wind field due to a translating pressure field (typhoons).

### Computational Domain

An unstructured mesh is used in order to resolve the spatial scales required by the variation of depths and the irregular shape of the coastline. Two numerical domains were used for the analyses, namely the regional model (Figure 2.2-51) encompassing the entire Philippine archipelago, and the local domain limited to Manila Bay alone (Figure 2.2-52). All bathymetric data was consolidated to ensure all simulations are based on a common bathymetric model. The datum used for the simulations is the mean tide level (MTL).



**Figure 2.2-51 Domain extents for the hydrodynamic computation of the regional model**



**Figure 2.2-52 Flexible Element Mesh for the local Model at Manila Bay**

The marine region around the project area is modeled with a finer mesh in order to improve the accuracy of simulated hydrodynamics in this region. A depth-adaptive mesh is used to satisfy the conditional stability condition of the numerical model. Smaller grids are used for shallow waters and around small islands while larger grids are designed for deep waters and along open boundaries where the astronomic tides are forced as boundary conditions.

### Development Scenarios

For all succeeding simulations, two basic scenarios were considered namely pre-development and post-development. Pre-development assumes the existing coastline with no projects in place, whereas the post-development scenario imposed additional reclaimed areas within Manila Bay that have been approved by DENR. Hence, no separate simulation assuming only the project site has been done. The list of reclamation projects included in the computational domain are:

- Islands A, C, D, and E

It is noted that Islands A, C, and E are covered in the separate EIS Applications.

- Sangley Point International Airport (SPIA)

The SPIA is recognized being another milestone project of the Province of Cavite, notwithstanding that there exist no records of formal application for an ECC for this Project.





Thus, the computational domains are based on the above.

However, as all of these projects are by various different proponents and are at differing levels of refinement, the plan-forms of the reclamation projects shown in Figure 2.2-54 may not be the final layouts of these projects.

Additionally, due to the inclusion of these other projects, it will be difficult to ascertain which effects – either detrimental or beneficial – can be attributed to the reclamation of the project site.

For planning purposes, which is the essence of an EIS/ECC (i.e. the EIS/ECC is not a permit but a planning tool, articulated in public discussions such as in the EIAMD/EMB-driven Public Scoping), the assessments herein made are complete and sufficient. The “Design and Engineering Details” stage will be necessarily undertaken post ECC.




Figure 2.2-53 Local Model Mesh for the hydrodynamic computation at the site –(Pre-Dev)




Figure 2.2-54 Local Model Mesh for the Hydrodynamic Computation at the Site (Post-Dev)

### Model Calibration

To model the hydrodynamics of Manila Bay, a numerical model was used namely the Mike21 Flexible Mesh Hydrodynamic Model; it can simulate the water level and current changes caused by various external forces. To calibrate the local model, tidal forcing was extracted from a regional tidal model and applied to the offshore boundary outside of the local model of Manila Bay (Figure 2.2-55). The simulation time included one month during a non-typhoon period, namely the month of February 2016, to minimize meteorological effects on the tidal fluctuations, was selected. Hence, no meteorological forcing was applied to this tidal current model. One month was selected to allow for adequate warm-up time of the simulation and to ensure two tidal cycles – including the spring and neap tides – are included in the simulation (Figure 2.2-56). A statistical comparison of the simulated water surface elevations against actual tide readings acquired from NAMRIA resulted in a coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value of 0.91 at Manila South Harbor.




Figure 2.2-55 Simulated Tide Levels at Manila Bay South Harbor





### Prevailing Wave Simulation

Local waves at the project site were determined by analyzing the transformation of hindcast deepwater wave conditions at the mouth of Manila Bay as they propagate to the site, while simultaneously adding a constant wind shear on the surface water within the local domain of the numerical model; this was done using the Mike21 Spectral Wave module. This module is capable of simulating the growth, decay, and transformation of offshore swells and wind-generated waves (DHI, Mike21 SW FM Short Description).

Based on the prevailing winds, six directions were modelled, namely E, ESE, N, SW, W, and WSW. A summary of the wind conditions in the simulation cases are shown in Table 2.2-25. The figures show the spatial distribution of the significant wave height (Hs) in the nearshore region fronting the project waterfront during MHHW, as this would generally result in a more agitated wave climate. For ease of reference and comparison, all plots have the same range of wave heights. Also shown are the resulting wave climates under post-development conditions.

Table 2.2-25. Wind and Deepwater Wave Condition for Simulated Wave Conditions

Direction	Velocity Range	Annual Occurrence Frequency (%)	Remark on wind	Deepwater Wave Height (m)	Deepwater Wave Period (s)	Figure
N	1-4	8.2	3 <sup>rd</sup> prevailing	n/a	n/a	Figures 60 & 61
	5-8	0.4				Figures 62 & 63
	9-12	0				
E	1-4	11.9	2 <sup>nd</sup> prevailing	n/a	n/a	Figures 64 & 65
	5-8	0.8				Figures 66 & 67
	9-12	0				
ESE	1-4	23.7	1 <sup>st</sup> prevailing	n/a	n/a	Figures 68 & 69
	5-8	2.6				Figures 70 & 71
	9-12	0				
SE	1-4	5.6	6 <sup>th</sup> prevailing	n/a	n/a	Figures 72 & 73
	5-8	0.5				Figures 74 & 75
	9-12	0				
SW	1-4	7.4	4 <sup>th</sup> prevailing	0.63	3.41	Figures 76 & 77
	5-8	0.7		1.95	5.55	Figures 78 & 79
	9-12	0.1		3.64	7.23	Figures 80 & 81
WSW	1-4	4.2	8 <sup>th</sup> prevailing	0.68	3.62	Figures 82 & 83
	5-8	0.4		2.19	6.02	Figures 84 & 85
	9-12	0.1		4.21	7.95	Figures 86 & 87
W	1-4	6.5	5 <sup>th</sup> prevailing	0.69	3.68	Figures 88 & 89
	5-8	0.6		2.27	6.17	Figures 90 & 91
	9-12	0		-	-	
NW	1-4	4.9	7 <sup>th</sup> prevailing	n/a	n/a	Figures 92 & 95
	5-8	0.1				Figures 94 & 96
	9-12	0				








Figure 2.2-57 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from N at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-58 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from E at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Devt)




Figure 2.2-59 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from E at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Devt)




Figure 2.2-60 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from ESE at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)






Figure 2.2-61 Wave Climate Due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from ESE at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-62 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SE at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-63 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SE at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-64 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)






Figure 2.2-65 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-66 Wave Climate due to 9-12 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from SW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-67 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Wind & Offshore Waves from WSW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Devt)




Figure 2.2-68 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Wind & Offshore Waves from WSW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)






Figure 2.2-69 Wave Climate due to 9-12 mps Surface Wind & Offshore Waves from WSW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-70 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from W at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-71 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from W at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)




Figure 2.2-72 Wave Climate due to 1-4 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from NW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)







Figure 2.2-73 Wave Climate due to 5-8 mps Surface Winds & Offshore Waves from NW at MHHW (Pre- & Post-Dev't)



The hydrodynamic models derived for the project was based on historical data, local bathymetry and wave/current data in the public domain. During detailed engineering design, site specific calibration may be conducted using locally collected information on wave heights and current speeds considering final island configuration and all other developments surrounding the project. Final validation of the hydrodynamic model may be conducted at this stage.

### Tidal Current Analysis

Pressure gradients exerted by tide level differences generate currents at the seabed that may affect existing activities and post-development operations within the project's marine area. In this study, these tidal currents were also analyzed within the entire Manila Bay area, with tidal forcing extracted from a regional tidal model applied to the offshore boundary outside of the local model of Manila Bay. The simulation time included one month during a non-typhoon period, namely the month of February 2016, to minimize meteorological effects on the tidal fluctuations. Hence, no meteorological forcing was applied to this tidal current model. One month was selected to allow for adequate warm-up time of the simulation, and also to ensure two tidal cycles – including the spring and neap tides – are included in the simulation. This also served as a calibration run for the model; a statistical comparison of the simulated water surface elevations against actual tide readings acquired from NAMRIA resulted in a coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value of 0.91 at Manila South Harbor.



**Figure 2.2-74 Simulated Tide Levels at Manila Bay South Harbor**

### Sample Effects of the Project on Tidal Currents

To better illustrate the effects of the reclamations on the tidal-induced current, snapshots of the current vectors during a spring tide were taken. A spring tide was chosen as this would induce a higher water level difference between high and low tide levels, thus also increasing the current velocity; the effects during neap tide are not shown as the vectors are nearly nil. Two snapshots per scenario were taken, namely during ebb and flow tide.

During ebb flow it can be seen that there has been a significant change at Bacoar Bay, wherein the current speed has increased significantly at the gap; elsewhere however in Bacoar Bay the speed has decreased. There has also been a decrease in current speed on the west side of Sangley Spit.




Figure 2.2-75 Snapshot of Current magnitude and direction at ebb tide (20:30 Feb. 12, 2016)  
Pre- & Post Development

For the sample flow tide case, similar to the pre-development case, there has been an increase current speed at the gap width around Island A. Otherwise there are no macro changes in the current speeds and directions.




Figure 2.2-76 Current speed and direction at flow tide (01:40 Feb. 24, 2016)  
Pre- & Post Development

### Synthesis of Maximum Currents, Including Circulation Patterns and Littoral Currents

The effects of the reclamation projects on the maximum tidal currents within the simulated time frame were also analyzed and can be seen in Figure 2.2-77 as (pre-development and post-development). It should be noted that this section and figures herein only take into consideration the maximum magnitude within the simulated time frame (February 2016), and thus does not take into consideration the direction of flow nor the annual average. In the post-development scenario, various changes in the maximum tidal-induced currents were noted. This change specifically includes an extreme increase of current speed at the gaps of Island A within Bacoar Bay.






Figure 2.2-77 Maximum current (Jan. 29, 2016 – Feb. 29, 2016)  
(Pre- & Post-Development)

### Tidal Circulation Analysis

Tidal currents generated by pressure gradients due to water surface fluctuations may affect ambient conditions such as the movement of surface runoff from inland and the transport of sediments along the coastline. Even in the absence of wind-induced shear stress on the water surfaces, these currents also impact the viability of operational activities such as river and coastal navigation, mooring of vessels, and offshore anchoring of ships. On the other hand, these currents are important in circulating the water within the wave-sheltered zones so that seawater does not stagnate over extended durations under prevailing winds and tides. The circulatory motion of the waters is also needed for the exchange of water mass and with the offshore area of the adjoining bays, Manila Bay, Cañacao and Bacoar Bays, so that the seawater fronting the project coastline is periodically



replenished by these open offshore waters. Finally, a good tidal circulation is necessary to improve the rejuvenation of water-entrained oxygen in the interior zones of the wave-sheltered zones of the post-development scenario.

### **Tidal Circulation Characteristics**

Time frames of tidal currents are analyzed for the circulatory patterns generated both outside and inside the project nearshore zone during a 15-day window of February 2016, an Amihan month. The simulated currents are highly dependent on the movement of the water surface driven by the offshore tides, morphology of the existing coast and the modified coastlines due to the post-development scenario. Chronological snapshots of the water levels and currents field are captured in Figure 2.2-78. The currents are shown superimposed on the nearshore zone at ambient tide levels.

A recent study indicates that circulation in an enclosed water body, such as the nearshore zone of a marina or partially enclosed beach coast, is driven mainly by tidal fluctuations and only weakly by surface winds surface (Cruz and Santos, 2018). This means that only a full tidal cycle (15 days), with no particular prevailing non-storm wind forcing, is sufficient to establish the tidal circulation characteristics. Thus, the circulation patterns are also applicable to a Habagat season.

It is seen that the fronting waters of the reclaimed 6 lands experience oscillatory directions of currents, which indicates that there is exchange of seawater along the post-development waterfront with the open waters of Manila Bay.

Chronological snapshots of the water levels and currents field within the tidal cycle are captured in Figure 2.2-78. The currents are shown superimposed on the wet area of the nearshore area at ambient tide levels. The basin to the south of Island A in Canacao Bay also experiences tide flood and ebb flows, indicating an exchange of water mass, and thereby significant replenishment of dissolved gases, with the larger basin Bacoar Bay. The exterior flows around the reclaimed land F (Sangley Point International Airport) are also seen to be periodically reversing, with current intensities of around 0.1-0.3 mps which are considered adequate to flush out stagnated pollutants in those depths. The tidal circulation around this block also reverses, with both clockwise circulation (left panels) and counter clockwise circulation (right panels).




Figure 2.2-78 Chronological Snapshots of Tidal Currents During Jan. 29 – Feb 20, 2016

#### Tidal Currents around the Project Area

To track the tidal currents' time histories, a number of monitoring points (MP) are located in the nearshore domain as shown in Figure 2.2-79. Over a tidal cycle of 15 days and Figures 2.2-80 and 2.2-81 summarize the time series of the tidal current vector at the reference (offshore) station 1, and at the constricted locations 5 and 6. At these narrow waterways created by the post-development scenario, the tidal currents experience



a full range of current intensities, with amplitudes of about 0.6 mps at MP 5 and 6. The time series also indicate that the flow direction oscillates, which indicates redistribution of by tidal currents of confined seawaters in the channels towards the adjacent open bays (Bacoar and Manila Bays). The tidal currents also reverse with approximately equal durations of inward and outward flows, except at MPs 5 and 6, where outward flows, i.e. towards the NNE and NE respectively, are significantly longer.




Figure 2.2-79 Location of Monitoring Points






Figure 2.2-80 Tidal Current Time Histories Over 15 days at Monitoring Points 1, 8, 9 & 12




Figure 2.2-81 Tidal Current Time Histories Over 15 days at Monitoring Points 5 & 6

In summary, the tidal currents modified by the post-development scenario will still allow significant circulation of seawaters within both partially enclosed waterways and channelized waterways between the reclaimed islands. The circulation currents have a wide range of amplitudes of 0.05 to 0.6 mps, as well as oscillating directions signifying dispersion of outward currents.



## **Storm Condition Analysis**

A storm surge is defined by a change in water level due to atmospheric disturbances such as low-pressure areas and extreme wind continuously blowing over a body of water, both of which occur during typhoons. Storm surges should not be confused with the astronomic tide levels (MHHW, MHW, MTL, MLW, and MLLW) which are caused by the combined effects of the gravitational forces between the earth, sun, and moon. They should also not be confused with tsunamis which are caused by a sudden displacement of water due to seabed displacements usually caused by offshore seismic events.


When the storm's center is in the oceans, these effects are generally small on account of the large depth of water to mobilize and the vast expanse of water. However, these effects are quickly amplified when the storm reaches the shallow water. As the storm reaches the coasts the small depth of water in the coastal areas and the flow-impeding effect of land boundaries cause a pronounced elevation of the mean sea surface. These result in the rise of the mean sea surface, which is termed "storm surge". The combined level of storm surge and the astronomic tide at time of the storm's landfall is referred to as "storm tide".

Waves, with a period of about 10 to 15 seconds are also generated by the storms and ride on the storm tides on landfall. Unlike the organized and long-crested waves induced by prevailing winds in deep water, these storm-induced waves are generally scattered in various directions from the storm's center. The highest level of the sea surface inclusive of these higher-frequency storm-induced waves is the maximum elevation of the water surface at landfall.

Wind Storm Surge is the component of storm surge that is induced by wind gusts acting on the surface of the sea, imparting shear stresses that raise the water as it tracks toward land boundaries. The area within the radius of maximum wind speed and the immediate outside vicinity of this radius experience the highest wind storm surge. Pressure Surge is the phenomena wherein the low-pressure zone in the middle of a tropical cyclone induces a suction action of the water below. As the cyclone moves generally westward towards land, the water surface is uplifted particularly in near the coasts where water is shallow. This pressure storm surge is highest near the storm's center

It should be noted, however, that the typhoon tracks may not necessarily cause the most critical storm tide at the project site, as wind speed alone is not the only factor.

The relative track of the typhoon (north or south), closest distance to the site, and astronomic tides all factor in to determining the historical tide level specifically at the site. For example (Figure 2.2-82), a typhoon can cause a storm surge of 1 m, which would ride on top of the astronomic tide; in the case of the figure the storm surge and high tide coincide in time, causing a net higher storm tide level (STL). If, on the other hand, the storm surge occurs at a low tide (below Mean Sea Level), the overall net storm tide can be smaller. Considering the tidal range of the project site is 1 m, the timing of the astronomic tides play a large role in determining the overall storm tide; thus a detailed numerical model was used to determine how these factors affect each other.



**Figure 2.2-82 Illustration of the Combined Effects of Astronomic Tide and Storm Surge (NOAA)**

### Synthesis of Storm Tide Levels

Further analyses resulted in the narrowing down to three (3) potentially critical typhoons as shown in Table 2.2-26. The following figures (Figures 2.2-83 to 2.2-85) show the maximum storm tide elevation (i.e. astronomic tide plus storm surge) for pre and post-development conditions for all three typhoons.

**Table 2.2-26. Simulated Typhoon at the Project Site**

Typhoon	Year	Vmax (kph)	Rmax (km)	Pc (hPa)	Relative Track to the Site
Rita ( <i>Kading</i> )	1978	203	14	905	N
Patsy ( <i>Yoling</i> )	1970	192	17	920	N
Xangsane ( <i>Milenyo</i> )	2006	101	27	980	S

For the pre-development scenario (**Error! Reference source not found.** 2.2-83) Typhoon Rita caused a higher storm tides manifesting closer to the shore. Island A of roughly 0.6 m – 0.8 m, with the lower storm tide situated within Bacoar Bay. This range did not increase significantly for the post-development scenario (Figure 2.2-83), wherein the storm tide rose from roughly 1.1 m to roughly 1.2 m. The resulting storm tide in Bacoar Bay, on the other hand, has been significantly reduced, mostly due to the blocking effect of the proposed reclamation on the storm tide. Little to no changes to the storm tide levels are noted further away from the project site.

For the pre-development scenario, the storm tide levels generated by Typhoon Patsy (Figure 2.2-84) average at roughly 0.8 m – 0.9 m for all the islands. Similar to Typhoon Rita, the higher storm tide levels manifest closer to the shore, even within Bacoar Bay, which reaches roughly 1.2 m. The post-development scenario, on the other hand, shows a slight increase in the storm tide level (Figure 2.2-84) at the eastern portion of the Island A. As another development was included in the simulations, it is difficult to determine if this increase can be attributed to a single project.

The storm tide for the pre-development scenario caused by Typhoon Xangsane (Figure 2.2-85) is significantly lower than the previous two typhoons, with the storm tide level averaging 0.8 m for all islands. Within Bacoar Bay, however, the storm tide can be seen to increase to nearly 1.4 m. For the post-development scenario, there is nearly no change in the observed simulated storm tide level, with the average still at roughly 0.8 m. The storm tide within Bacoar Bay, however, was noted to have been lowered to 1.2 m.






Figure 2.2-83 Simulated Storm Tide Level for Typhoon Rita/Kading (1978)  
Pre- & Post Development




Figure 2.2-84 Simulated Storm Tide Level for Typhoon Patsy/Yoling (1970)  
Pre- & Post Development




Figure 2.2-85 Simulated Storm Tide Level for Typhoon Xangsane/Milenyo (2006)  
Pre- & Post Development



### **Synthesis of Storm Waves**

The surface waves induced by the passage of typhoons are also numerically simulated using the spectral-wave module of the hydrodynamic model, with the results shown in Figure 2.2-86 to 2.2-88. This module solves the wave action equation that governs the propagation of the spectral components of storm waves, from which various statistics of wave heights and periods, such as the significant wave and maximum wave, are derived. The forces induced by these extreme wave heights should be considered in the detailed design stage.

For all three typhoons, it can be seen that the proposed reclamation islands in the post-development scenarios will provide a sheltering effect on all shorelines leeward of the reclamations.






Figure 2.2-86 Simulated Max Significant Wave Heights for Typh. Rita/Kading (1978)  
Pre- & Post Development




Figure 2.2-87 Simulated Max Significant Wave Heights for Typh. Patsy/Yoling (1970)  
Pre- & Post Development




Figure 2.2-88 Simulated Max Significant Wave Heights for Typh. Xangsane/Milenyo (2006)  
Pre- & Post Development



### Computation of Non-Overtopping Crest Elevation

Different structures, such as revetments or seawalls, are built to protect coastal areas from flooding or inundation due to high water levels. To ensure water does not inundate into the protected area, the crest of the structure should be sufficiently higher than the highest water level. This height of the structure is known as the non-overtopping crest elevation (NOCE). The NOCE is obtained by adding two components: (1) the still water level (SWL), or the mean water level associated with astronomical tides and storm surges, and (2) the wave runup, which occurs when the wave impinges and breaks on a sloping structure causing water to rise along the slope.


Wave runup is a complex phenomenon which considers the local water level, the characteristics of the incident wave, and the structure being runup. The computation of the runup is largely empirical; it is based on various laboratory measurements that relates runup to the breaking wave surf similarity parameter  $\xi$  to reduce the number of variables. The wave runup for impermeable and permeable slopes are computed as shown below (Delft Hydraulics 1989):

For impermeable slopes:

$$(1) \quad \frac{R_u}{H_s} = \begin{cases} A\xi_{om}, & 1 < \xi_{om} \leq 1.5 \\ B(\xi_{om})^C, & \xi_{om} > 1.5 \end{cases}$$

For permeable slopes:

$$(2) \quad \frac{R_u}{H_s} = \begin{cases} A\xi_{om}, & 1 < \xi_{om} \leq 1.5 \\ B(\xi_{om})^C, & 1.5 < \xi_{om} \leq (D/B)^{1/C} \\ D, & (D/B)^{1/C} < \xi_{om} \leq 7.5 \end{cases}$$



Source: EurOtop 2016

**Figure 2.2-89 Wave Runup on a Smooth Impermeable Slope**


Due to economical, spatial, and other practical considerations, structures are typically built lower than the NOCE, resulting to the highest runup levels exceeding the provided crest freeboard, and water flowing over





the structure or wave overtopping. Overtopping discharge rates for different crest elevations should be used as a design parameter to check if the overtopping values are within allowable limits (EurOtop Manual, 2007).

Overtopping discharge caused by wind-generated waves during a storm is unevenly distributed in time and space, and thus information regarding overtopping discharge is given as the time averaged overtopping discharge in terms of m<sup>3</sup>/s per linear meter of the structure. Methods in obtaining the overtopping discharge are highly empirical and are based from hydraulic model test results for specific structure geometries (CEM, 2006). In general, the overtopping discharge is a function of the wave characteristics as well as the structure geometry.



Source: EurOtop 2016

**Figure 2.2-90 Overtopping Discharge of a Slope with Storm Wall**

For the case of the reclamation, the EurOtop (2007) model was implemented for the computation of the overtopping discharge, which is the most flexible of all the models for it is not restrained to a specific structural geometry. The overtopping discharge,  $q$ , is a function of the geometry of the structure, wave and tide characteristics, and a series of reduction factors. It is modeled by the equation below:


$$(3) \quad \frac{q}{\sqrt{g \cdot H_{mo}^3}} = \frac{0.026}{\sqrt{\tan \alpha}} \gamma_b \cdot \xi_{m-1,0} \cdot \exp \left[ - \left( 2.5 \frac{R_c}{\xi_{m-1,0} \cdot H_{m0} \cdot \gamma_b \cdot \gamma_f \cdot \gamma_\beta \cdot \gamma_v} \right)^{1.3} \right]$$

with a maximum of:

$$(4) \quad \frac{q}{\sqrt{g \cdot H_{mo}^3}} = \frac{0.1035}{\sqrt{\tan \alpha}} \cdot \exp \left[ - \left( 1.35 \frac{R_c}{H_{m0} \cdot \gamma_f \cdot \gamma_\beta \cdot \gamma^*} \right)^{1.3} \right]$$

## Analysis and Results

The methodology used for the calculation of the wave runoff is based from Delft Hydraulics as presented in the Coastal Engineering Manual (2006), which requires wave characteristics and structural geometry. From the storm condition analysis, two scenarios are considered in determining the NOCE: the first case is when the SWL is at maximum and the corresponding wave characteristics are taken, and the second case is when the wave height is at maximum and the corresponding SWL and other wave characteristics are used for computation. The higher computed NOCE is considered to be the critical value and is used for the determination of the overtopping discharge. For this project, thirteen (13) points near the project boundary are taken as points of extraction for the computation of non-overtopping crest elevation, as shown in Figure 2.2-91. The computed non-overtopping crest elevations during maximum tide and maximum wave conditions are presented in the following tables.



**Figure 2.2-91 Location of Extraction Points**

**Table 2.2-27. Non-overlapping Crest Elevation Results during Maximum Tide Conditions**

Typhoon	Point	Depth (m)	Time	Tide <sub>max</sub> (m)	Corresponding		NOCE Result (m)
					Wave (m)	Period (s)	
Rita	Pt. 1	1.10	10/26/1978 19:00	1.02	1.00	2.22	2.21
	Pt. 2	4.71	10/26/1978 18:50	1.11	3.00	7.39	5.96
	Pt. 3	8.63	10/26/1978 18:50	1.03	4.28	7.05	7.29
	Pt. 4	10.26	10/26/1978 18:50	1.02	4.54	6.84	7.50
	Pt. 5	7.82	10/26/1978 19:00	1.01	4.26	6.77	7.14
	Pt. 6	7.63	10/26/1978 18:50	1.01	4.05	6.52	6.80
	Pt. 7	6.76	10/26/1978 18:50	1.01	3.90	6.83	6.76
	Pt. 8	6.42	10/26/1978 18:50	1.01	3.56	6.81	6.36
	Pt. 9	6.55	10/26/1978 18:50	0.99	3.47	6.53	6.13
	Pt. 10	7.19	10/26/1978 19:00	0.96	3.63	6.29	6.21
	Pt. 11	8.08	10/26/1978 19:00	0.95	3.88	6.15	6.42
	Pt. 12	8.34	10/26/1978 19:00	0.96	3.58	5.99	6.03



## CHAPTER TWO THE WATER

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Typhoon	Point	Depth (m)	Time	Tide <sub>max</sub> (m)	Corresponding		NOCE Result (m)
					Wave (m)	Period (s)	
	Pt. 13	4.72	10/26/1978 18:50	1.02	2.60	5.50	4.83
Patsy	Pt. 1	1.10	11/19/1970 6:40	0.93	0.47	1.98	1.57
	Pt. 2	4.71	11/19/1970 6:24	0.81	1.39	4.08	2.86
	Pt. 3	8.63	11/19/1970 6:24	0.83	1.75	3.61	3.16
	Pt. 4	10.26	11/19/1970 6:24	0.83	1.85	3.59	3.25
	Pt. 5	7.82	11/19/1970 6:40	0.81	1.40	4.32	2.93
	Pt. 6	7.63	11/19/1970 6:40	0.80	1.27	4.91	2.87
	Pt. 7	6.76	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.69	2.62	1.78
	Pt. 8	6.42	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.69	2.62	1.78
	Pt. 9	6.55	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.69	2.63	1.78
	Pt. 10	7.19	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.69	2.63	1.78
	Pt. 11	8.08	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.70	2.64	1.78
	Pt. 12	8.34	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.70	2.64	1.78
	Pt. 13	4.72	11/18/1970 17:04	0.80	0.67	2.62	1.76
Xangsane	Pt. 1	1.10	9/29/2006 18:00	0.68	0.04	5.00	0.75
	Pt. 2	4.71	9/29/2006 18:00	0.68	0.24	5.95	1.08
	Pt. 3	8.63	9/29/2006 18:00	0.68	0.24	5.70	1.09
	Pt. 4	10.26	9/29/2006 18:00	0.68	0.25	5.67	1.10
	Pt. 5	7.82	9/29/2006 17:44	0.68	0.26	5.75	1.11
	Pt. 6	7.63	9/29/2006 17:44	0.68	0.27	5.73	1.12
	Pt. 7	6.76	9/29/2006 17:44	0.68	0.32	6.32	1.21
	Pt. 8	6.42	9/29/2006 17:44	0.68	0.32	6.41	1.21
	Pt. 9	6.55	9/29/2006 17:44	0.68	0.35	6.48	1.27
	Pt. 10	7.19	9/29/2006 17:44	0.68	0.41	6.69	1.36
	Pt. 11	8.08	9/29/2006 17:44	0.67	0.47	7.02	1.47
	Pt. 12	8.34	9/29/2006 17:44	0.67	0.45	7.01	1.44
	Pt. 13	4.72	9/29/2006 17:44	0.67	0.48	7.37	1.48

Table 2.2-28. Non-overtopping Crest Elevation Results During Maximum Wave Conditions

Typhoon	Point	Depth (m)	Time	Wave <sub>max</sub> (m)	Corresponding		NOCE Result (m)
					Period (s)	Tide (m)	
Rita	Pt. 1	1.10	10/26/1978 18:00	1.02	2.28	0.97	2.20
	Pt. 2	4.71	10/26/1978 17:10	3.01	7.40	1.02	5.88
	Pt. 3	8.63	10/26/1978 16:40	4.63	7.24	0.82	7.56
	Pt. 4	10.26	10/26/1978 15:50	4.94	6.94	0.69	7.64
	Pt. 5	7.82	10/26/1978 18:00	4.52	6.92	0.93	7.41
	Pt. 6	7.63	10/26/1978 18:00	4.27	6.59	0.94	7.01
	Pt. 7	6.76	10/26/1978 17:30	4.06	6.90	0.92	6.88
	Pt. 8	6.42	10/26/1978 17:50	3.78	6.95	0.94	6.59
	Pt. 9	6.55	10/26/1978 17:30	3.74	6.89	0.89	6.48
	Pt. 10	7.19	10/26/1978 16:30	4.13	6.91	0.75	6.80
	Pt. 11	8.08	10/26/1978 16:10	4.41	6.87	0.69	7.04
	Pt. 12	8.34	10/26/1978 16:10	4.20	6.94	0.72	6.84



## CHAPTER TWO THE WATER

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Typhoon	Point	Depth (m)	Time	Wave <sub>max</sub> (m)	Corresponding		NOCE Result (m)
					Period (s)	Tide (m)	
	Pt. 13	4.72	10/26/1978 17:20	2.96	6.19	0.94	5.38
Patsy	Pt. 1	1.10	11/19/1970 3:02	0.96	2.63	0.30	1.58
	Pt. 2	4.71	11/19/1970 3:06	2.53	7.47	0.21	4.46
	Pt. 3	8.63	11/19/1970 2:14	4.21	6.98	-0.18	5.98
	Pt. 4	10.26	11/19/1970 3:08	4.93	7.00	-0.01	6.96
	Pt. 5	7.82	11/19/1970 3:28	4.07	7.27	0.02	6.13
	Pt. 6	7.63	11/19/1970 3:08	3.83	7.07	0.08	5.83
	Pt. 7	6.76	11/19/1970 3:06	3.66	6.96	0.12	5.63
	Pt. 8	6.42	11/19/1970 3:14	3.38	7.26	0.22	5.50
	Pt. 9	6.55	11/19/1970 3:26	3.29	7.68	0.21	5.52
	Pt. 10	7.19	11/19/1970 3:10	3.76	7.37	0.09	5.87
	Pt. 11	8.08	11/19/1970 3:22	4.28	7.21	0.15	6.48
	Pt. 12	8.34	11/19/1970 3:04	3.87	7.10	0.10	5.92
	Pt. 13	4.72	11/19/1970 3:14	2.64	7.84	0.38	4.82
Xangsane	Pt. 1	1.10	9/28/2006 3:04	0.89	3.35	-0.11	1.22
	Pt. 2	4.71	9/28/2006 0:28	2.23	5.66	-0.38	3.04
	Pt. 3	8.63	9/28/2006 2:04	3.38	5.39	-0.43	4.20
	Pt. 4	10.26	9/28/2006 2:04	3.62	5.34	-0.43	4.44
	Pt. 5	7.82	9/28/2006 2:04	3.37	5.71	-0.41	4.34
	Pt. 6	7.63	9/28/2006 2:04	3.24	5.78	-0.39	4.23
	Pt. 7	6.76	9/28/2006 2:06	3.07	5.79	-0.37	4.06
	Pt. 8	6.42	9/28/2006 2:06	3.07	6.00	-0.35	4.15
	Pt. 9	6.55	9/28/2006 2:48	3.13	6.14	-0.29	4.33
	Pt. 10	7.19	9/28/2006 2:20	3.51	6.31	-0.33	4.78
	Pt. 11	8.08	9/28/2006 2:06	3.69	6.11	-0.34	4.90
	Pt. 12	8.34	9/28/2006 2:12	3.43	6.25	-0.32	4.68
	Pt. 13	4.72	9/28/2006 3:16	2.41	7.05	-0.17	3.84

**Table 2.2-29. Summary Of Non-overtopping Crest Elevation Results**

Typhoon	Point	NOCE Result (m)		Governing Case
		Tide <sub>max</sub>	Wave <sub>max</sub>	
Rita	Pt. 1	2.21	2.20	Max Tide
	Pt. 2	5.96	5.88	Max Tide
	Pt. 3	7.29	7.56	Max Tide
	Pt. 4	7.50	7.64	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 5	7.14	7.41	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 6	6.80	7.01	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 7	6.76	6.88	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 8	6.36	6.59	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 9	6.13	6.48	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 10	6.21	6.80	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 11	6.42	7.04	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 12	6.03	6.84	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 13	4.83	5.38	Max Wave Height
Patsy	Pt. 1	1.57	1.58	Max Wave Height





Typhoon	Point	NOCE Result (m)		Governing Case
		Tide <sub>max</sub>	Wave <sub>max</sub>	
	Pt. 2	2.86	4.46	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 3	3.16	5.98	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 4	3.25	6.96	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 5	2.93	6.13	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 6	2.87	5.83	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 7	1.78	5.63	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 8	1.78	5.50	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 9	1.78	5.52	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 10	1.78	5.87	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 11	1.78	6.48	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 12	1.78	5.92	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 13	1.76	4.82	Max Wave Height
Xangsane	Pt. 1	0.75	1.22	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 2	1.08	3.04	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 3	1.09	4.20	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 4	1.10	4.44	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 5	1.11	4.34	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 6	1.12	4.23	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 7	1.21	4.06	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 8	1.21	4.15	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 9	1.27	4.33	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 10	1.36	4.78	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 11	1.47	4.90	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 12	1.44	4.68	Max Wave Height
	Pt. 13	1.48	3.84	Max Wave Height




Figure 2.2-92 NOCE Illustration

As shown in Table 2.2-29, highlighted cells denote the case that governed, i.e. the greater value obtained from the two cases considered. For a 1:2 embankment slope with rock armor, the critical NOCE obtained is 2.20 m based on Typhoon Rita and located on extraction point 1, which is the north-eastern corner of Island A. On the other hand, Typhoon Patsy and Typhoon Xangsane resulted to lower maximum non-overtopping crest elevations of 1.58 meters and 1.22 meters, respectively. The resulting NOCE for all the extractions points on all the CPC islands is 2.21 maMSL.



Wave overtopping occurs when the structure crest elevation is lower than the wave runup level. With computed NOCE of 2.21 m in Island A, wave overtopping is acceptable because building the required crest elevation would entail massive construction costs and spatial requirements. For the computation of the overtopping discharge, the procedures from EurOtop Manual on Wave Overtopping of Sea Defenses and Related Structures are used. This is applicable for dikes and sea embankments with smooth or rough armored slopes. To further reduce the average overtopping discharge, the influence of the addition of a wall on top of the slope is included in the computation considerations, as shown in Figure 2.2-92. The following table summarizes the obtained overtopping discharge per meter length for various crest elevations. These values are based on critical case obtained from Typhoon Rita, in which the highest value of NOCE was obtained.

Table 2.2-30. Overtopping Discharge Results

q (m <sup>3</sup> /s/m)		Reclamation Backfill Elevation (maMSL)		
		+4.0	+4.5	+5.0
h <sub>wall</sub> (m)	1.0	0.028	0.015	0.008
	1.5	0.010	0.005	0.003

The computed overtopping discharge may be compared with the tolerable overtopping discharges from various field studies. This provides a rough guideline for the structural safety for a given value of the discharge. However, it must be noted that the intensity of water hitting a specific location is still dependent on the geometry and distance from the structure and thus maximum intensities locally may be over the obtained overtopping discharge.

In terms of design, the Coastal Engineering Manual (CEM) recommends a range of critical values of average overtopping discharges for various coastal structures considering structural safety and the safety of traffic (Table 2.2-31). For example, for an embankment seawall, it expects damage to the structure if its crest is not protected if an overtopping discharge of 0.002 to 0.02 cms/m is experienced. Following CEM, Table 2.2-32 summarizes the expected damage condition and range of overtopping discharges  $q$  for embankment seawall and building structures. For example, damage to an embankment seawall occurs if the back slope is not protected and the overtopping rate is between 0.02 – 0.005 cms/m. By using the lowest value  $q$  in this table together with various Reclamation Backfill Elevations (RBE) and the simulated wave conditions and storm tide levels in Table 2.2-33 corresponding to the critical NOCE condition, the required minimum elevation  $h_{min}$  of a vertical wall on top of the sloping embankment can be computed. Table 2.2-33 summarizes the results of these computations based on Eq. (4) under the above conditions.



Table 2.2-31. Critical values of average overtopping discharges (Coastal Engineering Manual)  
Table VI-5-6  
Critical Values of Average Overtopping Discharges




Table 2.2-32. CEM Stipulated Overtopping Rates For Various Structures

Structure	Range of average $q$ (cms/m)	Damage Condition
Embankment	0.02 – 0.05	Damage if back slope not protected
Seawall	0.002 – 0.02	Damage if crest is not protected
Buildings	0.000001 – 0.00002	Minor damage to fittings, sign posts, etc.

Table 2.2-33. Synthesized NOCE of Project Island

Project Island	RBE (maMSL)	Required Minimum Wall Height (m)		
		$q=0.02$ cms/m	$q=0.002$ cms/m	$q=0.000001$ cms/m
A	1.5	0.109	0.343	0.963

## Tsunami Hazard

Coastal sites are exposed to hazards associated with extraordinary events in the oceans, such as tsunamis, especially for a seismically active and archipelagic country like the Philippines. Tsunamis are surface water waves generated by the displacements of the ocean floor and are characterized by a long wave period, or the time for two successive wave crests to pass a fixed location. Tsunami periods can be of the order of 5 minutes to 1 hour, depending on the nature, location, and characteristic magnitude of the generating source.



Compared with the usual sea waves, tsunamis have long wavelengths, typically of the order of several kilometers in the deep oceans, and a few kilometers in the coastal area. Such long wavelength is one cause of concern for the potential damage that can be brought onto the coastal area by a tsunami. Since tsunamis have low wave steepness (ratio of the wave height to the wavelength), they propagate to the shore largely without breaking.

Wave breaking is a physical process that naturally dissipates much of the energy of incoming waves. Without breaking, tsunami energy can only be damped, usually insignificantly, by seabed friction. In addition, being long-period waves, tsunamis exert much higher dynamic pressures on solid surfaces they impinge, compared to the usual surface waves of the same height. These two prominent characteristics of tsunamis are the bases for the potentially catastrophic damage associated with their incursion into the coastal area.

### **Longshore Sediment Transport Coastal Erosion and Deposition.**

Knowledge of the movement and transport of **sediments (the terms “sediments” and “particles” are used interchangeably in these discussions)** along the project coasts is important in assessing the long-term stability of beach coastlines. In particular, the direction of the net transport of sediments is important in the planning of permanent coastal infrastructures, such as reclamations. Knowledge of longshore movement of littoral drift is also important in determining maintenance schedule and inspection of reclamation structures such as bulkheads, revetment and/or seawalls.

The region within which littoral drift is most actively entrained and transported is the surf zone, which spans from the wave breaking point to the swash zone in a beach coast. The main driver of littoral drift within the surf zone is the longshore currents generated by the wave breaking process. Currents from channel flow carrying surface runoff from inland usually cause additional influx of sediments and transport driving forces, but they are usually limited to a region around the outfall in the bay or sea.

The littoral zone is that swath of the coast where sediment materials are transported by waves and currents. The transported material, called littoral drift, affects the vertical profile and plan forms of the coast, causing deposition in certain reaches and erosion in others. The longshore volumetric transport rate  $Q$  is the rate (in units of volume/time) at which littoral drift is moved parallel to the shoreline. If one looks out to the sea from land, the longshore transport rate to the right is denoted by  $Q_+$ , considered positive, and the rate to the left by  $Q_-$  which is considered negative. The algebraic sum of  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$ , or the net longshore transport rate  $Q_n$ , can be positive or negative, and indicates the direction and magnitude of longshore transport. The total or gross transport rate  $Q_t$  is the sum of the magnitudes of the right and left transport rates.

The total transport rate is normally used to predict the rates of shoaling or accumulation of littoral drift in sea inlets that are not controlled, e.g., without engineered entrances. It also serves as an upper limit to the magnitudes of the other transport rates. The net transport rate is used in determining the occurrence of beach erosion along an open coast, and in the design of engineered or protected inlets. For the Cavite reclamation coast, the actual rates of both directional and total transport rates are affected by the sediment influx of the outfalls of different waterways in Cavite, which should be assessed and quantified in longshore sediment transport model to provide a more realistic and seasonal variation of sediment movement along the project coast.

The directional transport rates  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$  are applied in the design of jetties (defined here as inlet stabilization structures, not as piers) and impoundment basins at the lee of weir jetties (USACE, 2005). As reference values, gross transport rates typically fall within 100 to 250 mcm/yr (million cubic meters per year) for open coasts in the United States (CERC, 1984).





### **Longshore Sediment Transport Rates along the Project Coast**

Following the methodology of CERC (1984), which is widely applied in U.S. beach coasts, an analysis of the longshore transport rates along the Cavite reclamation coast was undertaken and the results reported herein. The methodology requires input of the following data:

- Beach morphology based on the mean shoreline;
- Distributions of deepwater wave heights  $H_o$  with offshore approach directions; and
- Annual occurrence frequencies of  $H_o$ .

The methodology is based on the application of the wave energy flux in conjunction with shallow-water breaking criterion (also called breaker index) for the transformation of waves in the breaking zones. It is implicitly assumed in the methodology that the surf zone has a monotonic seabed profile such that breaking continues to the coastline once initiated.

For the project coast, since measured wave heights are not available, they were determined from the surface wind data and the effective wave fetches reckoned from the deepwater depth contour using fetch-limited and wind speed-limited hindcasting formulas (CERC, 1984).

Due to the long and complicated shoreline at the project coast, fifty-eight (58) stations of varying tributary lengths are considered in the analysis, as annotated in Figure 2.2-93 to Figure 2.2-96 with the directions of the shore-normals. For ease of discussion, the stations will be subdivided into three groups: northern stations composed of Sta. 01 – Sta. 18, middle stations composed of Sta. 19 – Sta. 36, and the western stations composed of Sta. 37 – Sta. 58. The longshore sediment transport rates at these stations are computed under two conditions, the baseline and the modified condition, to assess the possible effects of the development to the transport of sediments.

For the baseline or existing condition, Table 2.2-34 summarizes the computed directional transport rates  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$ , net longshore transport rate  $Q_n$ , and total longshore transport rate  $Q_t$ , all in units of tcm/yr (thousand cubic meters per year). It is seen that for the northern stations the  $Q_+$  values are generally moderate ranging from 5 to 27 tcm/yr, the  $Q_-$  values are generally lower ranging from 3 to 19 tcm/yr, resulting to a generally positive  $Q_n$  values of 1 to 19 tcm/yr, and  $Q_t$  in 9 to 41 tcm/yr. For the middle stations, the directional, net, and gross transport rates are generally low ranging from -2 to 5 tcm/yr. Furthermore, for the western stations  $Q_+$  values are generally high ranging from 8 to 62 tcm/yr,  $Q_-$  values are moderate ranging from 2 to 13 tcm/yr, resulting to high positive  $Q_n$  values of 2 to 50 tcm/yr, and an even higher  $Q_t$  of 11 to 74 tcm/yr. The high transport rates along western stations can be attributed to higher effective wave fetches than the stations located inside the Manila Bay and Bacoar Bay.

The computed net transport rates for the baseline condition are plotted graphically and approximately to scale at the stations in Figure 2.2-93 is seen that the net transport rates for the northern stations are generally directed to the north with the direction changing at Sta. 17. For the middle stations, the net transport rates are low, and the direction greatly varies because of the complicated shoreline. However, for the western stations, the net transport rates are high, and all directed to the north and east.

Table 2.2-35 summarizes the annual transport rates under modified condition, which considers the future reclamations along the project coast. For stations located on future reclamations sites (Sta. 33 to Sta. 42), the transport rates were considered to be zero. It is seen that for the northern stations,  $Q_+$  rates decreased significantly, now ranging from 2 to 12 tcm/year, while the  $Q_-$  rates only decreased slightly, now in 3 to 13 tcm/yr. This results to a reversal of net transport direction to some of the northern stations, where  $Q_n$  rates are from -5 to 10 tcm/yr, and the total transport rates are from 7 to 24 tcm/yr. For the middle stations, the modified condition resulted to an even lower directional, net, and gross transport rates, all now ranging from -1 to 4 tcm/yr. The transport rates at stations located east of the Cavite reclamation sites, namely Sta. 43 to Sta. 49, have decreased greatly, now only ranging from -1 to 2 tcm/yr, while for the rest of the western stations,



the Q+ rates are relatively unchanged while the Q- rates decreased resulting to higher Qn values ranging from 17 to 56 tcm/yr, although relatively similar Qt rates from the baseline condition. Figures 2.2-93 and 2.2-94 graphically plot the transport rates at the 58 stations.

Table 2.2-34. Annual Longshore Sediment Transport Rates – Baseline Condition

Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
246	1	1000	19.09	15.68	3.41	34.78
246	2	1000	21.53	19.61	1.92	41.14
330	3	1000	10.52	0.48	10.04	11.00
255	4	1000	23.28	12.51	10.78	35.79
255	5	1000	19.46	12.90	6.57	32.36
269	6	1000	18.35	8.25	10.10	26.60
269	7	1000	27.48	8.87	18.61	36.35
269	8	1000	8.30	7.39	0.90	15.69
297	9	875	12.22	7.41	4.81	19.63
199	10	875	0.29	8.19	-7.90	8.49
349	11	875	5.25	0.33	4.92	5.59
278	12	750	5.52	6.30	-0.78	11.82
354	13	750	7.38	0.99	6.39	8.38
302	14	1125	8.80	7.80	1.00	16.61
325	15	1200	5.76	5.61	0.15	11.38
345	16	1000	5.65	3.39	2.26	9.04
339	17	1050	3.90	5.24	-1.33	9.14
345	18	1000	4.38	4.69	-0.32	9.07
346	19	1125	1.81	3.51	-1.70	5.32
37	20	1125	3.50	0.89	2.61	4.39
47	21	1250	2.39	1.98	0.41	4.37
272	22	1500	0.78	0.37	0.42	1.15
342	23	1375	0.53	0.34	0.19	0.87
330	24	1375	0.39	1.24	-0.85	1.63
31	25	1500	0.95	0.64	0.31	1.59
66	26	1500	0.48	1.80	-1.33	2.28
103	27	1500	0.96	1.14	-0.19	2.10
139	28	1250	4.14	0.15	4.00	4.29
130	29	1250	3.30	0.58	2.72	3.88
92	30	1500	0.18	2.32	-2.14	2.50
157	31	1250	1.56	0.91	0.65	2.47
237	32	1000	0.59	0.13	0.46	0.72
2	33	1250	0.22	0.92	-0.70	1.14
127	34	1500	2.83	0.10	2.73	2.93
163	35	1125	4.01	0.28	3.73	4.29
108	36	750	2.35	1.97	0.37	4.32
2	37	750	8.32	2.36	5.96	10.68



Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
338	38	750	8.91	7.03	1.88	15.94
338	39	750	16.39	7.35	9.04	23.74
336	40	750	17.83	7.95	9.87	25.78
285	41	875	47.20	9.56	37.64	56.76
304	42	1000	39.12	10.40	28.71	49.52
283	43	1000	47.77	9.55	38.22	57.32
304	44	1000	41.58	11.27	30.31	52.84
282	45	1000	47.29	9.67	37.62	56.96
287	46	1000	43.08	10.30	32.78	53.38
293	47	1000	51.92	11.04	40.88	62.96
317	48	1000	34.98	11.15	23.83	46.13
0	49	1000	9.09	2.70	6.39	11.78
313	50	1000	39.68	12.39	27.29	52.07
282	51	1000	42.00	10.07	31.93	52.07
295	52	1000	61.71	12.11	49.60	73.82
308	53	1000	59.29	12.18	47.11	71.48
329	54	1000	24.55	10.81	13.74	35.36
310	55	1000	47.24	13.00	34.25	60.24
314	56	1000	37.32	13.05	24.26	50.37
322	57	1000	29.71	12.61	17.09	42.32
348	58	1000	14.08	7.22	6.86	21.30

Table 2.2-35. Annual Longshore Sediment Transport Rates – Modified Condition

Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
246	1	1000	12.01	11.67	0.34	23.68
246	2	1000	10.44	12.95	-2.52	23.39
330	3	1000	10.32	0.56	9.76	10.89
255	4	1000	7.41	8.95	-1.54	16.37
255	5	1000	4.04	8.71	-4.67	12.75
269	6	1000	7.70	6.92	0.79	14.62
269	7	1000	6.15	7.09	-0.94	13.24
269	8	1000	4.54	7.05	-2.52	11.59
297	9	875	7.72	7.29	0.42	15.01
199	10	875	0.29	3.52	-3.23	3.80
349	11	875	3.50	0.33	3.16	3.83
278	12	750	3.36	6.02	-2.66	9.38
354	13	750	6.56	0.89	5.67	7.45
302	14	1125	5.04	7.62	-2.57	12.66



Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
325	15	1200	3.88	5.36	-1.47	9.24
345	16	1000	3.73	3.27	0.45	7.00
339	17	1050	2.88	4.70	-1.82	7.59
345	18	1000	2.65	3.88	-1.23	6.54
346	19	1125	1.72	3.50	-1.78	5.22
37	20	1125	3.50	0.89	2.61	4.39
47	21	1250	2.30	1.98	0.32	4.28
272	22	1500	0.68	0.13	0.55	0.81
342	23	1375	0.37	0.18	0.19	0.55
330	24	1375	0.36	0.61	-0.26	0.97
31	25	1500	0.49	0.38	0.10	0.87
66	26	1500	0.23	1.44	-1.21	1.68
103	27	1500	0.41	0.81	-0.40	1.22
139	28	1250	0.95	0.12	0.83	1.07
130	29	1250	0.37	0.18	0.18	0.55
92	30	1500	0.13	0.94	-0.81	1.06
157	31	1250	1.25	0.16	1.09	1.42
237	32	1000	0.27	0.05	0.22	0.31
2	33	1250	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
127	34	1500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
163	35	1125	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
108	36	750	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	37	750	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
338	38	750	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
338	39	750	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
336	40	750	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
285	41	875	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
304	42	1000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
283	43	1000	0.27	1.53	-1.27	1.80
304	44	1000	0.17	0.16	0.01	0.32
282	45	1000	0.69	0.96	-0.27	1.65
287	46	1000	0.39	0.15	0.24	0.53
293	47	1000	0.35	0.22	0.14	0.57
317	48	1000	0.26	0.28	-0.02	0.54
0	49	1000	0.12	0.13	-0.01	0.25
313	50	1000	10.77	0.08	10.68	10.85
282	51	1000	40.48	1.18	39.30	41.65
295	52	1000	61.65	5.95	55.70	67.60
308	53	1000	59.28	8.06	51.22	67.34
329	54	1000	24.50	7.67	16.83	32.17
310	55	1000	39.74	11.41	28.33	51.15





Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
314	56	1000	36.63	11.80	24.83	48.43
322	57	1000	28.48	11.57	16.91	40.05
348	58	1000	14.43	6.72	7.70	21.15

In order to see the local changes of the rates, Table 2.2-36 summarizes the variations of the rates at the 58 stations, i.e. MC rates – BC rates. It can be observed that for most of the stations, the modified condition resulted to a decrease in directional, net, and gross transport rates (i.e. negative  $\Delta Q_n$ ), except for the stations at the western stations which are not fronted by the proposed reclamation. The plot of  $Q_n$  shown in Figure 2.2-94 indicates that local regions marked by dotted circle are potential accretion zones in the Modified Condition at the western stations.

Table 2.2-36. Differences of Transport Rates (Modified Condition-Baseline Condition)

Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
246	1	1000	-7.085	-4.013	-3.072	-11.098
246	2	1000	-11.098	-6.658	-4.439	-17.756
330	3	1000	-0.198	0.083	-0.281	-0.115
255	4	1000	-15.871	-3.552	-12.319	-19.423
255	5	1000	-15.424	-4.183	-11.241	-19.607
269	6	1000	-10.650	-1.335	-9.316	-11.985
269	7	1000	-21.324	-1.783	-19.541	-23.107
269	8	1000	-3.757	-0.337	-3.421	-4.094
297	9	875	-4.506	-0.114	-4.392	-4.619
199	10	875	-0.005	-4.677	4.672	-4.682
349	11	875	-1.757	0.001	-1.758	-1.757
278	12	750	-2.160	-0.283	-1.877	-2.443
354	13	750	-0.826	-0.105	-0.721	-0.931
302	14	1125	-3.760	-0.187	-3.573	-3.947
325	15	1200	-1.875	-0.258	-1.618	-2.133
345	16	1000	-1.924	-0.120	-1.804	-2.044
339	17	1050	-1.023	-0.534	-0.489	-1.557
345	18	1000	-1.723	-0.811	-0.912	-2.535
346	19	1125	-0.091	-0.010	-0.082	-0.101
37	20	1125	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
47	21	1250	-0.087	-0.003	-0.084	-0.090
272	22	1500	-0.106	-0.236	0.130	-0.342
342	23	1375	-0.160	-0.163	0.003	-0.323
330	24	1375	-0.033	-0.620	0.588	-0.653
31	25	1500	-0.460	-0.258	-0.203	-0.718
66	26	1500	-0.243	-0.360	0.117	-0.603
103	27	1500	-0.549	-0.331	-0.218	-0.879



Shore-normal (deg, from N)	Station ID	Tributary length m	Q+ (to right) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Q- (to left) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qn (net) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)	Qt (gross) (1000m <sup>3</sup> / yr)
139	28	1250	-3.194	-0.029	-3.165	-3.223
130	29	1250	-2.929	-0.398	-2.531	-3.327
92	30	1500	-0.051	-1.383	1.332	-1.434
157	31	1250	-0.302	-0.750	0.448	-1.052
237	32	1000	-0.322	-0.088	-0.234	-0.410
2	33	1250	-0.223	-0.920	0.698	-1.143
127	34	1500	-2.829	-0.101	-2.728	-2.930
163	35	1125	-4.007	-0.282	-3.726	-4.289
108	36	750	-2.347	-1.974	-0.372	-4.321
2	37	750	-8.318	-2.357	-5.961	-10.675
338	38	750	-8.911	-7.034	-1.877	-15.944
338	39	750	-16.389	-7.353	-9.037	-23.742
336	40	750	-17.828	-7.955	-9.873	-25.783
285	41	875	-47.199	-9.556	-37.643	-56.756
304	42	1000	-39.115	-10.402	-28.714	-49.517
283	43	1000	-47.499	-8.018	-39.481	-55.518
304	44	1000	-41.405	-11.112	-30.293	-52.517
282	45	1000	-46.602	-8.710	-37.891	-55.312
287	46	1000	-42.698	-10.153	-32.544	-52.851
293	47	1000	-51.567	-10.819	-40.748	-62.386
317	48	1000	-34.722	-10.872	-23.850	-45.594
0	49	1000	-8.969	-2.570	-6.399	-11.539
313	50	1000	-28.914	-12.309	-16.606	-41.223
282	51	1000	-1.523	-8.893	7.370	-10.416
295	52	1000	-0.062	-6.158	6.096	-6.219
308	53	1000	-0.012	-4.119	4.107	-4.130
329	54	1000	-0.051	-3.143	3.092	-3.194
310	55	1000	-7.503	-1.589	-5.914	-9.092
314	56	1000	-0.687	-1.253	0.565	-1.940
322	57	1000	-1.225	-1.045	-0.180	-2.271
348	58	1000	0.344	-0.495	0.840	-0.151



Figure 2.2-93 Directions and Magnitudes of Annual Net Longshore Transport  $Q_n$  for Baseline Condition



**Figure 2.2-94** Directions and Magnitudes of Annual Net Longshore Transport  $Q_n$  for Modified Conditions

Figures 2.2-95 and 2.2-96 graphically show the total transport rates  $Q_t$  at all stations under Baseline and Modified Conditions. It is clear that  $Q_t$  decreases at the locations where the shoreline is fronted by the proposed reclamation, i.e. parts of the middle stations and western stations.





Figure 2.2-95 Directions and Magnitudes of Annual Net Longshore Transport  $Q_t$  for Modified Conditions



Figure 2.2-96 Directions and Magnitudes of Annual Gross Longshore Transport  $Q_t$  for Modified Conditions

### Findings and Recommendations

Based on currently available information and the modeling work covering the risks identified for the project site, it is evident that the results of the post-development scenarios covering the 4 islands of the entire Cavite Provincial Government (CPG) reclamation projects as well as the Sangley International Airport Project **do not show highly significant negative impacts**.

These impacts extend individually to Island A, which is the subject of this application.

### Effects/Changes in Bathymetry

The project will have impact on the bathymetry only insofar as local plan form is concerned, since the reclamation project precisely involves the creation of a new island within the approved project site boundaries. Overall, however the changes in the bathymetry of the entirety of the Manila Bay is deemed insignificant considering that the project is going to occupy a mere fraction of the Bay and its adjacent areas.



### Effects on Prevailing Waves

Comparing the prevailing wave climate results under the pre-development and post-development scenarios, it can be seen that the waves are relatively calm, with wave heights below 0.7 m in the tip of the Sangley Point. The reclamation islands generally induced lower wave heights in its vicinity. This may be attributed to a significant amount of wave energy being blocked by the islands. In some of these cases, the calm wave climate may cause potential water stagnation issues.

### Effects of Climate Change/Sea Level Rise

The Global Climate Risk Index places the Philippines as among the top 5 countries which would be most severely impacted by climate change and sea level rise. The IPCC Report on Climate Change estimates a 460mm rise should the oceans warm another 2 degree by the year 2100. While this project cannot, by itself, alter the impacts of climate change, it is possible to mitigate the risk to the project by providing adequate freeboard in the determination of the finished elevation of the reclamation. **This freeboard is 600mm.**

### Effects on Storm Surge

Storm tide levels of 3 potentially critical typhoons, Rita, Patsy and Xangsane, were simulated at the vicinity of the project site. The effects of the reclamation to the storm tide levels vary. Typhoon Rita caused storm tide levels of roughly 1.0 m – 1.1 m at Islands C to E, and 0.6 m – 0.8 m at Island A. The addition of the reclamation induced a slight increase of roughly 0.1 m in storm tide levels at the channel between Island E and the existing coastline. On the other hand, the reclamation resulted to a significant reduction in the storm tide levels in Bacoar Bay. Typhoon Patsy caused storm tide levels of roughly 0.8 m – 0.9 m for all islands and the reclamation resulted to a slight increase in storm tide levels at the eastern portion of the proposed SPIA and Island A. Lastly, typhoon Xangsane resulted in the lowest storm tide levels at roughly 0.8 m for all islands and the reclamations did not change the result significantly.

### Storm surge hazard, vulnerability

A hazard is defined as condition with the potential to inflict harm, cause loss of life and damage to property. It is significant in relation to the presence of vulnerable population (e.g. those who would be likely impacted by the hazards). In the case of this project, the vulnerable population/areas would include the onshore populace and fisherfolk, as well as structures such as those for fishing.

With or without the reclamation projects the storm surge hazard exist and the vulnerability of the affected population and important activities are thus also present. However, with the reclamation islands in fact provide “sheltering” effects and thus are not expected to exacerbate the storm surge hazard and vulnerabilities. The design of the final reclamation enclosure should make consideration for sea level rise in the form of freeboard. **The minimum recommended freeboard is 600mm.**

### Effects on Storm Wave

Simulative analyses of storm waves generated by 3 historical typhoons were carried out. The storm wave heights induced by these typhoons ranges from 0 m to 3 m at the harbor and along the coastline. For all three typhoons, it can be seen that the proposed reclamation islands in the post-development scenarios will provide a sheltering effect on all shorelines leeward of the reclamations, with the most significant effect caused by SPIA, primarily due to the fact its single island is significantly larger than Islands A-E.

### Discussion of how the impacts may be affected by climate change especially sea level rise.

#### Required Reclamation Crest Elevation

The structure crest elevation which is not overtopped by typhoon waves depends highly on the design of the reclamation wall structure in terms of seaward slope, hydraulic roughness, profile, and armor unit type and



geometry. For a 1:2 embankment slope with rock armor, the critical non-overtopping crest elevation obtained is 7.64 m based on Typhoon Rita. With the obtained required elevation not viable, wave overtopping is to be expected for lower design crest elevations, and thus a slope with seawall on top was considered. Setting the FGL at 4 m to 5 m and wall heights of 1 to 1.5 m, the obtained wave overtopping discharge ranges from 0.003 to 0.028 m<sup>3</sup>/s/m. With these obtained values, it is recommended to protect the embankment crest based from the critical values of overtopping discharges from the Coastal Engineering Manual.

### **Possible Combinations of Reclamation Backfill Elevation and Embankment Seawall Height**

To satisfy CEM code requirements specifying damage condition for a protective coastal structure such as an embankment seawall, combinations of minimum seawall height and RBE (reclamation backfill elevation, or height of fill above MSL) were computed to meet the maximum wave overtopping discharge for the damage condition (Table 2.2-31). For example, in order not to damage the embankment seawall assuming no additional protection of the crest, the required minimum height for Island D is +1.24 m for an RBE of +4.0 m. Under the same conditions.

### **Effects on Tidal Currents**

In the post-development scenario, various changes in the maximum tidal-induced currents were noted, including an extreme increase of current speed at the gaps of Island A within Bacoor Bay. A moderate increase of current speed between the Islands C-E, and a significant increase of current speed on the leeside of Island E within the waterway. All these changes can be attributed to the constriction of flow in these areas.

**Discussion of how the impacts may be affected by climate change especially sea level rise.**

### **Effects on Tidal Circulation**

Monitoring of time histories of tidal currents indicate that the tidal currents modified by the post-development scenario will still allow significant circulation of seawaters within both partially enclosed waterways and channelized waterways between the reclaimed islands. The circulation currents have a wide range of amplitudes of 0.05 to 0.6 mps, as well as oscillating directions signifying dispersion of outward currents. Channelized waterways created between the reclaimed islands C to E experience reversal of flows, indicating bi-directional transport of seawaters that would be otherwise be confined in these channels.

**Discussion of how the impacts may be affected by climate change especially sea level rise.**

### **Effects on Longshore Sediment Transport**

In the pre-development condition, the net transport rates at the coast are generally positive, ranging from 3 to 19 tcm/yr for northern stations, -2 to 5 tcm/yr for the middle stations and higher values of 2 to 50 tcm/yr for western stations. This indicates that the sediment transport is generally directed to the right. The gross transport rates follow the same trend, with low rates for the middle stations and higher values for western stations. For the post development condition, a reversal of net transport direction to some of the northern stations are observed, where net transport rates are from -5 to 10 tcm/yr. For the middle stations, the modified condition resulted to an even net and gross transport rates, both now ranging from -1 to 4 tcm/yr. The transport rates at stations fronted by the Cavite reclamation sites, namely Sta. 43 to Sta. 49, have decreased greatly, now only ranging from -1 to 2 tcm/yr, and for the rest of the western stations, net transport rates increased, now ranging from 17 to 56 tcm/yr.

**Discussion of how the impacts may be affected by climate change especially sea level rise.**

Longshore Sediment Transport is influenced among others by currents and tides which in turn may be influenced by climate change especially sea level rise. However, the foregoing discussions demonstrate the minimal effect of climate change and sea level rise.





### **2.2.3 Water Quality**

#### **2.2.3.1 Degradation of Groundwater Quality**

##### **Baseline Condition**

Based on the Cavite Integrated Water Resource Management Master Plan (*Cavite PPDO, 2012*), the groundwater resources in the future will no longer be adequate to meet the long-term water requirements of the province. Groundwater availability has reached a critical point. Groundwater mining, rapid growth in population, increased economic activity, decreasing groundwater levels resulting in salt-water intrusion in coastal areas, pollution in rivers, and competition over water rights, which could adversely affect the environment, already appears to be occurring in some cities/municipalities in Cavite Province.

Near the coast, particularly Cavite City, all deepwells have been abandoned due to the decline of water level below mean sea level that resulted to saline water intrusion. Overdevelopment of groundwater resources through wells in areas near the coastline will ultimately result to inland movement of seawater once the cone of depression created by pumping reaches the sea.

Saltwater intrusion in Manila Bay is caused mainly by groundwater withdrawal. Saline water has moved considerably inland especially along the coasts of Cavite and is associated with the proliferation of wells that are used to extract groundwater. In the future, saltwater intrusion is likely to move further inland if current rate of groundwater withdrawal continues and if sea level rise increases due to projected warming of temperature.

##### **Potential Impact of Project**

The proposed project will not affect the quality of groundwater in the area because the activities during the Construction Phase only involves the reclamation phase, which will not require groundwater extraction.

No groundwater extraction shall be done for the proposed project during construction and operation phase. During the construction phase, water supply shall be in the form of bottled water that will be purchased and brought to the vessels and/or the project site. Furthermore, effluent discharges will be treated onboard through the use of the bilge system.

During operations phase, the proposed development will be provided with adequate water supply system, which will be connected to the existing water supply network of Maynilad, specifically to the existing 900 mm diameter water pipeline running along the Manila Cavite Coastal Road.

With regards to the disposal of unwanted dredged materials offshore, the specific site for disposal area is not yet identified. Ideally, these materials will be disposed offshore outside of the reclamation site, which based on actual experience by a previous dredging contractor could be at a site in Manila Bay with depths of at least 20 meters. The designation of this disposal site is to be determined by the PCG, subject to permitting from the PCG and the DENR through the Manila Bay Coordinating Office (MBCO). The offshore areas of Manila Bay with minimum depth of 20m are not known to be utilized for groundwater resource extraction. Hence, there is nil chance to cause groundwater quality degradation.



### Groundwater Quality Secondary Baseline Data

Although there will be no groundwater abstraction to be done for the project, secondary data on water quality is herein presented to characterize the baseline conditions. These were taken from the published EIS – Preparatory Survey for Cavite Industrial Area Flood Management Project prepared by KRC Environmental Services Inc. for the DPWH, in partnership JICA-CTI Engineering International Co. Ltd. (undated) The samples were collected on February 17, 2016 from hand-pumped shallow wells in the municipalities of Noveleta and Rosario.

**Table 2.2-37. Groundwater Quality Test Results**

Parameter, unit	Sampling Station					PNSDW Limits
	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GW5	
	Love Memorial Park Salcedo II, Noveleta	Marcella St. Brgy. Ligtong III, Rosario	409 Tramo Road Bagbag 1, Rosario	Poblacion, Noveleta	Sta. Rosa II, Noveleta	
pH	7.2	7.8	7.6	7.2	7.4	6.5 - 8.8
Temperature, °C	29	28.8	28.6	27.8	28	
Conductivity, µS/cm	996	610	624	1,720	1,070	250
BOD, mg/L	1	2	2	3	4	
Salinity, mg/L	734	359	441	473	441	250 (as Chloride)
Total Coliform, MPN/100mL	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1	16	<1.1	<1.1

Source: DPWH, Cavite Flood Mitigation for Lowland Areas EIS Report, 2017

Based on PNSDW limits, it can be seen from the results that only the total coliform of GW4 has exceeded. Most coliform bacteria are generally harmless but may also pose health risks if there is presence of fecal coliform. There are no limits for the other parameters analyzed. For salinity, if this is compared to Chloride standard, all samples are beyond the standard of 250 mg/L. The high salinity values probably indicate saltwater intrusion in the sampled areas.



Source: DPWH, Cavite Flood Mitigation for Lowland Areas EIS Report, 2017

**Figure 2.2-97 Groundwater Sample Location Map**



### 2.2.3.2 Degradation of Surface Water Quality

The important surface freshwater bodies nearest to the project site are Ylang-Ylang River, which is 0.93km to the southwest corner of Island A and Imus River, which is 1.1km to the mid-eastern corner of the proposed island (Figure 2.2-2).

The potential sources of water quality degradation of nearby freshwater or marine water within and surrounding the project site will be the dredging and loading reclamation activities. The impacts and causes of water quality degradation during construction period are the following:

- Dredging and loading operation for unsuitable materials and for fill materials that may cause silt dispersal (increase in background levels of TSS);
- Disposal of unsuitable dredged materials;
- Discharges of bilge water;
- Indiscriminate disposal of solid wastes and domestic wastewater; and
- Accidental Oil Spills.

To mitigate these potential problems, the following shall be strictly implemented:

- Installation of silt curtain, rock bund and/or cofferdam in areas of dredging, loading and dispersal operation;
- Proper disposal of unwanted dredged materials in areas designated and approved by the PCG and DENR, and with proper permits;
- Proper disposal of solid wastes in designated landfills and release of treated domestic wastewater/bilge water only in approved areas; and
- Oil spill management (discussed under Chapter 4 – ERA).

Most importantly, the project proponent shall rigidly conform to the Supreme Court Mandamus on Manila Bay in all its proposed operations within the area.

In any case, the proposed project will not significantly affect the quality of these freshwater bodies for the following reasons:

The primary generators of wastewaters are from the sea going vessels. The vessels are mobile and continuously navigate between the project site and the SNS.

- These generators shall not navigate close to the rivers;
- The wastewaters are relatively small inflows; the population of the vessels which could generate domestic wastewater is small i.e. approximately 50 to 75 vessel personnel;
- The period for generation of wastewaters is short-lived; e.g., the vessel will operate only for 15 hours/day; and
- There are essentially no toxic and hazardous effluents.

### Baseline Conditions

Freshwater sampling at the mouths of Ylang-Ylang, Imus and Maalimango rivers was conducted on June 24, 2020. The parameters to be tested are: Ammonia, Phosphate, BOD, Nitrates, TSS, Surfactants and Total Coliform. The results is presented in Table 2-37a which shows that all parameters tested for Ylang-ilang and Imus Rivers failed the DENR Standards except for oil and grease which is below the reporting limit while Maalimango River failed for Nitrates, *Laboratory Result is attached Annex 2-2a.*

Secondary data for water quality monitoring was taken from DENR R4A. These are the results of their monitoring for the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of 2018, Jan-Sep of 2019, and Jan 2020, which are herein presented for a more





comprehensive view of the baseline conditions in the area. These are shown in Tables 2.2-38 and 2.2-38A, while the sampling stations are shown in Figure 2.2-98.

The test results show that the surface waters in the area are predominantly dirty, which confirms the visual observations of the Marine Survey Team. Even for Class C waters, the samples yielded results that are mostly exceeding the limits, except for pH, color, and most of the chloride and TSS values. All total (22,000 to 170M for YY River and 22,000 to 2.4M for Imus) and fecal coliform (2,000 to 160M for YYR, 790 to 160M for Imus) levels are way beyond the standards.

Comparing all stations of the 2 rivers, the Alang-Ylang Bridge station has the worst pollutant content with maximum values of: 2,190 mg/L for BOD; 170M mg/L for TC; 130M mg/L for FC; color at 2,500 TCU; pH of 5.54; and zero DO. Its condition is seemingly consistent from 2018-2020. This station is located in the upper reaches of the river in Dasmariñas.

At the mouths of these rivers, water quality is likewise very poor especially in terms of fecal coliform, DO, phosphate, and chloride levels which are all beyond the DENR limits for all 6 stations. The TSS is mostly failure except for Ylang-ylang-1 and Imus-2. Only the pH and color are all within the standards for Class C.

**Table 2.2-37a Water Quality Results for Ylang-ilang, Imus and Maalimango River Rivermounts, June 24, 2020**

Station	Date of Sampling	NH <sub>3</sub> , mg/L	BOD, mg/L	Nitrates, mg/L	O & G, MPN/100mL	Phosphate, mg/L	Surfactants, mg/L	TSS, mg/L	FC, MPN/100mL
<b>DENR Class C Standard</b>		0.007	1.0	0.003	1	0.006	1	2	1.8
Ylang-ylang N 14°27'44.01" E 121°53'13.16"	June 24, 2020	1.84	46	1.74	<1	0.959	0.2	85	2.6x10 <sup>5</sup>
Imus N 14°27'50.39" E 121°50'59.21"	June 24, 2020	2.51	52	0.575	<1	0.220	0.2	19	7.9x10 <sup>4</sup>
Maalimango N 14°24'42.81" E 121°50'38.39"	June 24, 2020	2.83	87	<0.003	3	1.64	0.2	7	3.5x10 <sup>6</sup>

Source: Elarsi, Inc., June 24, 2020

**Table 2.2-38. Water Quality Test Results for Ylang-Ylang and Imus (DENR-4A)**

Station	Period Covered	DO, mg/L	TSS, mg/L	PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	FC, MPN/100mL	pH	Color TCU	Cl, mg/L
DENR Class C Standard		5	80	0.5	200	6.5–9	75	350
YLANG-YLANG RIVERMOUTH								
Ylang-ylang -1	1st Q 2020	3.4	12	1.2	13,000	8.35		
Ylang-ylang -2		3	156	1.45	17,000	8.16	15	462
Ylang-ylang -3		4.6	530	0.87	22,000	8.26	15	805
IMUS RIVER								
Imus -2	1st Q 2018	7	8	1.678	253,084	7.97	14	3,840
	1st Q 2020	2	2	1.65	3,500,000	7.89	20	607
Imus -3		1.4	452	1.62	47,000	7.83	20	557
Imus -4		1.8	104	1.83	350,000	7.79	20	506

Source: Status of Implementation of 2017-2022 OPMBCS Report, DENR-R4A. 1st Quarter 2018; DENR R4 Water Quality Status (Cavite), 20 November 2019; EMB IV-A Water Quality Information System (wqis.emb.gov.ph). Jan 2020

Note: Values in red highlights are below/ exceedances in DAO 2016-08 Criteria for Class C waters



**Table 2.2-38A Water Quality Test Results for Ylang-Ylang and Imus Rivers (DENR-4A)**

Parameters, units	Class C Standard	1Q 2018 Average	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019	Sep 2019	Jan-Sep 2019 Ave	Jan 2020
<b>YLANG-YLANG RIVER</b>													
<b>Noveleta Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	10	2	10	5	4	6	8	8	4	2	5	18
DO, mg/L	5	10.7											2.5
TSS, mg/L	80	43											21
PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	0.5	1.475											1.92
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	49,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	33,000	20,000	33,000	79,000	14,000	26,000	11,000	920,000	49,000	540,000	55,605	79,000
pH	6.5 - 9	8.56											7.77
Color TCU	75	15											20
Cl, mg/L	350	48											209
NH <sub>3</sub> , mg/L	0.05	0.047											
<b>Bacao-Alapan Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	6	8	7	6	6	6	4	7	4	2	6	14
DO, mg/L	5	6.4											
TSS, mg/L	80	26											46
PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	0.5	1.792											2.17
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	1,300,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	49,000	78,000	49,000	11,000	2,000	11,000	33,000	280,000	790,000	350,000	51,070	330,000
pH	6.5 - 9	7.69											7.98
Color TCU	75	10											25
Cl, mg/L	350	58											134
NH <sub>3</sub> , mg/L	0.05	0.04											
<b>Dasmariñas Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	10	2	8	9	10	14	4	6	7	4	7	16
DO, mg/L	5	4.3											5.2
TSS, mg/L	80	1,192											1
PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	0.5	1.563											1.34
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	700,000											



**CHAPTER TWO**  
**THE WATER**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND C (205 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Parameters, units	Class C Standard	1Q 2018 Average	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019	Sep 2019	Jan-Sep 2019 Ave	Jan 2020
FC, MPN/100mL	200	330,000	78,000	45,000	78,000	110,000	78,000	240,000	1,600,000	330,000	1,600,000	198,399	1,100,000
pH	6.5 - 9	8.01											7.93
Color TCU	75	5											15
Cl, mg/L	350	36											
NH3, mg/L	0.05	0.10											
<b>Alang-Ylang Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	230	420	2070	840	2	855	500	24	71	3	5,312	2190
DO, mg/L	5	0											0
TSS, mg/L	80	820											58
PO4, mg/L	0.5	6.266											0.4
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	170,000,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	130,000,000	68,000	160,000,000	18,000	2,300,000	1,700,000	13,000,000	3,300,000	16,000,000	7,900,000	2,523,663	17,000,000
pH	6.5 - 9	5.54											6.27
Color TCU	75	2500											5
Cl, mg/L	350	132											1348
NH3, mg/L	0.05	29.587											
<b>Luksuhin Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	1	8	2	2	4	6	1	2	12	1	4	35
DO, mg/L	5	5.6											5.6
TSS, mg/L	80	3											2
PO4, mg/L	0.5	0.26											0.04
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	330,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	33,000	16,000,000	68,000	4,500,000	14,000	17,000	540,000	280,000	330,000	350,000	301,112	270,000
pH	6.5 - 9	8.13											6.85
Color TCU	75	5											5
Cl, mg/L	350	24											3
NH3, mg/L	0.05	0.08											
<b>IMUS RIVER</b>													
<b>Island Cove Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	14	10	13	24	9	18	16	18	15	3	15	17



**CHAPTER TWO**  
**THE WATER**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND C (205 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Parameters, units	Class C Standard	1Q 2018 Average	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019	Sep 2019	Jan-Sep 2019 Ave	Jan 2020
DO, mg/L	5	6.9											5.4
TSS, mg/L	80	32											160
PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	0.5	1.26											1.60
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	480,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	490,000	1,300,000	790,000	130,000	1,300,000	490,000	220,000	200,000	130,000	130,000	515,244	790,000
pH	6.5 - 9	7.09											8.1
Color TCU	75	10											20
Cl, mg/L	350	853											346
NH <sub>3</sub> , mg/L	0.05	6.25											
<b>Imus Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	10	24	10	14	39	10	10	5	13	7	16	13
DO, mg/L	5	3.5											3.2
TSS, mg/L	80	13											8
PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	0.5	2.65											2.13
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	330,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	79,000	330,000	1,300,000	79,000	3,500,000	330,000	110,000	200,000	230,000	1,100,000	403,345	340,000
pH	6.5 - 9	7.57											8.05
Color TCU	75	5											20
Cl, mg/L	350	251											24
NH <sub>3</sub> , mg/L	0.05	5.01											
<b>Tanzang Luma Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	16	29	13	28	24	9	11	4	14	5	17	17
DO, mg/L	5	3.2											3
TSS, mg/L	80	16											12
PO <sub>4</sub> , mg/L	0.5	2.01											2.07
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	2,400,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	330,000	700,000	1,300,000	1,100,000	700,000	140,000	170,000	780,000	790,000	1,300,000	505,458	260,000
pH	6.5 - 9	7.56											7.95
Color TCU	75	5											20
Cl, mg/L	350	44											11





**CHAPTER TWO**  
**THE WATER**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND C (205 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Parameters, units	Class C Standard	1Q 2018 Average	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019	Sep 2019	Jan-Sep 2019 Ave	Jan 2020
NH3, mg/L	0.05	6.84											
<b>Palanas Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	12	25	10	11	28	12	13	5	14	3	15	11
DO, mg/L	5	5.3											4.3
TSS, mg/L	80	41											6
PO4, mg/L	0.5	2.73											2.12
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	280,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	170,000	330,000	24,000,000	330,000	1,300,000	450,000	1100000	450,000	270,000	130,000	1,090,514	390,000
pH	6.5 - 9	7.67											8.23
Color TCU	75	5											25
Cl, mg/L	350	37											23
NH3, mg/L	0.05	4.76											
<b>Orchard Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	26	37	15	35	32	8	16	4	28	5	22	30
DO, mg/L	5	6.5											3.8
TSS, mg/L	80	15											11
PO4, mg/L	0.5	2.954											2.27
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	7,900,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	3,300,000	3,500,000	24,000,000	7,000,000	4,900,000	4,900,000	400000	170,000	11,000,000	1,700,000	4,219,927	470,000
pH	6.5 - 9	7.67											7.98
Color TCU	75	10											15
Cl, mg/L	350	110											59
NH3, mg/L	0.05	11.17											
<b>DLSU-Dasmariñas Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	10	5	12	13	28	2	21	6	13	5	13	14
DO, mg/L	5	4.5											1.3
TSS, mg/L	80	8											9
PO4, mg/L	0.5	1.52											0.78
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	2,200,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	700,000	7,900,000	24,000,000	1,700,000	4,900,000	450,000	2200000	400,000	7,900,000	3,300,000	3,406,851	320,000




**CHAPTER TWO**  
**THE WATER**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND C (205 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Parameters, units	Class C Standard	1Q 2018 Average	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019	Sep 2019	Jan-Sep 2019 Ave	Jan 2020
pH	6.5 - 9	7.45											7.8
Color TCU	75	5											10
Cl, mg/L	350	15											75
NH3, mg/L	0.05	4.19											
<b>Aguinaldo Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	2	8	2	3	9	1	2	5	3	1	4	3
DO, mg/L	5	7.9											7.5
TSS, mg/L	80	1											3
PO4, mg/L	0.5	0.80											0.06
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	22,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	4,500	13,000	6,800	790	79,000	9,300	7800	930,000	17,000	130,000	8,584	34,000
pH	6.5 - 9	8											8.33
Color TCU	75	5											5
Cl, mg/L	350	2											11
NH3, mg/L	0.05	0.01											
<b>Pasipit Bridge</b>													
BOD, mg/L	7	9	2	4	7	18	1	9	6	6	4	7	15
DO, mg/L	5	6.3											3
TSS, mg/L	80	18											1
PO4, mg/L	0.5	0.68											1.87
TC, MPN/100mL	1,000	790,000											
FC, MPN/100mL	200	70,000	1,300,000	270,000	330,000	9,200,000	680,000	170,000	200,000	220,000	330,000	705,388	350,000
pH	6.5 - 9	8.32											7.46
Color TCU	75	5											5
Cl, mg/L	350	30											8
NH3, mg/L	0.05	0.35											

Source: Status of Implementation of 2017-2022 OPMBCS Report, DENR-R4A. 1st Quarter 2018; DENR R4 Water Quality Status (Cavite), 20 November 2019; EMB IV-A Water Quality Information System (wqis.emb.gov.ph). Jan 2020

Note: Values in red highlights are below/ exceedances in DAO 2016-08 Criteria for Class C waters.





Source: DENR R-4A

Figure 2.2-98 Location Map of Ylang-ylang and Imus Rivers WQMA Stations





### 2.2.3.3 Degradation of Coastal/Marine Water Quality

The following factors are considered in the assessment of marine water quality degradation and are similar to those relating to degradation of surface waters.

- The sources of wastewater are not point sources but mobile sea vessels; and
- The wastewaters are relatively small inflows; the population of the vessels, which could generate domestic wastewater is small estimated at fifty (50) vessel personnel

The period for generation of wastewaters is short lived; e.g., the TSHD will operate only for 15 hours/day and 300 days/year at a total operating time of 54600 hours (2 275 days) or maximum of approximately 6 years.

The major contributors to potential marine water quality degradation are:

- Fugitive silt (Suspended Solids) generated during the dredging activities at the project site;
- Oil and Grease from accidental oil spills from the vessels; and
- Domestic waste water from the vessel crews.

### Baseline Conditions

#### Water Quality Parameters and Test Results

The test results are shown in Table 2.2-39, while the sample locations are included in Figure 2.2-99 below. The data indicate that seawater in the vicinity of the project site are mostly within the limits for Class SB waters except for ammonia, sulfate and boron contents for both C-A1 and C-A2 sampling stations. The DO values were undetected in both cases, which means it is way lower than the minimum limit of 6 mg/L. The TSS, on the other hand, is at the threshold value (50mg/L) for C-A2 and slightly exceeded for C-A1.

#### Additional Baseline Data Gathering, June 23, 2020

The sampling stations are inside the proposed island itself, hence, such sites will be covered by fill/dredged materials. Marine water sampling was conducted June 23, 2020 which was set along the perimeter, outside of the proposed island, with stations on each side/direction (N, S, E, W) as presented in Table 2.2-38b below. These stations (denominated as MP-A1 to MP-A4) are shown in the revised Figure 2.2-96 below.

Please note that during the DED stage, there may still be alterations in the final landform that may be required by concerned government agencies. This would also mean corresponding changes in the final location of the monitoring stations, which will also need concurrence of the MMT.

Based on the results of the sampling, South and West area failed the Oil and Grease, East and West for Total Suspended Solids (TSS), East failed for Sulfate, South and East area failed the Phosphates and all areas failed for Ammonia.

**Table 2.2-38b Island A Marine Sampling Stations Coordinates**

Point	Latitude	Longitude
<b>Island A</b>		
<b>Monitoring stations</b>		
MP-A1	14°28'19.36"N	120°54'20.49"E
MP-A2	14°27'33.95"N	120°54'12.05"E
MP-A3	14°27'54.62"N	120°53'37.97"E
MP-A4	14°27'56.63"N	120°54'56.16"E
<b>Marine Sampling stations</b>		
C-A1	14°27'50.89"N	120°53'57.35"E
C-A2	14°28'9.39"N	120°54'33.59"E





## CHAPTER TWO THE WATER

Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)  
Cavite Provincial Government

**Table 2.2-39. Marine Water Quality Test Results**

Parameter	Test Methods	Class SB Standard	Unit	Test Results				Test Results	
				Physico-Chemical Characterization of the Surface Water Outside of the Project Area, Date of Sampling: 23 June 2020				Physico-Chemical Characterization of the Surface Water at/near Site, Date of Sampling: 17 February 2019	
				North (MPA1)	South (MPA2)	East (MPA4)	West (MPA3)	C-A1	C-A2
Total Coliform	Multiple Tube Fermentation Technique	NA	MPN/100mL	1.1x10 <sup>5</sup>	7.9x10 <sup>4</sup>	3.3x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.6x10 <sup>5</sup>	<1.8	<1.8
Fecal Coliform	Multiple Tube Fermentation Technique	100	MPN/100mL	1.7x10 <sup>4</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>4</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>4</sup>	2.3x10 <sup>4</sup>	<1.8	<1.8
Dissolved Oxygen	Winkler Titrimetric	6.0 (min)	mg/L					<2.0	<2.0
Oil & Grease	Gravimetry (n-Hexane Extraction)	2	mg/L	<1.0	3.0	1.0	15.0	<0.41	0.44
Arsenic	SDDC, Spectrophotometry	0.01	mg/L	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.008	<0.008
Mercury	Manual Cold Vapor AAS	0.001	mg/L	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0002	<0.0002
Cadmium	Flame AAS-MIBK Extraction	0.003	mg/L	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.001	<0.001
Lead	Flame AAS-MIBK Extraction	0.01	mg/L	-	-	-	-	<0.005	<0.005
Chemical Oxygen Demand	Open Reflux Method (SM5220B)	NA	mg/L	24	16	14	20	214	165
Total Suspended Solids	Gravimetry (SM2540 D)	50	mg/L	28	36	113	60	51	50
Selenium	ICP – OES	0.01	mg/L	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01
Ammonia	Phenate Method (SM 4500-NH3 F)	0.05	mg/L	1.52	1.50	1.04	0.764	0.3	0.4
Sulfate	Turbidimetric Method	250	mg/L	62	217	314	194	1,600	1,820
Hexavalent Chromium	Diphenylcarbazide, Colorimetric Method	0.05	mg/L	-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002
Boron	Carminic Method	0.5	mg/L	-	-	-	-	3.7	3.7
Fluoride	SPADNS Method (SM4500-F D)	1.5	mg/L	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	1.2	1.1
pH	Electrometric	7.0-8.5	-	5.83	5.98	6.06	6.27	-	-
Temperature	Laboratory Method	26-30	°C	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	-	-
Color	Visual Comparison	50	mg/L	30@pH 5.83	30@pH 5.98	25@pH 6.06	25@pH 6.27	-	-
Chloride	Argentometric	n/a	mg/L	7,233	6,993	7,113	7,570	-	-
Phosphates	Stannous Chloride	0.50	mg/L	0.485	0.551	0.673	0.550	-	-
Nitrates	Brucine-Sulfanilic	10	mg/L	0.340	0.420	0.293	0.319	-	-
Surfactants	Methylene Blue	0.30	mg/L	0.20	0.20	0.40	0.30	-	-
Phenols	Chloroform Extraction	0.001	mg/L	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	-	-
Benzene	Gas Chromatography/FID	0.01	mg/L	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	-	-
Barium	Flame AAS	0.70	mg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-	-
Copper	Flame AAS	0.02	mg/L	0.654	0.728	0.739	0.714	-	-
Nickel	Flame AAS	0.04	mg/L	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	-	-
Manganese	Flame AAS	0.40	mg/L	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	-
Zinc	Flame AAS	0.50	mg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-	-

Date Sampled: February 17, 2019 and June 23, 2020,

Analyzed by: CRL Environmental Corporation and Elarsi, Inc.; Red highlight indicates the sample is beyond DENR standards



Figure 2.2-99 Map of the Marine Water Quality Sampling Stations



### Impacts Analysis - Possible Increase in the Degree of Pollution Loadings Due to the Proposed Project.

*It is imperative to note that the assessment of the degree of pollution loadings be reckoned from the perspective of the Scope of the Project, which is confined to the formation of land and that the activities post the reclaimed land are aspects of the "Operations Phase". "Reclamation" covers only the formation of the land to horizontal development and does not include the "Operations Phase", the latter involving vertical developments and the works/activities of the various locators in the reclaimed land. Moreover, the receptors of pollution load during the reclamation works are the marine species. Thus, increases in pollution should be confined to this phase of the work only. There will be no wastewater discharges during this phase.*

The main concern during this phase is silt loadings arising from disturbance of the seabed during dredging and filling at the project site.

The mitigating measures for silt loadings are adequately discussed in the other sections of the EIS and are essentially:

- The use of silt curtains and containment walls;
- Unwanted seabed materials will be likely disposed also in portions of Manila Bay likely outside the project site and will be subject to separate clearances and permitting processes; and
- Dissolved Oxygen, COD, coliform, Oil and Grease and metallic discharges are more relevant during the operations phase (Phase 2).

### Impact Analysis, Mitigating Measures and Monitoring

The short-term dredging and reclamation activities will be primarily confined to the ships. The potential sources of water quality degradation are: discharges of bilge water; accidental oil spills; and silt dispersal.

Mitigation consists of: observance by the vessel operator/management of the MARPOL Convention relating to Pollution Control; onboard treatment of bilge water; maintenance of ship facilities especially of fuel-using equipment to avoid accidental oil spills; and use of onboard oil spill containment and recovery systems. Compliance; observance of the Philippine Coast Guard safeguards and of the Manila Bay Oil Contingency Plan; and use of silt curtains and of containment structures.

Monitoring will be undertaken at sites wherein the vessels operate including along the navigational lane to the San Nicholas Shoal. Moreover, suspended solids, oil and grease content of discharges are the important monitoring parameters.

#### 2.2.4 Freshwater Ecology

The freshwater bodies are distant from the project site and will not be impacted by the reclamation works. Bacoar River is about 3.43 km southeast from the proposed project site, while the Imus River is about 1.1 km southwest from the project site, Panamitan River which is about 1.85 km away from the Project Site and Ylang-ylang River that is about 0.93 km southwest of the Project Site.

K.A. S. Martinez wrote "Developing a River Health Index: A Study in Ylang-Ylang River, Cavite, Philippines" and published in IRCHE 2017, 4th International Research Conference on Higher Education. This was referenced for the characterization of said river as below.

Ylang-Ylang River (YYR) (Figure 2.2-100) has a catchment area of approximately 1,334.85 hectares and is located at General Trias-Imus-Dasmariñas boundary. Based on the Inventory of Water Bodies from the website of DENR, the upper reaches of Ylang-Ylang River is classified as Class B while the lower reach is Class C. Ylang-Ylang River has been designated as a WQMA (included in the Imus-Ylang-Ylang-Rio Grande River System) by virtue of DENR Administrative Order No. 02 Series of 2013. Imus-Ylang-Ylang-Rio Grande



River System is critically important in cleaning-up the Manila Bay. Water bodies classified as Class B are intended for primary contact recreation such as bathing and swimming while Class C waters are intended for the propagation and growth of fish and other aquatic resources and as recreational water for boating, fishing, or similar activities as specified in the DAO 2016- 08. (Martinez, K.A.S., 2017)

**Table 2.2-40. Ylang-Ylang River Fish Assemblage Sub-Indicator Assessment.**

Name of Species Caught	Indigenusness		
STATION	SW-YY-1	SW-YY-2	SW-YY-3
<i>Oreochromis niloticus niloticus</i>	I/E	I/E	none
<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	I/E	I/E	I/E
<i>Poecilia sphenops</i>	I/E	none	none
<i>Pterygoplichtys disjunctivus</i>	I/E - Inv	I/Inv	none
<i>Sarotherodon melanotheon</i>	I/E	none	none
<i>Trichopodus tricopterus</i>	I/E	none	none
<b>Indigenusness</b>	Very low	Very low	Very low

Note: I – Introduced; E – Exotic; Inv - Invasive


Source: Martinez, K.A.S., 2017

**Table 2.2-41. Ylang-Ylang River Algal Community Sub-Indicator Assessment.**

Algal Genus Found	Pollution Index		
STATION	SW-YY-1	SW-YY-2	SW-YY-3
<i>Navicula</i>	3	3	3
<i>Gomphonema</i>	1	1	1
<i>Nitzschia</i>	3	3	none
<i>Closterium</i>	1	none	none
<i>Euglena</i>	none	5	5
<i>Synedra</i>	none	2	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	8	14	11
<b>Sub-Indicator Score</b>	5	5	5
<b>Indicator Sub-Index</b>	Very light organic pollution	Very light organic pollution	Very light organic pollution

Source: Martinez, K.A.S., 2017





Source: Martinez, K.A.S., 2017

Figure 2.2-100 Ylang-Ylang River Watershed



### Mitigating Measures:

To ensure the protection of the nearby freshwater bodies in the area, the Proponent shall install appropriate silt curtains around the work area to prevent silt dispersal. Solid waste and wastewater management shall be strictly implemented and there will be no discharges of the wastes to the bay.

River quality parameters will be monitored on a quarterly basis, starting with fresh baseline assessment prior to start-up of reclamation activities. Monitoring will include aquatic biota – freshwater species diversity, abundance, species richness and biomass, fishing practices and catch rates, turbidity, depth and river vegetation especially in the estuary.

### 2.2.5 Marine Ecology

#### 2.2.5.1 Survey Area and Objectives

The proposed Cavite Reclamation and Development Project: Islands A to E – are spread out in the municipalities of Rosario, Noveleta, Kawit and in Cavite City, shown in Figure 2.2-101. Island A is located in the shallow inner reaches of Bacoor Bay within the municipal waters of Cavite City and Kawit.

A comprehensive *in-situ* marine ecology baseline assessment in the proposed reclamation projects was conducted. A team of fisheries biologists, a GIS specialist, a plankton/harmful algal bloom specialist and a marine biologist, undertook the survey in late 2018 and on February 15 to 18, 2019 (Plate 2.2-2). The survey was conducted in the broader impact area of the proposed Island 'A' reclamation project, focusing on identifying and describing benthic habitats and resources in the direct impact area of the proposed reclamation, in order to identify presence of important benthic resources susceptible to reclamation filling, and define the condition of specific ecological niches that can be altered or modified as a result of the project. The baseline data generated set can provide a comparable index from where future monitoring data can be comparatively analyzed in order to distinguish impacts of the project and effectiveness of mitigating measures.

The sampling stations and survey pathways were established employing GPS – referenced coordinates after preliminary analysis of maps showing the location of the reclamation site and its approximate boundaries which was also modified *in-situ* due to the presence of dense mussel farms and fish pens in the survey pathways. The survey stations for benthic profiling included stations for determining plankton and benthos community structure.

Initial survey impressions reveal that the proposed reclamation site is dominated by thick muddy substrate and is perennially subjected to heavy deposition of sediments and domestic wastewaters from Kawit, Bacoor and Cavite City, as well as from extensive fishponds in Kawit. The shallow waters in Bacoor Bay are particularly muddy and suspended silt is ubiquitous amidst dense mussel farms and fish pens. Coastal waters are immensely contaminated by domestic wastewater and the reduced sunlight penetration in the water column has rendered the nearshore seabed as unlikely settlement areas for coral recruits or similar life forms. Benthic morphology in nearshore waters of Manila Bay, as described in previous bathymetric studies reveal that most sediments, consisting of mud and fine to very fine grains of sand emanates from the Pasig River and water channels from the metropolis. In the proposed reclamation site, sediments and turbid waters are vividly carried downstream through various small river systems in Kawit, sewage canals from Cavite City and domestic wastewater outfalls from Cavite City, Kawit and Bacoor. Pre-survey anecdotal accounts from key informants revealed that the proposed area to be reclaimed are largely devoid of significant benthic life form communities, particularly corals and similar seabed forms that can serve as habitats for cryptic and demersal species of marine animals. The muddy substrate and turbid waters is ideal for the farming of filter-feeding mussels.



**Plate 2.2-3** L-R: survey team with scuba gear on board survey boat, mussel farms in survey area, team member sorting silt and sediments for macro-invertebrates.






Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 2.2-101 Map of the Marine Survey Areas for the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Projects





Source: NAMRIA 1:50:000, July 2001 (3129-I)

Figure 2.2-102 The Coastline and General Coastal Habitat Types in the Inner Bacoor Bay



### 2.2.5.2 Survey Methods and Survey Stations

Standard scientific survey methods prescribed in the marine survey manual formulated by *English, et. al.* (1997) was employed in investigating various ecological attributes of the coastal environment. The scope of the assessment includes the following:

***Validation of presence or absence of benthic life forms - corals and coral-associated fauna and define the nature of the benthic environment.***

Two methods were employed to characterize benthic habitats, characterize the nature of the seabed and substrate, and validate the presence or absence of coral life forms and associated benthic habitats:

***Broad area manta tows***

Intensive manta tow surveys using “tuck dives” and underwater torch were employed to determine the general benthic morphology. In turbid waters such as in Bacoor Bay, the seabed is difficult to discern clearly through manta tows and the survey had to resort to numerous tuck dives in the manta tow pathways, spot dives with scuba, and ‘validation’ dives to determine whether habitats and other benthic ecological attributes occur in the area. In areas where significant coral reefs occur, results from a manta tow survey is used to pinpoint the location of specific stations where more detailed underwater coral characterization employing line intercept transects will be undertaken. Verification of the nature of the seabed was also undertaken through key informant interviews, mostly with fishers and mussel farm crew.

Benthic observations on the seabed during the manta tow observations also aimed to locate seagrass beds and macro algal colonies if they occur in the area, as well as document diversity of demersal fish aggregations if such resources are encountered. Overall, the manta tow survey covered a total 30 benthic seabed observation pathways within and outside of Island ‘A’ with a cumulative linear distance of 9.8 kilometers across the reclamation island. 7 tuck dives were likewise done. The manta tow observation pathways are displayed in Figure 2.2-103; the coordinates of the stations surveyed is shown in Table 2.2-42 (section on discussion of survey results).

***Seabed and substrate spot dives***

In as much as coastal waters in the study area are turbid, the survey team undertook periodic spot dives with scuba and aided with underwater torch in order to confirm that no other benthic fauna occur in the vicinity of the muddy substrates observed in the manta tow/tuck dive pathways. A total of 4 spot dives were completed (Figure 2.2-104) in Island A in strategic points in the proposed reclamation area - inside and outside - in order to obtain samples of bottom sediments and to further check whether the seabed hosts other habitat attributes or structures that may be suitable for settlement of corals or growth of marine animals and crustaceans of economic and ecological importance. The spot dives involved the inspection of the benthic condition over a 10-meter diameter radius around the spot dive points. The coordinates of the stations are listed in Table 2.2-42. In the same stations, the nature of sediment and substrate were documented and samples were obtained.

**Table 2.2-42. Coordinates of spot dive (SPD) and seabed verification dives stations for sediment collection and validation of benthic substrate in Island A; February 2019.**


WP Code	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	Remarks
SPD-A1	N 14.476848°	E 120.910614°	Outside of the proposed reclamation area, in the northern sector facing the “claw” of Cavite City.
SPD-A2	N 14.472021°	E 120.899482°	Outside of the proposed reclamation area in the NW sector facing built up areas of Cavite City
SPD-A3	N 14.467999°	E 120.906682°	Inside the proposed reclamation area, in the central portion
SPD-A4	N 14.464972°	E 120.900028°	Inside the proposed reclamation area, in the eastern sector



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2018

Figure 2.2-103 Manta Tow/tuck Dive Pathways and Results in Island A (Nov 2018 and Feb 2019)





Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2019

**Figure 2.2-104** Location of Spot Dives for Bottom Sediment and Validation of the Nature of the Seabed in Island A; Feb 2019






### **Fisheries and Fishing Practices**

Fisheries statistics reported by the Philippine Statistics Authority revealed that the total volume of fish production in Cavite province declined by 21% in 2016 (11,584 MT) compared to the 2014 production (14,806 MT). Fisher informants in the Rosario fish port claim a steady decrease in catch rates for demersal and large pelagic species, but catch rates for “Law-law” (*Sardinella sp*) have remained largely constant, in fact increasing during surges of the sardine stock during peak spawning seasons. The fisheries situation is exacerbated by the use of illegal and ‘active’ fishing gears in offshore fishing grounds and competition from highly efficient commercial fishing boats. The illegal gears include motorized push nets, large ring nets, use of fine mesh nets and explosives. Unproductive fisheries have caused fishers with larger boats to fish farther offshore and only a handful of small-scale fishers employing cast net, spear and small gill nets were encountered during the survey. A total of eight (8) actual fishing encounters (AFE) were observed and documented during the survey. In the absence of reef-associated fish assemblages, identification of pelagic fish species present in the area at the time of survey was undertaken through boat-based surveillance and opportunistic observations of catch rate and catch composition of actual fishing operations of fishing boats encountered at the time of the surveys, mostly using ring net, hook and line and gill nets. A shellfish gleaner was observed in Island E. Fisheries profiling was reinforced with Key Informant (KI) interviews in the Rosario Fish Port to determine dominant fisheries resource practices, catch composition and indicative productivity of fishing gears.

The coordinates of the actual fishing encounters and fish observations are listed in Table 2.2-43 and locations are shown in Figure 2.2-105.

**Table 2.2-43. Coordinates of Actual Fishing (AFE) operations encountered (November 2018)**

<b>WP Code</b>	<b>LATITUDE</b>	<b>LONGITUDE</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
AFE1	N 14.414440°	E 120.841350°	Gill net fisher operating approximately 1 km from the Wawa river estuary; south of Island ‘E’
AFE2	N 14.412590°	E 120.841140°	Fisher using compressor to harvest mussels in rocky substrate and “run-away” mussel bamboo poles; Island ‘E’
AFE3	N 14.431330°	E 120.869960°	Lone fisher using cast net in Ligtong River estuary in the boundary of Rosario and Noveleta; Island ‘D’
AFE4	N 14.406250°	E 120.848778°	Two fishers using cast net to catch Tilapia inside the Wawa River about 600 meters from river mouth.
AFE5	N 14.432900°	E 120.856640°	Two small-scale fishers operating in southern corner of proposed island ‘D’ using gill net
AFE6	N 14.465946°	E 120.873782°	Lone hook and line fisher in non-motorized boat fishing inside proposed island ‘B’ about 1 km from the shoreline
AFE7	N 14.442183°	E 120.904091°	Lone fisher in non-motorized boat fishing with gill net in shallow water with dense mussel farms; Island ‘A’.
AFE8	N 14.442183°	E 120.858152°	Six (6) fishers in motorized boat using surrounding gill net to catch sardines, approximately 1.6 km from shoreline inside proposed island ‘D’.



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2018

Figure 2.2-105 Location of Actual Fishing Encounters Across Four Reclamation Islands under the Cavite Reclamation Project



### **Plankton Community**

Composition, abundance and density of phytoplankton communities was analyzed from water samples taken in twenty-four (24) stations spread out across the five 'islands of the proposed reclamation area. Plankton samples were collected using a 20 µm plankton net with a mouth diameter of 0.3m. In every station, the plankton net was lowered at 1m and hauled at a rate of about 0.5m/sec. Duplicate samples of zoo- and phytoplankton were collected for each station and placed in properly labeled plastic containers. Phytoplankton samples were preserved with Lugol's solution, while samples of zooplankton were fixed with 10% formalin immediately after collection. For phytoplankton samples, a 1ml aliquot subsample was placed in a Sedgewick-Rafter cell counter and was examined under a Nikon Alphaphot II YS2 microscope. For zooplankton samples, a 1ml aliquot subsample was placed in a Petri dish with grids and examined under a microscope. Phytoplankton will be counted and identified to the lowest taxonomic level (genera) possible using standard taxonomic guide. Zooplankton will be identified to major groups using available references. Analysis of diversity and abundance was undertaken employing the Shannon-Weaver Diversity/Evenness Indices and bio-assessment metrics. Identification of harmful algal bloom-causing plankton (HAB) was undertaken in the UP MSI laboratory. The plankton station coordinates are detailed in Table 2.2-44 and a map of station location is shown in Figure 2.2-106; also see Plate 2.2-3.

**Table 2.2-44. Coordinates of Plankton Sampling Stations inside Island A; November 2018**

Station	Northing	Easting	Remarks
PLK20	N 14.462500°	E 120.894056°	Dominant phytoplankton <i>Skeletonema</i> spp. at 454 cells/L, while dominant zooplankton cyclopoid copepod (adult form) and nauplius (larval form) both at 34,500 indiv/m <sup>3</sup> each
PLK21	N 14.464972°	E 120.900028°	Dominant phytoplankton <i>Pseudonitzschia</i> spp. at 6,186 cells/L, while dominant zooplankton cyclopoid copepod (adult form) at 34,500 indiv/m <sup>3</sup>
PLK22	N 14.467167°	E 120.905750°	Dominant phytoplankton <i>Pseudonitzschia</i> spp. at 6,029 cells/L, while dominant zooplankton nauplius (larval form) at 34,500 indiv/m <sup>3</sup>
PLK23	N 14.469639°	E 120.911028°	Dominant phytoplankton <i>Pseudonitzschia</i> spp. at 12,514 cells/L, while dominant zooplankton cyclopoid copepod (adult form) at 32,300 indiv/m <sup>3</sup>
PLK24	N 14.461250°	E 120.890639°	Dominant phytoplankton <i>Pseudonitzschia</i> spp. at 1,978 cells/L, while dominant zooplankton cyclopoid copepod (adult form) at 34,500 indiv/m <sup>3</sup>



**Plate 2.2-4 Plankton sampling and benthos sorting being undertaken during the marine ecology baseline assessment; Feb 2019**





Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 2.2-106 Location of Plankton Community Sampling Stations in the Proposed Island A Project; November 2018.





***Macro-invertebrates of commercial significance***

Benthic and in-faunal invertebrates are usually found in inter-tidal flats, seagrass and coral substrates but these habitats do not exist in the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project in as much as most of the coastlines in the site have been converted to settlement areas and breakwater structures. The survey team conducted macro-invertebrate community assessment in three stations where rocky revetments along the coastline were encountered. Coordinates are listed in Table 2.2-45 and shown in Figure 2.2-107.

**Table 2.2-45.      Coordinates of macro-invertebrate survey stations in the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project; November 2018.**

<b>WP Code</b>	<b>LATITUDE</b>	<b>LONGITUDE</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
MAC1	N 14.417550°	E 120.845870°	Located in sandy-rocky coastline near Wawa river estuary approximately 800 meters from proposed island 'E'
MAC2	N 14.423060°	E 120.851100°	Located in rocky revetments of old jetty structure in Rosario near proposed reclamation island 'E'
MAC3	N 14.457060°	E 120.878553°	Locate in rocky fortifications near households in Noveleta coastline near island 'C'



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2018

Figure 2.2-107 Location of Macro-invertebrate Stations in the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project; Nov 2018



### **Seagrasses and Associated Macrobenthic Algae**

Manta tows and spot dives revealed absence of seagrass meadows in the muddy seabed in the proposed reclamation island A in Cavite City.

### **Mangroves**

Near Island A in Kawit, an extensive patch of reforested mangrove habitat has been established through the efforts of the Barangay Fisheries and Aquatic Resource Management Council (BFARMC) with funding support from the DENR, Maynilad and BFAR. According to the BFARMC, the total area of mangroves in the area, principally in Barangays Wakas, Polborista and Kunbalay, is seventy-five (75) hectares, fifty (50) of which are reforested stands of *Rhizophora mucronata* (bakawang babae) and *Avicennia marina* (api-api) planted in 2012 and are now 3 to 4 meters in height (Plate 2.2-4). The BFARMC further claims that the mangroves in Kawit are source of propagules in Cavite, sold at P 0.50 per piece. Four (4) stations were established and assessed employing the standard quadrat method for tree inventory, species distribution, and crown cover. Two stations were located in the vicinity of the mangroves in the Ligdong River estuary about 1 kilometer east of island 'D', two in the vicinity of Island A in Kawit about 700 meters east of the reclamation island and a fifth station about 1 km west of Island A was investigated. The fifth station turned out to be a thin strip of mangroves reinforcing fishponds dikes that could not be discerned from a distance and a quadrat survey was not viable. The coordinates are listed in Table 2.2-46 and shown in Figure 2.2-108.




**Plate 2.2-5 Mangroves in a reforestation area in Kawit**

**Table 2.2-46. Coordinates of Mangrove Survey Stations - Island A; Nov 2018**

WP Code	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	Remarks
MGV1	N 14.430980°	E 120.871060°	Small patch of mangroves in the Ligdong River, estuary in Rosario; Composed of 36 stands distributed in 2 species. <i>Avicennia marina</i> dominant @ 26 stands (72%)
MGV2	N 14.430580°	E 120.870710°	Mangrove in the Ligdong river estuary at back of station 1; composed of 23 stands distributed in 2 species. <i>Avicennia alba</i> dominant @ 13 stands (57%)
MGV3	N 14.457363°	E 120.908520°	Mangroves in Barangay Malamok, Kawit, Cavite. This mangrove patch turned out to be just mangrove trees lined up in fishpond dikes. No quadrat assessment was undertaken.
MGV4	N 14.462662°	E 120.917364°	Mangrove area at one of the branching outlets of the Imus River; composed of 68 stands distributed in 2 species. <i>Avicennia marina</i> dominant @ 49 stands (73%)
MGV5	N 14.456711°	E 120.887667°	Mangrove area adjacent to several fish pens at the cove of Bacoar Bay; composed of 32 stands distributed in 2 species. <i>Avicennia marina</i> dominant @ 21 stands (66%)





Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2018

Figure 2.2-108 Location of Three Mangrove Stations near Island A Assessed for Species Diversity and Distribution, and Crown Cover; Nov 2018






### 2.2.5.3 Results and Discussion

#### *Benthic profiling and substrate characterization*

Across five reclamation islands, the broad survey covered a wide band of coastal waters with a total linear area of almost 30 km in 108 manta tows supplemented by 'tuck dives and spot dives due to turbid waters. Thirty tows (30) with 'tuck dives' were conducted in Island A. The benthic observation pathways were undertaken to locate, identify and characterize any benthic communities of corals, seagrass and algae beds, fish populations, submerged structures, and other benthic life forms such as anemones, gorgonians and sponges that can occur in the sandy seabed in the proposed reclamation sites. Elsewhere in Island A, extensive systematic snorkeling around spot dive stations were completed in order to determine the nature of the seabed, collect sediments and verify existence of demersal species of fish. The results of manta tow survey are discussed below.

Results from the 30 manta tows with 'tuck dives', validation free dives with systematic snorkeling, and 4 spot dive and sediment collection in various points revealed the absence of coral reefs, reef associated benthic life forms, seagrass and algae colonies in the seabed in the proposed reclamation project site and contiguous areas in Island 'A'. The entire benthic environment is composed of mud and silt, mixed with shellfish carapace and an array of trash (Table 2.2-47, Figures 2.2-103 (above) and Figure 2.2-109; Plates 2.2-5 and 2.2-6). Validation dives indicate that the soft muddy seabed substrate extends to about 1 meter deep; thereafter dark, sandy substrate becomes apparent underneath. Constant silt intrusion is evident and sediment deposition in the seabed has apparently reduced the depth of the seabed. Seawater is extremely turbid.

Dense fish pens and mussel farms dot the northern end and eastern portion of the proposed reclamation island 'A' but the stakes and pens become sparse in the western enclave which is much shallower (Plate 2.2-5).



**Plate 2.2-6 Silt and muddy substrate (left) and discarded oyster and mussel carapace (right) dominate the entire seabed of Island 'A'.**

**Table 2.2-47. Results of 30 Manta Tow Benthic Life Form and Substrate Investigations in Island A; Nov 2018 and Feb 2019**

Tow Coverage	Location [DecDeg]	LHC	SC	DC	DCA	CR	S	Remarks
S00a	N 14.464696° E 120.894136°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow
S00a-T01	N 14.462944° E 120.894750°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Tuck dive undertaken
T01-T02	N 14.462417° E 120.892472°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; info from KI



**CHAPTER TWO  
THE WATER**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Tow Coverage	Location [DecDeg]	LHC	SC	DC	DCA	CR	S	Remarks
T02-T03	N 14.461000° E 120.893694°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; info from KI
T03-T04	N 14.459722° E 120.895750°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; fish pens along track; info from KI
T04-T05	N 14.463119° E 120.896214°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; tuck dive and validation dive undertaken
T05-T06	N 14.465778° E 120.896139°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; mussel farms and fish pens
T06-T07	N 14.465693° E 120.894276°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Tuck/validation dive - Substrate is sand and mud with shellfish grits; mussel farms and fish pens
T07-T08	N 14.466917° E 120.894111°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; mussel farms and fish pens
T08-T09	N 14.468083° E 120.893250°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Tuck/validation dive - Substrate is sand and mud with shellfish grits
Sa1	N 14.468250° E 120.894500°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow Series a1; fish pens along this track
Sa1-T10	N 14.468972° E 120.896361°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; mussel farms and fish pens; info from KI
T10-T11	N 14.468111° E 120.897750°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; mussel farms and fish pens; sample substrate was inspected
Sa2	N 14.466972° E 120.897694°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Tuck/validation dive - Substrate is sand and mud with shellfish grits
Sa2-T12	N 14.464500° E 120.898056°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; fish pens along track
T12-T13	N 14.461972° E 120.898000°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility in water column; fish pens along track
T13-T14	N 14.459472° E 120.897389°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Snorkeling validation dive - Substrate is mud with shellfish grits
Sa3	N 14.459833° E 120.899250°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow Series a3
Sa3-T15	N 14.459972° E 120.900889°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; fishpens in this sector
T15-T16	N 14.465139° E 120.901000°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Snorkeling validation dive - Substrate is sand and mud with shellfish grits
Sa4	N 14.465372° E 120.899205°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Poor visibility; fish pens and mussel farms in this sector
Sa4-T17	N 14.468321° E 120.899039°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; fish pens in this sector
T17-T18	N 14.470306° E 120.897667°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; snorkeling validation dive - Substrate is mud with shellfish grits fishpens in this sector
Sa5	N 14.470722° E 120.895917°	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sa5-T19	N 14.472056° E 120.898972°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Tuck/validation dive - Substrate is sand and mud with shellfish grits; mussel farms
T19-T20	N 14.469944° E 120.901083°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; fish pens in this sector
T20-T21	N 14.464943° E 120.902639°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; fish pens in this sector
T21-T22	N 14.460333° E 120.903167°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility
Sa6	N 14.460083° E 120.906889°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow Series a6
Sa6-T23	N 14.464583° E 120.905667°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Boundary of dense mussel farms on the NE side




**CHAPTER TWO  
THE WATER**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Tow Coverage	Location [DecDeg]	LHC	SC	DC	DCA	CR	S	Remarks
T23-T24	N 14.467306° E 120.905444°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; 'tuck' snorkeling to validate substrate
Sa7	N 14.473278° E 120.900722°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow Series a7
Sa7-T25	N 14.471333° E 120.901500°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Only a few mussel farms along this track
T25-T26	N 14.473000° E 120.906028°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Substrate is sand and mud with shellfish grits
T26-T27	N 14.470694° E 120.907056°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Few mussel farms and fish pens along this track
T27-T28	N 14.467444° E 120.908722°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Poor visibility; 'tuck' snorkeling to validate substrate; mussel farms and 8 fishpens along this track
Sa8	N 14.465139° E 120.909111°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow Series a8
Sa8-T29	N 14.461028° E 120.91525°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Observed 10 mussel farms and 4 fishpens along this track; near patch of <i>Rhizophora</i> sp. mangroves
Sa9	N 14.464782° E 120.912109°	-	-	-	-	-	-	Start of Tow Series a9
Sa9-T30	N 14.467141° E 120.911278°	0	0	0	0	0	100	Track skewed to the left, no mussel farms and fishpens along this track
Average Reef and Substrate Composition		0	0	0	0	0	100	
MANTA TOW RESULTS FOR BENTHIC PROFILING AND SEABED SUBSTRATE COMPOSITION [MT R1 Island A]								
Site name:		Coastal waters within the inner bay of Cavite City, Province of Cavite						Survey Team:  1. Benjamin Francisco 2. Ronald Pocon 3. Jose Rene Villegas 4. Weng Quimpo
Time / Date:		0927H-1257H / November 2018; 16 February 2019						
Tow Speed:		3.0 kmh (ave)						
Visibility:		Varying from 30cm to 100cm due to mixing of seawater and sand/silt						
Weather:		Fair to overcast						
Wave:		None						
Current:		None						
Tide:		Lowering @ 0.46m to 0.12m; as ref from Cavite – Manila Bay Tidal Station (WXTIDE32)						
Water Temp:		Varying from approx. ± 30°C						
Wind:		Beaufort Scale #2						
Cloud Type:		Cumulus and Nimbus Clouds						

- Tow area coverage are expressed in Decimal Degrees notation in reference to WGS84 Map Datum
- Reef and Substrate composition are expressed in (%) and described as follows:



**Figure 2.2-109** Results of benthic observations from 30 manta tow pathways across Island 'A'; November 2018 and February 2019.



**Plate 2.2-7** Dense fish pens and mussel farms were encountered inside the proposed Island A of the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project (top photos); muddy substrate collected in one of the spot dives (bottom photos).





### **Fisheries and Fishing Practices**

The total number of registered fishers and fishing boats in Kawit are listed in the table below:

**Table 2.2-48. Number of Fisher and Fishing Boats in Kawit**

Municipality	Coastal Population	Total number of municipal fishers	Number of fishing boats	Annual production (MT)
Kawit	No data	1040**	350	No data available
Cavite City	No data available			

\*\*including mussel and oyster farmers and workers, vendors, processors and traders;

Source: Municipal Fisheries Profiles; 2018

In the municipal fisheries sector, mussel, oyster farming and milkfish culture in pens are the traditional industry in Kawit, principally in Bacoar Bay.

### **Fishery Resources**

In the absence of coral reefs, fish visual census for recording of species richness of demersal, reef-associated fish communities cannot be undertaken. No significant aggregations of demersal fish species were encountered in the manta tows, spot dives and systematic snorkeling around the proposed reclamation site. The absence of ecologically significant benthic habitats and ecological functions that can support a viable level of demersal fish population and the prevalence of fishing gears that target pelagic species is a manifestation of the lack of demersal fish stocks.

Throughout the entire proposed reclamation islands, five (5) major types of fishing gears for capture fisheries are operated:

- Surrounding gill net – commercial size with fishing grounds 8 to 10 km offshore;
- Set gill net – operating 2 to 3 km from the shore;
- Crab pots – fishing grounds located 8 km from shoreline;
- Motorized push net – an illegal fishing gear operated near the coast;
- Hook and lines – practiced by fishers in small 5 HP boats fishing 1 km from the shoreline in portions of the sea with rocky substrate.

In Bacoar Bay (Island A), only hook and line and gill nets are being operated to catch tilapia, gizzard shad and mullets.

The dominant pelagic species in the vicinity of Island A/Bacoar Bay are Tilapia, gizzard shad (Kabasi, *Anodontostoma chacunda*), sardines, principally the deep bodied herring *Sardinella brachysoma*, locally called “Law-law”, other sardines species and seasonal occurrences of Anchovy (*Stolephorus* spp). Other target species, albeit with much lower abundance compared to sardines include the common whiting (Asohos, *Sillago sihama*), mullet (*Mugil* sp), and ponyfishes (*Leiognathus* spp). The species of Kabansi is particularly abundant in Bacoar Bay during its peak season from October to February. The endeavor shrimp (Suahe) are caught by “bintol” traps set mostly in the outer rim of the proposed reclamation island ‘A’. Anchovies are caught by “sapra” but these lift nets are in the deeper portion of Bacoar Bay and are not being operated inside the reclamation Island ‘A’. The list of common species caught in the vicinity of the proposed reclamation area is presented in Table 2.2-49 (please also see Plate 2.2-7 and 2.2-8).

**Table 2.2-49. List of fish species caught in inner Bacoar Bay within Island A.**

English name	Local name	Scientific name
Anchovy	Dilis	<i>Stolephorus</i> sp
Nile Tilapia	Tilapia	<i>Oreochromis nilotica</i>



English name	Local name	Scientific name
Flathead mullet	Banak	<i>Mugil cephalos</i>
Long-arm mullet	Aligasin	<i>Valamugil cunnessius</i>
Gizzard shad	Kabansi	<i>Anodontostoma chacunda</i>
Spotted mojarra	Latab	<i>Gerres filamentosus</i>
Caranx (juvenile)	Samin-samin	<i>Ulva mentalis</i>
Common whiting	Asohos	<i>Sillago sihama</i>
Spadefish	Kitang	<i>Scatophagus argus</i>
Rabbitfish	Danggit	<i>Siganus species</i>
Deep bodied herring	Law-law	<i>Sardinella brachysoma</i>
Suahe	Pasayan	<i>Metapenaeus ensis</i>
Blue swimmer crab	Alimasag	<i>Portunus pelagicus</i>



Plate 2.2-8 A fisher operating a gill net with a catch of a single juvenile mullet (left); a boat loaded with fish pots for the capture of blue crabs on the way to the fishing ground 4 km from the shoreline of Rosario, Cavite.




Plate 2.2-9 Dominant target species in coastal waters around the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project  
Top row L to R: sardines (Law-law/tamban), brown mussel (tahong; juveniles), flathead mullet (Banak); bottom row L-R: common whiting (asohos), swimmer crab (alimasag), gizzard shad (kabansi).



### ***Fishing practices- Mussel Farms, fish corals and fish pens***

The farming of mussels in bamboo poles and hanging ropes where spats of the bivalve naturally settle year-round is the main industry in Bacoar Bay. Dense mussel and oyster farms are operated from Parañaque to Bacoar City and Kawit, Cavite. Mussel farms mostly employ the stake method, using bamboo poles buried deep into the muddy bottom as the medium for attachment. Apart from mussel stake farms, the shallow portions of Bacoar Bay also host dense aggregations of fish pens or “baklad” for the culture of milkfish and tilapia (**Plate 2.2-9**).

There are about the same number of mussel farms and fish pens directly inside the proposed reclamation island ‘A’ occupying roughly 50% to 60% of the area proposed to be reclaimed. Fish pens and fish corrals, averaging 300 to 500 m<sup>2</sup> enclosures, are more numerous in the western corner of the proposed reclamation site (tows 1 to 14 in the manta tows). The mussel farms averaging 200 m<sup>2</sup> to about 1000 m<sup>2</sup> per farm occupy mostly shallow areas in the middle of the site (mostly in Tow number 11 to 27 in the manta tows). At the time of the survey, the salinity was measured at 12 ppt.



**Plate 2.2-10 Mussel stakes (left) and fish pen (right).**

The use of bamboo poles as mussel attachment material implies that the mussel farms need to be replaced as the bamboo poles erode, normally in less than two years. This means that the position and number of mussel farms fluctuate almost every year. In February 2019, the EIA survey team made an inventory of mussel farms, fish pens and fish corrals in the vicinity of reclamation island A and listed the following:

**Table 2.2-50. Number of Mussel Farms, Fish Pens and Fish Corrals in the vicinity of Island A; February 2019.**

Mussel farms	44
Fish corrals	33
Fish pens	17

The densest mussel farms and fish pens are actually located outside of the proposed reclamation project in Kawit. In a strip of coastal water approximately 300 meters wide outside of the northern and eastern boundary of the proposed reclamation area, a total of 52 mussel farms, 16 fish corrals and 15 fish pens were enumerated in February 2019. More mussel farms are located farther away. On the seaward side towards the main portion of Manila Bay, dense ‘sabras’ (lift nets) are operated but these are approximately 1 to 2 km from the proposed reclamation area.

#### ***Sabras (Lift Net)***

The sapra or lift net, which is operated with the aid of lights to catch small pelagic species of fish, is a popular gear in Bacoar Bay and offshore waters of Cavite City but are not being operated in Kawit (inner Bacoar Bay) due to the shallow depth of the sea and the extremely muddy seabed. Neither is it being operated – at the



time of the latest surveys (February 2019) – in the offshore municipal waters of Rosario and Noveleta. However, in Cavite City waters, a total of 29 *sapras* were seen by the survey team, positioned about 4 km from the shore. There are no ‘sapras’ inside the proposed Island A reclamation area.

### **Catch Rates and CPUE**

Information from the BFARMC of Kawit, fishers interviewed during actual fishing documentation and fishers unloading their catch in the Rosario Fish Port, the catch rates for sardines have remained stable in the last five years, with surges in catch rates after the species’ spawning season from October to December of each year. (Similar surges in sardine stocks have been reported by the BFAR in provinces where a sardine closed season have been imposed during the last five years, e.g., Zamboanga peninsula, Central Visayas). A typical sardine fishing boat will have 7 fishers operating 9 hours a day with three net settings. The average catch is 4 *bañeras*/day, or a CPUE of more than 15 kg of sardine per fishing hour/boat. The surrounding gill net is typically commercial-scale size net. Each sardine tub is sold at the Rosario fish port at a common price of PhP 1500/bañera (35 kg).

Compared to fishing yields in the inner Manila Bay, the catch rate of small-scale fishers off the shores of Cavite are relatively better. According to the BFARMC of Kawit and fishers in the Rosario fish port, the catch rate averages 3 kg of Tilapia plus 1 kg of assorted species (e.g., asohos and mullet) per 4 hours of fishing operation or an income of about P 150.00 per day. The CPUE is comparatively higher at 1 kg per hour. Small gill nets catch mainly Tilapia, spadefish and mullet, as well as occasional catches of Theraponids (*Bugaong*). The normal catch rate ranges from 5 to 10 kilos per day of mostly tilapia, still considered worthwhile in spite of the turbid waters. The BFARMC claims that in ‘good’ fishing days, fishers allegedly net an income of between PhP 300 to PhP 600/day.

### **Results of actual fishing operations**

Observation of eight (8) actual fishing operations in various proposed reclamation islands encountered during the marine ecology survey yielded the following actual fishing data (Table 2.2-51, Figure 2.2-110 and Plate 2.2-10). In the actual fishing documented in Island A, the catch consisted exclusively of Tilapia at a CPUE of 0.25 kg per hour; a low yield compared to gill net fishing in the same area.

**Table 2.2-51. Results of Actual Fishing Encounters Documented in the Cavite Reclamation Project; Oct/Nov 2018**

WP Code	Vicinity	Fishing Gear	Catch rate/catch composition/CPUE
AFE1	south of Island ‘E’	Gill net	1 kg of small flathead mullet ( <i>Mugil cephalus</i> ); CPUE 1 kg/hr
AFE2	Island E	compressor	34 kg of mussel <i>Perna viridis</i> ; CPUE 8.5 kg per hour with use of compressor (considered illegal)
AFE3	Island D	cast net	2 kg of assorted fish, mainly asohos ( <i>Sillago sihama</i> ); CPUE – 0.5 kg per hour
AFE4	Near Island E; Wawa river	cast net	5 kg of Tilapia inside the Wawa river; CPUE – 1.25 kg per hour
AFE5	Island D	gill net	13 kg of assorted fish including gizzard shad ( <i>Anodontostoma chacunda</i> ), asohos ( <i>Sillago sihama</i> ), spadefish ( <i>Scatophagus argus</i> ), tilapia and mullet ( <i>Mugil</i> sp); CPUE 1.6 kg per hour
AFE6	North of Island C	hook and line	4 kg assorted fish - goatfish, spadefish ( <i>Scatophagus argus</i> ), tilapia and mullet ( <i>Mugil</i> sp); CPUE 0.66 kg per hour
AFE7	Island A	gill net	4 pieces of Tilapia in 4 hours; CPUE – 1 piece of Tilapia per hour
AFE8	Island D 1.6 km from shoreline	surrounding gill net	60 kg of Law-law with seven fishers on board; CPUE 6.6 kg per hour






It appears that catch rates vary largely depending on the size of boat, gear and fishing grounds. Offshore fishing grounds for *law-law*, approximately 8 to 10 km from the shoreline appear to be the most productive, employing 30-foot boats with a crew of seven fishers. The catch composition, at the time of the survey, seems to be limited to at least seven species of pelagic fish (please see **Table 2.2-54**). With the exception of mature *Sardinella* species, the rest of the catch composition is comprised of juvenile size fish.



**Plate 2.2-11** Supra in offshore Bacoor Bay in the vicinity of Cavite City (right), and fish pen inside proposed Island 'A' (left).



**Plate 2.2-12** Common fish caught in the vicinity of Island A: tilapia, gizzard shad and spadefish.



Base Map: Satellite Image by Digital Globe, Google Earth. 2020

Figure 2.2-110 Results of 8 actual fishing operations in the proposed reclamation islands; November 2018 and February 2019.



## Mangroves

Mangroves occur in Bacoar Bay in the vicinity of Kawit. Two stations were surveyed in Bacoar Bay. The 2<sup>nd</sup> station – consisting of tall *Rhizophora apiculata* species seen from a distance, turned out to be thin strips of mangroves lined up to reinforce fishpond dikes in Barangay Malamok, Kawit.

### a. Results of mangrove assessment in Station 3 - Island A

The mangroves in the station investigated were mixed with newly planted *Rhizophora sp* in the forefront of the area (Plate 2.2-11). A total of 68 trees were catalogued in Station 3, located about 1 kilometer southeast of the southern edge of proposed reclamation area A. The mangroves consisted of a single taxa of two species – *Avicennia marina* (bugalon/api-api) comprising 72% of the community, and *Avicennia alba* (bungalon/api-api) at 28 % of abundance (Figure 2.2-111 and Table 2.2-52). Average crown cover of the *Avicennia* trees was computed at 41.7% of the quadrat canopy, indicating fair growth of trees. *Avicennia marina* dominated the canopy (Figure 2.2-112). Mangrove tree height was recorded at an average of 3.99 meters for *A. marina* and 3.32 meters for *A. alba* (Figure 2.2-113). Average height for all trees was computed at 3.84 meters, rated as “Poor” condition based on standard categories.

A total of 170 seedlings were catalogued in six plots in the two quadrats, resulting to a regeneration rate of 56.7 trees per m<sup>2</sup>, considered as an excellent recruitment capacity. No mangrove saplings were observed.




Figure 2.2-111 Relative Abundance of Mangrove Species in Sta 3, Island A; Oct 2018

Table 2.2-52. Mangrove Species Distribution Across Two Quadrats in Sta. 3 Island A; Nov 2018

Location: Bacoar Bay, Cavite

MGV3 Coordinates: N 14.462662° E 120.917364°

Observer: Rowena Quimpo

Date: November, 2018

Remarks:

Mixed mangroves in reclamation area

Transect # 1	Tree #	Substrate	Species	Ht. (m)	Crown Diameter (m)		Observations
					Reading (Ave.)	Crown Cover	
Quadrat # 1 10mx10m	1	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	Disturbed-Strewn with garbage/litter
	2	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	3	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	4	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	5	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	6	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	





## CHAPTER TWO THE WATER

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Transect # 1	Tree #	Substrate	Species	Ht. (m)	Crown Diameter (m)		Observations
					Reading (Ave.)	Crown Cover	
	7	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	Birds, bivalves, mudcrabs and juvenile fishes
	8	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	
	9	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	
	10	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	Other seedlings were not seen due to high tide
	11	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	
	12	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	
	13	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	3	7.07	Seedlings: <i>A. marina</i> -100 <i>A. alba</i> -70
	14	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	3	7.07	
	15	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	3	7.07	
	16	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	3	7.07	
	17	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	3	7.07	
	18	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	3	7.07	
	19	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	2	3.14	
	20	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	2	3.14	
	21	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	2	3.14	
	22	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	2	3.14	
	23	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4.5	2	3.14	
	24	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	25	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	26	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	27	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	28	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	1	0.79	
	29	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	1	0.79	
	30	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	1	0.79	
	31	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	1	0.79	
	32	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	33	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	34	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
Quadrat # 2 10mx10m	1	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	2	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	3	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	4	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	5	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	6	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	7	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	8	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	9	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	10	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	11	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	12	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	13	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	14	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	15	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	3	7.07	
	16	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	17	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	18	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	19	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	20	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	






## CHAPTER TWO THE WATER

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Transect # 1	Tree #	Substrate	Species	Ht. (m)	Crown Diameter (m)		Observations
					Reading (Ave.)	Crown Cover	
	21	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	22	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	23	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	24	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	25	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	26	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	27	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	28	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	29	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	30	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	31	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	32	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	2	1	0.79	
	33	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	2	1	0.79	
	34	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	2	1	0.79	
Total	68			261		479	Seedlings-170
Summary Results:							
TOTAL CROWN COVER:				479 m			
PERCENT CROWN COVER:				479/ (2 quadrats x 100 sq.m.) = 41.75 % (fair condition)			
TOTAL HEIGHT OF ALL TREES:				261			
AVERAGE HEIGHT:				261/ 68 trees = 3.84 meters (poor condition)			
<div> <div>Total Regeneration Count</div> <div> Regeneration Per Square Meter = <div> <div></div> <div>Total Number of Regeneration Plots</div> </div> </div> </div>							
170 Seedlings /3 Plots (3 plots per quadrat) = 56.7 regeneration per m² (excellent condition)							



**Figure 2.2-112** Average crown cover per species of mangrove in Station 3, vicinity of Island 'A'; November 2018.




Figure 2.2-113 Average Height of Mangrove Species in Sta. 3, Island A; Nov 2018

**b. Results of mangrove assessment in Station 4 - Island A**

Mangroves in station 4 were rather sparse with only 32 trees counted in one quadrat. The same two species were encountered - *Avicennia marina* (bungalon/api-api) comprising 66% of the community, and *Avicennia alba* (bungalon/api-api) at 34 % of abundance (Figure 2.2-114 and Table 2.2-53). The mangroves consisted of both relatively tall and new growing trees.

**Table 2.2-53. Mangrove Species Distribution Across Two Quadrats in Sta. 4, Island A; Oct 2018**

Location: Cavite City

MGV4 Coordinates: N 14.456711° E 120. 887667°

Observers: Rowena Quimpo

Date: November 2018

Remarks:

Mixed mangroves in reclamation area, west of Island 'A' reclamation

Transect # 1	Tree #	Substrate	Species	Ht. (m)	Crown Diameter (m)		Observations
					Reading (Ave.)	Crown Cover	
Quadrat # 1 10mx10m	1	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	6	5	19.64	Presence of garbage/wastes;
	2	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	3	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	4	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	5	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	
	6	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	5	19.64	Undisturbed
	7	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	
	8	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	5	4	12.57	Shellfish macro-invertebrates, mudcrabs and juvenile fishes
	9	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	4	12.57	
	10	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	4	12.57	
	11	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	4	12.57	Patch of old growth <i>A. marina</i> and <i>A. alba</i>
	12	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	4	12.57	
	13	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	3	7.07	
	14	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	3	7.07	Few mangrove wild seedlings
	15	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	3	7.07	
	16	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	3	7.07	
	17	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	3	7.07	Seedlings: <i>A. marina</i> -15
	18	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	3	7.07	
	19	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	20	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	



Transect # 1	Tree #	Substrate	Species	Ht. (m)	Crown Diameter (m)		Observations
					Reading (Ave.)	Crown Cover	
	21	muddy	<i>Avicennia marina</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	2	3.14	
	22	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	5	19.64	
	23	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	4	5	19.64	
	24	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	25	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	5	19.64	
	26	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	2	3.14	
	27	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	2	3.14	
	28	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	29	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	30	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	31	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
	32	muddy	<i>Avicennia alba</i> (bungalon, api-api)	3	1	0.79	
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>			<b>128</b>		<b>334</b>	<b>Seedlings-15</b>




Figure 2.2-114 Mangrove Species Relative Abundance in Sta 4, Island A; Nov 2018

Crown cover of trees in station 4 was recorded at 30% representing fair condition, with *Avicennia marina* trees having an average crown cover 11.67 meters (Figure 2.2-115, Plate 2.2-10). Average height for all trees was computed at 4 meters, indicating excellent growth (Figure 2.2-116). Recruitment capacity was likewise rated as excellent – with a regeneration capacity of five (5) trees per square meter.





Figure 2.2-115 Average Crown Cover Per Mangrove Species in Sta. 4, Island A; Nov 2018



**Figure 2.2-116 Average Height of Mangrove Species in Station 4, Island A, Nov 2018**



**Plate 2.2-13 Mangroves lining up a fishpond dike in Kawit (left) and newly planted *Rhizophora* mangroves in the forefront of old *Avicennia* trees, also in Kawit, Cavite.**


### ***Plankton Community***

#### **Zooplankton**

A total of eleven (11) zooplankton groups (adult and larval forms) were identified in five stations sampled within the proposed Island A reclamation (Table 2.2-54). These groups were copepod (calanoid, cyclopoid and harpacticoid), bivalve veliger, larvacean, decapod zoea, polychaete, polychaete trocophore, chaetognaths, gastropod veliger. They were composed of larval forms which constituted for 54% and adult forms accounting for 46% of the total zooplankton count (Figure 2.2-117). Larval forms were mostly represented by copepod nauplius and copepodites with 26% and bivalve veliger with 25%. This is expected since the site had extensive shellfish farming area particularly green mussel (*Perna viridis*). For the adult zooplankton forms, cyclopoid copepods which constitute for 28% were the most abundant. Other important groups like decapod zoea only contributed for less than 1%. There was no rare or endemic zooplankton species found in the area and majority of the groups are common and cosmopolitan in distribution.

Photomicrographs of the dominant and important zooplankton groups recorded in all five reclamation islands is shown in Plate 12.2-13.






**Figure 2.2-117** Percentage Composition of Major Zooplankton Groups in 5 Stations near Island A  
Feb 2019


**Table 2.2-54.** Zooplankton Composition and Abundance (ind/m<sup>3</sup>) in 5 Stations near Island A; Feb 2019

TAXA	ZP1	ZP2	ZP3	ZP4	ZP5	Total	Rel Abund.
<b>Adult forms</b>	<b>28,700</b>	<b>47,700</b>	<b>20,500</b>	<b>43,300</b>	<b>47,700</b>	<b>187,900</b>	<b>46.21</b>
Calanoid	7,800	5,700	4,300	5,700	5,700	29,200	7.18
Chaetognaths	1,900	1,100		300	1,100	4,400	1.08
Cyclopoid	2,400	34,500	8,900	32,300	34,500	112,600	27.69
Harpacticoid	7,600	4,300	4,500	4,200	4,300	24,900	6.12
Larvacean	4,500	1,300	2,100	600	1,300	9,800	2.41
Polychaete	4,500	800	700	200	800	7,000	1.72
<b>Larval forms</b>	<b>8,300</b>	<b>38,900</b>	<b>46,300</b>	<b>57,100</b>	<b>68,100</b>	<b>218,700</b>	<b>53.79</b>
Bivalve veliger	2,300	23,400	9,800	34,500	32,700	102,700	25.26
Decapod zoea	600	700	300	400	700	2,700	0.66
Gastropod veliger	600	1,300	600	400	1,300	4,200	1.03
Nauplius	4,600	12,200	34,500	21,200	32,100	104,600	25.73
Trocophore	200	1,300	1,100	600	1,300	4,500	1.11
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>37,000</b>	<b>86,600</b>	<b>66,800</b>	<b>100,400</b>	<b>115,800</b>	<b>406,600</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Richness</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>		
<b>Evenness (I')</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.67</b>		
<b>Diversity (H')</b>	<b>1.65</b>	<b>1.60</b>	<b>1.57</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.60</b>		



**Plate 2.2-14 Photomicrographs of the dominant and important zooplankton groups (A) Calanoid copepod (B) Cyclopoid copepod (C) Harpacticoid copepod (D)Copepod Nauplius (E) Bivalve veliger (F) Fish larvae.**

The mean estimate abundance was 81,320 individuals/m<sup>3</sup> for all sampling stations collected in this area (Figure 2.2-118). Spatially, station ZP5 had the highest zooplankton abundance with 115,800 ind/m<sup>3</sup>. (Table 2.2-57, Figure 2.2-119). The lowest zooplankton abundance was observed in the station ZP1 with 37,000 ind/m<sup>3</sup>. It was expected since there are several shellfish farms near this area that could either receive recruits from nearby farms. All diversity measurements were low (<2) with the highest values observed in station ZP1 with 1.65 while the lowest in station ZP4 with 1.02. The computed index of evenness was generally low ranging from 0.62 to 0.68.



**Figure 2.2-118 Zooplankton Abundance and Taxa Richness in 5 Stations in Island A; Feb 2019**

All diversity measurement were low ( $<3$ ) with the highest values observed in station ZP2 and ZP3 with 2.25 and 2.22 respectively, while the lowest in station ZP9 with 1.02. The computed index of evenness were generally low ranging from 0.44 to 0.68 except for station ZP2 and ZP3 with vales ranging from 0.89 to 0.94. The low evenness and diversity values could be attributed to the high abundance of copepod in marine station as compared to the estuarine stations. In addition, these indices indicate that zooplankton communities in the area were low with the Wilhm criteria (1975) classifying the diversity index  $<3.0$  as low in community stability.


### Phytoplankton

A total of twenty-five (25) phytoplankton species belonging to Bacillariophytes (diatoms) with twelve (12) species, Dinophytes (dinoflagellates) with ten (10) species, Cyanophytes (blue-green algae) with 1 species and Chlorophytes (green algae) with 2 was recorded in five stations sampled in the proposed reclamation site (Table 2.2-55). Diatoms totally dominated the phytoplankton community accounting for 98% of the phytoplankton abundance (Figure 2.2-120). Dinoflagellates only represented for 2 % while cyanobacteria and green algae were almost negligible with only 0.14% and 0.13% contribution to the total phytoplankton count. Among the diatoms, the pennate chain forming diatom, *Pseudonitzschia* was the most abundant genus accounting for 88% of the total phytoplankton count. A centric chain-forming diatom, *Skeletonema* also contributed high density with 4%. Both diatom genera are commonly found in warm tropical marine environment and play major role in the overall primary productive of the marine environment in the area. There were two green algae genera identified observed at very low density i.e *Pediastrum* and *Staurastum*. The only cyanophyte genus observed was *Trichodesmium* with maximum cell density of nineteen (19) cells/L.


The potentially harmful phytoplankton species observed were *seudonitzschia* spp and *Dinophysis caudata*. Some species of *Pseudonitzschia* are known to produce domoic acid, a toxin associated with Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning (ASP). For this survey however, species identification of this genus was not feasible as it requires more powerful microscope like Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) but for monitoring purposes, the genus *Pseudonitzschia* is always considered potentially harmful. *Dinophysis caudata* are dinoflagellates associated to Diarrhetic Shellfish Toxins (DSP). *Pyrodinium bahamense* var. *compressum*, the dinoflagellate historically associated with Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP) in Manila Bay including Cavite area was not observed in this survey. Cell densities of *Dinophysis* and *Pseudonitzschia* however observed during the sampling was relatively low compared to areas where blooms of these organisms have been reported (Azanza and Taylor 2001). In addition, there is no confirmed incidence of ASP and DSP was reported in the Philippines,



but it is still highly recommended to continue monitoring after the project to preventive negative public health impact brought about by possible blooms of these species.



**Figure 2.2-119** Percentage Composition of Major Phytoplankton Groups in 5 Stations in Island A; Feb 2019



**Plate 2.2-15** Photomicrographs of common and important phytoplankton observed in Cavite City/Kawit coastal water:

Top row left to right: (A) Chaetoceros (B) Pseudonitzschia (C) Trichodesmium; Bottom row left to right: (D) Skeletonema (E) Dinophysis caudate, (F) Pediatrum





**Table 2.2-55. Phytoplankton Composition and Abundance (cells/L) in 5 Stations - Island A**

TAXA	PH20	PH21	PH22	PH23	PH24	TOTAL	REL ABUN
<b>Cyanophyte</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0.14</b>
<i>Trichodesmium</i>	11	4	4	6	19	44	0.14
<b>Diatoms</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>6,751</b>	<b>6,782</b>	<b>13,199</b>	<b>2,753</b>	<b>30,108</b>	<b>97.51</b>
<i>Bacteriastrum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Chaetoceros</i>	23	34	122	112	231	522	1.69
<i>Coscinodiscus</i>	24	0	189	123	188	524	1.70
<i>Ditylum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Guinardia</i>	7	13	76	89	112	297	0.96
<i>Lauderia</i>	11	9	22	12	18	72	0.23
<i>Leptocylindrus</i>	13	11	23	18	24	89	0.29
<i>Muniera</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Odontella</i>	14	14	45	65	78	216	0.70
<i>Pleurosigma</i>	4	7	17	14	24	66	0.21
<i>Pseudonitzschia</i>	23	6,186	6,029	12,514	1,978	26,730	86.57
<i>Rhizosolenia</i>	34	23	12	18	23	110	0.36
<i>Skeletonema</i>	454	435	234	222	13	1,358	4.40
<i>Thalassionema</i>	11	13	8	8	18	58	0.19
<i>Thalassiosira</i>	5	6	5	4	46	66	0.21
<b>Dinoflagellate</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>2.22</b>
<i>Amphisolenia</i>	0	8	0	0	0	8	0.03
<i>Ceratium furca</i>	67	77	0	22	2	168	0.54
<i>Ceratium fusus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Ceratium maraceras</i>	0	56	0	2	3	61	0.20
<i>Ceratium tricheros</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Ceratium tripos</i>	4	6	0	0	0	10	0.03
<i>Dinophysis caudata</i>	7	8	13	0	0	28	0.09
<i>Diplopsalis</i>	4	13	45	34	45	141	0.46
<i>Gonyaulax</i>	6	5	56	23	21	111	0.36
<i>Gymnodinium</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Nactiluca</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
<i>Prorocentrum micans</i>	7	5	19	22	16	69	0.22
<i>Protoperdinium</i>	13	16	4	8	13	54	0.17
<i>Scropsiella</i>	8	8	4	5	9	34	0.11
<b>Green Algae</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0.13</b>
<i>Pediastrum</i>	22	0	0	0	12	34	0.11
<i>Staurastrum</i>	0	0	0	0	7	7	0.02
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>6,957</b>	<b>6,927</b>	<b>13,321</b>	<b>2,900</b>	<b>30,877</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Richness</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>		
<b>Evenness</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.45</b>		
<b>Diversity</b>	<b>1.80</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>1.39</b>		

### Macro-invertebrates significant to livelihoods

The conversion of inter-tidal flats into human settlements and household revetments in most parts of the coastline from Rosario to Cavite City has led to a dearth in populations of macro-invertebrates, particularly gastropods and echinoderms. Three stations were investigated during the survey, in areas where rocks and boulders were found in the coastline which could serve as suitable habitats for macro-invertebrates. Results of the investigations revealed that shellfish existing in the coastline of the proposed reclamation project is almost exclusively dominated by mussels (*Perna viridis*). Other than mussels, few shellfish species were seen attached to rocks that serve as shoreline breakwater in Noveleta. These include periwinkles *Litoria* sp., limpets



*Patella flexuosa*, the swamp cerith *Telescopium telescopium*, and solitary shore crabs. In the mangroves in Kawit, turban shells (*Turbo* sp), conch shells (*Strombus* sp) and the swamp cerith (*Terebralia sulcata*) were the only macro-invertebrates encountered.

Unlike in Bacoor City where abundant ark shells (“halaan”) are harvested in Bacoor Bay, data gathered during the survey indicate the absence of significant macro-invertebrate population in the shoreline bordering the proposed reclamation project.



**Plate 2.2-16** Few species of macro-invertebrate were found in three stations surveyed, dominated almost entirely by mussels, *Perna viridis*.

#### ***Substrate Characteristics***

Investigations showed sandy and muddy substrates in the proposed reclamation island. No other significant benthic ecological niches were encountered during the dives (**Plate 2.2-16**). Muddy substrate with thick silt was particularly pronounced in Island A. The substrate was also carpeted by discarded oyster shells.



**Plate 2.2-17** Sediments on the seabed consisted entirely of mud, silt, discarded oyster shells and grits of other shellfish

#### **2.2.5.4 Probable Environmental Impacts**

##### **Sediment fluxes and re-suspension of silt**

The reclamation site is covered by loose silt and constant sediment deposition. This condition is unlikely to degenerate with reclamation activities but filling, piling and compacting of the reclaimed area will significantly disturb the silt and aggravate re-suspension of substrates decayed organic matter and presumably a host of other organic and inorganic terrigenous matter. Further spoilage of sea water quality through proliferation of suspended solids will only further depress recruitment and settlement of marine animals due to the impaired condition of marine food webs in affected areas. Seagrass settlement is unlikely to occur. In the direct



reclamation areas, the impacts of silt and sediment disturbance, and further sediment deposition loading in the sandy substrate contiguous to the reclamation area will have profound effects on an already heavily-taxed fisheries resource base and further reduce the viability of productive nearshore fisheries through the loss of inshore fishing grounds and impairment of primary productivity if sediment streams from reclamation are not controlled effectively. The dispersion of silt clouds will further increase seawater turbidity and water quality deterioration in the impact area and may lead to enhancement of localized deterioration of primary productivity due to further blocking of sunlight. Negative impacts on primary productivity will likely depress natural processes that propagate a healthy marine food chain and fisheries stock recovery, including impairment of reproductive processes and larval growth. The further alteration of benthic habitats due to sediment loading would most likely result to the movement of fish and fish recruits away from the coastal area affected by the Project.

Planktonic communities can be affected albeit, these organisms can readily regenerate. Fish aggregations will also be disturbed and fish are expected to move away from the site. The silt and sediment fluxes will be temporary as the area is completely reclaimed, stabilized and “greened”. Primary productivity will eventually improve over the long term as the establishment of water treatment facilities will ultimately result to disposal of cleaner waters around the reclaimed site. Farther away from the impact area, the effect may not be likely felt as the movement of the water along the water column would result to dispersion of silt to a greater area.

Over the long run, the reduction of photosynthetic function will have little impact as hardly any significant group of benthic marine animals dwell in the seabed within the project's impact area and contiguous waters. While no significant epi-benthic macro-invertebrates have been encountered in the seabed itself during the survey, smothering of the dominant macrobenthos catalogued in the survey (mollusks), particularly juveniles of filter feeding bivalves and other detritus feeders in the immediate area can happen with compacting of the seabed. Extreme turbidity can also impair fish feeding and reproductive physiology but fish aggregations have been found to be minimal in the area and the dominant species – Tilapia – will simply evade the disturbed area and seek safer shelter and grazing grounds around the reclamation site.

### **Effects on fisheries**

Few fishers, as well as gleaners for macro-invertebrates in the proposed reclamations islands will be dislocated momentarily during reclamation activities but will ultimately resume fishing operations in coastal waters past the reclaimed area. It is noted however, that a sizeable nearshore fishing ground will be lost to reclamation affecting largely small-scale fishers. On the other hand, effects on demersal fisheries productivity will be minimal as no benthic fish habitats will be affected or altered due to the extreme silt and muddy sediments currently deposited in the area. However, schools of *Sardinella* that normally enter inshore waters can be disturbed and move away from the reclamation site. Tilapia and sardines fisheries in this area will be dislocated and loss of income from fishing will be felt during reclamation activities. Fisheries operation in fishing grounds offshore of the reclamation and generally in the mouth Manila Bay will not be affected as fishers will move to new fishing grounds further away from the reclaimed area where seawater will probably be less polluted and pelagic fish more abundant. However, this will require modifications on fishing gears used.

In Island A, a total of 37 fish corrals, 17 fish pens and 44 mussel farms (counted at the time of the survey in February 2019; it is noted that many such entrapments and mussel farms are being built while old bamboo poles are also extracted) will be dislocated. The permanent loss of mussel farms, in particular, can lead to depressed mussel production in the province of Cavite estimated at about 600 MT per year, and loss of income to hundreds of mussel and oyster farm laborers and investors. Allied downstream economic activities will likely be affected, including traders, mussel cleaners, suppliers of farm materials and processors.

Finally, it is noted that there are no permanent or stationary lift nets or “sapras” directly inside the proposed reclamation site.



### **Oil and Grease Contamination**

The risk of oil and grease contamination in seawater around the reclamation site can occur if disposal of marine vessel bilge water and if accidental spillage from refueling at sea is experienced. While the issue is not anticipated to be severe, oil slicks caused by inadvertent disposal or spillage may remain sequestered in coastal waters and carried in small blotches towards the direction of tidal movement. While the issue is not anticipated to be severe, oil slicks from inadvertent spillage may remain sequestered in the water column or carried to the shoreline in blotches. Areas with inter-tidal corals in Bataan and mussel colonies in Cavite can be considered at risk from exposure to such slicks, leading to immediate coral and shellfish mortality. In open waters of Manila Bay, the chain reaction can be far-reaching, affecting not only benthic communities but stocks of fish that are dependent on plankton and zooplankton as their primary diet. Sandy substrate in areas near the reclamation can likewise be at risk as some portion of spilled oil can enter the water column either as a dissolved fraction or suspended in small aggregations and settle to the bottom. Subsurface oil is a possibility in some spills, particularly if the spilled product is heavy, with a density approaching or exceeding that of seawater, and if conditions permit oil to mix with sediment material to further increase density.

### **Possible Threats to Benthic Communities**

This survey generated poor macrobenthos diversity in the area, as indicated on the low numbers of soft-bottom benthos taxa, bivalves and gastropods. There are no rare and endemic taxa. Any impact brought about by the reclamation project would be temporary and the macrobenthic community can readily colonize the new areas in the reclamation boundaries. It is furthermore noteworthy that macro-benthic communities are known to be resilient and have the locomotion ability to migrate in less stressful areas; hence the project is not expected to pose a significant impact on this aquatic community. Over the long term, it can be anticipated that populations of macro-invertebrates can colonize concrete revetments that will be built around reclaimed areas.

### **Threat to Plankton Community**

Phytoplankton and zooplankton would be generally subjected to short-term impacts during the construction. Threat to plankton community would come from the increase load of suspended solids during the construction of the project resulting to reduction of depth of photosynthetic activity of the phytoplankton. Similarly, highly turbid water would affect the grazing success of zooplankton. This would temporarily result to lower rates of photosynthesis and primary production. However, plankton population recovery after construction would be generally rapid due to quick reproduction periods including recruitment and advection from adjacent unaffected areas. A laboratory experiment conducted over a two week with different zooplankton showed that mortality was high at levels over 10,000 mg/L of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) but generally, studies have not shown any significant impact at the levels experienced from activities such as dredging and related activities (Clarke & Wilbur 2000). In addition, many larval stages are only in the plankton stage for short periods and other groups have short life cycles which mean recovery can be relatively quick (less than a year) depending on the time of year and source of larvae (James et al 2015). Given, the temporary and limited extent of the effect of highly turbid waters relative to the overall area of Manila Bay, the impact on plankton community are predicted to be low in long term.

### **Potential HAB inducement**

Harmful Algal Blooms (HAB) is the term used to describe the "bloom" or rapid multiplication of toxic single-celled phytoplankton. In Manila Bay and Cavite, HAB episodes have been occurring for more than two decades and the primary causative organism for paralytic shellfish poisoning is the dinoflagellate *Pyrodinium bahamense* var. *Compressum*. *Pyrodinium* and other biotoxin - forming phytoplankton species produce potent neurotoxins that can be transferred through the food web which for years has affected the mussel and oyster industry in Bacoar Bay. Among others, recent investigations point to excessive nutrient loading in coastal waters from land-based sources and sudden changes in seawater temperature as primary factors. The occurrence of El Nino – La Nina episodes, exacerbated by hyper-nutrient and sediment loading





from untreated domestic wastewaters from the Metropolis further enhance the possibility of algal blooms over a broad expanse of the sea where such waste streams are occurring. There are no species of potentially harmful phytoplankton observed in water samples taken in the proposed reclamation area. *Pyrodinium bahamense* var. *compressum*, the dinoflagellate historically associated with Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP) in Manila Bay including Cavite area was not observed in this survey. The potentially harmful phytoplankton species observed were *Pseudonitzschia* spp and *Dinophysis caudata*. Some species of *Pseudonitzschia* are known to produce domoic acid, a toxin associated with Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning (ASP). *Dinophysis caudata* are dinoflagellates associated to Diarrhetic Shellfish Toxins (DSP). Cell densities of *Dinophysis* and *Pseudonitzschia* observed during the sampling, however, was relatively low compared to areas where blooms of these organisms have been reported (Azanza and Taylor 2001). In addition, there is no confirmed incidence of ASP and DSP reported in the Philippines but it is still highly recommended to institute a monitoring program for HABs after the project has been established in order to prevent negative public health impacts brought about by possible blooms of these species. In general, it is unlikely that the reclamation project would trigger for HAB episodes.

#### **Increase in domestic wastewater around the reclamation site**

Compacting, configuring and development structuring of the reclaimed area will result to increased human activity in the project site due to the influx of workers and this is expected to generate a significant amount of solid wastes and domestic wastewater that could find its way to the coastal and marine environment if waste disposal and management systems are not sufficient. The outflow of domestic wastewaters from project facilities if such waste streams are not properly treated and managed can lead to hyper-nutrient loading which in turn can cause algal blooms. In the project area where seawater flushing is moderate and water turbidity is already intense, such phenomenon can lead to problems on paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP).

Further, when combined with organic wastewaters from domestic sources due to increased waste streams from project operations, marine pollution can spread horizontally away from the project site and contribute to episodes of algal blooms in other areas where hyper-nutrient loading from domestic wastewaters is intense. Significant sardine fisheries and shellfish resources in Bacoor Bay can be contaminated by algal blooms, and oxygen depletion can occur in localized portions of the sea and lead to spoilage and discoloration of water columns.

However, the risk of waste contamination of benthic resources directly in the reclamation area is insignificant due to the absence of significant benthic habitats. The predicted impact of this threat to epi-benthic soft bottom communities in the seabed could be more pronounced.

#### **Mangroves**

Depressed tidal flow in the mangrove swamps in Cavite City/Kawit, Cavite and in the Ligton River estuary can negatively affect growth of mangroves, leading to stunted trees over the long term.

#### **Potential impacts arising from climate change scenarios**

The reclamation will not contribute to enhancement of impacts arising from climate change, notably rise in surface sea water temperature. However, if hyper-nutrient loading in warm waters become intensified – either from domestic wastewaters or from point sources in the project, the risk of oxygen depletion and fish kills over a broad area can be possible. In the long term, immediate extensive vegetation planting around the reclaimed sites will in fact contribute to sequestration of greenhouse gasses in the future.

#### **Loss of navigational channels going to the Rosario Fish Port**

The reclamation islands will block traditional navigational lanes of fishing boats delivering their fish catch to the Rosario Fish Port and nearby fishing communities, resulting to slightly longer route and possibly higher fuel costs.



### 2.2.5.5 Mitigation Measures

#### ***Mitigation of potential sediment fluxes***

Sedimentation is predicted to be high during reclamation area filling and compacting and impacts are predicted to range from moderate to high depending on the implementation of mitigating measures in the primary impact area. Minimizing sediment influx from the project site to the coastal waters is a critical and underpinning strategy. The overall mitigation strategy for all phases of reclamation activities and its operational phases is to ensure that silt and sediment streams emanating from reclamation activities do not infiltrate into surrounding coastal waters indiscriminately and further deteriorate water quality and sediment blanketing where sandy seabed occur. A diverse array of sediment mitigation measures will include installation of silt curtains and screens in project areas where reclamation filling and compacting activities will be undertaken. Where sites have been filled up and reclaimed, diversion canals leading to sediment impoundments will be installed in order to ensure that fugitive soil run-off is contained. Moreover, loose reclamation filling materials shall be stockpiled in areas where erosion control measures can be easily applied and run-off can be controlled effectively. As a precautionary approach, the stabilization of reclaimed areas through vegetation cover enrichment and enhancement will be undertaken in order to increase sediment amalgamation capacity and soil compacting.

#### **Wastewater Management**

Waste minimization and retrieval will be practiced in all aspects of reclamation activities. Modern and sufficient sanitation facilities and disposal systems will be installed. Modern latrines with 3-chambered septic tanks shall be installed in all project facilities where wastewaters and other effluents are generated from areas already reclaimed. Waste minimization will be practiced in all aspects of the reclamation and all shipboard wastes will be retrieved and disposed of in land-based systems. There will no disposal of wastes at sea. The objective is to ensure that pollution-causing effluents that can be potentially carried downstream are treated at the source.

#### ***Solid Waste Management***

Solid waste shall be disposed of in appropriate waste bins positioned across reclaimed areas. Solid wastes from project personnel and marine vessels will be completely collected and disposed of properly. A rigid waste management and retrieval system will be enforced in all aspects of reclamation activity.

#### ***Oil and Grease Containment***

Potential risks of small oil spills will be controlled through strict fuel and oil dispersal protocols backed-up by an oil/fuel spill contingency plan. An oil and grease recovery system will be adopted employing the best facilities. A strict protocol against disposal of bilge water by vessels delivering reclamation materials to the site will be established and implemented. Any accidental spills will be dealt with efficiently and promptly through the formulation of oil spill contingency and recovery plan. The project will enforce strict policies against indiscriminate disposal of oily wastes.

#### ***Fisheries and Fishing Gears – Mussel Farms and Fish Pens***

In general, long term improvement in fish stocks is contingent on the application of interventions that are designed to perpetuate growth, maturation and recruitment. This can be pursued by ensuring enough habitats for a wide range of fish species are protected against anthropogenic issues arising from reclamation activities and its post-reclamation development. Curtailment of fishing practices that contributes to growth and recruitment overfishing needs to be considered as a collaborative activity with the local government units and the BFAR

Any mussel farm and fishing gear that will be dislocated by reclamation filling and operations will be compensated through the provision of new mussel farming materials and fishing gear paraphernalia, as well as technical assistance in re-establishing fishing and mariculture operations. In particular, a new mussel and fish pen zone needs to be identified and delineated with collaboration from the local governments. Site



suitability assessments – focusing on viability of mussel and oyster spat settlement in new areas - needs to be conducted and affirmed, supported by technical assistance of better farming techniques. Supplemental livelihood projects, through mariculture of full cycle aquaculture species will be promoted through collaboration with the Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources. Conservation of mussel stocks will be pursued through the establishment of spat collectors in areas past the proposed areas to be reclaimed. The spats will then be released in coastal waters after the reclamation is completed so that the shellfish juveniles can eventually repopulate rocky areas around the reclaimed site.

Pelagic species of sardines will continue to move to areas close to the shore and their seasonal movement into the coastal seas surrounding the reclamation project will be sustained. In other nearshore areas, this will involve the provision of seawater channels to ensure that plankton communities will continue to enrich inshore fishing grounds between the shoreline and the inner boundary of reclaimed sites so that sardines and other small pelagic fishes can continue to migrate and graze into such areas. Efforts to sustain macro-invertebrate recruitment and settlement will be studied and applied in suitable areas.

The demand for fishery products, both in fresh and processed form, is likely to increase significantly as a result of increased demand during project establishment and can lead to enhanced fishing effort in an already heavily-fished fishery and competition for a dwindling resource base can drive fishers to use more illegal fishing methods. On the other hand, the operation of the Project is also seen to increase employment opportunities for skilled labor and provide certain fisheries-based livelihood to local fishers. With assistance from the Project, small-scale aquaculture and fisheries product value-adding can evolve into profitable livelihoods, especially for women in fisheries.

The Project will assist in refurbishing and increasing the number of fish aggregating device (FADs) previously set in coastal waters by the MAO and its sustainable management. New, more suitable sites will be identified and the design of ARs will consider aggregation of both demersal and pelagic species of fish. In addition, the Project will assist the LGU in the provision of more appropriate fishing gears to organized fishers to enable them to fish further offshore where stocks of sardines (*Sardinella lemuru*) are more plentiful. Further, through collaboration with BFAR, the project will support diversification of fisher livelihoods into cage culture of Pompano and other full-cycle species.

### **Mangroves**

In Kawit, the project will ensure that sufficient tidal flows into the mangrove reforestation areas more than half a kilometer away from reclamation island A will be sustained through appropriate and state-of-the-art seawater engineering dynamics to ensure normal seawater floods and ebbs.

The proposed project will not alter the distribution pattern of fish larvae and other marine species migrating in the mangrove areas. Adequate channels and buffer zones between the shoreline and the proposed reclamation island will be maintained to ensure unimpeded drifting of fish larvae and bivalve veligers.

In addition, the project will monitor mussel spat settlement during reclamation activities to discern whether recruitment and settlement of mussels in poles and other spat collectors are sustained. Fish larvae sampling will be undertaken in conjunction with annual water quality monitoring in coastal waters immediate to the reclamation site.

To support the protection and conservation of nearby mangrove areas, the Proponent shall include in its environmental protection management a mangrove reforestation program in suitable area/s, in coordination with the fisherfolks' association. Also, there will absolutely be no cutting of mangrove anywhere in the area.

Mangrove species already occurring in nearby coastlines shall be used in the enrichment planting such as: *Rhizophora mucronata* (bakawang babae), and *Avicennia marina* (api-api). The location shall be in the existing 75ha mangrove patch in Barangays Wakas, Polborista and Kunbalay in Kawit. Other suitable area/s at the side of Cavite City shall be assessed in terms of suitability.



### **Presence of Pollution Indicator Species**

*Filter-feeding bivalves* – Algal blooms are natural phenomenon and can be influenced by a number of factors. These include cultural eutrophication, unusual climatological conditions and transport of dinoflagellates through ballast waters, and transfer of shellfish stocks. Mussels and oysters are standard biotoxin monitoring medium and the BFAR implements a periodic monitoring system of plankton levels and toxicity analysis through bioassay in mussel and oysters in Manila Bay. While the reclamation project would not be a primary trigger for HAB episode enhancement, bivalves farmed near in project area –specifically island ‘A’, will be placed under a strict biotoxin monitoring system through engagement of BFAR personnel. Moreover, the Project will support the conduct information, education and communication (IEC) campaigns to promote red tide awareness and to pinpoint sources of nutrient loading into the sea.





Table 2.2-56. Environmental Management Plan for Coastal and Fisheries Management

Project Activity CONSTRUCTION PHASE	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact	Options for Prevention or Mitigation or Enhancement
Reclamation, soil filling and compacting	Coastal water quality; Benthic communities of marine organisms; pelagic fish populations	Increase in siltation/sedimentation loading in coastal waters; increase in turbidity and suspended solids;  Reduction in photosynthesis and primary productivity  Suffocation of bivalve veliger in soft bottom benthos;  Disruption of fish feeding and benthos larval growth;  Impairment in fish and shellfish reproductive process.  Disturbance to schools of sardines and mullets feeding in inshore waters	Provision of silt curtains where sediment streams are likely to occur and escape.  Collection and trans-location of macro-invertebrates found within the reclamation area.  Monitoring of sediment fluxes and application of more stringent control measures when necessary; or temporary cessation of activities.  Sediment canals in reclaimed areas will be installed to divert sludge into filters and weirs that capture sediments and fugitive reclamation filling materials at source.
	Wastewaters emanating due to influx of reclamation workers can add to marine pollution and negatively affect benthic communities of macro- invertebrates; plankton community fish	Inadvertent spill of domestic wastewaters can cause coastal water pollution, loss of macro- invertebrate population, impairment in fish and shellfish reproductive physiology.	Install liquid waste management system ensuring modern waste retrieval and treatment system. Treatment and disposal of liquid waste at point source will involve collecting liquids of point source origin; directing waste into integrated multiple waste streams facilities or collecting vessels, and application of treatments. Any fluid effluent to be discharged at sea will be monitored and tested before discharging.  Installation of latrines and waste receptacles; collection facilities;  Adoption of clean practices by all project operating units and personnel;  Efficient waste retrieval system;  Greening of reclamation area



Project Activity CONSTRUCTION PHASE	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact	Options for Prevention or Mitigation or Enhancement
	Coastal waters	Oil and grease contamination	Adoption of an oil and grease recovery and treatment system;  Implementation of rigid policies against indiscriminate disposal of oily waste and marine vessel bilge water.
	Fisheries and mariculture livelihood	Loss of mussel farms and fish pens/fish corrals.  Loss of nearshore fishing grounds; dislocation of gill net and hook and line fishers	Replacement of dislocate mussel farm through provision of new materials, spat collectors and technical assistance on better farming techniques;  Identification and delineation of new mussel farming zone backed up by studies on site suitability and spat settlement levels.  An accreditation and licensing system for mussel farms will be developed in collaboration with the LGU.  Provision of new fishing paraphernalia to enable affected small-scale fishers to move to deeper fishing grounds past the reclamation area; Provision of alternative livelihoods to affected fishers.



## CHAPTER 2.3 THE AIR

### INTRODUCTION

The potential impacts on the air environment and climate change phenomenon of the activities during the reclamation works are summarized in **Table 2.3-1**.

**Table 2.3-1. Reclamation Activities in Relation to Impacts on Air Resources and Climate Change**

Major Activities	Sub Activities with Potential Impacts on Air and Climate Change	Remarks
<b>Preparatory Works at Reclamation Site</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dredging (To remove undesirable sea bed materials)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operation of dredging equipment, use of power generating sets involving combustion of fuel oils</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Distant from ESRs</li> <li>Short term only</li> <li>Fuel used in generating sets and heavy equipment will generate CO<sub>2</sub> and other criteria pollutants</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creation of 2.4728 sq km of land form ( ) equivalent to 0.13% of the area of Manila Bay (1,800 sq.km. (Source PEMSEA)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impacts on GHG CO<sub>2</sub> from combustion of Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)</li> <li>Loss of sea surface thus of GHG sequestration by ocean</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deemed minimal because of small change (in percentage) in land form of the Manila Bay</li> </ul>
<b>Reclamation Proper</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sea travel of dredging vessel from site to San Nicholas Shoal (Distance of approx. 6.97 km)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of fuel (diesel oil) to propel vessel and power dredgers/cutters will generate combustion products (air pollutant) and GHG (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pollution source is mobile in nature</li> <li>Activity is short term; TSHD will operate for est. <b>54600 hours</b> for the entire reclamation phase.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Filling/Land reclamation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Same as above</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Same as above</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of sub structures, e.g. containment sheets or silt curtains</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of barges</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minor generation of combustion products; relatively short term operation of barges</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leveling of reclaimed area to desired elevation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of compaction and other equipment, potential use of generating sets,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minor generation of combustion products</li> <li>Potential fugitive dust generation.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Horizon works/Construction of support facilities, roadways, drainage system, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of miscellaneous construction equipment, potential use of generating sets</li> <li>Temporary concrete mix batching plant may be opted.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minor and short generation of combustion products</li> <li>Potential fugitive dust generation.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Soil Stabilization</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum use of heavy equipment; use of wick drains</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Essentially no significant air pollution discharges</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All dredging, filling and horizontal development works</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Noise generated by equipment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deemed not significant due to distance from noise generator to ESRs and short term activities</li> </ul>

### Air Pollution Impacts during Operation of the Dredging Equipment and Transportation of Sea Vessels

The most important aspect of the vessel operations in respect to potential air pollution arises from the dredging vessel(s) operating at sea. The major operation that generates air discharges is the combustion of oil during the travel to/from site to the San Nicholas Shoal. Thus, the air impact area and the receptors of air pollutants are the areas within the navigational lane shown in Figure 2.3-2; such impact areas being at sea are not populated.



**Figure 2.3-1. Sea Lane to the San Nicholas Shoal Showing Approximate Distance**

It is noted that the actual dredging and related operations at and within the San Nicholas Shoal are covered by a separate Environmental Compliance Certificate (ECC) and therefore compliances thereto are covered by this ECC.

### **2.3.1 Meteorology**

#### **2.3.1.1 Change in the local climate e.g. local temperature**

In broad terms, **microclimate** refers to the climate of a very small or restricted area, especially when this differs from the climate of the surrounding area of which temperature is a key aspect.

“Microclimate pertains to local climatic patterns which are superimposed on the global pattern as a function of geography and topography. The primary geographic variables are latitude and longitude. For example, the Philippine’s climatic characteristic is tropical because of the archipelago’s location relative to the warm equator. As a result, the country is generally said to experience pronounced dry (summer) and wet (rainy) seasons. However, because of differences in topography, sizes and positions relative to large water bodies and other oceanographic parameters, the country is further characterized into four distinct climatic zones

#### **Effects of topography on micro-climate**

Among the factors to consider in the assessment of the impact of topography on microclimate are elevation, steepness and direction of slope, position of the slope and aspect or orientation of the area (e.g. Sartz, 1972; Sevruck et al., 1998; Rich and Fu, 2000). The combined effects of these parameters influence the microclimatic conditions in an area such as “incoming solar radiation (insolation), soil temperature, air temperature, wind, precipitation, evapotranspiration, water flow (accumulation and run off), snow accumulation, and snow melt” (e.g. Rich and Fu, 2000).





### **Diurnal rainfall variation**

The diurnal variation of rainfall at any tropical location is determined by a combination of many factors such as radiation heating and cooling, land-sea breeze effects, topography, tidal effects, frequency of synoptic disturbances, among others. Local circulations such as land-sea breeze, mountain-valley winds, and radiation surface-heating may be of secondary importance, at least during the months of maximum rainfall.

### **Solar Energy**

Radiational heating refers to atmospheric heating caused by solar radiation being readily transmitted inward through the earth's atmosphere. During the transition months between the wet and dry seasons, surface heating is greater (due to the reduced cloud cover) and maybe more important in determining changes in daily rainfall.

### **Daily rainfall distribution**

In the tropics, synoptic-scale disturbances are primarily responsible for most rainfall on a daily basis, whereas convective and cloud-scale motions are related to time scales of hours and tenths of hours (Garstang, 1966). These synoptic-scale disturbances provide an environment favourable for the development of convective-scale systems (Henry, 1966). As the rainstorm intensity increases, the importance of orography declines.

### **Rainfall variation with elevation**

Orography is undoubtedly a major factor in determining rainfall distribution. However, previous studies which attempted to derive statistical relationships between elevations and mean rainfall amounts have had very limited success. For example, Stidd and Leopold (1951) found only a weak relationship between elevation and mean relations between mean January and July rainfall amounts and elevation for all available stations on the island of Oahu. Cobb (1966) listed five factors which influence terrain effect: (1) shape, size and roughness of the mountains, (2) direction and distance from the moisture source, (3) intervening terrain between moisture source and the orographic barrier, (4) wind velocity, and (5) thickness and stability of the moist layer.

The effect of smaller topographic features on precipitation was investigated by James (1964) following contentions that "the orographic effect does not come into play (at least in middle Europe) on a hill low enough not to be a factor in the rising, and thus in the cooling, of inflowing air" (Geiger, 1959). It is proposed that a hill less than 300 feet (~91 m) than the surrounding area would have little influence on the distribution of local precipitation (James, 1964) ".

### **Wind**

Changes in wind circulation and velocity patterns can impact on micro climate.

### **Carbon Dioxide, Water Vapour and Other GHGs**

Climate and microclimate changes are directly influenced by GHG emissions, these emissions are however reckoned from global and not local inventories

**Table 2.3-1** suggests that noting the activities involved there will be no changes in microclimate particularly in temperatures. There will be no significant discharges to the atmosphere of air pollution and of substances at elevated temperatures. Moreover, the construction works involving the major shipping vessels will be undertaken for a short period of not more than two to three (2-3) continuous years.



### 2.3.1.2 Monthly Average Rainfall And Temperature Of The Area

*Climatological normals/extremes; Wind rose diagrams; Frequency of Tropical cyclones*

The climatological extremes of the project site are shown in **Table 2.3-2** below.

**Table 2.3-2. Climatological Extremes**

YEAR: AS OF 2016

LATITUDE: 14°30'25.75"N

ELEVATION: 21m

LONGITUDE: 121°00'15.90"E


MONTH	TEMPERATURE (°C)				GRATEST DAILY RAINFALL (mm)		STRONGEST WINDS (mps)			SEA LEVEL PRESSURES (mbs)			
	HIGH	DATE	LOW	DATE	AMOUNT	DATE	SPD	DIR	DATE	HIGH	DATE	LOW	DATE
JAN	35.8	01-07-1989	14.8	01-18-1961	55.3	01-03-1970	20	ENE	01-12-1986	1022.3	01-27-1987	1004.4	01-01-1950
FEB	35.1	02-21-1998	14.6	02-01-1962	16.5	02-27-1950	20	E	02-28-1988	1021.4	02-01-1998	1003.8	02-21-2001
MAR	36.5	03-30-1978	16.0	03-03-1963	36.0	03-07-2011	26	E	03-29-1992	1021.1	03-02-1987	1002.4	03-06-1999
APR	37.8	04-23-1948	18.7	04-01-1994	63.0	04-04-1992	22	ESE	04-06-1986	1019.9	04-23-1987	1002.4	04-21-2001
MAY	38.2	05-18-2014	19.1	05-11-1950	229.1	05-27-1960	31	SW	05-22-1976	1015.9	05-09-1957	992.2	05-17-1989
JUNE	38.0	06-02-1991	20.0	06-22-1954	353.8	06-01-1958	36	S	06-29-1964	1016.0	06-07-1997	974.6	06-29-1964
JULY	36.4	07-26-2016	18.3	07-28-1948	472.4	07-20-1972	36	W	07-08-1986	1014.9	07-07-1953	990.1	07-16-2014
AUG	35.2	08-29-1989	17.4	08-09-1949	401.8	08-10-1947	30	WSW	08-16-1984	1015.2	08-12-1958	992.8	08-24-1978
	35.2	08-19-2014											
SEP	35.2	09-02-2013	19.1	09-15-1950	228.9	09-08-1963	40	NNW	09-28-2006	1016.2	09-18-2005	986.7	09-30-1995
OCT	36.0	10-24-1976	18.0	10-23-1981	274.5	10-09-1978	27	W	10-18-1985	1017.0	10-25-1986	977.9	10-14-1970
NOV	35.8	11-17-1972	17.2	11-26-1949	121.7	11-14-1977	56	W	11-19-1970	1019.4	11-03-1989	899.4	11-06-1995
DEC	34.2	12-29-1978	16.3	12-18-1955	125.5	12-15-2015	25	NW	12-30-1950	1020.9	12-06-1960	955.5	12-02-2004
<b>ANNUAL</b>	<b>38.2</b>	<b>05-18-1969</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>02-01-1962</b>	<b>472.4</b>	<b>07-20-1972</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>W</b>	<b>11-19-1970</b>	<b>1022.3</b>	<b>01-27-1987</b>	<b>889.4</b>	<b>11-03-1995</b>
<b>Period of Record</b>	<b>1947-2016</b>				<b>1949-2016</b>		<b>1950-2016</b>			<b>1950-2016</b>			

PREPARED BY: CADS/CAD/PAGASA



The monthly wind rose diagrams are provided in **Annex 5.0** while the annual diagram is shown in **Figure 2.3-2**.

Color	Wind Speed Range (mps)	Description
Blue	1 - 4	Light
Green	5 - 8	Moderate
Yellow	9 - 12	Moderate to Strong
Red	13 - 16	Strong
Magenta	17 - 20	Very Strong



Source: PAGASA

**Figure 2.3-2. The Annual Windrose Diagram for the Project Site and Environs**

### Frequency of Tropical Cyclones

This is shown in **Figures 2.3-3**.

The reclamation site experiences 1 cyclone per year. This information is useful guide considering that the works will be done at sea and therefore tropical cyclones are important considerations vis-à-vis navigational safety.




Figure 2.3-3. Map Showing Frequency of tropical Typhoons in the Philippines

#### 2.3.1.3 Contribution in terms of greenhouse gas emissions (or GHG mitigation potential)

**Effects of climate change using PAGASA medium to long term projections.** *Estimation of LUCF GHG emissions. Data on Greenhouse gasses (i.e. carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide); Estimation of projected greenhouse gases (GHG) (i.e. carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide) using IPCC guidelines; include mitigation and/or sequestration for both construction and operation phases.*

The major GHG of relevance to the project is carbon dioxide because of oil combustion to generate power from generating units stationed in the vessels. Nitrous Oxide is also present, however, methane is not considered relevant in the absence of methane generators.





## Estimation of GHG emissions from the Project

### Scope 1 emissions

**These are the Direct GHG** emitted by sources resulting from the dredging, reclamation and horizon development activities and includes:

**GHG generation** from the combustion of fossil fuels used by shipping vessels.

The GHG is estimated based on direct calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> generated from the combustion of heavy fuel oils. The main source of air discharges is the TSHD, which will operate only for a short period of time through the completion of the reclamation.

### Calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> generated by the TSHD, the major vessel/dredging/reclamation equipment.

Basis and assumptions: (Source: THEIDI Construction Corporation)

Travel cycle of TSHD from site to SNS and back	7 hours/cycle
Trench Dredging Cycle	350 hours
Total	6.5 years
Hopper Capacity	1500-3000 m <sup>3</sup>
Volume Fill required for 5 islands	124,920,000 m <sup>3</sup>
Total Number of cycles	7800
Total hours operating	54600 hours
Fuel carried by TSHD	2200 m <sup>3</sup>
Total Oil Used	195,000 ton for the entire reclamation period

### Estimation of GHGs (Order of Magnitude)


Estimated Heat Content = 42,700 kJ/kg,  
[www.effship.com/PartnerArea/MiscPresentations/Dr\\_Wild\\_Report.pdf](http://www.effship.com/PartnerArea/MiscPresentations/Dr_Wild_Report.pdf)

or:

$$195,000,000 \text{ kg} \times 41,700 \text{ kJ/kg} = 8.132 \times 10^{12} \text{ kJ or } 7\,707\,648.822 \times 10^{12} \text{ BTU}$$

### Scope 1 Emission (Ref <https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/conference/ei10/ghg/barbour.pdf>)

Estimated GHG Emissions expressed as C equivalent, defined as the composite of the various GHGs as follows



Components of the Carbon Equivalent of the GHG

Referenced Order of Magnitude Estimation  
(<https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/conference/ei10/ghg/barbour.pdf>)

$$\text{MMTCE (Million Metric Tons Carbon Equivalent)} = (\text{Btu}/1000)(19.33\text{MMTCE}/\text{Tbtu})(0.99)$$



$$\text{Btu}/1000)(19.33\text{MMTCE}/\text{Tbtu})(0.99) = 2.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MMTCE}$$

Table 2.3-3 shows the PhI GHG Inventory.

**Table 2.3-3. The Officially Reported PhI GHG Inventory**

Category	In CO <sub>2</sub> Equivalent (kilotons) (2000)	In CO <sub>2</sub> Equivalent (kilotons) (1994)
Energy	69,667	50,040.33
Agriculture	37,002	33,128.57
Waste	11,599	7,094.78
Industrial Processes	8,609	10,602.93
Subtotal	126,878	100,866.61
Land-Use Change and Forestry	-107,387	-126.49
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19,491</b>	<b>100,740.12</b>

References: 2<sup>nd</sup> national communication of the Philippines and UNFCCC website

**Scope 2 emissions are referred to as Energy Indirect GHG**, and are defined as ‘emissions from the consumption of purchased electricity, steam, or other sources of energy) generated upstream from the organization’.

**Mitigation** consists of minimizing the use of fuel, which can be achieved through the use of efficient engines and boilers in vessels, when applicable.

**From use of fossil fuels during the construction of roads, the operations of the wick drain placer equipment, trucks, compactors and others.**

The various equipment are of different sizes with respect to horsepower and operate at different times and with different duration of works. For purposes of estimation of GHG it is assumed that the net equipment capacity is equivalent to 1000 kW. For a continuous operation of 1 year, the GHG is estimated at emission factor of 9.45 kg CO<sub>2</sub> per gallon of biodiesel (Reference: USEPA)

**Diesel Usage = 7.5 Ton/hour**

**CO<sub>2</sub> generation (estimates only) = 420 tons/day**

#### **Loss of sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> by the displaced sea body/Disturbance of the sea surface**

The ocean has a significant role in maintaining the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere by absorbing the GHG.

It is reported that 2.3PgCyr<sup>-1</sup> or 2.3 billion metric tons is sequestered by the ocean which roughly translate to 2.25 metric tons per cu km.

For the project there will be a displacement of sea water from the creation of land. However when the fill materials are dredged from Manila Bay there will be an equivalent creation of water body from the shoal area.



### Estimation of LUCF GHG emissions

**LUCF (Land-Use Change and Forestry)** – Land-use refers to the type of activity being carried out on a unit of land, such as forest land, cropland and grassland. IPCC 1996GL refers to sources and sinks associated with greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions/removals from human activities that:

- Change the way land is used (e.g. clearing of forest for agriculture, conversion of grassland to forest);
- Affect the amount of biomass in existing biomass stocks (e.g. forest, village trees, savanna) and soil carbon stocks..."(UNFCCC Handbook on Land Use Change and Forestry Sector)

Based on the above, it appears that LUCF GHG emissions are not the primary contributors to GHG emissions from the Project.

The disturbance of sediments, i.e. the soft and clayish portions of the sub sea bed is a temporary short-term situation if a reclamation technology that re uses these disturbed materials is applied, e.g. through the use of sandbags. This means that the sediments would be placed back to the sea bed.

### Effects of climate change using PAGASA medium to long-term projections

The projected seasonal temperature increase, seasonal rainfall change and frequency of extreme events in 2020 and 2050 under the medium-range emission scenario in the provinces in Region 4-A are presented in the succeeding tables.

To use the tables and arrive at values of seasonal mean temperature and seasonal rainfall in 2020 and 2050 in any of the provinces, the projections are added to the observed values (presented in each of the tables).

For example, in Quezon, the projected values in 220 are:

- a. DJF mean temperature =  $(25.1\text{ C} + 0.9\text{ C}) = 26.0\text{ C}$ ;
- b. DJF rainfall =  $\{827.7\text{ mm} + 827.7\text{ (6.5\%)}\text{mm}\} = (827.7\text{-}53.8)\text{ mm}$  or 763.9mm;
- c. Number of days with  $T_{\text{max}} > 35\text{ C}$  in Casiguran during the 2006-2035 period (centered in 2020) = 1,720;
- d. Number of dry days in Casiguran during the 2006-2035 period (centered at 2020) = 4,520; and
- e. Number of days with rainfall  $> 300\text{mm}$  in Casiguran during the 2006-2035 period (centered at 2020) = 20

**Table 2.3-4. Seasonal Temperature Increase (In °C) In 2020 And 2050 Under Medium-Range Emission In Provinces In Region 4-A**

Observed baseline (1971-2000)				CHANGE in 2020 (2006-2035)				CHANGE in 2050 (2036-2065)			
DJF	MAM	JJA	SON	DJF	MAM	JJA	SON	DJF	MAM	JJA	SON
Degree Centigrade ( °C)											
25.7	28.2	27.3	26.9	1	1.2	0.9	1	2	2.2	1.8	1.9
Percent (%)											
124.9	242.8	985.7	579	-26.1	-28.2	13.1	0.4	-19.1	-30.5	24.2	5.9



**Table 2.3-5. Frequency Of Extreme Events In 2020 And 2050 Under Medium-Range Emission Scenario In Provinces In Region 4-A**

Station	No. of Days w/Tmax>35°C			No. of Dry Days			No. of Days w/Rainfall >200mm		
	OBS (1971-2000)	2020	2050	OBS	2020	2050	OBS	2020	2050
Sangley	630	1697	2733	7352	6635	6565	6	9	9

From the table presented, the following may be observed:

- Maximum seasonal temperature increase of 2.2°C may be expected in the long term (2036-2065).

The above projections will be largely experienced during the operations phase of the project which has significantly much longer period of time that the relatively shorter construction phase and for which the major impacts are:

- Rainfall will impact on storm water flows from the reclaimed area. The drainage system will be engineered accordingly. More importantly, the channels of the reclaimed land will also be engineered in detail to ensure the storm water discharges are not constricted.

### **On Climate Change Adaptation**

Following are the key Climate Change hazard scenarios and the adaptive measures to be taken:

- **Accelerated Sea Level Rise**
  - The reclaimed land platform will be at elevation 4 M above MLWL
- **Storm surges**
  - The reclaimed land itself will provide sheltering effect during episodes of storm surges. (Discussed in **Chapter 2.2.**)
  - Wave deflectors will be installed in strategic places.

### **2.3.2 Air Quality**

#### **2.3.2.1 Degradation of air quality.**

##### **2.3.2.1.1 Ambient Air Quality Baselines**

Following are the key terms of reference for the conduct of the air quality baselines:

The baselines have to be reckoned from the standards, which for air pollution is referred to the guideline values under the Philippine Clean Air Act of 1999.

These guidelines are taken to refer to guideline values at lands and no guidelines are provided for values taking the sea as the reference locations or sites.

For this type of project, the sources of air pollution are from the mobile sea vessels on which combustion of Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) takes place.





There are no Environmentally Sensitive Receptors of air pollution at sea.

The generation of air pollution by the engines on board the sea vessels are short term and intermittent during the construction period of approximately 6-7 years.

The above premises considered, following baseline results are presented.

### Air Quality Parameters

The choice of the parameters **TSP, PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>** is based on the Philippine Clean Air Act of 1999 (RA 8749) as follows:

**a) For National Ambient Air Quality Guideline for Criteria Pollutants:**

Pollutants	Short Term <sup>a</sup>		Long Term <sup>b</sup>			
	μg/NCM	ppm	Averaging	μg/NCM	ppm	
	Averaging		Time			Time
Suspended Particulate						
Matter <sup>c</sup> - TSP	230 <sup>d</sup>		24 hours	90	--	1 year <sup>e</sup>
- PM-10	150 <sup>f</sup>		24 hours	60	--	1 year <sup>e</sup>
Sulfur Dioxide <sup>c</sup>	180	0.07	24 hours	80	0.03	1 year
Nitrogen Dioxide	150	0.08	24 hours	--	--	--
Photochemical Oxidants	140	0.07	1 hour	--	--	--
As Ozone	60	0.03	8 hours	--	--	--
Carbon Monoxide	35 mg/NCM	30	1 hour	--	--	--
	10 mg/NCM	9	8 hours	--	--	--

### Test Methods

The standard and acceptable test methods were used by the DENR-recognized laboratory (Industramach Inc.) as follows:

The DENR standard ambient sampling equipment and analytical procedures were used in the sampling activity. These equipment and procedures are specified below:

#### Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)

Procedure: USEPA, 40 CFR 50, Appendix B

Sampling Equipment: Graseby PM 10 High Volume Sampler

Method of Analysis: Gravimetric Method

#### Particulate Matter 10 Microns (PM 10)

Procedure: USEPA, 40 CFR 50, Appendix M

Sampling Equipment: Graseby PM 10 High Volume Sampler

Method of Analysis: Gravimetric Method

#### Particulate Matter 2.5 Microns (PM 2.5)

Procedure: USEPA, 40 CFR 50, Appendix N



Sampling Equipment: Graseby PM 10 High Volume Sampler  
Method of Analysis: Gravimetric Method

The TSP and PM10 filters were placed inside a sealed brown envelope lined with clean paper. PM2.5 filters were placed in a traveling filter container. The samples were transported to the laboratory for analysis.

### **Noise Level Measurement**

A precision type, digital sound level meter was used in noise measurement. The said instrument is an EXTECH sound level meter Model 407780A (Extech). As declared in the certificate of conformity, with the accuracy of 1.5 dB, the sound level meter fulfills the guidelines of 89/336/ECC. The sound level meter conforms also with DIN EN 60651, (IEC 651), Class 2 standards.

The sound level meter was calibrated by Switchtek Measurement Systems using a Lutron sound level calibrator model SC-940. By comparative technique, Standard Sound Generator was introduced to the Extech at a constant value of 114.0 dB and at a uniform frequency of 1000 Hz. Data were gathered and tabulated.

The 4-time period noise level measurements were conducted at least five (5) minutes every sampling periods (morning, daytime, evening and nighttime). Statistical noise values, minimum, maximum, mean (average) and median, were determined from each set of noise meter readings. Median noise values were compared with the applicable DENR Noise Limits

### **Sampling Stations**


The air pollution sources as well as the receptors are located at sea. These sources are also mobile being from the operation of air pollution devices in moving sea crafts.

It is noted that the Clean Air Act guidelines prescribe standards at land, i.e. at the population centers.

Moreover, the Construction Phase (i.e. during the dredging and reclamation phase) does not involve significant air and noise generation. The Operations Phase instead is the more relevant phase of the project cycle with respect to the Air and Noise Module.

The sampling stations are arbitrarily chosen along the coastal roads along Manila Bay, i.e. onshore and shown in Figure 2.3-4.





Source: 2018 Google Earth

Figure 2.3-4. Map of the Ambient Air Sampling Station (24-HR)





**Table 2.3-6. Results of the Ambient Air Quality Measurements (24-HR)**

Stn	LOCATION	TSP μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>	PM10 μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>	PM2.5 μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>
1	Barangay Molina, San Roque, Cavite City	69.7	15.4	15.5
2	Manila-Cavite Road, Noveleta	35.1	16.9	15.9
3	Barangay San Rafael IV, San Juan, Noveleta, Cavite	95.8	40.7	28.9
4	Barangay Bagbag II, Roasario, Cavite	146.5	53.8	20.6
5	Barangay Muzon II, Rosario	154.3	81.8	29.3
6	Barangay Kaingen, Kawit, Cavite	115.5	42.6	16.4
7	Barangay Pulvorista, Binakayan, Kawit, Cavite	123.4	38.7	17.2
8	Barangay Congbalay-Legaspi, Binakayan, Kawit, Cavite	123.2	38.3	13.0
9	Barangay Talaba II, Bacoor, Cavite	228.8	79.8	30.3
<b>DENR NAAQSSAP</b>		<b>230</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>50</b>

**Table 2.3-7. Results of the Noise Level Measurements (24-HR)**

Stn.	Period	Date	Time	Max. (dBA)	MIN (dBA)	Ave. (dBA)	MEDIAN (dBA)	DENR (dBA)*	
								Class A	Class B
1	Morning	2.18.2019	05:23-05:28	56.1	51.4	53.1	53.1	-	60
	Daytime	2.17.2019	13:48-13:53	57.9	54.1	55.9	56.0	-	65
	Evening	2.17.2019	20:00-20:05	72.6	56.2	62.4	61.3	-	60
	Nighttime	2.18.2019	02:12-02:16	65.3	56.5	58.2	58.0	-	55
2	Morning	2.19.2019	06:61-06:56	80.4	59.0	68.2	67.4	50	60
	Daytime	2.18.2019	16:15-16:19	76.8	62.4	68.3	67.3	55	65
	Evening	2.18.2019	20:41-20:46	80.6	57.6	64.8	64.3	50	60
	Nighttime	2.19.2019	02:49-02:54	80.1	58.2	65.5	65.2	45	55
3	Morning	2.20.2019	06:46-06:51	82.2	64.9	71.9	72.5	-	60
	Daytime	2.19.2019	16:23-16:28	85.6	57.5	70.7	70.0	-	65
	Evening	2.19.2019	20:44-20:49	77.2	57.1	69.1	71.8	-	60
	Nighttime	2.20.2019	03:28-03:32	77.9	53.3	60.0	57.0	-	55
4	Morning	2.25.2019	05:17-05:22	79.4	58.3	67.6	67.2	50	60
	Daytime	2.24.2019	10:19-10:24	79.9	61.8	70.1	69.7	55	65
	Evening	2.24.2019	19:01-19:06	84.5	63.4	74.2	73.9	50	60
	Nighttime	2.24.2019	23:45-23:50	80.2	58.6	60.8	59.4	45	55
5	Morning	2.21.2019	04:33-04:37	60.7	50.8	54.1	53.8	50	-
	Daytime	2.20.2019	17:42-17:47	83.1	54.2	60.8	58.6	55	-
	Evening	2.20.2019	19:46-19:51	66.0	51.1	54.9	54.0	50	-
	Nighttime	2.21.2019	04:19-04:24	76.0	51.8	64.5	65.8	45	-
6	Morning	02.26.2019	06:33-06:38	69.2	48.5	55.4	52.4	50	-
	Daytime	02.25.2019	12:48-12:53	67.2	47.1	52.8	50.7	55	-
	Evening	02.25.2019	19:16-19:21	57.9	49.0	50.8	50.0	50	-
	Nighttime	02.26.2019	01:03-01:07	62.5	42.9	48.7	48.2	45	-
7	Morning	02.27.2019	06:53-06:58	79.4	58.3	67.7	67.6	45	60
	Daytime	02.27.2019	10:02-10:06	81.5	64.7	70.8	71.0	50	65
	Evening	02.26.2019	20:10-20:10	81.5	59.3	69.1	67.7	45	60
	Nighttime	02.27.2019	4:30-04:354	78.6	57.5	65.8	64.0	40	55
8	Morning	02.28.2019	06:32-06:37	71.5	56.0	59.9	59.2	50	-
	Daytime	02.27.2019	14:25-14:30	73.6	56.3	64.0	62.2	55	-
	Evening	02.27.2019	19:06-19:11	71.0	53.2	58.3	57.6	50	-
	Nighttime	02.28.2019	03:18-03:23	70.3	53.9	57.1	56.5	45	-
9	Morning	03.01.2019	06:04-06:09	81.8	70.9	76.1	75.8	50	60
	Daytime	02.28.2019	15:12-15:17	83.5	68.8	76.3	76.5	55	65






**CHAPTER TWO  
THE AIR**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Stn.	Period	Date	Time	Max. (dBA)	MIN (dBA)	Ave. (dBA)	MEDIAN (dBA)	DENR (dBA)*	
								Class A	Class B
	Evening	02.28.2019	21:35-21:40	84.7	67.4	73.8	73.6	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>
	Nighttime	03.01.2019	00:40-00:45	75.7	61.4	65.3	64.1	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>



Source: 2018 Google Earth

Figure 2.3-5. Map of the Ambient Air Sampling Station (1HR)





**Table 2.3-8. Results of the Ambient Air Quality Measurements (1-HR)**

Stn	LOCATION	TSP μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>	PM10 μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>	SO2 μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>	NO2 μG/Nm <sup>3</sup>
1	Market Parking Lot Cavite City	60	49	16.55	6.94
2	Samonte Park Cavite City	99	47	8.63	2.71
3	Front Mary Magdalene Parish Church Kawit	96	122	4.48	2.23
4	Vacant LotBrgy. Bagbag 1 Rosario Cavite	363	255	39.42	18.81
5	Manila-Noveleta Road San Rafael Kuatro	66	55	11.66	4.00
6	Manila- Noveleta Raod Cavite San Rafael Tres	199	113	7.42	1.80
7	Legazpi St., Binakayan, Kawit Cavite	74	109	10.50	5.30
8	Brgy. Mabolo/ Tirona Highway Bacoar Cavite	335	727	8.51	4.92
9	Brgy. Dulong Bayan/Tirona Highway Bacoar Cavite	104	184	9.00	3.56
<b>DENR NAAQSSAP</b>		<b>300</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>260</b>

**Table 2.3-9. Results of the Noise Level Measurements**

Station No.	Date & Time of Sampling	MIN (dBA)	MAX (dBA)	MEAN (dBA)	MEDIAN (dBA)	DENR (dBA) Class B
1	Dec. 12, 2018 1409H-1414H	49.7	56.4	52.3	52.2	55
2	Dec. 12, 2018 1555H-1600H	58.6	74.5	65.4	65.6	50
3	Dec. 13, 2018 0832H-0837H	49.6	69.8	55.8	54.5	50
4	Dec. 13, 2018 1050H-1055H	58.5	84.5	69.8	69.7	55
5	Dec. 13, 2018 1250H-1255H	58.4	80.7	71.0	71.6	55
6	Dec. 13, 2018 1455H-1500H	56.4	79.4	69.2	71.0	55

#### Discussion of Results:

The above results may be deemed as adequate for an EIS Report because the actual activities related to the project will not be undertaken until after a much later date after the securing of the ECC. Additional baseline tests would likely be required by the Multipartite Monitoring Team (MMT) which will be organized after the securing of the ECC.

The sampling in Stations 1 to 3 were conducted on a sunny weather condition with light to moderate southeasterly wind; while cloudy weather condition with light northerly wind were prevailing for Stations 4 to 9

The results showed that the TSP, PM10, SO2 and NO2 concentrations in all sampling stations, except for Stations 4 and 8, were within the applicable AAQGV as prescribed in the Philippine Clean Air Act and the DENR Standards. The exceedances in TSP and PM10 were attributable to road dusts dispersed by passing vehicles.

For the noise measurements, the standards are based on the 1978 Rules and Regulations of the then National Pollution Control Commission (NPCC) shown in the table below. Different limits are set the various times of the day and area categories.



**Table 2.3-10. Noise Level Standards (NPCC Guidelines of 1978)**

Category of the Area	Daytime (dBA)	Morning & Evening (dBA)	Nighttime (dBA)
AA	50	45	40
A	55	50	45
B	65	60	55
C	70	65	60
D	75	70	65

**Category of Areas**

- AA Areas within 100 m from school sites and hospitals
- A Residential Areas
- B Commercial Areas
- C Light Industrial Area
- D Heavy Industrial Area

**Air Dispersion Modelling deemed not applicable because:**

- The sources of air discharges are moving (i.e. at sea)
- Only short time duration of works
- Traffic which attributes to area air dispersion is not a concern during this phase (but is during the operations phase)

**2.3.2.2 Increase in Ambient Noise Levels**

Ambient Noise is deemed not relevant to the Project for the following reasons:

The receptors are on land are distant from the project site, which is at sea

The major noise generators e.g. dredgers, pumps on vessels, boilers on vessel, etc. are based on sea crafts which are mobile.

These noise generators are intermittent in operation.

There are no guidelines nor standards for noise levels at sea; these being reckoned for noise levels at land where there are population centers.

Nevertheless, noise level measurements were conducted and reported in Tables 2.3-7 and 2.3-9 above.





## **Chapter 2.4 PEOPLE**

### **Methodology and Limitations**

The people module is one of the vital components of the EIA system because it highlights the impacts of the proposed project to the primary and secondary impact or affected communities. More importantly “People” factor could be a key to the success of a project.

Baselines presented in this module are demographic data that serve as reference data to the proposed project. Most of the data are derived from the: Philippine Statistics Authority 2015 Census of Population; existing Comprehensive Land and Coastal Use Plan of Kawit (2010-2022); 2016 Ecological Profile of Cavite City; and Comprehensive Land Use Plan of Cavite City (2012-2022).

On the other hand, secondary information was also gathered from existing websites of relevant government agencies such as the National Statistics Coordination Board (NSCB) and Philippine Statistics Authority 2015 Census of Population. The said data gathering was undertaken to gather baselines, assess the potential impacts and provide appropriate measures.

The preliminary household perception survey was conducted on June 13-18, 2018 to assess the socio-cultural economic situation of the communities that are to be affected by the proposed reclamation. See **Annex 2.4-A**.

### **BACKGROUND:**

The proposed project site is located along the coastal waters of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit, within the jurisdiction of Cavite Province.

As the proposed project spans 2 towns, 26 barangays fronting the site and hosting onshore establishments are considered DIAs for socio-economic aspects and perhaps for environmental/risks aspects as well, principally regarding flooding and storm surges. Thus, baselines of the affected areas are presented to evaluate the projected impacts of the proposed project and provide necessary mitigation.

### **Land Area**

#### **Cavite City**

Based on the Development and Land Use Plan, the total land area of Cavite City is 1,238.63 hectares that is about around 0.87% of the total land area of the Province of Cavite. The City Proper is 399.31 hectares which is 32%. Sangley Point occupies 299.95 hectares or 19% and Corregidor has 609.37 hectares or 49 %.

Among the 84 barangays, Barangay 57 has the largest land area with a total of 20.63 hectares representing about 1.67% of the total land area City. The second largest barangay is Barangay 3 with a total land area of 18.33 hectares that represents about 1.47% of the total land area of the City. The smallest barangay is barangay 10-A with a total land area of 0.17 hectares and representing about 0.01% of the total land area of the City.



**Table 2.4-1. Cavite City Land Area and Population Density By Barangay; 2015**

Brgy No.	Total Pop	Area (ha)	Density	Brgy No.	Total Pop	Area (ha)	Density	Brgy No.	Total Pop	Area (ha)	Density
1	640	2.53	252.96	28	1694	6.03	280.93	57	1150	20.63	55.74
2	451	4.11	109.73	30	2024	2.57	787.55	58	1592	1.73	920.23
3	609	18.33	33.22	31	609	3.06	199.02	59	794	2.85	278.60
4	211	0.57	370.18	32	475	3.56	133.43	60	1442	1.58	912.66
5	1555	3.36	462.80	33	772	2.93	263.48	61	691	2.36	292.80
6	2113	7.14	295.94	34	627	2.93	213.99	62	1652	2.54	650.39
7	1613	2.64	610.98	35	835	2.57	324.90	10-A	1721	0.17	10123.53
8	4791	6.78	706.64	36	1855	2.22	835.59	10-B	2412	2.26	1067.26
9	427	2.58	165.50	37	1435	2.26	634.96	22-A	1437	0.93	1545.16
10	1367	8.84	154.64	38	907	3.4	266.76	29-A	660	1.24	532.26
11	1425	4.27	333.72	39	737	3.03	243.23	36-A	922	1.37	672.99
12	416	6.1	68.20	40	477	8.63	55.27	37-A	701	1.63	430.06
13	1739	2.14	812.62	41	1461	5.5	265.64	38-A	659	3.37	195.55
14	1089	2.35	463.40	42	2123	3.81	557.22	42-A	1324	1.58	837.97
15	426	3.25	131.08	43	1512	5.85	258.46	42-B	997	2.06	483.98
16	733	7.21	101.66	44	1613	4.44	363.29	42-C	2087	0.3	6956.67
17	437	3.07	142.35	45	1032	2.55	404.71	45-A	1129	5	225.80
18	433	5.13	84.41	46	1067	4.01	266.08	47-A	840	3.69	227.64
19	698	5.54	125.99	47	971	2.3	422.17	47-B	1244	2.74	454.01
20	583	4.84	120.45	48	1660	2.54	653.54	48-A	3146	6.65	473.08
21	539	3.58	150.56	49	1657	0.99	1673.74	49-A	769	2.71	283.76
22	1753	1.3	1348.46	50	1082	4.88	221.72	53-A	760	13.7	55.47
23	702	4.52	155.31	51	893	3.69	242.01	53-B	2262	2.54	890.55
24	2053	1.08	1900.93	52	1095	3.75	292.00	54-A	1053	2.46	428.05
25	372	1.62	229.63	53	1833	5.49	333.88	58-A	1308	2.54	514.96
26	1361	0.9	1512.22	54	1612	4.3	374.88	61-A	2086	7.9	264.05
27	522	3.25	160.62	55	948	3.61	262.60	62-A	3057	13.1	233.36
28	1694	6.03	280.93	56	1300	4.7	276.60	62-B	588	6.4	91.88
<b>TOTAL</b>									<b>102,806</b>	<b>344.69</b>	<b>300.39</b>

Source: Philippine Statistics Office, Population Census 2015



Based on the available data, the most dominant land use of the City is for residential purposes, which comprise 263.09 hectares or about 21.24% of the total land area. The city uses the other part of the land for commercial, industrial, institutional and agricultural which has the lowest land area of 5.45 hectares or about 0.44% of the total land area.

### **Municipality of Kawit**

Based on the Land Use Development Plan of the Municipality of Kawit, the total land area of Kawit is 1,738.24 hectares or roughly around 1.22% of the total land area of the Province of Cavite. The municipality is subdivided into 23 barangays.


Among the 23 barangays, barangay Toclong has the largest land area (298.24 ha), which is about 17.14% of the Municipality's total area. Second is barangay Sta. Isabel with a total land area of 231.06 hectares. The barangay with the smallest area is Brgy. Wakas I (12.71ha or 0.73%)..

**Table 2.4-2. Kawit Land Area and Population Density By Barangay; 2011**

<b>Bgy. No.</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Density</b>
Binakayan-Tramo- Bantayan	4504	21.45	209.98
Binakayan-Manggahan-Lawin	4704	18	261.33
Binakayan- Samala-Marquez	5104	21.75	234.67
Binakayan- Congbalay- Legaspi	4056	22.24	182.37
Binakayan-Aplaya	3739	20.9	178.90
Wakas I	2134	12.71	167.90
Binakayan- Balsahan-Bisita	1953	16.09	121.38
Tabon I	5412	41.35	130.88
Gahak	2243	43.11	52.03
Tabon III	2138	35.35	60.48
Panamitan	3397	57.1	59.49
Marulas	3471	66.07	52.54
Tabon II	1467	29.35	49.98
Wakas II	2539	35.53	71.46
BatongDalig	3835	122.06	31.42
Magdalo	4115	123.43	33.34
Toclong	15134	298.24	50.74
Polborista	2325	132.24	17.58
Kaingen	1563	79.71	19.61
Sta. Isabel	4728	231.06	20.46
Poblacion	931	97.58	9.54
San Sebastian	2029	190.2	10.67
Binakayan- Kanluran-Lola Neneng	1948	22.72	85.74
<b>Municipal Total</b>	<b>83,466</b>	<b>1,738.24</b>	<b>48.02</b>

Source: Comprehensive Land Use Plan of KAWIT for 2010-2022

The most dominant land use of the municipality is agriculture with a total of 890.13ha (51.21%). Second is residential with a total of 539.85 ha (31.06%).



Source: NAMRIA Boundary Base Map, ESRI

Figure 2.4-1. Barangay Map of Cavite City





Source: Comprehensive Land Use Plan of KAWIT for 2010-2022

Figure 2.4-2. Barangay Map of Municipality of Kawit



## Demographic Profile

### Population Size and Historical Growth

According to the 2015 Census of Population and Housing (POPCEN 2015), Cavite City has a total population of 102,806. This is higher by 1,686 persons compared to its total population of 101,120 counted in year 2010. On the other hand, the Municipality of Kawit has a total population of 83,466 and this is higher by 5,257 persons compared to its total population of 78,209 counted in year 2010. **Table 2.4-3** indicates the total population of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit.

**Table 2.4-3. Total Population of Direct Impact Areas; 2015**

Impact Areas	Total Population
<b>Cavite City</b>	<b>102,806</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>	<b>83,466</b>

Source: POPCEN 2015

### Population Growth Rate

#### Cavite City

Based on the Comprehensive Land and Coastal Use Plan of Cavite City (2010-2022), the population growth rate of the city started to decline during the early 70's with an annual growth rate of 3.27 in 1970 it dropped down to 1.71 in 1975. The sudden out-migration trend took place as a result of the pull out of the American base at Sangley Point.

This trend continued until the boom of Cavite Export Processing Zone Administration (CEPZA) at Rosario, Cavite and other industrial sites in neighboring municipalities where most city residents find job and eventually moved out of the City had aggravated the dropped down of the population growth rate to 0.44 in 1990.

The downtrend of the annual growth rate had also aggravated to 0.22 in 1995. This is due to the opening of more employment and business opportunities in neighboring cities and municipalities, insufficient shoreline protection as well as the occurrence of natural calamities.

**Table 2.4-4. Historical Population Growth 1903-2015 (Cavite City)**

Year	Population	Increase/Decrease	Growth Rate
1903	16,337		
1918	22,169	5,832	2.06
1939	38,254	16,085	2.63
1948	35,052	-3,202	-0.97
1960	54,891	19,839	3.8
1970	75,739	20,848	3.27
1975	82,456	6,717	1.71
1980	87,666	11,927	1.23
1990	91,641	3,975	0.44
1995	92,641	1,000	0.22
2000	99,367	6,726	1.51
2007	104,581	5,214	0.52
2010	101,120	-3,461	-0.33
2015	102,806	1,686	0.02

Source: POPCEN 2015



## Municipality of Kawit

The Province of Cavite has a total population of 3,678,301 in 2015 while the Municipality of Kawit has 83,466 which accounts for 2.27% participation share of the total provincial population. The percentage participation rate of Kawit to the Province of Cavite has been decreasing from 1990 indicating that other areas in Cavite have been urbanizing more than Kawit such as Bacoor, Imus, Dasmarinas, Silang, General Trias, Trece Martires City, Tanza, Noveleta and Rosario.


**Table 2.4-5. Historical Population Growth (Municipality of Kawit)**

Year	Cavite		Kawit		Percentage Share of Kawit Population to the Province
	Population	Growth Rate	Population	Increase/Decrease	
1990	1,152,534		47,755		4.14%
1995	1,610,324	6.46%	56,993	3.87%	3.54%
2000	2,063,161	5.46%	62,751	2.08%	3.04%
2007	2,856,765	4.59%	76,405	2.75%	2.67%
2010	3,090,691	4.12%	78,209	2.23%	2.53%
2011	3,225,156	4.35%	79,950	2.25%	2.48%
2015	3,678,301	3.34%	83,466	4.21%	2.27%

Source: POPCEN 2015

In 2015 Census, the Municipality of Kawit had a total population of 78,209. Per record, Kawit has the highest growth rate from 1990 to 1995 at 3.87%. The growth rate of the Municipality from 1995 to 2000 dropped tremendously to 2.08 % which was lower than the national growth rate of 2.36 % per annum. It however tagged behind the provincial growth rate, which shot up to a high of 5.46 %. The succeeding 7 years, from 2000 to 2007 saw a sudden increase growth rate for the Municipality at 2.81% but still falling behind the provincial growth of 4.59%. During the period the growth rate of the Province of Cavite was higher than the national growth rate at 2.04%.

**Chart 2.4-1 Historical Population Growth Chart**



Source: POPCEN 2015



## Population Density

### Cavite City

The Gross density of the **Cavite City** is 83 persons per hectare on the basis of 1,238.63 hectares of usable area including Corregidor and its adjacent island over the total population of 102,806 as of 2015 census year. However, its Gross Urban Density, based on the total urban population/built-up area over total built-up/urban area is 300.39 persons per hectare (30,039 persons per square kilometer). As such, the city of Cavite is categorized as a medium density city. See **Table 2.4-1**.

### Municipality of Kawit

The population density of Kawit increased by 46 persons/hectare to 48.02 persons/hectare in Year 2015. **Table 2.4-2** shows that the highest densities are found in Binakayan-Manggahan-Lawin – 261 followed by Biinakayan-Samala-Marquez-234.67, all these brgys. are situated in Binakayan Area. The least dense are Brgy. San Sebastian and Poblacion.

## Education

### Cavite City

According to the POPCEN 2015 data, the Highest Educational Attainment of age 35 and above is High School with 20,712 which is 29.24% of the total number of Respondents, under highschool level 16,931 respondents graduated that is 81.74% of the highschool level respondents. Majority of the High school level graduates are male, which has a total of 10,471 (50.55%), and 8,582 are graduates, while the remaining 1,889 are undergraduates.

**Table 2.4-6. Highest Educational Attainment in Cavite City, 2015**

Highest Grade/Year Completed, Sex,	Age						
	17	18	19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35 and above
<b>Both sexes</b>	<b>2036</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>1906</b>	<b>9269</b>	<b>8540</b>	<b>7765</b>	<b>39270</b>
No Grade Completed	14	13	10	36	22	15	255
Preschool	3	2	-	2	1	-	7
Special Education	6	4	1	5	8	6	10
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>739</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>6978</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> grade	52	48	29	141	136	130	1410
5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> grade	42	50	43	219	169	159	1701
Graduate	104	103	79	349	364	344	3867
<b>High school</b>	<b>1132</b>	<b>1042</b>	<b>953</b>	<b>4877</b>	<b>4693</b>	<b>4261</b>	<b>20712</b>
Undergraduate	400	288	267	1129	943	798	3781
Graduate	732	754	686	3748	3750	3463	16931
<b>Postsecondary</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>849</b>
Undergraduate	7	2	6	23	16	20	91
Graduate	-	2	25	145	161	157	758
Collage Undergraduate	676	794	672	1593	1108	981	4207
Baccalaureate/ College Graduate	-	-	88	1848	1858	1689	6192
Post Baccalaureate	-	-	-	1	4	3	60
Not Stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Male</b>	<b>1045</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>965</b>	<b>4685</b>	<b>4290</b>	<b>3842</b>	<b>18820</b>
No Grade Completed	9	7	5	24	13	9	99





Highest Grade/Year Completed, Sex,	Age						
	17	18	19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35 and above
Preschool	3	2	-	2	1	-	3
Special Education	2	3	1	-	6	3	7
<b>Elementary</b>	127	127	93	505	458	394	2969
1 <sup>st</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> grade	35	36	19	103	97	80	649
5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> grade	23	30	27	147	126	102	721
Graduate	69	61	47	255	235	212	199
<b>High school</b>	583	526	479	2487	2289	2083	10471
Undergraduate	260	163	141	572	496	424	1889
Graduate	353	363	338	1915	1793	1659	8582
<b>Postsecondary</b>	3	3	16	81	90	78	246
Undergraduate	3	2	5	11	10	11	36
Graduate	-	1	11	70	80	67	210
Collage Undergraduate	318	364	332	742	517	515	2140
Baccalaureate/ College Graduate	-	-	39	844	915	759	2860
Post Baccalaureate	-	-	-	-	1	1	25
Not Stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Female</b>	991	1028	941	4584	45250	3923	20450
No Grade Completed	5	6	5	12	9	6	156
Preschool	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Special Education	4	1	-	5	2	3	3
<b>Elementary</b>	71	74	58	234	211	239	4009
1 <sup>st</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> grade	17	12	10	38	39	50	761
5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> grade	19	20	16	72	43	57	980
Graduate	35	42	32	124	129	132	2268
<b>High school</b>	549	516	474	2390	2404	2178	10241
Undergraduate	170	125	126	557	447	374	1892
Graduate	379	391	348	1833	1957	1804	8349
<b>Postsecondary</b>	4	1	15	87	87	99	603
Undergraduate	4	-	1	12	6	9	55
Graduate	-	1	14	75	81	90	548
Collage Undergraduate	358	430	340	851	591	466	2067
Baccalaureate/ College Graduate	-	-	49	1004	943	930	3332
Post Baccalaureate	-	-	-	1	9	2	35
Not Stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: POPCEN 2015

### Municipality of Kawit

The data below shows that the highest educational attainment of Kawit is with the age of 35 and above is High School with 14,344 which is 25.28% of the total number of Respondents, under highschool level 11,826 respondents graduated that is 83.14% of the highschool level respondents. Majority of the Highschool level graduates are Male which has a total of 7,355 that is 51.28% of the total respondents and 6,118 of it is graduate while the remaining 1,237 of it is undergraduate.



**Table 2.4-7. Highest Educational Attainment in Kawit, 2015**

Highest Grade/Year Completed, Sex,	Age						
	17	18	19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35 and above
<b>Both sexes</b>	<b>1542</b>	<b>1687</b>	<b>1517</b>	<b>7842</b>	<b>7310</b>	<b>6789</b>	<b>30050</b>
No Grade Completed	8	6	5	25	26	22	187
Preschool	-	-	3	2	1	-	4
Special Education	4	6	3	11	4	6	7
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>6781</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> grade	49	63	38	215	190	204	1995
5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> grade	45	39	27	145	149	156	1322
Graduate	68	58	65	352	334	312	3464
<b>High school</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>885</b>	<b>761</b>	<b>4110</b>	<b>3926</b>	<b>3561</b>	<b>14344</b>
Undergraduate	326	235	216	820	638	597	2518
Graduate	571	650	545	3290	3288	2964	11826
<b>Postsecondary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>1048</b>
Undergraduate	1	2	2	9	8	10	19
Graduate	-	-	48	33	328	265	1029
Collage Undergraduate	470	628	497	1249	815	761	2847
Baccalaureate/ College Graduate	-	-	68	1389	1527	1484	4787
Post Baccalaureate	-	-	-	-	2	8	45
Not Stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Male</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>774</b>	<b>3974</b>	<b>3662</b>	<b>3385</b>	<b>14521</b>
No Grade Completed	3	4	2	13	12	13	71
Preschool	-	-	2	-	-	-	2
Special Education	4	2	1	4	1	2	4
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>3023</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> grade	38	49	26	161	128	150	972
5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> grade	32	30	19	105	90	103	607
Graduate	39	34	40	232	207	178	1444
<b>High school</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>2100</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>1758</b>	<b>7355</b>
Undergraduate	173	131	122	461	363	311	1237
Graduate	281	318	274	1639	1639	1447	6118
<b>Postsecondary</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>335</b>
Undergraduate	-	-	-	2	5	6	9
Graduate	-	-	23	132	147	114	326
Collage Undergraduate	242	299	234	639	376	391	1526
Baccalaureate/ College Graduate	-	-	31	586	693	668	2781
Post Baccalaureate	-	-	-	-	1	2	24
Not Stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Female</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>820</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>3868</b>	<b>3648</b>	<b>3404</b>	<b>15529</b>
No Grade Completed	5	2	3	12	14	9	116
Preschool	-	-	1	2	1	-	2
Special Education	-	4	2	7	3	4	3
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>3758</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> grade	11	14	12	54	62	54	1023
5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> grade	13	9	8	40	59	53	715
Graduate	29	24	25	150	127	134	2020



Highest Grade/Year Completed, Sex,	Age						
	17	18	19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35 and above
<b>High school</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>1924</b>	<b>1803</b>	<b>6989</b>
Undergraduate	153	104	94	359	275	286	1281
Graduate	290	332	271	1651	1649	1517	5708
<b>Postsecondary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>713</b>
Undergraduate	1	2	2	7	3	4	10
Graduate	-	-	25	203	181	151	703
Collage Undergraduate	2288	329	263	610	439	370	1321
Baccalaureate/ College Graduate	-	-	37	803	834	816	2606
Post Baccalaureate	-	-	-	-	1	6	21
Not Stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: POPCEN 2015

### Projected School-Going Age Population

The school-going age population in all levels is expected to increase up to the end of the planning period.

For elementary level, the total projected enrollees is expected to rise to 12,440 by SY 2021-2022. This is composed of school children who fall under age bracket 5-9 years for primary and 10-14 for intermediate.

For secondary level, school-going age population comprising of children with age ranging from 12-15 is projected to reach by SY 2021-2022 to 9,603.

For tertiary level, a total of 9,357 school-going age population is anticipated to enroll. The tertiary school age is 17-21 years. But unfortunately, the municipality has no tertiary school to offer to incoming college students.

### Projected Enrollment

Enrollment in elementary public schools is foreseen to expand by 2.5% annually. It is expected to reach a number of 10,277 enrollees in SY 2021-2022 or 82.6% of the projected school-going age population.

For secondary level, enrolment is expected to reach 7,049. This is 73.4% of the projected school-going age population of 9,603. The Annual growth rate for the school going population is 2.22%.

### Literacy

In Cavite City, about 97.37% of the household population in the city, with children 10 years old and over are literate. The literacy rates for the males and the females were at 97.30% and 97.48% respectively. The female literacy rate was relatively higher than the males. The presence of various educational institutions and the implementation of Literacy Programs, as well as the City's proximity to Metro Manila have greatly influenced the high level of literacy.

Based on the 2018 Cavite Ecological Profile, the Municipality of Kawit has achieved 99.54% literacy rate.

### Socio Cultural Profile

#### Employment

Based on the DILG Region IV-A, the data published on 08 May 2014 stated that the major income sources in the municipality of Kawit are commercial and services. In the City of Cavite, the major sources of income is fishing.



On July 2018, the PSA provided the Current Labor Statistics quarterly publication, which shows regional data on employment. The table below shows Region IV-A where the Municipality of Kawit and the City of Cavite are located. It also shows that the number of unemployed gets lower in Region IV-A in the year 2018.

**Table 2.4-8. Household Population 15 Years Old and Over and Employment Status by Region, Philippines: 2016 – April 2018**

INDICATOR	2016					2017					2018	
	Ave	Jan	Apr	Jul	Oct	Ave	Jan	Apr	Jul	Oct	Jan	Apr *
<b>REGION II - CAGAYAN VALLEY</b>												
Household Population 15 Years Old and Over	2,327	2,315	2,308	2,338	2,352	2,366	2,362	2,364	2,378	2,361	2,389	2,402
Labor Force	1,528	1,545	1,468	1,541	1,562	1,489	1,505	1,479	1,468	1,545	1,580	1,556
Employed	1,482	1,502	1,424	1,487	1,515	1,452	1,430	1,432	1,434	1,513	1,495	1,520
Underemployed	190	246	150	138	224	197	229	230	235	214	370	317
Unemployed	134	130	116	94	154	143	176	155	49	172	271	205
Unemployment Rate (%)	47	44	44	54	47	47	75	47	34	32	66	36
Labor Force Participation Rate (%)	65.7	66.8	63.7	66.0	66.4	63.4	63.7	62.6	61.7	65.4	65.3	64.8
Employment Rate (%)	96.9	97.2	97.0	96.5	97.0	96.8	95.0	96.8	97.7	97.9	96.8	97.7
Underemployment Rate (%)	12.8	16.4	10.5	9.3	14.8	13.4	16.0	16.0	8.0	14.3	24.8	20.8
Unemployment Rate (%)	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.2	5.0	3.2	2.3	2.1	4.2	2.3
<b>REGION III - CENTRAL LUZON</b>												
Household Population 15 Years Old and Over	7,555	7,512	7,513	7,546	7,647	7,752	7,648	7,719	7,794	7,848	7,853	7,810
Labor Force	4,693	4,724	4,638	4,674	4,738	4,548	4,428	4,433	4,719	4,614	4,769	4,704
Employed	4,383	4,377	4,310	4,378	4,468	4,247	4,130	4,135	4,385	4,338	4,511	4,451
Underemployed	706	655	675	618	873	485	653	401	451	433	517	612
Unemployed	328	294	335	306	377	238	294	210	221	228	252	244
Unemployment Rate (%)	310	347	326	296	270	102	298	298	335	276	257	293
Labor Force Participation Rate (%)	62.1	62.9	61.7	61.9	62.0	58.7	57.9	57.4	60.5	56.8	60.7	60.3
Employment Rate (%)	93.4	92.7	93.0	93.7	94.3	93.4	93.3	93.3	93.9	94.0	94.6	94.6
Underemployment Rate (%)	15.1	15.0	15.7	14.1	19.5	11.5	15.8	9.7	10.3	10.0	11.5	13.8
Unemployment Rate (%)	6.6	7.3	7.0	6.3	5.7	6.8	6.7	6.7	7.1	6.0	5.4	5.4
<b>REGION IV-A - CALABARZON</b>												
Household Population 15 Years Old and Over	9,539	9,479	9,537	9,630	9,618	9,787	9,733	9,731	9,840	9,855	9,973	10,062
Labor Force	6,125	6,162	6,168	6,182	6,190	6,235	6,190	6,188	6,143	6,457	6,376	6,389
Employed	5,687	5,711	5,703	5,721	5,814	5,800	5,645	5,747	5,718	6,092	5,852	5,838
Underemployed	887	1,161	893	594	952	810	836	663	824	917	764	743
Unemployed	430	523	437	325	434	412	432	420	362	435	418	363
Unemployment Rate (%)	438	452	405	460	375	435	505	441	427	365	423	412
Labor Force Participation Rate (%)	64.2	65.0	64.7	62.8	64.4	63.7	63.2	63.7	62.4	65.5	62.9	62.1
Employment Rate (%)	92.8	92.7	92.5	92.3	93.9	93.0	91.8	92.9	93.0	94.3	93.3	93.4
Underemployment Rate (%)	13.6	20.3	15.7	10.8	15.5	14.0	14.8	11.5	14.4	15.1	13.1	12.7
Unemployment Rate (%)	7.2	7.3	7.0	7.7	6.1	7.0	8.2	7.1	7.0	5.7	6.7	6.6

Notes: 1. Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.  
2. Rates were computed based on actual figures.  
3. Starting April 2018 month, the Labor Force Survey (LFS) adopted the 2015 World Bank design as well as the population projections based on the 2015 Census of Population and Housing (COPH) with previous survey reports were derived using the 2010 CPH population projections.  
4. January 2018 data collected were based on the 2015 CPH population projections to complete for the unemployment data for 2018.  
5. Preliminary.  
6. Number of actively underemployed persons includes the number of underemployed persons who were with jobs but did not work during the reference period.

Furthermore, the minimum wage earners in private establishments in CALABARZON received a basic wage increase of P9.50 to P10.00 upon effectivity of Wage Order No. RBIVA-17 starting July 1, 2018. This brings the new minimum wage to a range of P317 to P400 in non-agriculture; P303 to P370 in agriculture sector; and P303 in retail/service establishments regularly employing not more than 10 workers.





## Cavite City

The Data Gathered from the Census of the Philippine Statistics Office shows that the Majority of the Occupation group with the age of 15 years old (Highest number of respondents) is falling under the Service and Sales Workers with a total 7,881, followed by Elementary Occupations with a total number of 6,876.

**Table 2.4-9. Cavite City Major Occupation Group, 2015**

Sex, Major Occupation Group, and City/Municipality	Total Gainful Workers 15 Years Old and Over	Age Group										
		15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65 and over
<b>Both Sexes</b>	<b>45,032</b>	<b>1,895</b>	<b>5,848</b>	<b>6,214</b>	<b>5,799</b>	<b>5,538</b>	<b>4,622</b>	<b>4,519</b>	<b>3,839</b>	<b>2,990</b>	<b>1,814</b>	<b>1,954</b>
Managers	4,000	22	176	264	406	455	469	494	514	434	297	469
Professionals	2,734	18	434	514	398	320	280	279	199	160	63	69
Technicians and Associate Professionals	5,403	362	1,209	1,060	867	650	404	289	256	148	89	69
Clerical Support Workers	3,565	76	739	809	595	432	308	205	154	119	75	53
Service and Sales Workers	7,881	462	1,199	1,154	915	856	694	732	648	522	316	383
Skilled Agricultural Forestry and Fishery Workers	2,235	98	187	204	211	256	259	262	257	220	139	142
Craft and Related Trades Workers	5,084	131	460	535	572	648	596	635	543	447	277	240
Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers	5,805	141	515	676	748	795	695	708	584	433	265	245
Elementary Occupations	6,876	531	827	820	853	831	711	728	561	462	280	272
Armed Forces Occupations	1,387	4	95	178	232	295	204	186	123	45	13	12
Other Occupation Not Elsewhere Classified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Reported	62	50	7	-	2	-	2	1	-	-	-	-
<b>Male</b>	<b>27,697</b>	<b>1,092</b>	<b>3,368</b>	<b>3,785</b>	<b>3,613</b>	<b>3,528</b>	<b>2,941</b>	<b>2,857</b>	<b>2,449</b>	<b>1,864</b>	<b>1,120</b>	<b>1,080</b>
Managers	1,602	10	76	115	170	183	174	176	224	179	109	186
Professionals	1,138	10	172	235	175	132	116	105	75	57	28	33
Technicians and Associate Professionals	2,912	172	647	561	471	351	232	168	140	83	52	35
Clerical Support Workers	1,532	34	294	377	260	195	139	87	63	41	25	17
Service and Sales Workers	3,652	209	604	624	478	409	293	302	259	213	138	123
Skilled Agricultural Forestry and Fishery Workers	2,193	96	183	198	206	252	255	258	252	220	138	135
Craft and Related Trades Workers	4,033	84	330	391	434	512	495	526	457	386	228	190
Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers	5,267	94	385	571	678	739	649	673	565	423	256	234
Elementary Occupations	4,146	355	604	578	547	504	400	383	303	220	137	115
Armed Forces Occupations	1,189	1	70	135	193	251	187	178	111	42	9	12
Other Occupation Not Elsewhere Classified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Reported	33	27	3	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Sex, Major Occupation Group, and City/Municipality	Total Gainful Workers 15 Years Old and Over	Age Group										
		15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65 and over
Female	17,335	803	2,480	2,429	2,186	2,010	1,681	1,662	1,390	1,126	694	874
Managers	2,398	12	100	149	236	272	295	318	290	255	188	283
Professionals	1,596	8	262	279	223	188	164	174	124	103	35	36
Technicians and Associate Professionals	2,491	190	562	499	396	299	172	121	116	65	37	34
Clerical Support Workers	2,033	42	445	432	335	237	169	118	91	78	50	36
Service and Sales Workers	4,229	253	595	530	437	447	401	430	389	309	178	260
Skilled Agricultural Forestry and Fishery Workers	42	2	4	6	5	4	4	4	5	-	1	7
Craft and Related Trades Workers	1,051	47	130	144	138	136	101	109	86	61	49	50
Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers	538	47	130	105	70	56	46	35	19	10	9	11
Elementary Occupations	2,730	176	223	242	306	327	311	345	258	242	143	157
Armed Forces Occupations	198	3	25	43	39	44	17	8	12	3	4	-
Other Occupation Not Elsewhere Classified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Reported	29	23	4	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-

Source: POPCEN 2015

### Municipality of Kawit

The Data Gathered from the Census of the Philippine Statistics Office shows that the Majority of the Occupation group with the age of 15 years old (Highest number of respondents) is falling under the Service and Sales Workers with a total 5,920, followed by Elementary Occupations with a total number of 5,639.

**Table 2.4-10. Municipality of Kawit Major Occupation Group, 2015**

Sex, Major Occupation Group, and City/Municipality	Total Gainful Workers 15 Years Old and Over	Age Group										
		15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65 and over
Both Sexes	33,746	1,403	4,634	5,156	4,869	4,384	3,880	3,221	2,567	1,772	1,028	832
Managers	2,577	19	98	195	313	354	352	346	306	253	161	180
Professionals	2,119	12	285	406	341	324	229	209	157	104	39	13
Technicians and Associate Professionals	5,207	300	1,095	1,097	870	642	489	303	194	114	47	56
Clerical Support Workers	3,134	71	672	731	621	354	252	178	130	78	33	14
Service and Sales Workers	5,920	299	926	915	750	686	659	529	427	329	217	183
Skilled Agricultural Forestry and Fishery Workers	790	21	46	50	76	83	88	93	112	101	59	61



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)

Cavite Provincial Government

Sex, Major Occupation Group, and City/Municipality	Total Gainful Workers 15 Years Old and Over	Age Group										
		15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65 and over
Craft and Related Trades Workers	3,873	92	339	408	534	527	511	492	387	274	168	141
Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers	4,306	65	305	481	588	665	660	557	441	293	153	98
Elementary Occupations	5,639	460	858	856	755	718	623	506	404	223	150	86
Armed Forces Occupations	99	-	5	13	19	30	14	6	8	3	1	-
Other Occupation Not Elsewhere Classified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Reported	82	64	5	4	2	1	3	2	1	-	-	-
<b>Male</b>	<b>20,980</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>2,703</b>	<b>3,140</b>	<b>3,062</b>	<b>2,777</b>	<b>2,537</b>	<b>2,047</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>1,129</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>475</b>
Managers	957	7	35	88	122	122	125	124	117	103	60	54
Professionals	904	3	117	188	134	135	102	78	70	50	17	10
Technicians and Associate Professionals	2,842	141	569	579	488	364	297	169	108	66	29	32
Clerical Support Workers	1,312	34	267	298	282	147	112	71	48	36	11	6
Service and Sales Workers	3,090	147	467	538	429	373	350	262	206	143	100	75
Skilled Agricultural Forestry and Fishery Workers	757	18	46	50	74	77	86	86	107	98	59	56
Craft and Related Trades Workers	3,368	78	290	366	468	452	446	433	355	233	138	109
Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers	4,017	46	235	418	546	628	633	542	432	287	152	98
Elementary Occupations	3,603	306	670	603	502	452	370	275	216	110	64	35
Armed Forces Occupations	90	-	4	10	17	27	14	6	8	3	1	-
Other Occupation Not Elsewhere Classified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Reported	40	31	3	2	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-
<b>Female</b>	<b>12,766</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>1,931</b>	<b>2,016</b>	<b>1,807</b>	<b>1,607</b>	<b>1,343</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>899</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>357</b>
Managers	1,620	12	63	107	191	232	227	222	189	150	101	126
Professionals	1,215	9	168	218	207	189	127	131	87	54	22	3
Technicians and Associate Professionals	2,365	159	526	518	382	278	192	134	86	48	18	24
Clerical Support Workers	1,822	37	405	433	339	207	140	107	82	42	22	8
Service and Sales Workers	2,830	152	459	377	321	313	309	267	221	186	117	108
Skilled Agricultural Forestry and Fishery Workers	33	3	-	-	2	6	2	7	5	3	-	5
Craft and Related Trades Workers	505	14	49	42	66	75	65	59	32	41	30	32
Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers	289	19	70	63	42	37	27	15	9	6	1	-
Elementary Occupations	2,036	154	188	253	253	266	253	231	188	113	86	51
Armed Forces Occupations	9	-	1	3	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Occupation Not Elsewhere Classified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Reported	42	33	2	2	2	1	1	1	-	-	-	-

Source: POPCEN 2015



## 2.4.1 Displacement of People, Livelihood and Properties

### 2.4.1.1 Displacement of Settlers/Fisherfolks

The proposed project will reclaim 247.28 hectares of land in Manila Bay. Inasmuch the issue on displacement of settlers is irrelevant since the proposed project site is situated offshore; there are no current informal settler families (ISFs) in the proposed reclamation area.

However, it is expected that displacement of mussel/oyster farms and fish pens will occur due to the project. Apart from mussel stake farms, the shallow portions of Bacoar Bay also host dense aggregations of fish pens or “baklad” for the culture of milkfish and tilapia.

In Island A, a total of 37 fish corrals, 17 fish pens and 44 mussel farms (counted at the time of the survey in February 2019 occupying roughly 50-60% of the project site. Fish pens and fish corrals, averaging 300 to 500 m<sup>2</sup> enclosures, are more numerous in the western corner of the proposed reclamation site. The mussel farms averaging 200 m<sup>2</sup> to about 1000 m<sup>2</sup> per farm occupy mostly the shallow areas in the middle of the site. The use of bamboo poles as mussel attachment material implies that the mussel farms need to be replaced as the bamboo poles erode, normally in less than two years. This means that the position and number of mussel farms fluctuate almost every year.

The permanent loss of mussel farms, in particular, can lead to depressed mussel production in the province of Cavite estimated at about 600 MT per year, and loss of income to hundreds of mussel and oyster farm laborers and investors. Allied downstream economic activities will likely be affected, including traders, mussel cleaners, suppliers of farm materials and processors.

The LGU and private partner shall coordinate with BFAR and the stakeholders with regards to possible translocation of displaced mussel/oyster farms and fish pens, with the expenses to be shouldered by the private partner. The fact that bamboo poles need to be replaced in less than two years is an opportunity to transfer such farms to another location outside Island A.

The sapra or lift net is a popular gear in Bacoar Bay and offshore waters of Cavite City but there are no ‘sabras’ inside the proposed Island A reclamation area.

Unproductive or decreasing yield in fisheries have caused fishers with larger boats to fish farther offshore and only a handful of small-scale fishers employing hook and line and gill nets were encountered at nearshore areas in Bacoar Bay (Island A) to catch tilapia, gizzard shad and mullets. It appears that catch rates vary largely depending on the size of boat, gear and fishing grounds. Offshore fishing grounds for *law-law*, approximately 8 to 10 km from the shoreline appear to be the most productive, employing 30-foot boats with a crew of seven fishers.

Few fishers, as well as gleaners for macro-invertebrates in the proposed reclamations islands will be displaced momentarily during reclamation activities but will ultimately resume fishing operations in coastal waters past the reclaimed area. It is noted however, that a sizeable fishing ground will be lost to reclamation affecting largely small-scale fishers. On the other hand, effects on demersal fisheries productivity will be minimal as no benthic fish habitats will be affected or altered due to the extreme silt and muddy sediments currently deposited in the area. However, schools of *Sardinella* that normally enter inshore waters can be disturbed and move away from the reclamation site. Tilapia and sardines fisheries in this area will be dislocated and loss of income from fishing will be felt during reclamation activities. Fisheries operation in fishing grounds offshore of the reclamation will not be affected as fishers will move to new fishing grounds further away from the reclaimed area where seawater will probably be less polluted and pelagic fish more abundant. However, this will require modifications on fishing gears used.

In any case, the near-shore areas within 200m from the coast will be untouched and will remain as fishing grounds. In general, long term improvement in fish stocks is contingent on the application of interventions that





are designed to perpetuate growth, maturation and recruitment. This can be pursued by ensuring enough habitats for a wide range of fish species are protected against anthropogenic issues arising from reclamation activities and its post-reclamation development. Curtailment of fishing practices that contributes to growth and recruitment overfishing needs to be considered as a collaborative activity with the local government units and the BFAR.


Any fishing gear that will be dislocated by reclamation will be compensated through the provision of fishing gear paraphernalia, as well as technical assistance in re-establishing fishing operations. For the loss of nearshore fishing grounds and dislocation of gill net and hook and line fishers, the Proponent will likewise provide new fishing paraphernalia to enable affected small-scale fishers to move to deeper fishing grounds past the reclamation area and will provide alternative livelihoods to affected fishers.

The demand for fishery products, both in fresh and processed form, is likely to increase significantly as a result of increased demand during project establishment and can lead to enhanced fishing effort in an already heavily-fished fishery and competition for a dwindling resource base can drive fishers to use more illegal fishing methods. On the other hand, the operation of the Project is also seen to increase employment opportunities for skilled labor and provide certain fisheries-based livelihood to local fishers. With assistance from the Project, small-scale aquaculture and fisheries product value-adding can evolve into profitable livelihoods, especially for women in fisheries.

There will be other programs by the LGU/Project Proponent to assist the fisherfolks such as: assistance in upgrading to bigger motorized boats/bancas for fishermen who use the "sagwan" boats in view of the longer routes they have to take; skill development training seminars for fisherfolks and their families such as livelihood training, fishery product value-adding, modern fishing technology, and aquaculture; introduction and sponsoring of sustainable livelihood projects; and technical and financial assistance in the marketing/sales/promotion of local products.

### **Change/conflict in Right of Way and Impact on Public Access**

These relate to the connections of the access ways to points on shore. **Figure 2.4-4** show the initial Framework Plan for the access ways. Avoidance of ROW conflicts is a key consideration for these access ways.



**Figure 2.4-3. Initial Framework Plan showing the Access Ways**

#### **The Philippine Navy navigational lanes in the vicinity of the project site**

In response to the issue raised by the Philippine Navy during the Public Scoping relating to conflict on Island A with its plans, Island A configuration and size (area) was accordingly reduced to address the issue.

Figure 1-3 page 1-5 reflects this revision to Island A.

#### **2.4.2 In-Migration/ Proliferation of Informal Settlers**

There will be no proliferation of informal settlers or property that will be displaced nor disturbed or cultural/Lifestyle change since there are neither informal settlers nor IPs within the proposed project site. Temporary in-migration may take place but only for a short period of time because these may compose the technical team that will undertake the engineering and design requirements and construction requirements under the Contractor's responsibility. Also, even if new employees will be hired when land will be reclaimed, in-migration will not take place because target employees are qualified residents of the area who have their own houses to live in.

#### **2.4.3 Cultural/Lifestyle change (especially on Indigenous Peoples (IPs), if there's any)**

The influx of people into the area may bring about the following:

- Potential social tensions due to income and wealth disparity between those who will be benefited economically from the project and those who will not be benefited.
- Workers and other in-migrants may introduce different lifestyles and patterns of behavior leading to social tensions.



To prevent this from happening, the proponent will implement a code of conduct for employees, contractors, and subcontractors to prevent potential impacts on lifestyle and behaviour. Furthermore, IEC activities, open dialogue and communication with the stakeholders will be undertaken regularly by the proponent to address the concerns of the stakeholders.

#### **2.4.4 Impacts on Physical Cultural Resources**

- The conduct of an Archaeological Survey/Assessment is being planned and will be coordinated with the National Museum.
- It is deemed practical to undertake this work during the site preparation works because at this phase of the project sea-based transport vessels will be available and underwater scuba teams will be needed by the reclamation contractor. Thus, there will be effective synchronization / harmonization of the archaeological survey with the own survey of the reclamation contractor.

#### **National Heritage**

The proposed project site will be situated in the territorial jurisdiction of the City of Cavite and Municipality of Kawit. As indicated in the Manila Bay Coastal Strategy, Manila Bay is the premier international gateway to the country's political, economic and social center. The bay has been known for its strategic importance ever since the pre-colonial times. One of the finest natural harbors in the world, Manila Bay was a focal point of the Manila-Acapulco Galleon Trade. The bay has been a scene of many battles, and until today a historic naval base in Cavite stands, guarding the entrance to the Bay. Thus, Manila Bay is considered to have historical and religious values, geological and archaeological sites, cultural sites, natural values, tourism and recreational values.

#### **Historical and Cultural Values**

- *Historical and Religious Sites*

Based on the Manila Bay Coastal Strategy (2001), Manila Bay area is a place of many striking contrasts. Monuments and sculptured images of heroes past stand amidst glass, steel and concrete skyscrapers. Centuries-old churches are a stone's throw away from big shopping malls and posh hotels. The walled city of Intramuros – the bastion of Spanish rule, Corregidor's ruined barracks and artilleries – testimony of heroism in World War II, ancestral houses, museums and historical markers take one down along memory lane. Theater and open-air performances offer a cultural interlude.

While in Cavite province, for over 300 years, the province played an important role in both the country's colonial past and eventual fight for independence, earning it the title "Historical Capital of the Philippines" Several historical and religious sites can be found especially in Kawit and Cavite City. Below are the list:

1. The General Emilio Aguinaldo Shrine located in Kawit. This is an ancestral home where General Emilio Aguinaldo proclaims the Philippine Independence from Spain on June 12, 1898.
2. The Battle of Binakayan Monument, the site of a fiercer fighting between the Spaniards and the Filipino soldiers that happened on November 9-11, 1896 depicting one of the shining moments of the Philippine struggle for independence.
3. The Cavite Cityhall located in a pre- World War II site of Dreamland Cabaret.
4. The "Pantalan de Yangco," this imposing building sits on one end of the City Park.
5. The Paseo de Barangay located at the back of City Hall where Canacao Bay is also situated.
6. The Gen. Baldomero Aguinaldo Shrine which is the official residence of Gen. Baldomero Aguinaldo, Gen. Emilio Aguinaldo's first cousin and right hand and was the president of the Magdalo Council which was established in Imus, & the first President of the Asociacion de los Veteranos de la Revolucion Filipina.



7. The Gen. Trias Municipal Town and Old Churches,
8. Noveleta Tribunal or Townhall,
9. Imus Cathedral,
10. Sangley Point,
11. Tejeros Convention Site
12. Corregidor Island.
13. Bonifacio Trial House in Maragondon
14. Juan Felipe Monument
15. Don Ladislaw Diwa Shrine

### ***Geological and Archaeological site***

Based on the Manila Bay Coastal Strategy (2001), marine fossils have been found in the mountains of Antipolo, Rizal, indicating that this part of the Sierra Madre mountain range was once under the sea. In Angono, Rizal, prehistoric petroglyphs or rock engravings dating back to 3000 B.C. have been included in the World Inventory of Rock Art under the auspices of UNESCO, and nominated as one of the '100 Most Endangered Sites of the World' under the World Monument Watch List. Archeological excavations in Santa Ana, Manila, revealed habitation and burial sites of early settlers and ancient data from the 12th to 13<sup>th</sup> centuries (DOT 1999).

## **2.4.5 Threat to delivery of basic services /resource competition**

### **2.4.5.1 Water Supply**

The entire province of Cavite has access to clean and safe water thru Maynilad Water Services, Inc. as a provider which is also known as Maynilad, a water and waste water services provider of cities and municipalities of the National Capital Region that forms the West Zone and some parts of Cavite which include City of Imus, City of Bacoor, Cavite City and the municipalities of Noveleta, Kawit and Rosario.

### **Cavite City**

There are 10 pumping plants/motors operated by Maynilad Water Services Incorporated (MWSI) in the different parts of Cavite City. These plants produce 6,497,000 liter a day. In addition, MWSI operates 6 pumping plants/motors (two-50HP, four-40HP) in Noveleta, Cavite that produce 6,403,000 liters per day to supply water deficiencies of its plants in the city. In sum, the 16 water-pumping plants are capable of producing 12,900,000 liter a day servicing 84 barangays including portion of Sangley Point and Fort San Felipe.

### **Municipality of Kawit**

There are six (6) MWSI pumping stations serving the residents of the municipality. Considering an average consumption of 107 liters/capita/day (residential), the present demand of water supply, based on existing population, is about 8,556,790 liters/day or 8,556 cubic meters /day. The extent of MWSI is limited to areas installed with the pipeline system.

### **Water Demand**

Based on projected population in 2028 and standard water demand, the present water supply is sufficient for the local population.

At this stage, the engineering work activities are dry in nature. Moreover, during reclamation works, no water will be used except by the construction crews for domestic purposes. The water supply for the construction crew is from purchase of bottled water onshore, thus there will not be any water resource competition.

During the operations phase, water will be required for the operation of the various establishments, commercial centers, and by the locators. Water will be sourced from a concessionaire who will supply the requirements without depriving the users outside of the reclamation project with their water needs.





### **2.4.5.2 Power Supply**

Manila Electric Company or Meralco is the Philippines' largest electric power distribution company which provides power to 5.56 million consumers in 35 cities and 76 municipalities, including Metro Manila, the provinces of Rizal, Cavite and Bulacan, and parts of the provinces of Pampanga, Batangas, Laguna and Quezon.

All towns down to all barangays of Cavite have access to electric power supplied by Meralco thus giving the province's energization rate of 100%.

Consolidated number of customers by city/municipality of the province gathered from Meralco totaled to 860,665 customers, wherein residential customers accounted for 93.71% totaling to 806,524 while commercial customers registered at 6.11% or 52,612. Furthermore, industrial and streetlight customers' totality is 704 and 825, respectively. These accounted for less than 1% of total customer count.

The Proposed Project will not create competition on power supply. The power requirements during the reclamation/dredging works will be sourced from onboard power generators for the obvious reason that the sea vessels will not be able to connect to the power lines onshore. Hence, there will be no competition with the communities.

During the operations phase, power will most likely be sourced from MERALCO, which will provide the requirements without depriving the communities with their own energy needs.

### **2.4.5.3 Communications**

#### **Postal Services**

According to the data gathered from "PHLPost, it is revealed that despite the existence of modern means of communications, there are still domestic and international mails being handled by post offices located in every city/municipality of the province.

In addition, a private company LBC and JRS Express, countries leading courier now adays are bothe located in Kawit and Cavite City These companys accepts and delivers mail and parcels within the municipality and the different localities in the country. This is complemented by the presence of high technology communication facilities such as internet services.

#### **Telecommunications**

The Philippine Long Distance Telephone (PLDT) Company /Smart Communication, Inc, & Globe Telecoms provide telephone services in the municipality and city

Aside from this, telephone providers, mobile communication and internet is being used to as form of communication.

#### **Print/Broadcast Media**

Being an urban area near Metropolitan Manila, national daily newspapers as well as tabloids and magazines are available in the municipality.

Radio broadcast and television shows can also be enjoyed by the local residents. Cable television is also being served by the Cavite Cable.



*The proposed project will not pose threat on the above. During the reclamation/dredging activities, communications will largely be via radio on-board the sea vessels. Hence, no competition with the communities will arise.*

*There will be adequate communications systems from the various service providers such as Smart Communications, Globelines, Sun, PLDT, Bayantel, etc. Land line and mobile systems will be adequately available in the project area.*

## **2.4.6 Threat to public health and safety**

### **2.4.6.1 Peace and Order / Crime**

#### **Protective Services**

The concern of the municipality does not merely focus on its progress and development through urbanization. The overall success of the desired development could not be attained if protective services are not well in place. Hand-in-hand with progress is the maintenance of peace and order which is one of the primary concerns of the municipal and City leadership.

#### **Existing Situation**

At present, the police department, the barangay police, the fire department and other private security agencies provide the protective services in the municipality.

In the other municipalities of Cavite, public safety and civil defense is generally administered by the Philippine National Police (PNP). There are no private security agencies or detective services in the municipality of Kawit. Headquarters for the municipal force, numbering 33 (2 PCO and 31 PNC), is located in Brgy. San Sebastian

The PNP as protector of the citizenry and with close coordination of the municipal leadership, undertakes the following:

1. Dispersal of the police from the headquarters to the streets and enhancement of crime prevention and control;
2. Restoration of the trust and confidence of the people on the police and gain community support;
3. Elimination of street and neighborhood crimes and improvement of public safety;
4. Arrest of all criminal elements, common or organized in coordination with other pillars of the criminal justice system and law enforcement agencies

#### **Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures**

The personnel and the activities of the proposed reclamation during construction phase will be confined in the project site only. Thus, the Project will not pose a threat on the existing peace and order/crime. The construction crew will be managed by the City of Cavite and Municipality of Kawit and its private partner and third-party contractor which will be hired during the reclamation works. The proponent will set safety and control measures and restrictions to prevent any situation that can trigger crime. The hired workers during construction and operations phase will be restricted on carrying firearms or any deadly weapons. Moreover, propriety among the workers and guests shall be observed.

During the operations phase, the reclaimed land will be populated. Nevertheless, the various activities will still be confined to the reclaimed land thereby creating some degree of isolation from the general public.

The management organization for the locators will provide full security and safeguards within reclaimed land and the immediate vicinity to ensure that the people will be least exposed to public safety risks.



## **2.4.6.2 Public Health**

### **2.4.6.2.1 Health Services/Facilities**

Rural health units (RHUs) are created for every municipality to improve access to primary health care facilities and services in the barangay. RHUs are managed thru their city/municipal health officers and being staffed by doctors, nurses, midwives, medical technologists, sanitary inspectors, nutritionists and volunteer health workers. The basic health services being delivered includes medical consultation services, treatments of minor ailments, maternal and child health care, dental health, nutrition, dispensation of drugs and medicines, on calls and attendance at home, immunization and conduct of health education, family planning, sanitation campaign and other related activities.

In 2016, there are 38 RHUs operating in the province. City of Bacoor has seven (7) RHUs, Cavite City (5), City of Imus, (3), Gen. Mariano Alvarez (2), Silang (2), and the rest has one (1) Rhu per city/municipality.

Meanwhile, barangay health station (BHS), as the primary health care facility at the barangay level, provides first aid, maternal and child health care, diagnosis of social diseases, and other basic health services to all the members of the community it is serving. It is commonly staffed of rural health midwives, barangay nutrition scholars and barangay health workers, and they follow a schedule of medical services from Monday to Friday. Sometimes health centers schedule special medical projects or missions and conduct health education classes during weekends especially when the DOH issues health warnings and epidemic cases.

There are 578 BHS in the province. Most of them are situated in Silang (66), City of Imus (53), City of Dasmariñas (49), and City of Bacoor (41). See **Table 2.4-11**.

Ideally, there should be one BHS per barangay. However, some far barangays whose residents have difficult access to their Barangay Health Station can obtain services to the health center of their neighboring barangay.

**Table 2.4-11. Number of Rural Health Units, Barangay Health Stations and Barangay Health Workers by City/Municipality, Province of Cavite: 2015 and 2016**

City Municipality	Number of Barangays	Rural Health		Barangay Health Units		Barangay Health Workers	
		2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
<b>1<sup>st</sup> District</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>Cavite City</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Kawit</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>84</b>
Noveleta	16	1	1	13	13	33	33
Rosario	20	1	1	19	21	121	121
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> District</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>112</b>
City of Bacoor	73	7	7	40	41	110	112
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> District</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>71</b>
City of Imus	97	3	3	82	53	72	71
<b>4<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>215</b>
City of Dasmariñas	75	2	1	84	49	215	215
<b>5<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>322</b>
Carmona	14	1	1	12	12	109	109
Gen. Mariano Alvarez	27	2	2	28	28	86	97
Silang	64	2	2	66	66	116	116
<b>6<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>364</b>
Amadeo	26	1	1	14	14	50	50
City of Gen. Trias	33	1	1	35	37	152	152
Tanza	41	1	1	36	36	97	97
Trece Martires City	13	1	1	16	17	65	65
<b>7<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>1,013</b>	<b>1,024</b>



City Municipality	Number of Barangays	Rural Health		Barangay Health Units		Barangay Health Workers	
		2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Alfonso	32	1	1	27	27	164	164
Gen. E. Aguinaldo	14	1	1	10	9	95	106
Indang	36	1	1	32	27	80	80
Magallanes	16	1	1	16	11	90	90
Margondon	27	1	1	9	9	266	266
Mendez-Nuñez	24	1	1	24	24	71	71
Naic	30	1	1	27	26	142	142
Tagaytay City	34	1	1	34	34	48	48
Ternate	10	1	1	1	1	57	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>2,379</b>	<b>2,400</b>

Source: Provincial Health Office, Trece Martires City

### Health Human Resources

The health human resources are the main drivers of the health care system and are essential for the efficient management and operation of the public health system. There are 56 doctors, 38 dentists, 202 nurses and 329 midwives employed in the city/municipal health offices. These resulted to the doctor population ratio of 1:67,950 and dentist population ratio of 1:100,136. As observed, this figure is too far to the standard doctor-population ratio of 1:20,000 and dentist-population ratio of 1:20,000. It is also noted that nurses comprising 33.38% of the total number of health personnel, has led to nurse-population ratio of 1:18,838. The standard ratio is 1:20,000, meaning the province has 12 nurses above the standard requirement. Moreover, midwives had marked the ratio of 1:11,566 against the standard ratio of 1:5,000 resulting to a backlog of 432 to meet the required 761 midwives. Based on estimates, there is a need to hire additional health personnel in RHUs particularly 134 doctors, and 152 dentists (**Table 2.4-12**)





**Table 2.4-12. Health Manpower to Population Ratio by City/Municipality, Province of Cavite**

District/City/ Municipality	2016 Projected Population	Doctors	Doctors- Population Ratio	Dentist	Dentist- Population Ratio	Nurse	Nurse- Population Ratio	Midwives	Midwives- Population Ratio
<b>1<sup>st</sup> District</b>	<b>348,939</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1: 29,078</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1: 43,617</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1: 12,462</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>1: 6,344</b>
Cavite City	103,130	7	1: 14,733	1	1: 103,130	10	1: 10,313	20	1: 5,157
Kawit	84,506	2	1: 42,253	2	1: 42,253	6	1: 14,084	10	1: 8,451
Noveleta	46,686	1	1: 46,686	1	1: 46,686	1	1: 46,686	6	1: 7,781
Rosario	114,617	2	1: 57,309	4	1: 28,654	11	1: 10,420	19	1: 6,032
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> District</b>	<b>617,269</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1: 88,181</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1: 102,878</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1: 22,862</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1: 14,355</b>
City of Bacoor	617,269	7	1: 88,181	6	1: 102,878	27	1: 22,862	43	1: 14,355
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> District</b>	<b>426,846</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1: 106,711</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1: 85,369</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1: 21,342</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1: 12,554</b>
Imus	426,846	4	1: 106,711	5	1: 85,369	20	1: 21,342	34	1: 12,554
<b>4<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>676,173</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1: 338,087</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1: 676,173</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1: 32,199</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1: 21,812</b>
City of	676,173	2	1: 338,087	1	1: 676,173	21	1: 32,199	31	1: 21,812
<b>5<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>516,373</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1: 51,637</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1: 129,093</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1: 25,819</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1: 8,196</b>
Carmona	102,569	5	1: 20,514	2	1: 51,285	6	1: 17,095	16	1: 6,411
Gen. Mariano Alvarez	158,523	1	1: 158,523	0	0: 158,523	7	1: 22,646	19	1: 8,343
Silang	255,281	4	1: 63,820	2	1: 127,641	7	1: 36,469	28	1: 9,117
<b>6<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>770,601</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1: 85,622</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1: 110,086</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>1: 13,061</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1: 15,110</b>
Amadeo	38,505	1	1: 38,505	1	1: 38,505	1	1: 38,505	4	1: 9,626
City of Gen. Trias	330,001	3	1: 110,000	3	1: 110,000	40	1: 8,250	20	1: 16,500
Tanza	234,115	4	1: 58,529	1	1: 234,115	12	1: 19,510	13	1: 18,009
Trece Martires	167,980	1	1: 167,980	2	1: 83,990	6	1: 27,997	14	1: 11,999
<b>7<sup>th</sup> District</b>	<b>448,983</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1: 37,415</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1: 64,140</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1: 16,629</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>1: 8,634</b>
Alfonso	52,487	1	1: 52,487	0	0: 52,487	7	1: 7,498	5	1: 10,497
Gen. E. Aguinaldo	23,252	1	1: 23,252	0	0: 23,252	1	1: 23,252	5	1: 4,650
Indang	66,301	2	1: 33,151	0	0: 66,301	4	1: 16,575	6	1: 11,050
Magallanes	23,024	1	1: 23,024	1	1: 23,024	2	1: 11,512	6	1: 3,837
Maragondon	38,202	1	1: 38,202	1	1: 38,202	1	1: 38,202	4	1: 9,550
Mendez-Núñez	32,126	1	1: 32,126	1	1: 32,126	3	1: 10,709	3	1: 10,709
Naic	116,546	2	1: 58,273	1	1: 116,546	4	1: 29,137	14	1: 8,325
Tagaytay City	73,071	2	1: 36,535	2	1: 36,535	4	1: 18,268	6	1: 12,178
Temate	23,975	1	1: 23,975	1	1: 23,975	1	1: 23,975	3	1: 7,992
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,805,185</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>1: 67,950</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1:100,136</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>1: 18,838</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>1: 11,566</b>

Source: Provincial Health Office, Trece Martires City

## Cavite City

The vision of the City Health Department is to attain the maximum coverage for the people of Cavite City with the optimum health care by delivering the basic health services with minimal health problem in the year 2022. The mission is to prevent and to control common diseases and ensure the treatment and information service that are available and accessible to the community.

## Ten Leading Causes of Morbidity and Mortality

As per City Health Office, on year 2017 the top leading cause of morbidity was Acute Respiratory Infection (ARI). As for Mortality, the leading cause was Heart Diseases and pneumonia.

**Table 2.4-13. Leading Causes of Morbidity**

Case	Number	Rate
Acute Upper Respiratory Track Infection	134,226	3650.38
Hypertension	30,811	837.93
Accident	26,206	712.69
Pnuemonia	23,078	627.62
Dermatosis	20,078	569.04
Diseases of the Urinary System	18,628	506.6
Intestinal Infectious Diseases	18,012	489.85
Ear Infections	2,026	55.1
Mycoses	1,923	52.3
Pediculosis, Ascariasis and Infection	1,820	49.5



Table 2.4-14. Leading Causes of Mortality

Case	Number	Rate/ population
Hearth Diseases	3,676	99.97
Pneumonia	1,751	47.62
Malignant Neoplasm	1,269	34.51
Hypertensive Disease	850	23.12
Accident	710	19.31
Cerebrovascular Disease	683	18.57
Renal Failure	524	14.25
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	507	13.79
Diabetes Melitus	489	13.3
Tuberculosis	401	10.19

### Nutritional Status

Based on the data from the Provincial Health Office Majority of the 0-71 months old Pre School Children in Cavite City and Municipality of kawit is falling under normal category of Nutrition Status as of 2017, it can be said that malnutrition on Cavite City and Kawit is very low falling under 4.33% under Underweight Cathegory and 1.63% under severely underweight category of the total population of Cavite City while 4.15% under underweight Category and 1.74 % under severely underweight for kawit

Table 2.4-15. Nutritional status of children among 0-71 months old in Cavite City and Kawit, 2017

Municipalities/ City	2017 Projected Population	Estimated Number of preschoolers (13.5%)	Number of PS Weighed	% Coverage	Overweignt		Normal		Underweight		Severely Undewr Weight	
					No.	Prevalence (%)	No.	Prevalence (%)	No.	Prevalence (%)	No.	Prevalence (%)
Cavite City	103445	13874	6700	48.29	321	4.79	5980	89.25	290	4.33	109	1.63
Kawit	85560	11264	7875	69.91	244	3.10	7167	91.01	327	4.15	137	1.74

### Impact Analysis

During the reclamation/dredging phase, there will be minimal impact on **public** health because the activities and the small number of persons (the construction crew) involved will be based on the sea vessels. The risk health factor that needs to be carefully identified during the reclamation phase is the potential contamination of the Bay with toxic and hazardous substance that may be present in the filling materials.

If the filling materials would be sourced from Manila Bay itself, this risk is greatly reduced or would be absent. Pre-screening of any filing materials with respect to complete chemical analysis for toxic elements, e.g.: cyanide, mercury, lead, chromium, etc. will be undertaken. To ensure environmental compliance, the use of and sourcing of the filling materials will be subject to prior permits and clearances including a separate ECC.

Moreover, mitigation measures will be put in place for dispersal of silts/disturbed seabed. The use of silt curtains or rock bund along the perimeter of the project will further ensure that the silt and potential hazardous substances are contained within the reclamation area.

#### 2.4.6.3 Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

Disaster management in the proposed reclamation and development project and its environs is critical in the success or failure of the project. The proper identification of the risks involving the project and its surroundings is vital to properly prepare all the people involved including the community that hosts the project. The impacts



of disasters will not only affect the project but also its surrounding communities and the structures and properties. When properly planned, mitigating measures can be put in place at the proper time so that all parties are informed and prepared in case something happens. Also, the mitigating measures can alleviate the potential impacts. The Project Proponent can be of service to the residents in the implementation of DRR efforts as it can provide the necessary funding, training, and manpower in preparing the community against disasters.

With respect to marine traffic considering the routes of the Coast Guard, Navy as well as commercial ships and fishing boats, the Proponent shall be in constant coordination with the PCG regarding the planned/scheduled movements of all its vessels so that permits to sail and Notice/s to Mariners can be issued in a timely manner. Beforehand, and as early as the securing of LONOs, coordination with the PCG and PN were done in respect of planned routes of dredging vessels. This shall be firmed up during the DED stage and shall be coordinated once again with these agencies.

### **Risk Profile of the Proposed Project**

The profile of the various risks, including perceived risks are discussed in several sections of this EIS Report and the major risks hereunder briefly summarized in the matrix below.

**Table 2.4-16. Major Issues that May Affect the Communities In Case of Disaster/s**

<b>Hazard</b>	<b>Cause/Related Activities</b>	<b>Concerns/Issues</b>	<b>Mitigation Measure</b>
<b>NATURAL HAZARDS (not caused by the project)</b>			
<b>Met-Ocean Hazards</b>			
<b>Flood</b>	With or without the project, the project site (land adjacent to the site) will be subject to episodes of floods, as actually being experienced at this time.	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	The reclamation land configuration and the appropriate design and engineering intervention will provide measures to address these risks. Proper and adequate drainage system, island configuration and distance vis-à-vis mainland and other proposed islands
<b>Storm Surge</b>	Similar to floods, these could occur with or without the project.	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Appropriate design and engineering intervention will be provided. Elevated platform and storm wave deflectors.  The reclaimed island will serve as shield to mainland and its population.
<b>Earthquakes and seismic related Hazards</b>			
<b>Tsunami</b>	Similar to floods, these could occur with or without the project.	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Appropriate design and engineering intervention will be provided. Elevated platform and storm wave deflectors.  The reclaimed island will serve as shield to mainland and its population.
<b>Subsidence</b>	In the current situation, this is due to groundwater extraction, which does not apply to the project.	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Appropriate ground/soil compaction measures
<b>Liquefaction</b>	Existing soil properties - soft, unconsolidated and water-saturated, which will potentially be disturbed during earthquakes	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Appropriate ground/soil compaction measures



Hazard	Cause/Related Activities	Concerns/Issues	Mitigation Measure
<b>Ground Shaking</b>	Proximity to active faults (20.2km from West Valley Fault)	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Design and Engineering intervention in accordance with National Building Code.
<b>ACCIDENTS</b>			
<b>Fire</b>	Dredging/reclamation activities/ movement of vessels	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) used has high Flash Point > 600 C
<b>Explosion</b>	Dredging/reclamation activities/ movement of vessels	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	MDO not explosive
<b>Release of Toxic Substances</b>	Dredging/reclamation activities/ movement of vessels	Loss of life and property; panic among residents and/or workers	Bilge water treatment No HAPs (Hazardous Air Pollutants) from exhaust of engines
<b>Oil Spills from Dredging Vessels</b>	Dredging/reclamation activities/ movement of vessels	Loss of marine life, environmental damage	a. Assurance of sea-worthiness of the sea vessel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance with international and local (PCG) standards</li> <li>• Training of vessel crew and personnel</li> <li>• Possession of adequate navigational aids</li> </ul>
			b. Inspection by the PCG of the integrity of the oil storage tank in the vessel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Record of last inspection of the tank</li> <li>• Checking of safety instruments of the oil system e.g. valves, fittings</li> </ul>
			c. Provision for onboard oil spill containment and recovery equipment e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oil Spill Boom</li> <li>• Approved oil spill dispersant</li> <li>• Oil recovery equipment e.g. oil pump</li> </ul>
<b>Collision between marine vessels</b>	Movement of dredging vessels vis-à-vis movement of other vessels of the PCG, PN, commercial ships, and smaller fishing boats	Loss of life and property	There will be Mariners' Notice issued to all parties concerned with regards to planned trips of each vessel. The vessels shall make the necessary communication, depending on the type and operations of the vessel, with other vessels and/or with the Radio Room by means of the VHF channel that has been set up for the project. Rigid adherence to Marine Safety guidelines as discussed in Chapter 4.
<b>Failure/collapse of Structure</b>	Inadequate design/engineering measures	Endanger life, property and/or the environment. Accidents to construction crew	Use of internationally-accepted construction technology and methodology, the use of sound fill materials and rocks and the employ of proven Contractor. -Safety training of all personnel -Use of safety gears/equipment and PPEs





**Risks that may be attributable to the project itself, e.g. potential failure of the reclaimed land. Design and engineering intervention will be undertaken. Baselines from the geotechnical investigation will be used.**

### **Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)**

This is inherently considered and provided for in the miscellaneous discussions of risks and include but not limited to:

- DRR through design and engineering intervention such as in the construction of the land itself using geotechnical investigation reports as baselines.
- Provisions for reduction of risks from storm surges, e.g. from the design of the islands and from the use of wave deflectors.
- Elevated platform to reduce risks from tsunamis, as well as from storm surges and other potential met-ocean risks/hazards.
- Coordination with the National/Local Disaster Risk and Reduction Management Council

**The Project Proponent shall implement overall disaster risk awareness and preparedness before and during the project implementation.**

Safety drills will be institutionalized throughout the project life. This will include fire drills, earthquake drills, and the like. Evacuation muster points will be established. These efforts shall be in consonance with the Disaster/Risk Reduction and Management Plan of the government.

Lastly, the proponent will be actively involved in the IEC campaign to increase public awareness on hazard management.

A multi-hazard mitigation and protection plan for natural coastal hazards will be developed. Similarly, awareness about climate change impacts on coastal zone systems such as coastal erosion, sea level rise, and flooding risks should be promoted with emphasis in the threat to life, structures, and economic production.

In case of disaster occurring in the area, may it be caused by natural hazards or by the project, the Private Partner shall be assisting the concerned LGU in the disaster relief operations such as: evacuation of populace; search and rescue operations; distribution of relief goods; medical assistance; etc. This shall be entrenched in the SDP-IEC programs.

## **2.4.7 Generation of Local Benefits from the Project**

### **Main Sources of Income and Livelihood**

#### ***Construction Phase***

The construction phase may not significantly bring about local benefits that will be generated in terms of:

- Direct Opportunities for employment
- Direct Opportunities for livelihood

During this phase, only few construction workers will be hired and since the activities involve highly specialized tasks, the opportunity for hiring local residents is not highly significant. Thus, the LGU proponent must thoroughly conduct IEC on manpower requirement during and after construction activities with concerned barangays so as not to create false hopes.

In any case, the increased revenues for the Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit through tax payments will be flowed back to the residents in terms of development projects of the City.




### **Operations Phase (Not part of the application for ECC)**

During the operations phase whereby the locators will be conducting their individual businesses, employment and livelihood will be significantly experienced. The envisioned business developments in the reclamation area shall bring about employment opportunities for the people of Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit and the Province of Cavite. If a large number of those working in Manila will have the option to work nearer their homes, their daily spending will be concentrated locally, and thus, will stimulate local economy.

#### **2.4.8 Traffic Generation**

##### **Baseline/Existing Transportation/Traffic Situation in Cavite City**

Based on the Study Conducted by JICA (Traffic Management Plan, 2017-2022) the Cavite City is falling under the Volume Capacity Ratio  $>0.5$  and  $=0.75$  and Municipality of Kawit Under  $>0.25$  and  $\leq 0.5$ , wherein Volume Capacity ratio is the ratio of Vehicles passing a point in one Hour with the maximum number of cars that can pass a certain point at the reasonable traffic condition.



Source: DPWH

**Figure 2.4-4. Volume Capacity Ratio Map of CALABARZON**

Data gathered from the City Engineer reveals that there was a total of about 77.150 km. of city roads and 10.749 kilometers of national roads for a total of 87.89 km. These were composed of six (6) national roads and 270 city roads. Out of these roads, 241 were concrete (91.75%), 19 still/partly earth-filled roads (5.14%), 10 still/partly asphalted roads (4.12%)- 7 with GOOD surface conditions and 4 with BAD conditions; 22 with POOR conditions and the rest were categorized as FAIR.



### Baseline/Existing Transportation/Traffic Situation in Municipality of Kawit

The Municipality of Kawit has two (2) major roads that interlink to Metro Manila in the north and into the industrial part of Cavite in the south. These are the Tirona Highway-Highway 25 and Cavite Toll Expressway (CAVITEX) Centennial Road.

The Tirona Highway and Highway 25 are two adjoining roads which serves the coastal part and historic center of Kawit where the previous Town Hall was constructed besides the St Mary Magdalene Church and the Aguinaldo Shrine. It interconnects the City of Bacoor and Noveleta from North to South. Other known establishments that serves this roads within Kawit are Water Camp Resort, Sasahama Restaurant, Cherry's Pavilion, Binakayan Public Market, Bautista Arcade, Mercury Drug, Metrobank, BPI, PS Bank, Malvar Supermarket, Emilus Supermarket, Massway Supermarket and other fast food chains like Mang Inasal, and Jollibee etc.

The Covelandia and Cepza Roads are also two adjoining roads recently constructed to be used as the main thoroughfare to the Industrial Zones of Cavite (CEPZA) and the Port Area of Manila vice versa. The 30 m wide highway bypass the farmland of the town and the coastline Binakayan which escalates a number of commercial establishments and resort in the area namely; Sula Gas, Petron Gasoline Station, Orange County, Phoenix Gasoline Station, Jetti Gasoline Station, Kartini Hotel, 711 Store, RCPJC Hardware, Cedz Restaurant, Tirona Hardware, Tiles Center, Island Cove, Miquels Bar & Restaurant, Esther Robina Restaurant etc. The newly established Municipal Annex Building (New Town Hall) utilizes this road which will be the framework to be the new center of Kawit in the near future.

To further ease the traffic problem of the Province of Cavite, a 7-km Tollway named CAVITE TOLL EXPRESSWAY" (CAVITEX) was built by UEM-MARA Phils. Corporation – a wholly owned subsidiary of Coastal Road Corporation which extends the existing 6.45 kms. Coastal Road from Zapote in Las Pinas City to Tirona Highway in Kawit, Cavite which absolutely shorten the travel time from Manila to Cavite. This project will be a boost to the Province of Cavite's tourism and will definitely revolutionize the lives of Caviteños and will allow commercial traffic to move quicker from Port Area and NAIA to the Cavite Export Processing Zone

### Mode of Transport

The modes of transport in the municipality are public buses, jeepney, FX taxis, tricycle, pedicabs and private vehicles.

The Noveleta Junction at the Poblacion is considered as the crossroad for vehicles traveling to the Municipalities of Rosario, General Trias, Tanza, Kawit, Cavite City and Metro Manila and even Olongapo City and Baguio City.

Commuters from Noveleta can conveniently travel to these places by bus and jeepney which may be found passing along the following streets:

- a. General P. Alvarez and Dr. Jose Salud streets: route to Cavite City from Kawit, Bacoor. Zapote and/or Metro Manila and Olongapo/Baguio City and vice versa.
- b. M. Luna and General P. Alvarez streets: route to Cavite City from General Trias and vice versa.
- c. Gen. M. Alvarez and General P. Alvarez streets: route to Cavite City from Rosario, General Trias, Tanza, Trece Martires and Naic and vice versa.
- d. General M. Alvarez and Dr. Salud streets: route to Naic, Tanza and General Trias from Kawit, Bacoor, Zapote and/or Metro Manila and vice versa.

For travel within the municipality, tricycle and pedicabs are available. Pedicabs may be found at the entrance of selected subdivisions and barangay roads, while tricycles have terminals in the selected corners of municipal and barangay roads.



## 2.4.9 Public Perception Survey

The discussions below are based on the Preliminary Households Perception Survey conducted last October 13-19, 2018 to assess the socio-cultural economic situation of the communities that are to be affected by the proposed reclamation, particularly Barangays Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista) Barangay 6 (Diego Silang), Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong), Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas), Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher), Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A), Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B), Barangay 11 (Lawin), Barangay 13 (Aguila), Barangay 14 (Loro), Barangay 22-A (Leo A), Barangay 27 (Sagittarius), Barangay 28 (Taurus), Barangay 57 (Repolyo), Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A), Barangay 58-A (Patola A), Barangay 58-M (Patola M), Barangay 61 (Talong: Poblacion), Barangay 61-A (Talong A: Poblacion), Barangay 62 (Kangkong: Poblacion) and Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A: Poblacion) for the City of Cavite which is the closest to the project site. The tables below present the summary of the Pre EIS Perception Survey conducted for the Proposed Project. Sample Perception Survey is provided in **Annex 2.4-A**.

**Sex Distribution** – The respondents were composed of 58.10% males and 41.90% females.

**Table 2.4-17. Impact Barangays: Sex Distribution**

Barangay	GENDER						Total	
	Male		Female		No Answer		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City								
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	8	38.09	13	61.90	0	0	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	6	25	18	75	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	7	25.93	20	74.07	0	0	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	9	37.50	15	62.50	0	0	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	17	54.84	14	45.16	0	0	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	3	27.27	8	72.73	0	0	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	10	37.04	17	62.96	0	0	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	10	50	10	50	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	8	36	14	64	0	0	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	4	13.33	26	86.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	16	66.67	8	33.33	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	2	100	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	14	48.28	15	51.72	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	10	35.71	18	64.29	0	0	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	9	40.91	13	59.19	0	0	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	3	42.86	4	57.14	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	15	62.50	9	37.50	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	12	40	18	60	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	10	33.33	20	66.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	1	9.09	10	90.91	0	0	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	5	55.56	4	44.44	0	0	9	100
Total	179	41.90	274	58.10	0	0	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018





**Civil Status** – Data coming from EIA survey are used to present baseline condition of the impact barangays residents where majority or 64.47% of the respondents are married (or 292 out of 453 respondents) followed by single 18.25% and the rest are widower and lived in.



**Table 2.4-18. Impact Barangays: Civil Status**

Barangay	CIVIL STATUS										Total	
	Single		Married		Widower		Separated		Atbp. (Lived in)		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	7	33.33	6	28.57	1	4.76	2	9.52	5	23.81	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	3	12.5	15	62.5	2	8.33	1	4.17	3	12.5	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	5	18.52	19	70.37	1	3.70	2	7.41	0	0	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	1	4.17	21	87.5	2	8.33	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	9	29.03	19	61.29	0	0	2	6.45	1	3.23	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	1	9.09	7	63.64	2	18.18	1	9.09	0	0	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	1	3.70	22	81.48	2	7.41	1	3.70	1	3.70	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	4	20	14	70	1	5	1	5	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	1	4.55	19	86.36	1	4.55	0	0	1	4.55	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	3	10	23	76.67	1	3.33	3	10	0	0	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	9	37.5	13	54.17	2	8.33	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	2	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	7	24.14	17	58.62	3	10.34	2	6.90	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	0	0	18	64.29	7	25	1	3.57	2	7.14	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	2	9.09	14	63.64	1	4.55	2	9.09	3	13.64	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	2	28.57	5	71.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	8	33.33	12	50	3	12.5	1	4.17	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	9	30	18	60	1	3.33	2	6.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	0	0	20	66.67	7	23.33	2	6.67	1	3.33	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	1	9.09	6	54.55	4	36.36	0	0	0	0	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	6	66.67	2	22.22	0	0	1	11.11	0	0	9	100
Total	79	18.25	292	64.47	41	8.92	24	4.93	17	3.42	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

**Religion Affiliations** - Results of the conducted survey indicated that 88.91% of the total respondents interviewed are Roman Catholic followed by 1.18%, which are Aglipayan and followed by Protestants, Iglesia Ni Cristo and Christian.

**Table 2.4-19. Impact Barangays: Religious Affiliation**

Barangay	RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION										Total	
	Catholic		Protestant		Aglipayan		INC		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	18	85.71	0	0	1	4.76	0	0	2	9.52	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	24	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	19	70.37	0	0	0	0	2	7.41	6	22.22	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	20	83.33	0	0	2	8.33	1	4.17	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	27	87.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	12.9	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	7	63.64	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	36.36	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	26	96.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.7	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	18	90	0	0	1	5	0	0	1	5	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	22	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	23	76.67	1	3.33	0	0	0	0	6	20	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	23	95.83	0	0	0	0	1	4.17	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	2	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	21	72.41	1	3.45	0	0	1	3.45	6	20.69	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolvo)	28	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	100



Barangay	RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION										Total	
	Catholic		Protestant		Aglipayan		INC		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	22	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	23	95.83	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	29	96.67	0	0	1	3.33	0	0	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	26	86.67	0	0	1	3.33	0	0	3	10	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	11	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	6	66.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	33.33	9	100
Total	402	88.91	3	0.52	6	1.18	5	0.91	37	8.47	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

**Ethnicity** – The City's dialect is mostly Tagalog (93.58%), there are migrants from other provinces that are also Tagalog speaking. Others are Waray, Cebuano and Bicolano.

Table 2.4-20. Impact Barangays: Ethnicity

Barangay	ETHNICITY										Total	
	Tagalog		Cebuano		Bicolano		Waray		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	16	76.19	1	4.76	0	0	3	14.29	1	4.76	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	23	95.83	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	21	77.78	3	11.11	0	0	1	3.70	2	7.41	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	24	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	29	93.55	1	3.23	0	0	1	3.23	0	0	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	11	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	26	96.30	1	3.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	19	95	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	22	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	30	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	23	95.83	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	2	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	29	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	26	92.86	1	3.57	0	0	1	3.57	0	0	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	22	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	24	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	28	93.33	0	0	0	0	2	6.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	20	66.67	0	0	1	3.33	4	13.33	5	16.67	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	9	81.82	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	18.19	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	9	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	100
Total	420	93.58	9	1.69	1	0.16	12	2.13	11	2.44	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

**Income, Livelihood and Employment** - The main source of income of the respondents is mostly Fishing, Regular Private/Government Employee, vendor/family business and through contractual job/sub-contractor. On the other hand, 48.86% of the respondents stated that the husband is the primary earner in the household while 14.63% has the wife as primary earner. See **Table 2.4-21** and **Table 2.4-22**.

In terms of monthly income, **Table 2.4-23** shows that most of the respondents or 25.49% are earning between Php 1,001 – Php 5,000, while respondents earning Php 10,001 – Php 20,000 make up 22.06% followed by 20.64 % earning Php 5,001 – Php 10,000, 15.54% earning below Php 1,000 and the remaining 7.32% earning Php 20,001 above.



Table 2.4-21. Impact Barangays: Main Source of Livelihood of Respondents

Barangay	OCCUPATION										Total	
	Fishing		Regular Private/Govt Employee		Contractual Job/Sub-Contractor		Vendor/Family Business		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
City of Cavite												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	0	0	7	33.33	2	9.52	5	23.81	7	33.33	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	11	45.83	1	4.17	3	12.5	7	29.17	2	8.33	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	4	14.81	1	3.70	8	29.63	7	25.93	7	25.93	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	12	50	2	8.33	2	8.33	6	25	2	8.33	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	2	6.45	7	22.58	5	16.13	7	22.58	10	32.26	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	1	9.09	2	18.8	2	18.18	0	0	6	54.55	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	8	29.63	2	7.41	4	14.81	7	25.93	6	22.22	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	2	10	12	60	1	5	2	10	3	15	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	4	18.18	2	9.09	0	0	3	13.63	13	59.09	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	7	23.33	6	20	0	0	4	13.33	13	13.33	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	5	20.83	6	25	1	4.17	9	37.5	3	12.5	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	0	0	1	50	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	10	34.48	1	3.45	10	34.48	0	0	8	27.59	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	0	0	15	53.57	0	0	13	46.43	0	0	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	4	18.18	7	31.82	3	13.64	3	13.64	5	22.73	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	0	0	3	42.86	0	0	4	57.14	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	0	0	5	20.83	4	16.67	5	20.83	10	41.67	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	6	20	3	10	0	0	5	16.67	16	53.33	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	20	66.67	0	0	3	10	6	20	1	3.33	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	0	0	2	18.18	0	0	1	9.09	8	72.72	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	0	0	1	11.11	1	11.11	2	22.22	5	55.56	9	100
Total	96	18.91	86	21.63	49	9.72	97	22.99	125	26.75	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

Table 2.4-22. Impact Barangays: Primary Earner

Barangay	Primary Earner										Total	
	Husband		Wife		Son		Daughter		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
City of Cavite												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	9	42.86	5	23.81	5	23.81	1	4.76	1	4.76	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	13	54.17	3	12.5	4	16.67	3	12.5	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	16	59.26	4	14.81	3	11.11	2	7.41	2	7.41	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	16	66.67	4	16.67	0	0	4	16.67	0	0	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	16	51.61	3	9.68	1	3.23	0	0	11	35.48	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	5	45.45	1	9.09	1	9.09	1	9.09	3	27.27	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	22	81.48	0	0	2	7.41	2	7.41	1	3.70	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	11	55	3	15	4	20	0	0	2	10	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	12	54.54	1	4.54	0	0	1	4.54	8	36.36	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	15	50	5	16.67	2	6.67	8	26.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	15	62.50	2	8.33	2	8.33	4	16.67	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	1	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	50	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	9	31.03	10	34.48	4	13.79	5	17.24	1	3.45	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	15	53.57	5	17.86	4	14.29	2	7.14	2	7.14	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	10	45.45	4	18.18	3	13.63	0	0	5	22.73	22	100





Barangay	Primary Earner										Total	
	Husband		Wife		Son		Daughter		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	2	28.67	3	42.86	0	0	0	0	2	28.67	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	10	41.67	3	12.5	4	16.67	3	12.5	4	16.67	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	13	43.33	3	10	1	3.33	0	0	13	43.33	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	22	73.33	6	20	2	6.67	0	0	0	0	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	5	45.45	1	9.09	4	36.36	1	9.09	0	0	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	1	11.11	1	11.11	2	22.22	1	11.11	4	44.44	9	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>48.86</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>14.63</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>11.11</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8.75</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>16.65</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

**Table 2.4-23. Impact Barangays: Monthly Income of the Respondents**

Barangay	MONTHLY INCOME												Total	
	P1,000 below		P1,001-P5,000		P5,001-10,000		P10,001-20,000		P20,001 Above		Others/No Answer		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
City of Cavite														
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	5	23.81	2	9.52	6	28.57	3	14.28	1	4.76	4	19.05	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	2	8.33	7	29.17	9	37.5	3	12.5	3	12.5	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	3	11.11	8	29.63	3	11.11	10	37.04	0	0	3	11.11	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	5	20.83	11	45.83	3	12.50	2	8.33	1	4.17	2	8.33	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	0	0	1	3.23	9	29.03	15	48.39	3	9.68	3	9.68	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	1	9.09	2	18.18	4	36.36	3	27.27	1	9.09	0	0	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	5	18.52	12	44.44	10	37.04	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	6	30	1	5	2	10	11	55	0	0	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	6	27.27	6	27.27	3	13.63	1	45.45	0	0	6	27.27	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	9	30	6	20	8	26.67	6	20	1	3.33	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	4	16.67	3	12.5	12	50	4	16.67	1	4.17	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	0	0	1	50	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	1	3.45	3	10.34	3	10.34	7	24.14	11	37.93	4	13.80	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	6	21.43	9	32.14	8	28.57	2	7.14	1	3.57	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	10	45.45	3	13.63	2	9.09	0	0	2	9.09	5	22.72	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	0	0	1	14.29	1	14.29	2	28.57	0	0	3	42.86	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	5	20.83	5	20.83	1	4.17	6	25	2	8.33	5	20.83	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	2	6.67	7	23.33	10	33.33	9	30	2	6.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	15	50	5	16.67	10	33.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	3	27.27	6	54.54	0	0	0	0	1	9.09	1	9.09	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	0	0	5	55.56	1	11.11	1	11.11	1	11.11	1	11.11	9	100
Total	75	15.54	104	25.49	104	20.64	94	22.06	37	7.32	39	9.65	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018



**Educational Attainment** – Table 2.4-24 shows that the largest percentage or 45.31% of the respondents are high school graduate followed by 25.08% elementary graduate and 13.11% vocational course graduates.

**Table 2.4-24. Impact Barangays: Educational Attainment**

Barangay	EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT										Total	
	Wala (None)		Elementary		High School		Vocational		College		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
City of Cavite												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	0	0	3	14.28	12	57.14	2	9.52	4	19.05	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	0	0	6	25	11	45.83	3	12.5	4	16.67	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	0	0	2	7.41	6	22.22	16	59.26	3	11.11	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	1	4.17	6	25	15	62.5	1	4.17	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	0	0	8	25.81	9	29.03	4	12.90	10	32.26	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	0	0	4	36.36	4	36.36	0	0	3	27.27	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	1	3.70	12	44.44	12	44.44	1	3.70	1	3.70	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	0	0	1	5	10	50	1	5	8	40	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	0	0	10	45.45	10	45.45	1	4.54	1	4.45	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	7	23.33	17	56.66	5	16.66	1	3.33	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	0	0	4	16.66	11	45.83	3	12.5	6	25	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	0	0	2	100	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	0	0	10	34.48	1	3.45	12	41.38	6	20.69	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	5	17.86	13	46.43	3	10.71	5	17.86	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	1	4.54	3	13.63	17	77.27	1	4.54	0	0	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	0	0	2	28.57	2	28.57	2	28.57	1	14.29	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	0	0	4	16.67	12	50	3	12.5	5	20.83	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	0	0	1	3.33	16	53.33	5	16.67	8	26.67	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	1	3.33	10	33.33	17	56.67	0	0	2	6.67	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	1	9.09	6	54.54	2	18.18	1	9.09	1	9.09	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	0	0	5	55.56	2	22.22	1	11.11	1	11.11	9	100
Total	7	1.52	109	25.08	201	45.31	65	13.11	71	14.96	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

## Health

**Sickness in the Family** – Based on the survey conducted, 24.83% of the household respondents have experienced one (1) sick family member for the past five years. 22.17% of the household respondents have experienced three (3) sick family members for the past five years (Table 2.4-25). Common sickness in the barangay as indicated by the household respondents are fever, cold, gastrointestinal disease, skin disease and heart disease (Table 2.4-26).

**Where do they Consult?** – Based from the result of the conducted survey, 37.13% of the household respondents opted to consult in the existing Barangay Health Centers while 23.32% opted to consult in Barangay Health Center.

See Table 2.4-27 on Where They Consult, for the survey data.

**Table 2.4-25. Number of Family Member Who Got Sick for the Past 5 Years**

Barangay	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBER WHO GOT SICK FOR THE PAST 5 YEARS												Total	
	1		2		3		4		5		NO ANSWER		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City														
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	5	23.81	8	38.10	2	9.52	2	9.52	0	0	4	19.05	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	10	41.67	1	4.17	3	12.5	4	16.67	6	25	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	1	3.70	12	44.44	12	44.44	1	3.70	1	3.70	0	0	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	10	41.67	1	4.17	3	12.5	4	16.67	6	25	0	0	24	100



Barangay	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBER WHO GOT SICK FOR THE PAST 5 YEARS												Total	
	1		2		3		4		5		NO ANSWER		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	0	0	0	0	8	25.81	9	29.03	4	12.90	10	32.26	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	2	18.18	5	45.45	1	9.09	1	9.09	0	0	2	18.18	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	12	44.44	7	25.92	3	11.11	0	0	1	3.70	4	14.81	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	10	50	10	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	7	31.81	4	18.18	4	18.18	1	4.54	0	0	6	27.27	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	0	0	15	50	0	0	15	50	0	0	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	16	66.67	5	20.83	2	8.33	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	1	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	4	13.79	0	0	10	34.48	0	0	15	51.72	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	5	17.86	13	46.43	3	10.71	5	17.86	0	0	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	9	40.90	3	13.63	2	9.09	0	0	4	18.18	4	18.18	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	0	0	1	14.29	2	28.57	0	0	1	14.29	3	42.86	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	0	0	0	0	12	50	0	0	12	50	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	15	50	5	16.67	5	16.67	3	10	2	6.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	6	20	10	33.33	2	6.67	2	6.67	10	33.3	0	0	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	5	45.45	0	0	0	0	2	18.18	0	0	4	36.36	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	2	22.22	3	33.33	2	22.22	2	22.22	0	0	0	0	9	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>24.83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>20.49</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>22.17</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7.67</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>14.87</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>9.95</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

Table 2.4-26. Malnourished Children for the Last Three Years in the Community

Barangay	Source of treatment for Illness of Respondents										Total	
	Gastrointestinal Disease		Cold		Fever		Others		No Answer		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	0	0	10	47.62	6	28.57	4	19.05	1	4.76	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	0	0	12	50	12	50	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	1	3.70	12	44.44	12	44.44	1	3.70	1	3.70	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	10	41.67	1	4.17	3	12.5	4	16.67	6	25	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	8	25.81	9	29.03	4	12.90	10	32.26	0	0	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	0	0	5	45.45	6	54.55	0	0	0	0	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	3	11.11	13	48.15	9	33.33	2	7.41	0	0	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	0	0	10	50	10	50	0	0	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	0	0	11	50	11	50	0	0	0	0	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	11	36.66	17	56.66	1	3.34	1	3.34	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	0	0	11	45.83	4	16.67	0	0	9	37.50	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	1	50	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	10	34.48	1	3.45	12	41.38	6	20.69	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	5	17.86	13	46.43	3	10.71	5	17.86	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	0	0	5	22.73	9	40.91	1	4.55	7	31.82	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	2	28.57	2	28.57	2	28.57	1	14.29	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	10	41.67	1	4.17	3	12.5	4	16.67	6	25	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	1	3.33	11	36.67	10	33.33	7	23.33	1	3.33	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	0	0	15	50	10	33.33	5	16.67	0	0	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	1	9.09	5	45.45	0	0	0	0	5	45.45	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	0	0	5	55.56	4	44.44	0	0	0	0	9	100
Total	48	9.84	156	36.47	158	35.26	49	9.02	42	9.42	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018



Table 2.4-27. Place of Treatment for illness of respondents

Barangay	Place of treatment for Illness of Respondents														Total	
	House		Barangay Health Center		Government Hospital		Private Clinic		Herbalist		Others		No Answer		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City																
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	4	19.05	12	57.14	3	14.29	2	9.52	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	1	4.17	15	62.50	6	25	2	8.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	3	11.11	13	48.15	9	33.33	2	7.41	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	5	20.83	5	20.83	1	4.17	6	25	0	0	5	20.83	2	8.33	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	0	0	1	3.23	9	29.03	15	48.39	0	0	3	9.68	3	9.68	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	1	9.09	8	72.73	1	9.09	1	9.09	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	3	11.11	8	29.63	3	11.11	10	37.04	0	0	0	0	3	11.11	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	1	5	7	35	1	5	9	45	2	10	0	0	0	0	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	1	4.55	15	68.18	2	9.09	2	9.09	0	0	2	9.09	0	0	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	9	30	6	20	8	26.67	0	0	1	3.33	6	20	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	0	0	5	20.83	12	50	6	24	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	0	0	1	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	1	3.45	3	10.34	3	10.34	7	24.14	11	37.93	4	13.80	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	6	21.43	9	32.14	8	28.57	0	0	1	3.57	2	7.14	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	2	9.09	7	31.81	7	31.81	3	13.63	0	0	3	13.63	0	0	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	0	0	1	14.29	1	14.29	2	28.57	0	0	3	42.86	0	0	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	5	20.83	5	20.83	1	4.17	6	25	2	8.33	5	20.83	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	0	0	15	50	0	0	15	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	4	13.33	15	50	5	16.67	0	0	0	0	6	20	0	0	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	0	0	3	27.27	3	27.27	1	9.09	4	36.36	0	0	0	0	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	1	11.11	5	55.56	2	22.22	1	11.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	100
Total	34	7.14	159	37.13	84	19.85	107	23.32	20	4.61	33	7.51	4	0.44	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

**Environmental Health and Sanitation** - Based on the perception survey conducted, majority of the total respondents has access to sanitation facilities

Table 2.4-28. Type of Toilet Facility Used by Respondents

Barangay	Type of Toilet Facility Used by Respondents										Total	
	None		Water-sealed (pour flush)		Water-sealed (with flush tank)		Antipolo Type		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	0	0	19	90.47	1	4.76	0	0	1	4.76	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	0	0	23	95.83	0	0	0	0	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	0	0	2	7.41	6	22.22	16	59.26	3	11.11	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	1	4.17	6	25	15	62.5	1	4.17	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	0	0	8	25.81	9	29.03	4	12.90	10	32.26	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	0	0	2	18.18	0	0	0	0	9	81.82	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	0	0	25	92.59	1	3.71	0	0	1	3.71	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	0	0	6	30	12	60	0	0	2	10	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	0	0	17	77.27	0	0	0	0	5	22.72	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	7	23.33	17	56.66	5	16.66	1	3.33	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	0	0	23	95.83	0	0	0	0	1	4.17	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	1	50	0	0	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	0	0	10	34.48	1	3.45	12	41.38	6	20.69	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	5	17.86	13	46.43	3	10.71	5	17.86	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	0	0	20	90.91	0	0	0	0	2	9.09	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	0	0	2	28.57	2	28.57	2	28.57	1	14.29	7	100





Barangay	Type of Toilet Facility Used by Respondents										Total	
	None		Water-sealed (pour flush)		Water-sealed (with flush tank)		Antipolo Type		Others		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	0	0	4	16.67	12	50	3	12.5	5	20.83	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	0	0	17	56.67	7	23.33	0	0	6	20	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	0	0	10	33.33	1	3.33	4	13.33	15	50	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)			10	90.91	0	0	0	0	1	9.09	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	0	0	3	33	0	0	0	0	6	66.67	9	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>49.24</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>21.14</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>19.56</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018



## Perception of the Community

### Knowledge About the Proposed Project

**Table 2.4-29** shows the frequency of the respondents who answered that they had prior knowledge and idea about the Proposed Cavite Land Reclamation and Development Project. Out of the 453 respondents, 187 or 43.41% of them answered Yes, while 213 or 43.08% answered No, and the remaining 53 or 13.51% had no responses.

**Table 2.4-29. Household Knowledge about the Proposed Project**

Barangay	KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE PROPOSED PROJECT						Total	
	Yes		No		No Answer		No. of HH surveyed	%
	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%	No. of HH surveyed	%		
Cavite City								
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	18	85.71	2	9.52	1	4.76	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	17	70.83	3	12.5	4	16.67	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	10	37.04	17	62.96	0	0	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	9	37.5	15	62.5	0	0	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	6	19.35	25	80.65	0	0	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	2	18.18	5	45.45	4	36.36	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	10	37.04	17	62.96	0	0	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	17	85	1	5	2	10	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	3	13.63	15	68.18	4	18.18	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	8	26.67	12	40	10	33.33	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	21	87.50	3	12.5	0	0	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	1	50	1	50	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	14	48.28	15	51.72	0	0	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	10	35.71	18	64.29	0	0	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	3	13.63	15	68.18	4	18.18	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	3	42.86	2	28.57	2	28.57	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	15	62.50	9	37.50	0	0	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	7	23.33	16	53.33	7	23.33	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	2	6.67	20	66.67	8	26.67	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	6	54.54	0	0	5	45.45	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	5	55.56	2	22.22	2	22.22	9	100
Total	187	43.41	213	43.08	53	13.51	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

Majority of the respondents answered that their source of information about the Proposed Cavite Land Reclamation and Development Project was learned from the barangay with a frequency of 141 or 34.60% while 31 or 6.27% answered that they heard the project from their neighbor, 21 or 6.81% answered that they



also heard the project from the media, 14 or 2.89% answered that they learned the project from IEC and the remaining 246 respondents or 49.41% had no response. See **Table 2.4-30**.

**Table 2.4-30. Household Source of Information about the Proposed Project**

Barangay	SOURCE OF INFORMATION										Total	
	Neighbor		Barangay		IEC by Proponent		Media		No Answer		No. of HH survey ed	%
	No. of HH	%	No. of HH	%	No. of HH	%	No. of HH	%	No. of HH	%		
Cavite City												
Barangay 8 (Manuel S. Rojas)	1	4.76	10	47.62	5	23.81	3	14.29	2	9.52	21	100
Barangay 11 (Lawin)	2	8.33	11	45.83	1	4.17	5	20.83	5	20.83	24	100
Barangay 13 (Aguila)	1	3.70	12	44.44	1	3.70	1	3.70	12	44.44	27	100
Barangay 14 (Loro)	0	0	11	45.83	4	16.67	0	0	9	37.50	24	100
Barangay 5 (Hen E. Evangelista)	8	25.81	9	29.03	0	0	0	0	14	45.16	31	100
Barangay 6 (Diego Silang)	0	0	4	36.36	0	0	0	0	7	63.63	11	100
Barangay 7 (Kapitan Kong)	3	11.11	13	48.15	0	0	2	7.41	9	33.33	27	100
Barangay 10-M (Kingfisher)	0	0	18	90	0	0	0	0	2	10	20	100
Barangay 10-A (Kingfisher A)	1	4.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	95.45	22	100
Barangay 10-B (Kingfisher B)	0	0	4	13.33	0	0	0	0	26	86.67	30	100
Barangay 22-A (Leo A)	0	0	15	62.50	0	0	3	12.50	6	25	24	100
Barangay 27 (Sagittarius)	0	0	1	50	0	0	1	50	0	0	2	100
Barangay 28 (Taurus)	10	34.48	1	3.45	0	0	0	0	18	62.07	29	100
Barangay 57 (Repolyo)	2	7.14	5	17.86	0	0	0	0	21	75	28	100
Barangay 37-A (Cadena de Amor A)	0	0	5	22.72	0	0	0	0	17	77.27	22	100
Barangay 58-A (Patola A)	2	28.57	2	28.57	0	0	1	14.29	2	28.57	7	100
Barangay 58-M (Patola M)	0	0	1	4.17	3	12.5	4	16.67	16	66.67	24	100
Barangay 61 (Talong; Poblacion)	1	3.33	4	13.33	0	0	1	3.33	24	80	30	100
Barangay 61-A (Talong A; Poblacion)	0	0	4	13.33	0	0	0	0	26	86.67	30	100
Barangay 62 (Kangkong; Poblacion)	0	0	6	54.54	0	0	0	0	5	45.45	11	100
Barangay 62-A (Kangkong A; Poblacion)	0	0	5	55.56	0	0	0	0	4	44.44	9	100
Total	31	6.27	141	34.60	14	2.89	21	6.81	246	49.41	453	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

- **Perceived Impacts**

The respondents were also asked on their view on the possible beneficial and adverse impacts of the proposed Cavite Reclamation Project. As far as the perceived benefits is concerned, top answers are on livelihood and business opportunities, improvement of roads and other infrastructure, additional tax, good service of the



government and water services. On the other hand, perceived adverse impacts are traffic, water pollution, loss of job, tsunami, corruption, loss of fresh air from the Bay, flood, death of marine species and loss of view.

**Table 2.4-31. Possible beneficial impacts of the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project**

<b>Answers</b>	1. Livelihood and business opportunities
	2. Improvement of roads and other infrastructure
	3. Land taxes
	4. Improvement of government services
	5. Improvement of water services

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

**Table 2.4-32. Adverse Impacts of the Proposed Cavite Reclamation Project**

<b>Answers</b>	1. Increased traffic
	2. Flooding
	3. Health and safety hazard
	4. Air, water and land pollution
	5. Generation of wastes
	6. Loss of plants, trees and other infrastructure
	7. Loss of existing livelihood

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2018

## ENHANCED PERCEPTION SURVEY

Further and enhanced household perception surveys were made post the activities under DAO 2017-15, in compliance with the requirements of the Review Committee Members (REVCOM).

The discussions below are based on the households perception survey conducted last January to March 2019. The tables below present the summary of the Perception Survey conducted for the Proposed Project

**Age Distribution, by Sex** - In terms of age and sex distribution, in the City of Cavite covering the 17 impact barangays majority of the respondents are male while in the municipality of Kawit covering 10 barangays almost 50% of the respondents are female. The data on sex distribution are presented in Table 2.4-33.

**Table 2.4-33. Impact Barangays: Sex Distribution**

Barangay	FEMALE		MALE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>								
BRGY 5	16	94.12	1	5.88	0	0	17	100
BRGY 7	30	75.00	10	25.00	0	0	40	100
BRGY 8	22	91.67	2	8.33	0	0	24	100
BRGY 10A	14	87.50	2	12.50	0	0	16	100
BRGY 10B	59	66.29	30	33.71	0	0	89	100
BRGY 11	14	87.50	2	12.50	0	0	16	100
BRGY 14	20	83.33	4	16.67	0	0	24	100
BRGY 61A	35	92.11	3	7.89	0	0	38	100
BRGY 6	11	84.62	2	15.38	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	5	71.43	2	28.57	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	8	88.89	1	11.11	0	0	9	100
BRGY 13	24	70.59	10	29.41	0	0	34	100
BRGY 61	131	84.52	24	15.48	0	0	155	100
BRGY 62	76	73.79	27	26.21	0	0	103	100
BRGY 6	11	84.62	2	15.38	0	0	13	100
BRGY 13	24	70.59	10	29.41	0	0	34	100
BRGY 62-M	67	56.78	51	43.22	0	0	118	100





**CHAPTER TWO**  
**THE PEOPLE**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>								
SANTA ISABEL	76	31	171	69	0	0	247	100
WAKAS II	111	48	119	52	0	0	230	100
KAINGEN	106	47	116	52	2	1	224	100
MARULAS	36	28	93	72	0	0	129	100
BINAKAYAN- APLAYA	22	88	3	12	0	0	25	100
POLVORISTA	30	23	103	77	0	0	133	100
POBLACION	48	74	17	26	0	0	65	100
PANAMITAN	48	40	72	60	0	0	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	327	81	78	19	0	0	405	100
CONGBALAY	264	60	168	38	5	1	437	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1635</b>	<b>59.13</b>	<b>1123</b>	<b>40.61</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>2765</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



Table 2.4-34. Impact Barangays: Age Distribution

Barangay	15-20		21-30		31-40		41-50		51-60		61-70		71 Above		No Answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
Cavite City																		
BRGY 5	0	0.00	1	5.88	4	23.53	8	47.06	3	17.65	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	0	0.00	7	17.50	7	17.50	6	15.00	5	12.50	6	15.00	2	5.00	7	17.50	40	100
BRGY 8	0	0.00	3	12.00	3	12.00	2	8.00	5	20.00	4	16.00	0	0.00	8	32.00	25	100
BRGY 10A	0	0.00	5	31.25	4	25.00	2	12.50	4	25.00	1	6.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	0	0.00	9	10.11	17	19.10	17	19.10	14	15.73	10	11.24	4	4.49	18	20.22	89	100
BRGY 11	1	6.25	0	0.00	1	6.25	6	37.50	5	31.25	0	0.00	1	6.25	2	12.50	16	100
BRGY 14	0	0.00	4	16.67	5	20.83	7	29.17	3	12.50	2	8.33	0	0.00	3	12.50	24	100
BRGY 61A	0	0.00	6	18.18	13	39.39	8	24.24	2	6.06	2	6.06	0	0.00	2	6.06	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	1	7.69	3	23.08	5	38.46	4	30.77	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0.00	1	14.29	0	0.00	2	28.57	3	42.86	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29	7	100
BRGY 22-A	2	22.22	0	0.00	1	11.11	1	11.11	3	33.33	1	11.11	1	11.11	0	0.00	9	100
BRGY 13	1	2.33	4	9.30	7	16.28	5	11.63	8	18.60	7	16.28	0	0.00	11	25.58	43	100
BRGY 61	3	1.94	28	18.06	36	23.23	19	12.26	38	24.52	12	7.74	3	1.94	16	10.32	155	100
BRGY 62	4	3.88	29	28.16	17	16.50	20	19.42	14	13.59	6	5.82	2	1.94	11	10.68	103	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	1	7.69	3	23.08	5	38.46	4	30.77	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	1	2.33	4	9.30	7	16.28	5	11.63	8	18.60	7	16.28	0	0.00	11	25.58	43	100
BRGY 62-M	1	0.85	7	5.98	11	9.40	34	29.06	34	29.06	13	11.11	6	5.13	11	9.40	117	100
TOTAL	13	1.70	110	14.42	139	18.22	152	19.92	157	20.58	72	9.44	19	2.49	101	13.24	763	100
Municipality of Kawit																		
SANTA ISABEL	6	2.34	41	16.02	55	21.48	27	10.55	34	13.28	14	5.47	9	3.52	70	27.34	256	100
WAKAS II	4	1.29	55	17.68	50	16.08	48	15.43	55	17.68	29	9.32	16	5.14	54	17.36	311	100
KAINGEN	10	4.46	30	13.39	30	13.39	30	13.39	26	11.61	20	8.93	16	7.14	62	27.68	224	100
MARULAS	3	2.33	26	20.16	19	14.73	29	22.48	19	14.73	12	9.30	5	3.88	16	12.40	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	0	0.00	4	16.00	4	16.00	5	20.00	2	8.00	1	4.00	4	16.00	5	20.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	3	2.65	17	15.04	27	23.89	17	15.04	10	8.85	7	6.20	5	4.42	27	23.89	113	100
POBLACION	4	6.15	6	9.23	9	13.85	9	13.85	3	4.62	6	9.23	1	1.54	27	41.54	65	100
PANAMITAN	1	0.83	15	12.50	13	10.83	26	21.67	23	19.17	16	13.33	8	6.67	18	15.00	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	6	1.48	64	15.80	120	29.63	99	24.44	62	15.31	28	6.91	11	2.72	15	3.70	405	100
CONGBALAY	9	2.06	81	18.54	116	26.54	92	21.05	50	11.44	20	4.58	4	0.92	65	14.87	437	100
TOTAL	46	2.21	339	16.26	443	21.25	382	18.32	284	13.62	153	7.34	79	3.79	359	17.22	2085	100

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Ethnicity** - In Cavite City and Kawit mostly of the respondents are Tagalog or native of Cavite (88.16% for Cavite and 79.52% in Kawit) and some are migrants from other provinces like Pampanga.

Table 2.4-35. Impact Barangays: Ethnicity

Barangay	TAGALOG / CAVITEÑO		VISAYAN		ILOCANO		KAPAMPANGAN		BICOLANO		OTHERS		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
Cavite City																
BRGY 5	16	94.12	1	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100
BRGY 7	38	95	1	2.5	1	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	100
BRGY 8	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
BRGY 10A	16	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	100
BRGY 10B	85	95.51	4	4.49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89	100
BRGY 11	16	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	100
BRGY 14	22	91.67	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	24	100



Barangay	TAGALOG / CAVITEÑO		VISAYAN		ILOCANO		KAPAMPANGAN		BICOLANO		OTHERS		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
BRGY 61A	30	96.77	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.23	0	0	0	0	31	100
BRGY 6	9	69.23	1	7.69	0	0	0	0	2	15.38	1	7.69	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	9	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	100
BRGY 13	34	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	100
BRGY 61	123	79.35	21	13.55	0	0	1	0.64	0	0	3	1.94	7	4.52	155	100
BRGY 62	80	82.47	13	13.4	0	0	0	0	3	3.09	0	0	1	1.03	97	100
BRGY 6	9	69.23	1	7.69	0	0	0	0	2	15.38	1	7.69	0	0	13	100
BRGY 13	34	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	100
BRGY 62-M	106	85.48	14	11.29	3	2.42	0	0	1	0.81	0	0	0	0	124	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>88.16</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>8.08</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>																
SANTA ISABEL	184	74.49	47	19.03	6	2.43	0	0	0	0	7	2.83	3	1.21	247	100
WAKAS II	278	89.39	22	7.07	5	1.61	0	0	0	0	2	0.64	4	1.29	311	100
KAINGEN	72	59.02	37	30.33	4	3.28	3	2.46	2	1.64	1	0.82	3	2.46	122	100
MARULAS	121	93.8	6	4.65	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1.55	0	0	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	17	65.38	7	26.92	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7.69	0	0	26	100
POLVORISTA	86	78.18	17	15.45	1	0.91	3	2.73		0	3	2.73	0	0	110	100
POBLACION	61	93.85	3	4.62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.54	65	100
PANAMITAN	103	85.83	7	5.83	2	1.67	2	1.67	0	0	6	5	0	0	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	315	71.59	119	27.05	4	0.91	0	0	2	0.45	0	0	0	0	440	100
CONGBALAY	355	82.18	66	15.28	2	0.46	0	0	0	0	4	0.93	5	1.16	432	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1592</b>	<b>79.52</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>16.53</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Dialect Spoken** - In Cavite City and Kawit mostly of the dialect spoken by the respondents are Tagalog (70% for Cavite and 84% in Kawit ) some of the respondents are from other provinces and speaks in Kapampangan, Bicolano, Visayan and Ilokano.

**Table 2.4-36. Impact Barangays: Dialect**

Barangay	TAGALOG		CEBUANO		HILIGAYNON		WARAY		BICOLANO		ILOKO		KAPAMPANGAN		OTHERS		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																				
BRGY 5	1	6	1	6	0	0	3	18	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	35	5	29	17	100
BRGY 7	32	80	2	5	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	40	100
BRGY 8	23	96	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	100
BRGY 10A	7	44	1	6	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	38	16	100
BRGY 10B	60	67	1	1	0	0	5	6	1	1	1	1	0	0	6	7	15	17	89	100
BRGY 11	3	19	1	6	0	0	1	6	3	19	0	0	0	0	4	25	4	25	16	100
BRGY 14	16	67	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	21	24	100
BRGY 61A	14	42	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	12	0	0	0	0	2	6	12	36	33	100



Barangay	TAGALOG		CEBUANO		HILIGAYNON		WARAY		BICOLANO		ILOKO		KAPAMPANGAN		OTHERS		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH surveyed	%
BRGY 6	10	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	6	86	7	100
BRGY 22-A	9	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	100
BRGY 13	15	44	0	0	0	0	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	15	44	34	100
BRGY 61	116	75	2	1	2	1	8	5	6	4	0	0	0	0	7	5	13	8	154	100
BRGY 62	82	80	3	3	0	0	6	6	4	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	5	102	100
BRGY 6	10	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 13	15	44	0	0	0	0	3	9	0	0	0	0	1	3	15	44	0	0	34	100
BRGY 62-M	117	91	3	2	2	2	0	0	4	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>753</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>																				
SANTA ISABEL	200	81	10	4	0	0	25	10	8	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	247	100
WAKAS II	285	92	3	1	4	1	5	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	5	2	5	2	311	100
KAINGEN	145	65	35	16	23	10	10	4	5	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	224	100
MARULAS	117	90	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	2	2	0	0	6	5	0	0	130	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	21	84	1	4	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	25	100
POLVORISTA	102	85	3	3	0	0	11	9	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	100
POBLACION	60	92	0	0	0	0	3	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	100
PANAMITAN	108	89	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	4	0	0	121	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	315	85	22	6	0	0	28	8	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	369	100
CONGBALAY	383	85	16	4	1	0	21	5	7	2	0	0	0	0	11	2	12	3	451	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1736</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2063</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Civil Status** - Data coming from the conducted EIA survey are used to present the baseline condition of the impact barangay residents where majority or 67.54% of the respondents from Cavite City are married and 68% are also married from Kawit. The rest are single, lived-in, single parents or widower.

**Table 2.4-37. Impact Barangays: Marital Status**

Barangay	DALAGA/ BINATA		MAY ASAWA		BYUDA/ BYUDO		HIWALAY		LIVE-IN		OTHERS		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																
BRGY 5	0	0	15	88.24	1	5.88	1	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100
BRGY 7	6	14.63	25	60.98	5	12.2	2	4.88	0	0	1	2.44	2	4.88	41	100
BRGY 8	1	4.17	16	66.67	3	12.5	2	8.33	0	0	0	0	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 10A	2	12.5	10	62.5	2	12.5	1	6.25	1	6.25	0	0	0	0	16	100
BRGY 10B	3	12	14	56	4	16	2	8	0	0	2	8	0	0	25	100
BRGY 11	1	6.67	13	86.67	1	6.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	100
BRGY 14	2	8.33	17	70.83	3	12.5	1	4.17	0	0	1	4.17	0	0	24	100
BRGY 61A	1	3.03	27	81.82	0	0	1	3.03	0	0	2	6.06	2	6.06	33	100





Barangay	DALAGA/ BINATA		MAY ASAWA		BYUDA/ BYUDO		HIWALAY		LIVE-IN		OTHERS		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
BRGY 6	0	0	11	84.62	0	0	2	15.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0	5	71.43	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	3	33.33	4	44.44	0	0	1	11.11	0	0	1	11.11	0	0	9	100
BRGY 13	1	2.94	25	73.53	6	17.65	2	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	100
BRGY 61	16	9.94	108	67.08	12	7.45	1	0.62	6	3.73	15	9.32	3	1.86	161	100
BRGY 62	9	8.41	76	71.03	7	6.54	6	5.61	3	2.8	3	2.8	3	2.8	107	100
BRGY 6	0	0	11	84.62	0	0	2	15.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 13	1	2.94	25	73.53	6	17.65	2	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	100
BRGY 62-M	17	14.53	64	54.7	22	18.8	9	7.69	4	3.42	1	0.85	0	0	117	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>9.13</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>67.54</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>10.58</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>5.07</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3.91</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1.74</b>	<b>690</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>																
SANTA ISABEL	18	7	182	74	27	11	15	6	0	0	3	1	2	1	247	100
WAKAS II	21	7	234	75	33	11	15	5	0	0	5	2	3	1	311	100
KAINGEN	60	27	119	53	20	9	10	4	5	2	5	2	5	2	224	100
MARULAS	12	9	78	60	15	12	13	10		0	8	6	3	2	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	3	12	14	56	4	16	2	8	0	0	2	8	0	0	25	100
POLVORISTA	14	12	82	73	12	11	4	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	113	100
POBLACION	15	23	39	60	5	8	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	100
PANAMITAN	19	16	82	68	11	9	5	4	0	0	1	1	2	2	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	10	2	291	72	37	9	20	5	12	3	18	4	17	4	405	100
CONGBALAY	79	19	265	64	46	11	14	3	0	0	5	1	4	1	413	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1386</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2052</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Religious Affiliations** - Results of the survey indicated that 90.75 or % or 676 of the respondents in Cavite City and 89.34 or 1,835 of the respondents from Kawit are Roman Catholic followed by Protestant, Christian, INC and affiliates of the Islam, as presented in table below:

**Table 2.4-38. Impact Barangays: Religion**

Barangay	Roman Catholic		Protestant		Aglipayan		INC		Islam		Christian		Others		No Answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																		
BRGY 5	16	94.12	0	0	0	0	1	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100
BRGY 7	39	97.5	0	0	0	0	1	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	100
BRGY 8	20	83.33	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	12.5	0	0	0	0	24	100
BRGY 10A	16	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	100
BRGY 10B	81	91.01	2	2.25	0	0	2	2.25	0	0	0	0	1	1.12	3	3.37	89	100
BRGY 11	14	87.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	12.5	16	100



Barangay	Roman Catholic		Protestant		Aglipayan		INC		Islam		Christian		Others		No Answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
BRGY 14	26	92.86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7.14	0	0	28	100
BRGY 61A	30	90.91	1	3.03	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.03	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 6	8	61.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	38.46	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	8	88.89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11.11	9	100
BRGY 13	30	88.24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.94	3	8.82	0	0	34	100
BRGY 61	144	92.31	1	0.64	0	0	6	3.85	0	0	0	0	4	2.56	1	0.64	156	100
BRGY 62	95	92.23	0	0	0	0	5	4.85	0	0	0	0	3	2.91	0	0	103	100
BRGY 6	8	61.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	38.46	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 13	30	88.24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.94	3	8.82	0	0	34	100
BRGY 62-M	104	88.89	1	0.85	0	0	4	3.42	0	0	3	2.56	5	4.27	0	0	117	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>90.25</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2.54</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2.94</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>																		
SANTA ISABEL	230	93	0	0	0	0	11	4	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	247	100
WAKAS II	292	94	4	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	6	2	5	2	311	100
KAINGEN	185	83	2	1	0	0	5	2	1	0	5	2	22	10	4	2	224	100
MARULAS	117	89	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0		0	8	6	4	3	131	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	20	87	1	4	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	23	100
POLVORISTA	97	85	2	2	0	0	6	5	0	0	5	4	4	4	0	0	114	100
POBLACION	56	86	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	1	2	0	0	65	100
PANAMITAN	117	98	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	375	93	5	1	0	0	8	2	0	0	3	1	13	3	1	0	405	100
CONGBALAY	346	84	31	7	3	1	14	3	0	0	0	0	17	4	3	1	414	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1835</b>	<b>89.34</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>2.39</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>2054</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



**Educational Characteristics and Literacy** – About 55.59% of the total respondents from Cavite City graduated from High School, 26.24 graduated from Elementary followed by 9.69% who graduated from College and 1.75% have undergone post graduate studies and less than 1% opted to answer the survey while in Kawit, 85% graduated in High School, 2% graduated in elementary and 15% says they graduated in college, 1% took post graduate studies and 1% opted to answer the survey. See Table 2.4-39 below.

**Table 2.4-39. Impact Barangays: Educational Attainment**

Barangay	NONE		ELEMENTARY		HIGH SCHOOL		VOCATIONAL		COLLEGE		POST GRADUATE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																
BRGY 5	0	0	8	47.06	9	52.94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100
BRGY 7	0	0	19	47.5	19	47.5	1	2.5	0	0	1	2.5	0	0	40	100
BRGY 8	0	0	8	33.33	15	62.5	0	0	1	4.17	0	0	0	0	24	100
BRGY 10A	0	0	7	43.75	7	43.75	0	0	2	12.5	0	0	0	0	16	100
BRGY 10B	2	2.25	22	24.72	56	62.92	5	5.62	4	4.49	0	0	0	0	89	100
BRGY 11	0	0	3	20	10	66.67	0	0	2	13.33	0	0	0	0	15	100
BRGY 14	0	0	4	16.67	15	62.5	1	4.17	3	12.5	1	4.17	0	0	24	100
BRGY 61A	1	3.03	7	21.21	21	63.64	1	3.03	2	6.06	0	0	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0	3	23.08	9	69.23	0	0	1	7.69	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	1	14.29	3	42.86	2	28.57	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	0	0	2	22.22	4	44.44	0	0	2	22.22	0	0	1	11.11	9	100
BRGY 13	0	0	5	14.71	23	67.65	2	5.88	4	11.76	0	0	0	0	34	100
BRGY 61	0	0	38	24.52	85	54.84	9	5.81	16	10.32	6	3.87	1	0.65	155	100
BRGY 62	2	1.94	41	39.81	51	49.51	3	2.91	6	5.83	0	0	0	0	103	100
BRGY 6	0	0	3	23.08	9	69.23	0	0	1	7.69	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 13	0	0	5	14.71	23	67.65	2	5.88	4	11.76	0	0	0	0	34	100
BRGY 62-M	1	0.854701	17	14.53	55	47.01	16	13.68	23	19.66	5	4.27	0	0	117	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.94</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>26.24</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>55.59</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5.38</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>9.69</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>																



Barangay	NONE		ELEMENTARY		HIGH SCHOOL		VOCATIONAL		COLLEGE		POST GRADUATE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%
SANTA ISABEL	12	4.86	92	37.25	115	46.56	7	2.83	18	7.29	0	0	3	1.21	247	100
WAKAS II	10	3.22	77	24.76	178	57.23	6	1.93	33	10.61	2	0.64	5	1.61	311	100
KAINGEN	4	1.79	24	10.71	84	37.5	16	7.14	79	35.27	15	6.7	2	0.89	224	100
MARULAS	0	0	14	10.85	73	56.59	12	9.3	30	23.26	0	0	0	0	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	1	4	6	24	15	60	1	4	2	8	0	0	0	0	25	100
POLVORISTA	2	1.79	49	43.75	43	38.39	6	5.36	12	10.71	0	0	0	0	112	100
POBLACION	0	0	10	14.29	39	55.71	4	5.71	16	22.86	0	0	1	1.43	70	100
PANAMITAN	2	1.67	13	10.83	68	56.67	11	9.17	26	21.67	0	0	0	0	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	3	0.74	175	43.21	194	47.9	8	1.98	24	5.93	0	0	1	0.25	405	100
CONGBALAY	15	3.79	92	23.23	204	51.52	14	3.54	59	14.9	8	2.02	4	1.01	396	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1013</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2039</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

## Socio-Cultural Profile

**Length of Stay** – In Cavite City mostly of the respondents resides for almost 21 to 30 years. While in Kawit mostly of them says that they are naturally born in Kawit. The table below shows the length of stay of the respondents both in Cavite and Kawit.

**Table 2.4-40. Impact Barangays: Length of Residency**

Barangay	SINCE BIRTH		1-10		11-20		21-30		31-40		41-50		51-60		61-ABOVE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																				
BRGY 5	3	17.65	3	17.65	5	29.41	4	23.53	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	5.88	17	100
BRGY 7	15	37.50	8	20.00	2	5.00	5	12.50	5	12.50	1	2.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	10.00	40	100
BRGY 8	3	12.50	4	16.67	6	25.00	4	16.67	4	16.67	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	12.50	24	100
BRGY 10A	0	0.00	4	25.00	3	18.75	2	12.50	2	12.50	3	18.75	2	12.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100





## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)

Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	SINCE BIRTH		1-10		11-20		21-30		31-40		41-50		51-60		61-ABOVE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%
BRGY 10B	13	14.61	12	13.48	18	20.22	14	15.73	18	20.22	6	6.74	5	5.62	0	0.00	3	3.37	89	100
BRGY 11	0	0	3	18.75	1	6.25	0	0.00	4	25.00	3	18.75	4	25.00	1	6.25	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	6	25.00	3	12.50	7	29.17	3	12.50	3	12.50	1	4.17	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	4.17	24	100
BRGY 61A	0	0	6	18.18	12	36.36	12	36.36	2	6.06	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 6	2	15.38	2	15.38	8	61.54	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29	4	57.14	1	14.29	1	14.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	4	44.44	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	22.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	11.11	2	22.22	9	100
BRGY 61	16	47.06	3	8.82	5	14.71	4	11.76	2	5.88	3	8.82	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.94	34	100
BRGY 62	11	6.88	23	14.38	43	26.88	44	27.50	17	10.63	0	0.00	1	0.63	0	0.00	21	13.13	160	100
BRGY 6	26	34.67	10	13.33	11	14.67	12	16.00	8	10.67	5	6.67	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	4.00	75	100
BRGY 13	2	15.38	2	15.38	8	61.54	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 62-M	16	47.06	3	8.82	5	14.71	4	11.76	2	5.88	3	8.82	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.94	34	100
BRGY 62-M	11	9.24	12	10.08	12	10.08	16	13.45	19	15.97	22	18.49	11	9.24	3	2.52	13	10.92	119	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>17.70</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>13.55</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>20.33</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>17.98</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>12.45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>6.64</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>3.18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>7.47</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>																				
SANTA ISABEL	36	14.88	116	47.93	10	4.13	14	5.79	8	3.31	5	2.07	3	1.24	3	1.24	47	19.42	242	100
WAKAS II	114	36.66	22	7.07	17	5.47	29	9.32	35	11.25	21	6.75	11	3.54	3	0.96	59	18.97	311	100
KAINGEN	40	17.86	40	17.86	20	8.93	16	7.14	20	8.93	12	5.36	13	5.80	5	2.23	58	25.89	224	100
MARULAS	55	42.64	15	11.63	13	10.08	14	10.85	4	3.10	2	1.55	0	0.00	1	0.78	25	19.38	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	12	48	3	12.00	0	0.00	1	4.00	2	8.00	1	4.00	1	4.00	0	0.00	5	20.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	0	0	15	13.04	30	26.09	12	10.43	20	17.39	10	8.70	2	1.74	5	4.35	21	18.26	115	100
POBLACION	35	52.24	10	14.93	6	8.96	4	5.97	2	2.99	0	0.00	1	1.49	0	0.00	9	13.43	67	100
PANAMITAN	57	47.5	15	12.5	8	6.67	3	2.5	7	5.83	5	4.17	1	0.83	2	1.67	22	18.33	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	77	19.01	47	11.60	72	17.78	112	27.65	63	15.56	21	5.19	3	0.74	2	0.49	8	1.98	405	100
CONGBALAY	82	18.51	41	9.26	54	12.19	83	18.74	29	6.55	25	5.64	18	4.06	12	2.71	99	22.35	443	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>24.41</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>15.57</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>11.05</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>13.84</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>9.13</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>4.90</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2.55</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>16.96</b>	<b>2081</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



**Place of Birth and Origin** – In Cavite City 51.31% of the respondents was born outside Cavite City while 34.34% was born within the City and the remaining 14.34 % choose not to answer the survey. However in Kawit, 47% also of the respondents were born outside the municipality and 33% was born within the municipality, the remaining 20% remains also not to answer the survey.

**Table 2.4-41. Impact Barangays: Birth Place**

Barangay	BORN OUTSIDE THE MUNICIPALITY		BORN WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>								
BRGY 5	13	76.47	3	17.65	1	5.88	17	100
BRGY 7	15	37.50	22	55.00	3	7.50	40	100
BRGY 8	12	50.00	9	37.50	3	12.50	24	100
BRGY 10A	9	56.25	4	25.00	3	18.75	16	100
BRGY 10B	44	49.44	25	28.09	20	22.47	89	100
BRGY 11	6	37.50	2	12.50	8	50.00	16	100
BRGY 14	16	69.57	6	26.09	1	4.35	23	100
BRGY 61A	9	27.27	20	60.61	4	12.12	33	100
BRGY 6	7	53.85	6	46.15	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	2	28.57	2	28.57	3	42.86	7	100
BRGY 22-A	1	10.00	7	70.00	2	20.00	10	100
BRGY 13	10	29.41	23	67.65	1	2.94	34	100
BRGY 61	107	69.03	22	14.19	26	16.77	155	100
BRGY 62	38	40.86	47	50.54	8	8.60	93	100
BRGY 6	7	53.85	6	46.15	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	10	29.41	23	67.65	1	2.94	34	100
BRGY 62-M	66	61.11	22	20.37	20	18.52	108	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>51.31</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>34.34</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>14.34</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Municipality of Kawit</b>								
SANTA ISABEL	153	62	42	17	52	21	247	100
WAKAS II	89	29	162	52	60	19	311	100
KAINGEN	95	42	54	24	75	33	224	100
MARULAS	48	37	55	43	26	20	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	8	32	12	48	5	20	25	100
POLVORISTA	53	47	33	29	27	24	113	100
POBLACION	20	31	38	58	7	11	65	100
PANAMITAN	40	33	59	49	21	18	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	281	68	119	29	14	3	414	100
CONGBALAY	175	43	114	28	119	29	408	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>962</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2056</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



## Socio-economic Profile

**Sources of Income, Income Levels and Poverty Incidence** - Sources of income of the respondents are generally from fishing. Most of the respondents are Jeepney driver, security guard, sales clerk, sales lady, vendor, sari-sari store vendor, cashier, bank teller and entrepreneur.

In terms of occupation of sources of income, Tables 2.4-42 and 2.4-43 shows the major sources of income of Cavite City and Kawit.

**Table 2.4-42. Impact Barangays: Occupation**

Barangay	PAGSASAKA		PANGINGISDA		EMPLEYADO		KONTRAKWAL		NAGTITINDA/ NAGBEBENTA		SARILING NEGOSYO NG PAMILYA		MAY OFW NA KAMAG ANAK		IBA PA		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
Cavite City																				
BRGY 5	0	0	2	12	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	14	82	0	0	17	100
BRGY 7	0	0	7	18	2	5	3	8	6	15	0	0	0	0	16	40	6	15	40	100
BRGY 8	0	0	4	17	5	21	2	8	2	8	0	0	0	0	6	25	5	21	24	100
BRGY 10A	0	0	9	56	1	6	2	13	1	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	2	13	16	100
BRGY 10B	0	0	20	22	5	6	7	8	6	7	5	6	4	4	37	42	5	6	89	100
BRGY 11	0	0	1	6	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	88	0	0	16	100
BRGY 14	0	0	2	8	4	17	4	17	3	13	0	0	1	4	9	38	1	4	24	100
BRGY 61A	0	0	17	52	2	6	0	0	1	3	1	3	1	3	6	18	5	15	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	8	2	15	0	0	0	0	8	62	1	8	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	100	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	1	11	4	44	2	22	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	9	100
BRGY 13	0	0	9	26	0	0	0	0	5	14	0	0	0	0	18	51	3	9	35	100
BRGY 61	8	5	24	15	19	12	24	15	23	15	3	2	1	1	36	23	17	11	155	100
BRGY 62	0	0	5	5	9	8	27	25	11	10	4	4	2	2	32	30	18	17	108	100
BRGY 6	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	8	2	15	0	0	0	0	8	62	1	8	13	100
BRGY 13	0	0	9	26	0	0	0	0	5	14	0	0	0	0	18	51	3	9	35	100
BRGY 62-M	2	2	0	0	20	17	9	8	24	21	9	8	4	3	13	11	34	30	115	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>100</b>
Kawit																				
SANTA ISABEL	0	0	35	14.17	25	10.12	40	16.19	24	9.72	8	3.24	11	4.45	54	21.86	50	20.24	247	100
WAKAS II	0	0	71	22.83	31	9.97	47	15.11	30	9.65	6	1.93	20	6.43	67	21.54	39	12.54	311	100



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	PAGSASAKA		PANGINGISDA		EMPLEYADO		KONTRAKWAL		NAGTITINDA/ NAGBEBENTA		SARILING NEGOSYO NG PAMILYA		MAY OFW NA KAMAG ANAK		IBA PA		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
KAINGEN	0	0	5	2.23	35	15.63	25	11.16	30	13.39	15	6.70	10	4.46	22	9.82	82	36.61	224	100
MARULAS	0	0	4	2.78	28	19.44	18	12.50	15	10.42	5	3.47	30	20.83	19	13.19	25	17.36	144	100
BINAKAYAN- APLAYA	0	0	0	0.00	1	3.85	0	0.00	6	23.08	1	3.85	2	7.69	3	11.54	13	50.00	26	100
POLVORISTA	22	20.75	19	17.92	12	11.32	23	21.70	14	13.21	4	3.77	8	7.55	4	3.77	0	0.00	106	100
POBLACION	0	0	3	4.62	6	9.23	3	4.62	5	7.69	1	1.54	10	15.38	6	9.23	31	47.69	65	100
PANAMITAN	0	0	1	0.84	21	17.65	21	17.65	14	11.76	10	8.40	10	8.40	20	16.81	22	18.49	119	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	2	0.49	22	5.43	50	12.35	94	23.21	65	16.05	21	5.19	6	1.48	122	30.12	23	5.68	405	100
CONGBALAY	6	1.72	22	6.30	29	8.31	43	12.32	61	17.48	54	15.47	17	4.87	52	14.90	65	18.62	349	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>9.12</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>11.92</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>15.73</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>13.23</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>6.21</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>18.49</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>17.54</b>	<b>1996</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Table 2.4-43. Impact Barangays: Primary Earner of the Family**

Barangay	Asawang Lalake		Asawang Babae		Anak na lalaki		Anak na babae		Lalaking kamag anak		Babaeng kamag anak		iba pa		No answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																		
BRGY 5	8	47.06	7	41.18	0	0.00	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	5.88	17	100
BRGY 7	27	64.29	7	16.67	0	0.00	1	2.38	0	0.00	0	0.00	6	14.29	1	2.38	42	100
BRGY 8	14	58.33	1	4.17	3	12.50	2	8.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	8.33	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 10A	10	62.50	2	12.50	2	12.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	6.25	1	6.25	16	100
BRGY 10B	60	56.60	30	28.30	2	1.89	4	3.77	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	7.55	2	1.89	106	100
BRGY 11	11	68.75	4	25.00	0	0.00	1	6.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	15	62.50	8	33.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	4.17	24	100
BRGY 61A	31	93.94	1	3.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 6	3	23.08	4	30.77	2	15.38	2	15.38	1	7.69	0	0.00	1	7.69	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	4	57.14	2	28.57	1	14.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	5	55.56	0	0.00	2	22.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	11.11	1	11.11	0	0.00	9	100
BRGY 13	16	47.06	10	29.41	4	11.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.88	2	5.88	34	100





## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	Asawang Lalake		Asawang Babae		Anak na lalaki		Anak na babae		Lalaking kamag anak		Babaeng kamag anak		iba pa		No answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
BRGY 61	104	66.24	21	13.38	9	5.73	7	4.46	2	1.27	1	0.64	8	5.10	5	3.18	157	100
BRGY 62	56	50.91	30	27.27	5	4.55	9	8.18	1	0.91	0	0.00	4	3.64	5	4.55	110	100
BRGY 6	3	23.08	4	30.77	2	15.38	2	15.38	1	7.69	0	0.00	1	7.69	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	16	47.06	10	29.41	4	11.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.88	2	5.88	34	100
BRGY 62-M	51	42.50	17	14.17	12	10.00	12	10.00	1	0.83	1	0.83	8	6.67	18	15.00	120	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>56.00</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>20.39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>6.19</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>5.29</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>5.68</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>5.29</b>	<b>775</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																		
SANTA ISABEL	140	56.68	32	12.96	26	10.53	14	5.67	7	2.83	4	1.62	7	2.83	17	6.88	247	100
WAKAS II	131	42.26	40	12.90	67	21.61	18	5.81	1	0.32	1	0.32	31	10.00	21	6.77	310	100
KAINGEN	70	31.25	30	13.39	15	6.70	15	6.70	5	2.23	5	2.23	26	11.61	58	25.89	224	100
MARULAS	53	40.77	17	13.08	13	10.00	10	7.69	2	1.54	3	2.31	14	10.77	18	13.85	130	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	6	33.33	5	27.78	3	16.67	2	11.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	11.11	0	0.00	18	100
POLVORISTA	71	61.74	10	8.70	7	6.09	10	8.70	2	1.74	1	0.87	8	6.96	6	5.22	115	100
POBLACION	25	39.68	4	6.35	4	6.35	5	7.94	0	0.00	7	11.11	5	7.94	13	20.63	63	100
PANAMITAN	46	38.33	18	15.00	14	11.67	13	10.83	1	0.83	0	0.00	13	10.83	15	12.50	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	283	68.03	41	9.86	25	6.01	15	3.61	12	2.88	2	0.48	26	6.25	12	2.88	416	100
CONGBALAY	192	55.49	39	11.27	19	5.49	15	4.34	5	1.45	3	0.87	47	13.58	26	7.51	346	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1017</b>	<b>51.13</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>11.87</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>9.70</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>5.88</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>9.35</b>	<b>1989</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Table 2.4-44. Impact Barangays: Monthly Income of the Family**

Barangay	BELOW 1000		1000-4999		5000-9999		10000-14999		15999-19999		20000-24999		25000 ABOVE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																		
BRGY 5	0	0.00	8	47.06	5	29.41	3	17.65	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	10	20.00	16	32.00	8	16.00	13	26.00	1	2.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	4.00	50	100
BRGY 8	6	25.00	8	33.33	1	4.17	3	12.50	3	12.50	0	0.00	1	4.17	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 10A	2	11.76	8	47.06	6	35.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	5.88	17	100
BRGY 10B	16	17.98	24	26.97	23	25.84	16	17.98	4	4.49	2	2.25	0	0.00	4	4.49	89	100
BRGY 11	1	6.25	5	31.25	6	37.50	4	25.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	5	20.83	11	45.83	3	12.50	4	16.67	1	4.17	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	24	100



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)

Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	BELOW 1000		1000-4999		5000-9999		10000-14999		15999-19999		20000-24999		25000 ABOVE		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%
BRGY 61A	1	3.03	14	42.42	14	42.42	2	6.06	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	6.06	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	30.77	2	15.38	4	30.77	0	0.00	2	15.38	1	7.69	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0.00	2	28.57	1	14.29	3	42.86	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29	7	100
BRGY 22-A	3	33.33	3	33.33	2	22.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	11.11	9	100
BRGY 13	3	8.82	11	32.35	10	29.41	6	17.65	2	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.88	34	100
BRGY 61	19	12.26	38	24.52	50	32.26	28	18.06	7	4.52	2	1.29	2	1.29	9	5.81	155	100
BRGY 62	17	16.50	33	32.04	28	27.18	15	14.56	2	1.94	3	2.91	0	0.00	5	4.85	103	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	30.77	2	15.38	4	30.77	0	0.00	2	15.38	1	7.69	13	100
BRGY 13	3	8.82	11	32.35	10	29.41	6	17.65	2	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.88	34	100
BRGY 62-M	7	5.98	26	22.22	19	16.24	16	13.68	12	10.26	7	5.98	12	10.26	18	15.38	117	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>12.32</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>28.87</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>25.70</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>16.29</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>5.70</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.85</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>6.75</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																		
SANTA ISABEL	71	28.74	59	23.89	43	17.41	27	10.93	11	4.45	8	3.24	3	1.21	25	10.12	247	100
WAKAS II	71	22.98	78	25.24	27	8.74	57	18.45	13	4.21	6	1.94	7	2.27	50	16.18	309	100
KAINGEN	10	4.46	42	18.75	29	12.95	28	12.50	9	4.02	10	4.46	15	6.70	81	36.16	224	100
MARULAS	20	15.50	19	14.73	38	29.46	22	17.05	6	4.65	4	3.10	3	2.33	17	13.18	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	2	8.00	2	8.00	5	20.00	6	24.00	0	0.00	1	4.00	2	8.00	7	28.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	15	13.39	44	39.29	20	17.86	13	11.61	5	4.46	2	1.79	2	1.79	11	9.82	112	100
POBLACION	17	25.76	13	19.70	6	9.09	4	6.06	2	3.03	0	0.00	7	10.61	17	25.76	66	100
PANAMITAN	17	14.17	33	27.50	19	15.83	23	19.17	2	1.67	2	1.67	8	6.67	16	13.33	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	58	14.32	114	28.15	119	29.38	58	14.32	23	5.68	17	4.20	5	1.23	11	2.72	405	100
CONGBALAY	37	10.31	98	27.30	110	30.64	42	11.70	25	6.96	13	3.62	16	4.46	18	5.01	359	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>15.93</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>25.15</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>20.84</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>14.03</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>4.81</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>3.16</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>12.68</b>	<b>1996</b>	<b>100</b>

#### Community Services

**Solid Waste Disposal** – Based on the survey conducted, majority of the respondents stated that solid wastes are being collected once in a week. Thus, it clearly shows that the City has been collecting the garbage. See Tables 2.4-45 and 2.4-46.



Table 2.4-45. Impact Barangays: Garbage Collection

Barangay	MAY NANGUNGULIKTA		HUKAY SA LOOB NG BAKURAN		TINATAPON SA ILOG		SINUSUNOG		IBA PA		NO ANSWER		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>														
BRGY 5	17	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	25	62.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	20.00	7	17.50	40	100
BRGY 8	22	91.67	1	4.17	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	4.17	24	100
BRGY 10A	15	93.75	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	6.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	74	83.15	0	0.00	1	1.12	0	0.00	12	13.48	2	2.25	89	100
BRGY 11	16	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	12	50.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	41.67	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 61A	30	90.91	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	9.09	33	100
BRGY 6	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	7	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	5	55.56	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	22.22	0	0.00	2	22.22	9	100
BRGY 13	27	81.82	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	15.15	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 61	139	89.68	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	11	7.10	5	3.23	155	100
BRGY 62	69	66.99	0	0.00	6	5.83	12	11.65	9	8.74	7	6.80	103	100
BRGY 6	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	27	81.82	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	15.15	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 62-M	89	80.18	1	0.90	0	0.00	1	0.90	5	4.50	15	13.51	111	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>81.52</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2.17</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>8.83</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>6.25</b>	<b>736</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>														
SANTA ISABEL	157	63.56	13	5.26	0	0.00	50	20.24	4	1.62	23	9.31	247	100
WAKAS II	213	68.49	11	3.54	10	3.22	38	12.22	8	2.57	31	9.97	311	100
KAINGEN	152	68.16	4	1.79	1	0.45	5	2.24	5	2.24	56	25.11	223	100
MARULAS	90	69.77	4	3.10	2	1.55	13	10.08	3	2.33	17	13.18	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	18	72.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	8.00	5	20.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	51	47.66	5	4.67	0	0.00	10	9.35	10	9.35	31	28.97	107	100
POBLACION	41	63.08	1	1.54	0	0.00	12	18.46	0	0.00	11	16.92	65	100
PANAMITAN	93	71.54	14	10.77	0	0.00	4	3.08	4	3.08	15	11.54	130	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	378	93.10	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	0.74	16	3.94	9	2.22	406	100
CONGBALAY	252	87.20	1	0.35	1	0.35	10	3.46	12	4.15	13	4.50	289	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1445</b>	<b>74.79</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2.74</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>7.51</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>3.31</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>10.92</b>	<b>1932</b>	<b>100</b>



Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

Table 2.4-46. Impact Barangays: Schedule of Garbage Collection

Barangay	Araw Araw		2-3 beses kada lingo		Isang beses sa isang lingo		Regular		Iba pa		No answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>														
BRGY 5	0	0.00	14	82.35	3	17.65	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	2	5.00	26	65.00	4	10.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	20.00	40	100
BRGY 8	5	20.83	13	54.17	3	12.50	0	1.00	1	4.17	2	8.33	24	101
BRGY 10A	1	6.25	6	37.50	7	43.75	1	6.25	0	0.00	1	6.25	16	100
BRGY 10B	8	8.99	34	38.20	39	43.82	1	1.12	2	2.25	5	5.62	89	100
BRGY 11	1	6.25	6	37.50	1	6.25	8	50.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	2	8.33	12	50.00	0	0.00	8	33.33	0	0.00	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 61A	2	6.06	7	21.21	23	69.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	3.03	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0.00	7	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	0	0.00	5	55.56	0	0.00	3	33.33	0	0.00	1	11.11	9	100
BRGY 13	19	54.29	7	20.00	1	2.86	7	20.00	0	0.00	1	2.86	35	100
BRGY 61	49	31.61	76	49.03	13	8.39	9	5.81	4	2.58	4	2.58	155	100
BRGY 62	16	16.00	56	56.00	14	14.00	6	6.00	2	2.00	6	6.00	100	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	19	54.29	7	20.00	1	2.86	7	20.00	0	0.00	1	2.86	35	100
BRGY 62-M	3	2.70	78	70.27	15	13.51	1	0.90	4	3.60	10	9.01	111	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>17.23</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>51.56</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>16.82</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>6.92</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>5.70</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>														
SANTA ISABEL	13	5.37	41	16.94	115	47.52	18	7.44	12	4.96	43	17.77	242	100
WAKAS II	29	9.32	41	13.18	176	56.59	13	4.18	9	2.89	43	13.83	311	100
KAINGEN	8	3.57	15	6.70	110	49.11	5	2.23	8	3.57	78	34.82	224	100
MARULAS	9	6.98	27	20.93	73	56.59	3	2.33	0	0.00	17	13.18	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	0	0.00	2	8.00	14	56.00	3	12.00	1	4.00	5	20.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	0	0.00	20	17.70	93	82.30	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	113	100
POBLACION	3	4.62	6	9.23	42	64.62	0	0.00	0	0.00	14	21.54	65	100





Barangay	Araw Araw		2-3 beses kada lingo		Isang beses sa isang lingo		Regular		Iba pa		No answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
PANAMITAN	2	1.67	10	8.33	71	59.17	3	2.50	13	10.83	21	17.50	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	4	0.99	29	7.16	359	88.64	1	0.25	2	0.49	10	2.47	405	100
CONGBALAY	91	22.69	91	22.69	170	42.39	31	7.73	0	0.00	18	4.49	401	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>7.81</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>13.86</b>	<b>1223</b>	<b>60.10</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>3.78</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>12.24</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Availability of Comfort Rooms** – It can be noted on the survey conducted that majority of the household respondents have their own comfort room.

**Table 2.4-47. Impact Barangays: Availability of Toilet**

Barangay	Meron		Wala		No Answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>								
BRGY 5	12	70.59	1	5.88	4	23.53	17	100
BRGY 7	28	70.00	7	17.50	5	12.50	40	100
BRGY 8	23	95.83	1	4.17	0	0.00	24	100
BRGY 10A	16	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	76	85.39	7	7.87	6	6.74	89	100
BRGY 11	16	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	24	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	24	100
BRGY 61A	32	91.43	2	5.71	1	2.86	35	100
BRGY 6	13	100	0	0	0	0	13	100
BRGY 58M	7	100	0	0	0	0	7	100
BRGY 22-A	6	66.67	2	22.22	1	11.11	9	100
BRGY 13	36	92.31	1	2.56	2	5.13	39	100
BRGY 61	145	94.77	5	3.27	3	1.96	153	100
BRGY 62	69	66.99	26	25.24	8	7.77	103	100
BRGY 6	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	36	92.31	1	2.56	2	5.13	39	100
BRGY 62-M	94	87.04	0	0.00	14	12.96	108	100



Barangay	Meron		Wala		No Answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>86.71</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>7.11</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>6.17</b>	<b>745</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>								
SANTA ISABEL	205	83	13	5	29	12	247	100
WAKAS II	248	80	34	11	29	9	311	100
KAINGEN	127	57	4	2	93	42	224	100
MARULAS	114	88	2	2	13	10	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	15	75	1	5	4	20	20	100
POLVORISTA	100	88	0	0	13	12	113	100
POBLACION	51	74	4	6	14	20	69	100
PANAMITAN	106	91	1	1	10	9	117	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	360	89	34	8	11	3	405	100
CONGBALAY	420	96	8	2	9	2	437	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1746</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2072</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

## Health

**Sickness in the Family-** In Cavite City, 63.99% claims that their family or family members suffers from sickness for the past 3 years while 63% of the respondents from Kawit also suffers sicknees for the past 3 years. Common sickness in the barangay as indicated by the household respondents are gastrointestinal diseases, cough, fever, skin disease, upper respiratory diseases, heart disease and cancer.



Table 2.4-48. Impact Barangays: History of Sickness for the Past 3 Years

Barangay	Oo		Hindi		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>								
BRGY 5	13	76.47	4	23.53	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	30	75.00	8	20.00	2	5.00	40	100
BRGY 8	15	62.50	5	20.83	4	16.67	24	100
BRGY 10A	12	75.00	3	18.75	1	6.25	16	100
BRGY 10B	66	74.16	19	21.35	4	4.49	89	100
BRGY 11	12	75.00	4	25.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	24	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	24	100
BRGY 61A	22	78.57	6	21.43	0	0.00	28	100
BRGY 6	4	30.77	1	7.69	8	61.54	13	100
BRGY 58M	6	85.71	1	14.29	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	4	44.44	2	22.22	3	33.33	9	100
BRGY 13	23	65.71	11	31.43	1	2.86	35	100
BRGY 61	106	68.39	37	23.87	12	7.74	155	100
BRGY 62	56	54.37	29	28.16	18	17.48	103	100
BRGY 6	4	30.77	1	7.69	8	61.54	13	100
BRGY 13	23	65.71	11	31.43	1	2.86	35	100
BRGY 62-M	51	45.53571	31	27.67857	30	26.78571	112	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>63.99</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>23.51</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>12.50</b>	<b>736</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>								
SANTA ISABEL	184	74.49	36	14.57	27	10.93	247	100
WAKAS II	186	59.81	97	31.19	28	9.00	311	100
KAINGEN	85	37.95	50	22.32	89	39.73	224	100
MARULAS	76	58.91	29	22.48	24	18.60	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	14	56.00	4	16.00	7	28.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	68	60.71	22	19.64	22	19.64	112	100
POBLACION	50	75.76	4	6.06	12	18.18	66	100
PANAMITAN	85	70.83	22	18.33	13	10.83	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	266	65.68	97	23.95	42	10.37	405	100
CONGBALAY	201	55.68	97	26.87	63	17.45	361	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1215</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



Table 2.4-49. Impact Barangays: Years of having Sick

Barangay	2014		2013		2012		No Answer		TOTAL	
	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%	# of HH surveyed	%
<b>Cavite City</b>										
BRGY 5	13	76.47	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	23.53	17	100
BRGY 7	20	50.00	3	7.50	3	7.50	14	35.00	40	100
BRGY 8	8	33.33	2	8.33	2	8.33	12	50.00	24	100
BRGY 10A	8	50.00	1	6.25	0	0.00	7	43.75	16	100
BRGY 10B	60	67.42	0	0.00	3	3.37	26	29.21	89	100
BRGY 11	13	81.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	18.75	16	100
BRGY 14	16	66.67	1	4.17	2	8.33	5	20.83	24	100
BRGY 61A	23	74.19	1	3.23	0	0.00	7	22.58	31	100
BRGY 6	3	23.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	76.92	13	100
BRGY 58M	6	85.71	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29	7	100
BRGY 22-A	2	22.22	0	0.00	2	22.22	5	55.56	9	100
BRGY 13	22	64.71	0	0.00	0	0.00	12	35.29	34	100
BRGY 61	73	46.79	2	1.28	8	5.13	73	46.79	156	100
BRGY 62	35	34.31	11	10.78	6	5.88	50	49.02	102	100
BRGY 6	3	23.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	76.92	13	100
BRGY 13	22	64.71	0	0.00	0	0.00	12	35.29	34	100
BRGY 62-M	33	30.00	12	10.91	12	10.91	53	48.18	110	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>48.98</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>5.17</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>41.36</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>										
SANTA ISABEL	120	48.58	25	10.12	14	5.67	88	35.63	247	100
WAKAS II	80	25.72	30	9.65	20	6.43	181	58.20	311	100
KAINGEN	65	29.02	16	7.14	11	4.91	132	58.93	224	100
MARULAS	46	35.66	7	5.43	6	4.65	70	54.26	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	6	21.43	3	10.71	5	17.86	14	50.00	28	100
POLVORISTA	85	75.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	28	24.78	113	100
POBLACION	16	25.40	0	0.00	2	3.17	45	71.43	63	100
PANAMITAN	48	40.00	8	6.67	8	6.67	56	46.67	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	102	31.58	12	3.72	8	2.48	201	62.2291	323	100
CONGBALAY	130	45.94	24	8.48	15	5.30	114	40.28	283	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1841</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019





Table 2.4-50. Impact Barangays: Number of Family Members Who Got Sick

Barangay	1		2		3		4		5		Higit pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																
BRGY 5	3	17.65	7	41.18	2	11.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	5.88	4	23.53	17	100
BRGY 7	13	30.95	7	16.67	3	7.14	4	9.52	2	4.76	1	2.38	12	28.57	42	100
BRGY 8	5	20.83	2	8.33	4	16.67	1	4.17	1	4.17	1	4.17	10	41.67	24	100
BRGY 10A	5	31.25	3	18.75	2	12.50	0	0.00	1	6.25	1	6.25	4	25.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	38	42.70	24	26.97	2	2.25	1	1.12	2	2.25	0	0.00	22	24.72	89	100
BRGY 11	5	31.25	4	25.00	1	6.25	2	12.50	1	6.25	0	0.00	3	18.75	16	100
BRGY 14	12	50.00	5	20.83	1	4.17	1	4.17	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	20.83	24	100
BRGY 61A	16	48.48	7	21.21	4	12.12	1	3.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	15.15	33	100
BRGY 6	3	23.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	76.92	13	100
BRGY 58M	5	71.43	1	14.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29	7	100
BRGY 22-A	5	55.56	1	11.11	1	11.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	22.22	9	100
BRGY 13	12	35.29	8	23.53	2	5.88	1	2.94	0	0.00	0	0.00	11	32.35	34	100
BRGY 61	54	34.84	21	13.55	18	11.61	5	3.23	3	1.94	4	2.58	50	32.26	155	100
BRGY 62	37	36.27	8	7.84	12	11.76	1	0.98	2	1.96	3	2.94	39	38.24	102	100
BRGY 6	3	23.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	76.92	13	100
BRGY 13	12	35.29	8	23.53	2	5.88	1	2.94	0	0.00	0	0.00	11	32.35	34	100
BRGY 62-M	33	28.45	22	18.97	10	8.62	1	0.86	1	0.86	3	2.59	46	39.66	116	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>35.08</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>17.20</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>8.60</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2.55</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.88</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>32.93</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																
SANTA ISABEL	64	25.91	55	22.27	24	9.72	17	6.88	14	5.67	15	6.07	58	23.48	247	100
WAKAS II	82	26.37	53	17.04	24	7.72	19	6.11	9	2.89	8	2.57	116	37.30	311	100
KAINGEN	68	30.36	21	9.38	22	9.82	6	2.68	7	3.13	3	1.34	97	43.30	224	100
MARULAS	38	29.46	24	18.60	4	3.10	5	3.88	2	1.55	3	2.33	53	41.09	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	5	38.46	6	46.15	1	7.69	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
POLVORISTA	35	30.97	20	17.70	10	8.85	4	3.54	5	4.42	4	3.54	35	30.97	113	100
POBLACION	28	41.18	15	22.06	6	8.82	5	7.35	0	0.00	1	1.47	13	19.12	68	100
PANAMITAN	38	31.67	33	27.50	5	4.17	10	8.33	4	3.33	2	1.67	28	23.33	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	193	47.07	55	13.41	22	5.37	11	2.68	1	0.24	6	1.46	122	29.76	410	100
CONGBALAY	87	27.02	61	18.94	22	6.83	14	4.35	12	3.73	12	3.73	114	35.40	322	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1957</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



Table 2.4-51. Impact Barangays: Type of Disease

Barangay	Gastrointestinal Diseases		Pag ubo/sipon		Lagnat		Skin Disease		Upper Respiratory Diseases		Hereditary Diseases		STD		Heart Diseases		Cancer		Others		No Answer	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																						
BRGY 5	0	0	6	35.29	6	35.29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.88	4	23.53
BRGY 7	0	0	16	42.11	8	21.05	1	2.63	2	5.26	0	0	0	0	1	2.63	0	0	2	5.26	8	21.05
BRGY 8	0	0	6	25.00	5	20.83	0	0	2	8.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.17	10	41.67
BRGY 10A	0	0	9	56.25	4	25.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	18.75
BRGY 10B	0	0	21	23.60	33	37.08	1	1.12	3	3.37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8.99	23	25.84
BRGY 11	0	0	7	43.75	1	6.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.25	0	0	4	25.00	3	18.75
BRGY 14	0	0	11	45.83	7	29.17	0	0	2	8.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	4	16.67
BRGY 61A	0	0	14	42.42	8	24.24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	15.15	6	18.18
BRGY 6	0	0	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0	2	15.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	76.92
BRGY 58M	0	0	2	28.57	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	28.57
BRGY 22-A	0	0	1	11.11	1	11.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	22.22	5	55.56
BRGY 13	0	0	8	23.53	8	23.53	0	0	2	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11.76	12	35.29
BRGY 61	3	1.94	34	21.94	45	29.03	1	0.65	3	1.94	1	0	0	0	7	4.52	0	0	13	8.39	48	30.97
BRGY 62	1	0.97	25	24.27	20	19.42	1	0.97	3	2.91	0	0	0	0	2	1.94	1	0.97	9	8.74	41	39.81
BRGY 6	0	0.00	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0	2	15.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	10	76.92
BRGY 13	0	0.00	8	23.53	8	23.53	0	0	2	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11.76	12	35.29
BRGY 62-M	1	0.75	41	30.83	31	23.31	1	0.75	0	0	4	3.01	1	0.75	6	4.51	0	0	7	5.26	41	30.83
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>27.84</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>24.54</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>3.03</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2.24</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>8.05</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>31.93</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																						
SANTA ISABEL	9	3.64	72	29.15	74	29.96	12	4.86		8	3.24	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	3.24	2	0.81	11	4.45	51
WAKAS II	5	1.61	87	27.97	79	25.40	9	2.89		19	6.11	2	0.64	0	0.00	8	2.57	8	2.57	34	10.93	60
KAINGEN	8	3.57	45	20.09	45	20.09	2	0.89		11	4.91	3	1.34	0	0.00	7	3.13	8	3.57	15	6.70	80
MARULAS	1	0.73	25	18.25	27	19.71	2	1.46		10	7.30	2	1.46	0	0.00	8	5.84	2	1.46	7	5.11	53
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	0	0.00	5	22.73	2	9.09	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	9.09	0	0.00	2	9.09	11
POLVORISTA	1	1.10	39	42.86	39	42.86	2	2.20		2	2.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	4.40	0	0.00	4	4.40	0
POBLACION	4	6.06	19	28.79	16	24.24	0	0.00		2	3.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	13.64	0	0.00	4	6.06	12
PANAMITAN	7	5.83	31	25.83	30	25.00	1	0.83		10	8.33	1	0.83	0	0.00	3	2.50	0	0.00	8	6.67	29



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	Gastrointestinal Diseases		Pag ubo/sipon		Lagnat		Skin Disease		Upper Respiratory Diseases		Hereditary Diseases		STD		Heart Diseases		Cancer		Others		No Answer	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	5	1.26	138	34.67	80	20.10	1	0.25		8	2.01	1	0.25	0	0.00	1	0.25	2	0.50	39	9.80	123
CONGBALAY	4	1.13	82	23.10	93	26.20	11	3.10		13	3.66	1	0.28	0	0.00	3	0.85	3	0.85	24	6.76	121
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>27.55</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>24.61</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2.03</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>4.21</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2.69</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>7.51</b>	<b>540</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Table 2.4-52. Impact Barangays: Place of Medication**

Barangay	Bahay		Barangay Health Center		Government Hospital		Private Clinic		Herbalist/Traditional Medicine		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																
BRGY 5	0	0.00	10	58.82	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	41.18	17	100
BRGY 7	3	6.98	24	55.81	3	6.98	3	6.98	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	23.26	43	100
BRGY 8	2	8.33	13	54.17	2	8.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	29.17	24	100
BRGY 10A	1	7.14	5	35.71	3	21.43	1	7.14	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	28.57	14	100
BRGY 10B	5	5.62	39	43.82	19	21.35	8	8.99	0	0.00	0	0.00	18	20.22	89	100
BRGY 11	0	0.00	11	30.56	2	5.56	1	2.78	0	0.00	0	0.00	22	61.11	36	100
BRGY 14	2	8.70	11	47.83	5	21.74	2	8.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	13.04	23	100
BRGY 61A	1	3.03	16	48.48	5	15.15	2	6.06	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	27.27	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	1	7.69	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	11	84.62	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0.00	4	57.14	0	0.00	1	14.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	28.57	7	100
BRGY 22-A	2	22.22	3	33.33	0	0.00	1	11.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	33.33	9	100
BRGY 13	2	5.88	13	38.24	1	2.94	6	17.65	0	0.00	0	0.00	12	35.29	34	100
BRGY 61	6	3.87	83	53.55	13	8.39	12	7.74	2	1.29	1	0.65	38	24.52	155	100
BRGY 62	2	2.02	46	46.46	14	14.14	5	5.05	0	0.00	1	1.01	31	31.31	99	100
BRGY 6	1	7.69	1	7.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	11	84.62	13	100
BRGY 13	2	5.88	13	38.24	1	2.94	6	17.65	0	0.00	0	0.00	12	35.29	34	100
BRGY 62-M	9	7.5	18	15	28	23.33	20	16.67	2	1.67	0	0	43	35.83	120	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4.98</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>40.76</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>12.71</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>8.91</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>31.85</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																
SANTA ISABEL	14	5.67	92	37.25	47	19.03	6	2.43	18	7.29	7	2.83	63	25.51	247	100



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	Bahay		Barangay Health Center		Government Hospital		Private Clinic		Herbalist/Traditional Medicine		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
WAKAS II	33	10.61	102	32.80	55	17.68	17	5.47	6	1.93	7	2.25	91	29.26	311	100
KAINGEN	15	6.70	43	19.20	27	12.05	44	19.64	3	1.34	9	4.02	83	37.05	224	100
MARULAS	7	5.43	36	27.91	25	19.38	14	10.85	2	1.55	4	3.10	41	31.78	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	0	0.00	7	28.00	5	20.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	8.00	11	44.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	6	5.41	51	45.95	18	16.22	12	10.81	2	1.80	0	0.00	22	19.82	111	100
POBLACION	4	6.06	30	45.45	8	12.12	4	6.06	1	1.52	8	12.12	11	16.67	66	100
PANAMITAN	17	14.17	27	22.50	24	20.00	23	19.17	2	1.67	4	3.33	23	19.17	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	14	3.46	227	56.05	62	15.31	28	6.91	7	1.73	2	0.49	65	16.05	405	100
CONGBALAY	17	5.26	108	33.44	57	17.65	23	7.12	2	0.62	7	2.17	109	33.75	323	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1961</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Water** – Based on the survey, 41.2%% of households are “*nakikiigib*” In Cavite City, while mostly of the respondents (27.76%) from Kawit says that they have their own sources of water “*sariling patubigan*”. It can also be noted that other respondents uses other sources of water supply like deepwell, commercial water or bottled water.

**Table 2.4-53. Impact Barangays: Source of Water**

Barangay	Sariling patubigan		Nakikiigib		Deep well		Artesian Well		Shallow Well		Dug Well		Ilog/Sapa/Batis		Bottled Water/Distilled		Tanker Truck/peddler		Others		No Answer		Total
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH
Cavite City																							
BRGY 5	3	17.65	13	76.5	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.88	0	0	17
BRGY 7	6	15.38	27	69.2	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7.69	0	0	0	0	3	7.69	39
BRGY 8	6	25.00	11	45.8	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8.33	0	0	4	16.67	1	4.17	24
BRGY 10A	6	37.50	10	62.5	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
BRGY 10B	37	41.57	34	38.2	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	1	1.12	9	10.11	0	0	6	6.74	2	2.25	89
BRGY 11	11	68.75	5	31.3	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	16
BRGY 14	10	41.67	10	41.7	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	2	8.33	2	8.33	24





**CHAPTER TWO  
THE PEOPLE**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	Sariling patubigan		Nakikiigib		Deep well		Artesian Well		Shallow Well		Dug Well		Ilog/Sapa/Batis		Bottled Water/Distilled		Tanker Truck/peddler		Others		No Answer		Total
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH
BRGY 61A	10	30.30	18	54.6	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	3	9.09	2	6.06	33
BRGY 6	4	30.77	9	69.2	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	13
BRGY 58M	2	28.57	5	71.4	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	7
BRGY 22-A	3	33.33	3	33.3	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	1	11.11	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	2	22.22	0	0	9
BRGY 13	19	55.88	8	23.53	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.88	0	0	1	2.94	4	11.76	34
BRGY 61	41	27.33	41	27.33	12	8	2	1.33	0	0	2	1.33	3	2.00	19	12.67	0	0	18	12.00	12	8.00	150
BRGY 62	13	12.62	79	76.70	1	0.97	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4.85	0	0	0	0	5	4.85	103
BRGY 6	4	30.77	9	69.2	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	13
BRGY 13	19	55.88	8	23.53	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.88	0	0	1	2.94	4	11.76	34
BRGY 62-M	10	8.77	13	11.40	4	3.51	1	0.88	0	0	1	0.88	0	0	55	48.24	0	0	20	17.54	10	8.77	114
TOTAL	204	27.76	303	41.2	17	2.31	3	0.41	0	0	4	0.54	4	0.54	97	13.20	0	0	58	7.89	45	6.12	735
Kawit																							
SANTA ISABEL	34	14.11	49	20.33	20	8.30	2	0.83	8	3.32	0	0	0	0	28	11.62	0	0	46	19.09	54	22.41	241
WAKAS II	21	6.75	92	29.58	7	2.25	2	0.64	0	0	2	0.64	1	0.32	64	20.58	0	0	63	20.26	59	18.97	311
KAINGEN	31	13.84	25	11.16	17	7.59	3	1.34	5	2.23	2	0.89	0	0	56	25.00	0	0	20	8.93	65	29.02	224
MARULAS	15	11.63	16	12.40	15	11.63	0	0.00	2	1.55	0	0	0	0	32	24.81	1	0	22	17.05	26	20.16	129
BINAKAYA N-APLAYA	7	28.00	2	8.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	12.00	0	0	6	24.00	7	28	25
POLVORIS TA	15	13.51	15	13.51	1	0.90	0	0.00	0	0	3	2.70	0	0	9	8.11	0	0	49	44.14	19	17.12	111
POBLACION	13	20.00	12	18.46	3	4.62	1	1.54	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	6	9.23	0	0	5	7.69	25	38.46	65
PANAMITAN	19	15.83	5	4.17	5	4.17	5	4.17	2	1.67	2	1.67	0	0	31	25.83	0	0	28	23.33	23	19.17	120
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	193	46.84	98	23.79	5	1.21	0	0.00	0	0	2	0.49	0	0	78	18.93	0	0	24	5.83	12	2.91	412
CONGBALAY	174	41.13	61	14.42	28	6.62	3	0.71	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	29	6.86	2	0.47	64	15.13	62	14.66	423
TOTAL	522	25.33	375	18.20	101	4.90	16	0.78	17	0.82	11	0.53	1	0.05	336	16.30	3	0.15	327	15.87	352	17.08	2061

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



**Power** – Based on the survey conducted, majority of the households in Cavite City and Kawit have their own legal power connection. The data on Source of Electricity of respondents can be seen in Table 2.4-54.

**Table 2.4-54. Impact Barangays: Availability of Electricity**

Barangay	Oo		Wala		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>								
BRGY 5	15	88.24	2	11.76	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	35	87.50	2	5.00	3	7.50	40	100
BRGY 8	17	70.83	6	25.00	1	4.17	24	100
BRGY 10A	15	93.75	1	6.25	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	79	88.76	6	6.74	4	4.49	89	100
BRGY 11	16	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	16	100
BRGY 14	21	87.50	1	4.17	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 61A	33	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	33	100
BRGY 6	6	46.15	7	53.85	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	7	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	7	77.78	0	0.00	2	22.22	9	100
BRGY 13	30	88.24	2	5.88	2	5.88	34	100
BRGY 61	140	90.32	9	5.81	6	3.87	155	100
BRGY 62	82	80.39	17	16.67	3	2.94	102	100
BRGY 6	6	46.15	7	53.85	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	30	93.75	0	0.00	2	6.25	32	100
BRGY 62-M	106	92.98	1	0.88	7	6.14	114	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>87.40</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>8.27</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>738</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>								
SANTA ISABEL	212	85.83	13	5.26	22	8.91	247	100
WAKAS II	253	81.35	12	3.86	46	14.79	311	100
KAINGEN	130	58.04	7	3.13	87	38.84	224	100
MARULAS	115	89.15	0	0.00	14	10.85	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	19	76.00	6	24.00	0	0.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	106	93.81		0.00	7	6.19	113	100
POBLACION	51	79.69	4	6.25	9	14.06	64	100
PANAMITAN	100	83.33	4	3.33	16	13.33	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	375	87.62	42	9.81	11	2.57	428	100
CONGBALAY	397	90.85	23	5.26	17	3.89	437	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1758</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2098</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

## Perception By the Community

### Knowledge About the Proposed Project

When the respondents in Cavite City were asked if they are aware of the proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project that will be constructed in their Barangays, mostly answered that they are aware while there are some says that they not aware and minimal respondents choose not to answer the survey. However in the municipality of Kawit, mostly of the respondents are not aware of the proposed projects.

Mostly also of the respondents in both municipalities knew about the proposed project from their respective barangay officials. Others indicated that they knew the proposed project from their neighborhood or media and other people. And the rest opted not to answer.



**Table 2.4-55. Impact Barangays: Knowledge of the Reclamation Project**

Barangay	Oo		Hindi		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>								
BRGY 5	11	64.71	6	35.29	0	0.00	17	100
BRGY 7	28	70.00	7	17.50	5	12.50	40	100
BRGY 8	7	29.17	15	62.50	2	8.33	24	100
BRGY 10A	12	75.00	3	18.75	1	6.25	16	100
BRGY 10B	55	61.80	32	35.96	2	2.25	89	100
BRGY 11	14	87.50	1	6.25	1	6.25	16	100
BRGY 14	20	83.33	1	4.17	3	12.50	24	100
BRGY 61A	20	60.61	13	39.39	0	0.00	33	100
BRGY 6	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	2	28.57	5	71.43	0	0.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	5	55.56	3	33.33	1	11.11	9	100
BRGY 13	29	85.29	4	11.76	1	2.94	34	100
BRGY 61	100	64.52	43	27.74	12	7.74	155	100
BRGY 62	76	73.79	20	19.42	7	6.80	103	100
BRGY 6	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	29	85.29	4	11.76	1	2.94	34	100
BRGY 62-M	58	51.79	42	37.50	12	10.71	112	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>66.58</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>26.93</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>6.50</b>	<b>739</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>								
SANTA ISABEL	51	25.76	117	59.09	30	15.15	198	100
WAKAS II	122	39.23	81	26.05	108	34.73	311	100
KAINGEN	70	31.25	92	41.07	62	27.68	224	100
MARULAS	85	65.89	17	13.18	27	20.93	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	2	8.00	15	60.00	8	32.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	51	43.22	55	46.61	12	10.17	118	100
POBLACION	13	20.00	24	36.92	28	43.08	65	100
PANAMITAN	19	15.83	81	67.50	20	16.67	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	147	36.30	254	62.72	4	0.99	405	100
CONGBALAY	71	16.25	214	48.97	152	34.78	437	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>950</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2032</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019



Table 2.4-56. Impact Barangays: Source of Knowledge about the Reclamation Project

Barangay	Kapitbahay		Barangay		IEC ng may ari ng proyekto		Media		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>														
BRGY 5	4	23.53	6	35.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	5.88	6	35.29	17	100
BRGY 7	0	0.00	20	50.00	0	0.00	12	30.00	0	0.00	8	20.00	40	100
BRGY 8	1	4.17	17	70.83	0	0.00	1	4.17	0	0.00	5	20.83	24	100
BRGY 10A	1	6.25	12	75.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	18.75	16	100
BRGY 10B	11	12.36	41	46.07	0	0.00	5	5.62	2	2.25	30	33.71	89	100
BRGY 11	4	25.00	9	56.25	0	0.00	1	6.25	0	0.00	2	12.50	16	100
BRGY 14	0	0.00	20	83.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	16.67	24	100
BRGY 61A	9	27.27	11	33.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	39.39	33	100
BRGY 6	2	15.38	11	84.62	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	2	28.57	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	71.43	7	100
BRGY 22-A	1	11.11	3	33.33	0	0.00	1	11.11	0	0.00	4	44.44	9	100
BRGY 13	5	14.71	25	73.53	0	0.00	1	2.94	0	0.00	3	8.82	34	100
BRGY 61	23	14.84	78	50.32	0	0.00	4	2.58	1	0.65	49	31.61	155	100
BRGY 62	12	11.54	60	57.69	0	0.00	13	12.50	4	3.85	15	14.42	104	100
BRGY 6	2	15.38	11	84.62	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	5	14.71	25	73.53	0	0.00	1	2.94	0	0.00	3	8.82	34	100
BRGY 62-M	15	12.93	33	28.45	0	0.00	12	10.34	3	2.59	53	45.69	116	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>36.60</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>144.15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>19.25</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.15</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>76.60</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>														
SANTA ISABEL	10	4.05	43	17.41	7	2.83	4	1.62	29	11.74	154	62.35	247	100
WAKAS II	15	4.85	52	16.83	1	0.32	16	5.18	9	2.91	216	69.90	309	100
KAINGEN	16	7.14	52	23.21	0	0.00	11	4.91	10	4.46	135	60.27	224	100
MARULAS	6	4.65	14	10.85	0	0.00	4	3.10	0	0.00	105	81.40	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	1	4.00	1	4.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	4.00	22	88.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	9	8.11	53	47.75	0	0.00	2	1.80	1	0.90	46	41.44	111	100
POBLACION	2	3.13	9	14.06	1	1.56	0	0.00	1	1.56	51	79.69	64	100
PANAMITAN	2	1.82	8	7.27	0	0.00	10	9.09	10	9.09	80	72.73	110	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	104	25.68	34	8.40	2	0.49	10	2.47	35	8.64	220	54.32	405	100
CONGBALAY	38	8.70	40	9.15	2	0.46	2	0.46	3	0.69	352	80.55	437	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>9.85</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>14.85</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>4.80</b>	<b>1381</b>	<b>67.01</b>	<b>2061</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019





## Perceived Impacts

The respondents were also asked on their own view on the possible beneficial and adverse impacts of the proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project. As far as they benefits is concerned, top answers in Cavite City is good service of the government followed by employment and livelihood, additional tax, road construction, and development of the barangay and City while in Kawit, top answers are employment and livelihood.. On the other hand, perceived adverse impacts are health concerns, traffic and water and air pollution.

**Table 2.4-57. Impact Barangays: Benefits of the Reclamation Project**

Barangay	Pag unlad ng serbisyo ng tubig		Kabuhayan at negosyo sa mamamayan		Buwis sa kalupaan		Pagpapaayos ng kalsada at imprastraktura		Pag-aayos ng serbisyo ng gobyerno		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																
BRGY 5	0	0.00	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	58.82	0	0.00	6	35.29	17	100
BRGY 7	1	2.56	0	0.00	6	15.38	1	2.56	23	58.97	2	5.13	6	15.38	39	100
BRGY 8	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	16.67	0	0.00	5	20.83	0	0.00	15	62.50	24	100
BRGY 10A	0	0.00	3	18.75	0	0.00	1	6.25	4	25.00	0	0.00	8	50.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	3	3.37	12	13.48	5	5.62	11	12.36	24	26.97	1	1.12	33	37.08	89	100
BRGY 11	1	9.09	2	18.18	0	0.00	0	0.00	6	54.55	0	0.00	2	18.18	11	100
BRGY 14	2	8.33	4	16.67	1	4.17	3	12.50	9	37.50	0	0.00	5	20.83	24	100
BRGY 61A	1	3.03	2	6.06	0	0.00	2	6.06	13	39.39	0	0.00	15	45.45	33	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	1	14.29	1	14.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	71.43	7	100
BRGY 22-A	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	22.22	0	0.00	1	11.11	1	11.11	5	55.56	9	100
BRGY 13	1	2.94	2	5.88	0	0.00	4	11.76	18	52.94	1	2.94	8	23.53	34	100
BRGY 61	12	7.64	27	17.20	1	0.64	17	10.83	44	28.03	5	3.18	51	32.48	157	100
BRGY 62	13	12.50	18	17.31	1	0.96	24	23.08	27	25.96	2	1.92	19	18.27	104	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100
BRGY 13	1	4.17	2	8.33	0	0.00	4	16.67	8	33.33	1	4.17	8	33.33	24	100
BRGY 62-M	3	2.52	20	16.81	3	2.52	21	17.65	23	19.33	5	4.20	44	36.97	119	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>5.32</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>12.82</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>3.14</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>13.78</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>31.11</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>31.38</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																
SANTA ISABEL	3	1.27	20	8.44	1	0.42	24	10.13	9	3.80	54	22.78	126	53.16	237	100
WAKAS II	23	8.91	23	8.91	9	3.49	27	10.47	25	9.69	32	12.40	119	46.12	258	100
KAINGEN	7	3.13	35	15.63	9	4.02	0	0.00	50	22.32	21	9.38	102	45.54	224	100



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	Pag unlad ng serbisyo ng tubig		Kabuhayan at negosyo sa mamamayan		Buwis sa kalupaan		Pagpapaaayos ng kalsada at imprastraktura		Pag-aayos ng serbisyo ng gobyerno		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
MARULAS	5	3.88	6	4.65	3	2.33	15	11.63	3	2.33	2	1.55	95	73.64	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	1	4.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	4.00	1	4.00	3	12.00	19	76.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	12	9.84	29	23.77	3	2.46	19	15.57	2	1.64	4	3.28	53	43.44	122	100
POBLACION	1	1.56	0	0.00	2	3.13	1	1.56	12	18.75	0	0.00	48	75.00	64	100
PANAMITAN	4	3.33	6	5.00	3	2.50	12	10.00	10	8.33	14	11.67	71	59.17	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	2	0.49	10	2.47	1	0.25	36	8.89	7	1.73	128	31.60	221	54.57	405	100
CONGBALAY	28	6.31	35	7.88	2	0.45	8	1.80	6	1.35	5	1.13	360	81.08	444	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1214</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>2028</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Table 2.4-58. Impact Barangays: Negative Effect of the Reclamation Project**

Barangay	Kalusugan at seguridad		Pagkaubos ng puno at halaman		Epekto sa hangin at lupa		Pagdagdag ng basura		Paglala ng trapiko		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
<b>Cavite City</b>																
BRGY 5	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	17	100.00	17	100
BRGY 7	9	25.00	1	2.78	5	13.89	2	5.56	0	0.00	4	11.11	15	41.67	36	100
BRGY 8	1	4.35	0	0.00	1	4.35	0	0.00	1	4.35	1	4.35	19	82.61	23	100
BRGY 10A	2	12.50	0	0.00	2	12.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	12	75.00	16	100
BRGY 10B	14	15.73	2	2.25	9	10.11	8	8.99	4	4.49	3	3.37	49	55.06	89	100
BRGY 11	1	7.14	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	92.86	14	100
BRGY 14	5	20.83	1	4.17	1	4.17	0	0.00	2	8.33	0	0.00	15	62.50	24	100
BRGY 61A	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.63	0	0.00	1	2.63	36	94.74	38	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100.00	13	100
BRGY 58M	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	100.00	7	100
BRGY 22-A	2	22.22	0	0.00	1	11.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	11.11	5	55.56	9	100
BRGY 13	2	5.88	3	8.82	2	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.88	25	73.53	34	100
BRGY 61	28	18.06	8	5.16	7	4.52	7	4.52	3	1.94	9	5.81	93	60.00	155	100
BRGY 62	31	30.10	3	2.91	1	0.97	3	2.91	1	0.97	5	4.85	59	57.28	103	100
BRGY 6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13	100.00	13	100
BRGY 13	2	5.88	3	8.82	2	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.88	25	73.53	34	100



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	Kalusugan at seguridad		Pagkaubos ng puno at halaman		Epekto sa hangin at lupa		Pagdagdag ng basura		Paglala ng trapiko		Iba pa		No Answer		Total	
	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%	# of HH	%
BRGY 62-M	16	13.11	12	9.84	6	4.92	16	13.11	9	7.38	10	8.20	53	43.44	122	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>15.13</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>4.95</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>4.95</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2.68</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>62.78</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Kawit</b>																
SANTA ISABEL	34	13.77	29	11.74	25	10.12	7	2.83	10	4.05	21	8.50	121	48.99	247	100
WAKAS II	33	10.54	29	9.27	13	4.15	10	3.19	2	0.64	13	4.15	213	68.05	313	100
KAINGEN	25	11.16	30	13.39	19	8.48	15	6.70	20	8.93	13	5.80	102	45.54	224	100
MARULAS	5	3.88	9	6.98	4	3.10	4	3.10	5	3.88	6	4.65	96	74.42	129	100
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	1	4.00	0	0.00	2	8.00	1	4.00	0	0.00	1	4.00	20	80.00	25	100
POLVORISTA	28	20.00	30	21.43	14	10.00	15	10.71	1	0.71	11	7.86	41	29.29	140	100
POBLACION	2	3.08	4	6.15	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	4.62	14	21.54	42	64.62	65	100
PANAMITAN	5	4.17	8	6.67	5	4.17	7	5.83	10	8.33	11	9.17	74	61.67	120	100
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	162	42.30	56	14.62	29	7.57	30	7.83	29	7.57	13	3.39	64	16.71	383	100
CONGBALAY	18	4.06	8	1.81	23	5.19	19	4.29	11	2.48	11	2.48	353	79.68	443	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1126</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>2089</b>	<b>100</b>

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019

**Table 2.4-59. Impact Barangays: Scale Rating in Cavite City**

Barangay	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		No Answer		Total
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH
Cavite City																									
BRGY 5	5	29.41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	11.76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	58.82	0	0	17
BRGY 7	24	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.50	0	0	0	0	1	2.50	0	0	7	17.50	7	17.50	40
BRGY 8	6	26.09	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.35	0	0	5	21.74	1	4.35	5	21.74	0	0	2	8.70	3	13.04	23
BRGY 10A	15	93.75	0	0	0	0	1	6.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
BRGY 10B	51	57.30	4	4.49	3	3.37	2	2.25	1	1.12	14	15.73	0	0	1	1.12	0	0	0	0	11	12.36	2	2.25	89
BRGY 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6.25	1	6.25	1	6.25	0	0	3	18.75	1	6.25	9	56.25	0	0	16
BRGY 14	2	8.00	2	8	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	4	4	16	3	12	1	4	1	4	10	40	0	0	25
BRGY 61A	21	63.64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	18.18	4	12.12	33
BRGY 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100	13	
BRGY 58M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	0	0	3	42.86	0	0	7
BRGY 22-A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	88.89	9	
BRGY 13	9	26.47	0	0	0	0	1	2.94	0	0	2	5.88	1	2.94	3	8.82	1	2.94	0	0	14	41.18	3	8.82	34



**CHAPTER TWO  
THE PEOPLE**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Barangay	0		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		No Answer		Total
	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	HH	%	
BRGY 61	25	16.13	1	0.65	1	0.65	1	0.65	2	1.29	24	15.48	1	0.65	10	6.45	4	2.58	13	8.39	58	37.42	15	9.68	155
BRGY 62	42	41.18	1	0.98	1	0.98	1	0.98	2	1.96	5	4.90	15	14.71	7	6.86	2	1.96	9	8.82	0	0	17	16.67	102
BRGY 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100	0	0	13
BRGY 13	9	26.47	0	0	0	0	1	2.94	0	0	2	5.88	1	2.94	3	8.82	1	2.94	0	0	14	41.18	3	8.82	34
BRGY 62-M	5	4.42	2	1.77	2	1.77	1	0.88	0	0	5	4.42	2	1.77	1	0.88	1	0.88	0	0	94	83.19	0	0	113
TOTAL	214	28.96	10	1.35	7	0.95	8	1.08	8	1.08	61	8.25	30	4.06	29	3.92	22	2.98	24	3.25	251	33.96	75	10.15	739
Kawit																									
SANTA ISABEL	58	48.33	0	0	0	0	1	0.83	3	2.50	14	11.67	8	6.67	4	3.33	5	4.17	6	5.00	7	5.83	14	11.67	120
WAKAS II	32	20.38	7	4.46	12	7.64	17	10.83	8	5.10	41	26.11	5	3.18	12	7.64	4	2.55	9	5.73	10	6.37	0	0	157
KAINGEN	60	26.79	8	3.57	5	2.23	4	1.79	8	3.57	56	25.00	12	5.36	12	5.36	7	3.13	0	0.00	12	5.36	40	17.86	224
MARULAS	26	33.33	2	2.56	3	3.85	3	3.85	3	3.85	19	24.36	10	12.82	2	2.56	4	5.13	1	1.28	5	6.41	0	0	78
BINAKAYAN-APLAYA	2	9.09	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	86.36	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.55	0	0.00	0	0	22
POLVORISTA	89	78.76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	7.96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.88	14	12.39	113
POBLACION	7	10.77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	46.15	5	7.69	1	1.54	0	0.00	1	1.54	0	0.00	21	32.31	65
PANAMITAN	11	17.74	5	8.06	3	4.84	10	16.13	0	0	13	20.97	1	1.61	5	8.06	7	11.29	1	1.61	6	9.68	0	0	62
SAMAJA MARQUEZ	355	87.65	15	3.70	2	0.49	4	0.99	4	0.99	15	3.70	1	0.25	1	0.25	3	0.74	0	0.00	2	0.49	3	0.74	405
CONGBALAY	180	41.96	39	9.09	36	8.39	16	3.73	11	2.56	12	2.80	6	1.40	3	0.70	1	0.23	1	0.23	2	0.47	122	28.44	429
TOTAL	820	49	76	5	61	4	55	3	37	2	228	14	48	3	40	2	31	2	20	1	45	3	214	13	1675

Data Source: EIA Perception Survey 2019





Based on these tables, it may be seen that the social development aspects of the Project would have to be in harmony with the results of the perception survey.

The Social Development Plan for the Project is provided separately in **Chapter 5**.

Moreover, and equally important the organizational plan (Institutional Plan) are relevant to the implementation of the SDPs. The Institutional Plan is presented in **Chapter 8**.

#### **2.4.9.1.1 Perceptions and Attitude towards the project**

Public participation was observed through the Pre-Public Scoping IEC, the Public Scoping proper and perception surveys. The Public Participation activities were not specifically conducted for the individual communities in each of the Islands A, C, D and E.

The Public Scoping was done for the entire reclamation project including all the 5 Islands thus the issues presented for each individual islands also apply for the entire reclamation project.

A matrix summary of issues/suggestions raised during the public scoping in Cavite City on 20 November 2018 is shown in Table 2.4-60.



Table 2.4-60. Matrix Summary of Issues and Concerns Raised in the Public Scoping

EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
LAND	Mr. Inocencio Jesus Ramos EMB Region IV-A	Kami po yung nagmomonitor ng project during the implementation phase. Ang concern ko lang during the presentation, nakita ko kasi yung resources, yung source ng raw materials parang anticipate siguro natin specially yung ma crecreate na member ng MMT or Multi-Partite Monitoring Team. Ang mission kase dapat galling sa legal source yung raw materials. Isipin nalang natin, lupa iyan, bato na itatambak natin sa dagat, so be sure na dapat galing sa legal source yan. E baka naman kung saan saan lang tayo maghukay ng mga bato, lupa at itambak natin sa gagawing reclamation project. So dapat galing yan sa legal source at meron ding karampatang ECC. At ito lang ma ishare ko lang sa inyo, kase during nung ating mining audit, during the time ng ating Secretary na si Ms. Gina Lopez, na assign po kami ng mining audit sa kalatagan. Yung nakita namin sa isang vessel don na nagkakarga ng mga lupa, ang project nila is mining. Actually hinahakot po nila yan at tinatapyas yung mga bundok. Hinahakot nila yung mga lupa doon sa nickel at reources na nandoon sa lupa. Mayroon kaming na interview kasi yung vessel na yon sila din yung naghakot ng mga nickel sa lupa galling zambales. And sila din ang nag supply ng mg lupa nung kinailangan itambak sa West Philippine Sea na ngayon ay sinasakop na ng China. So hindi namomonitor yon ng ating government. So dapat yung mga members ng MMT be sure na legal source yung raw materials.	<p><b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Ibig sabihin po yung volume na pagkukunan ng fill materials dapat may ECC din, kung may pag-aaral at permit.</p> <p><b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Maganda pong katanungan, tama po kayo. Dalawa po yung panambak, lupa at yung tinatawag na Armour Rocks. Masasabi ko na po sa inyo ngayon, wala po akong alam na may titibagin na bundok. Unang una na po napaka laki po ng volume, mauubos po ang bundok natin. So ito po ay malamang mangagaling sa dagat, ngayon ang kandidatong panggagalingan, I said candidate kasi hindi pa po ito nagkakapirmahan. San Nicholas Shoal (SNS) maaring manggaling dyan. Pero bago ko ipagpatuloy, bibigyan diin ko po yung sinabi ko na imiminimize natin o imamaximize natin yung mismong lupa na nandoon. Kasi kung mashado po tayong madaming itatapon, dadami din ang panambak. So yung recycling ng mga lupang idedredge sa reclamation site na pwedeng imaximize ng technology. Ngayon po yung panggagalingan, kung SNS, iyan po ay may EIS Report, malalim po yung report, hindi ko lang po alam ang status nyang ECC. So hindi po pwedeng basta na lamang kumuha kung saan. Kung SNS o kung ibang lugar man, yan po ay may sariling permitting system. At ganon din naman po yung mga bato o armour rocks. Hindi po basta basta naghuhukay dito at tambak doon. At ito po ay gagawing terms of reference sa reclamation contractor, kapag na award sa kanila hindi naman kung saan saan lang sila maghahanap. Kukunin nila yan na kung saan may tamang permit at yung pagbibigay ng permit at shempre isasaalang-alang dyan ng environmental issue. So iyon lang po sa ngayon ang masasabi ko.</p> <p><b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Usually kasama po yan during our review, itatanong po namin sa kanila kung saan manggagaling o yung magiging source ng kanilang materials. At kung meron silang identify na area na pagkukunan ng material. Itatanong din po sa kanila ng ating mga expert's yun po ba ay legal o may permit ba yan at may ECC ba yan. Iyon din po ang itatanong sa kanila which is hindi po pwedeng hanging question nalang yan forever. At iyan po ay sasagutin din ng ating Proponent at ng kanilang consultant.</p>



EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
	<b>Mr. Noel S. Ortua</b> PPA PMO NCR-South	Gaano po ba kalaki yung volume na ineexpect nating filling materials from SNS kasi lahat nalang ng proposal na reclamation projects natin ay ang laging source ay doon nalang sa SNS.	<b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Meron po kaming nadaanan na isang pag aaral na nababanggit po doon na ang reserve daw po ng SNS ay maaring umabot sa 5 billion cubic meters. Ngayon ito pong sinasabi namin dito ay hindi naman po magbibigay ang isang PRA ng kulang at hindi basta pwedeng ibigay. Marami pa pong considerasyon dyan para kung sakaling manggagaling man to sa SNS ay dapat din pong ma approvan ng PRA to. Saang bahagi naman po kukunin sa SNS? Wala pa po, dahil nandito palang po tayo sa application for ECC.
	<b>Fr. Ron Arellano</b> Father Priest of St. Peter Parish	Para sa akin po, ito ay reclamation project. It is very observing na parang hindi nasa tamang pag iisip. Kung mag pa project ka ng ganyan, reclamation project pero as this point of time hindi mo alam kung saan ka kukuha ng panambak, kasi reclamation project po sya so first na consideration mo dapat declare na yan sa project component kung ano o saan sya kukuha ng lupa. Kasi ibig sabihin non kung ganito ang discussion, ang dating sa atin ng project na ito may doubt ako na on the top of my mind, na whatever it takes, itutuloy nila ang project. Kasi hindi nya alam kung saan sya kukuha. Actually nga ako, medyo malungkot ako dahil sa napaka laking project na ito, ganito lang ang Public Hearing, gaano kalaki kaya ang maaapektuhan dito, fishing community ng Cavite City pero sino sino ang nakakaalam ng proyektong ito, second na pala ito. May Environmental Groups ang Cavite, may Cavite Green, meron ding pong group ang simbahan na we always monitor. Hindi naman po siguro alam sa probinsya kasi provincial initiative ito. Ibig sabihin yung group naming is good enough, we have to be inform kung talagang ito ay isang legitimate na project. So yun nga po yung takot ko.	<b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Meron na po kaming nakikita, pero hindi namin pwedeng ideklara dahil hindi pa po nag-uusap, pre-empting the source, ilalagay po namin sa aming report ang isa po doon na pwedeng pagkunan ay yung SNS, tapos tatanong san pa pwede sasabihin din po naming baka sa mga rivers as far as Pampanga, pwede naman sa ibang bahagi ng Manila Bay,. Meron pong pag-aaral, ang akin lang po, kami po ay Preparer hindi ko pwedeng sabihin na dito kukunin, halimbawa sabihin kong PRA, baka sabihin ng PRA sino nagbigay sayo ng authority? Hindi pa nga kami nag uusap sinasabi mo na. Ayaw po naming isara, ika nga na ang sasabihin naming ay natitiyak pero nasa studying stage palang po kami. Pero maganda po yan, kasi po tama po yun kung walang pagkukunan ng fill materials wala po tayong proyekto. Tama po yon. Wala naman pong materials, ang tawag po naming dito ay raw materials. Parang nagtayo din ng building, wala namang semento e di wala pong building.  <b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Ito po kasi father is first stage palang. Pinopromote palang nila o sinasabi palang nila yung proposed na project. Ngayon kapag dumaan na po sila sa proposeso ng review sa EMB DENR kung saan meron po kaming mga experts sasabihin din po namin sa kanila at tatanong din po namin sa kanila kung saan manggagaling ang raw materials o yung materyales na panambak at doon po ipapaalam din nila sa amin kung iyon ba ay mayroong mga ECC o permit. Ngayon po, kung nasa proseso na tayo ng recommendation either denial or approval. Kung approval man po yan makikita sa condition na ang una po ay specific na lugar kung saan nila kukunin ang materyales. Pero ito po ay nasa pag aaral palang, so wala pa talaga silang maibibigay sa atin.



EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
	<b>Mr. Rafael Aliana</b> Barangay Kagawad (Barangay 57)	<p>Kasi po marami kayong pinaliwanag ang tanong ko lang po kayo po ay pumasok ng Cavite City ng isang kalye at lalabas din po kayo sa isang kalye. Ano po ang alternative route na gagawin ninyo na kung saka sakali mang ma approvan ito. Kasi po ang Cavite po ay isang isla lamang palabas ng Noveleta napakaliit po ng kalyeng yan. Kaya nga po sabi ko sa inyo, pumasok kayo sa isang kalye lalabas din po kayo sa isang kalye. Sana po matugunan ninyo ang problemang yan.</p> <p>Kasi po yung isla na gagawin ninyo ay limang isla po. Yung 4 na isla nasa Manila Bay, yung isang isla sa Canacao Bay, pano nyo po matatambakan yan kung hindi kayo dadadan sa road? Kasi po hindi pwedeng pumasok ang Barko sa Bacoor Canacao Bay.</p>	<p><b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Napaka halaga po ng inyong katanungan. Pero ulitin ko lang po, ang amin pong ECC na pinag aapplayan ay dredging, reclamation at horizontal development. Yan po ay mangyayari sa dagat, hindi papo magiging significant impact ang kalye. Kase wlaa pa pong vehicle sa kalye, wala pa pong mga tao, kundi yung mga workers na nandoon sa vessel sa dagat. Pero kaya ko po binanggit yung operation phase na separate ang construction phase non. Sinabi ko po na that will be subject to a separate EIA System. Iba pong ECC yon, at nakikita ko po doon kailangan tugunan yung mga katanungang yon at ngayon palang na wala tayo sa operations phase nakikita ko na po sa master planning na kinokonsidera yung magandang katanungan ng ating kaibigan dito. Pero hindi pa po namin mabibigyan ng kasagutan yung tanong ninyo sa construction phase.</p> <p><b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> So ibig sabihin po kung mgakakaron man ng reclamation, ang activities ay sa dagat lang.</p> <p><b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Well noted po.</p>
	<b>Mr. Jun Burce</b> Barangay 23	<p>San yung San Nicholas Shoal at kung yun ay considered na environmental protected areas. Bakit kino consider pa rin as source of raw materials?</p> <p>Saan banda po ba ang SNS?</p>	<p><b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Wala po kaming idea, pero kung bibigyan nyo po kami ng panahon ay ating pong ireresearch at tanungin ang ating DENR kung ito po ay protected area</p>
	<b>Representative from Sangley Point National High School</b>	<p>Kase yung aming school ay nandon talaga sa coastal area ang gilid nyan dagat na, ang concern ko lang po dyan sa may Canacao Bay sakop ng Island Bay so sigurado pong apektado ang aming paaralan. 1,500 students ang maaapektuhan po sa ngayon by the time na magagawa yan siguro mag dodoble na po yung population. Ang tanong lang po kung sakaling maapektuhan, meron po bang relocation para sa ating school? Or aalisin po ba or kung sakaling hindi maganda po kasi meron po kaming plan na mga long term, sayang naman po ang pera ng gobyerno kung itutuloy namin ang pagpapagawa pagkatapos aalisin naman po pala kami.</p>	<p><b>Ms. Nadia P. Conde:</b> We will rely your concern to the LGU.</p>





EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
	Representative from Philippine Navy	Ang concern lang po namin dyaan is yung navigational, pagpasok nung mga bagong barko namin dyan na hindi makaka apekto yung reclamation project, yun lang naman yung magigigng concern namin sa ngayon and at the same time yung hindi kami pagbabawalan na kung sakaling andyan na yan, kasi minsan may mga activities na kailangan pumasok dyan. Dapat mabigyan po kami dyan ng authority. And then yung sinasabi kanina ni Engr. Yung dito sa E, C and B hindi na ba eextend yan? Kasi yung sa navigational lane kita nyo naman yung barko umiikot yan yung malalaking barko namin kase kung eextend yan nandyan yung mga fishing grounds natin. Yung bang mga mangingisda natin makokontrol ba natin pagdating doon sa malallim baka kasi hindi natin makontrol yung daanan ng barko natin e lagyan nila ng kawayan.	<b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Well recognized and Noted po lalo na po sa LONO.
PEOPLE	Fr. Ron Arellano Father Priest of St. Peter Parish	Reclamation project, ang alam ko kasi sumali ako sa mga senate hearing sa reclamation projects sa Manila. Ang PRA ay parang last 3 course na ito ay kung kailangan na kailangan talaga saka mag rereclaim. At yung revelation ay always in the spirit or in the common goal. Yan ang pinaka puso ng bawat reclamation. Hindi po pwedeng mag reclaim for a certain investors lamang. Kasi po ang dagat ay isang public domain, na ang dagat ay pinapamahala yan sa mga local government. Nung ni reclaim ang MOA, grabe din yung perwisyo nyan doon e, sino ang kumita doon? Magkano pinagbili ang bawat area ng lupa? Saan ginamit yon? Na improve ba ang buhay ng mga taga Baclaran? So iyan po yung concern ko.	<b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Yan po ay aming ididiscuss sa aming report at makikipag ugnayan po kami sa sa Municipality, sa syudad kung paano po namin mapapalawig pa yung katanungan na common goal. Hindi ito para sa investors lamang. Pero hindi pa po kami ready ngayon.
		Common Goal – Sabi ng common goal nabanggit nyo kanina very clear na sabi ninyo it is for economic, part ng recreation, government, but siguro Cavite City is napaka liit ng lugar natin, napaka daming tao. Kung meron tayong common concern siguro yung mabigyan ng maayos na residential areas ang mga tao. Problemahin natin ang traffic, problemahin natin ang business doon sa ibang lugar saka ano na tayo dito ang sikip na sikip na. Ano ang mangyayari sa atin pagdating ng araw? We will be foreigner, balang araw in our own country mismo. At ang concern ko sino ang investor na ito? You're telling us the investment pero who you are investing? Or even in our country, sino ba ang kikita dito? Baka nalaman laman nalang natin Cavite	<b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Hindi pa po ito Public Hearing father, Public Scoping palang po ito. Kaya po ang pineresent nila yun lang pong na gather nilang information during the IEC, lahat po ng sinasabi nila ay lalabas duting the Public Hearing kapag sila po ay meron ng document sa amin na tinatawag na EIS na kung saan idinidiscuss ang impact ng project sa apat na module ng EIA (Land, Water, Air and People) nandon na po yung mga data nila na, na gather tapos po meron din pong kung may mga effects man ito meron na din silang mga mitigating measures na ilalagay nila sa EIS Report. Ito po ay Public Scoping palang po. Talaga pong magkakaroon tayo ng tinatawag na Public



EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
		<p>City, Province of China na ito. Tapos fishing grounds, sakin po namang considerasyon na dapat tingnan. So yun po yung mga bagay na malaking tanong sa akin. Kaya sorry po sa nagreregistration, hindi po ako pumipirma ka agad agad kasi I been to battles na naka attend kami sa Public Hearing tapos nalaman laman ko yung pangalan ko na approved ako. Hindi po ako against sa project, pero make it clear, liwanagin sa atin.</p> <p>Mahirap po kasi yung nag pupublic hearing kayo na parang nag present lang kayo ng project mismo technically pero yung mga dapat yung ground working I present nyo to us. Halimbawa, SNS nyo na binabanggit ang lahat ng munisipyo dyan sa coastal during my time as environmental head dyan sa diocese ay nagkaroon kami ng agreement na never touch or never never touch the SNS kasi may mga pawikan don na areas at may natural yan na capacity to gather the sand na gagamitin natin, may ano yan may paggagamitan yan kung bakit may milyong milyong naiipon doon na sands.</p>	<p>Hearing pero wala pa po tayong I pupublic hearing kase wala pa tayong data na mga hawak.</p>
	<b>Ms. Tolentino</b> Cavite Clean Up of Ministry on Ecology	<p>Kasi po ang pinag uusapan po natin dito ay reclamation, at ito po ay isang libo. Kasi po ang public hearing ay hindi dapat sa ganitong kaliit o public scoping ay ang liit na area po nito. Tapos hindi invited ang ibat ibang concern na stakeholders, kagaya po dito sa Cavite City, tatlo po yung parokya dito, pero ang nainvite lang meron lang document si Father Domeng dito po sa main dito sa San Roque. Talo po parokya dito, at kaya lamang nalaman ni Father ay sinabihan po sya ni Father Domeng. Pero dapat po sila kasama po sila dito, hindi lang isang parokya ang nandito sa Cavite City. Tapos po, ang pinag uusapan natin ay reclamation, ito po ay isang Environmental Critical Project (ECP) alam nyo po ba yung mga nandirito kung ano ang ibig sabihin ng ECP tapos yun pong proyekto na itatayo ay nasa isang ECA. Alam nyo po ba iyon? Ito pong project na ito ay napaka tindi. At ganitong kalaki. Isang major project, isang ECPs na nasa ECA naiintindihan po ba natin yon? Dapat po ay iyon ay pinapaliwanag sa atin, pinepresent sa atin kung ano yung pwedeng impact pero ito pong ganitong klaseng project na dapat po ay nauunawaan ng mga tao during Public Scoping. Kasi water bodies po itong lalagyan kasama po iyan sa ECA. Kaya gusto ko pong malaman na tama po ba na yang mga tao na inyo pong iniimbitahan ng inyong Public Scoping ay yung mga tamang tao na makakaunawa ng project na ganito na isang ECPs at isang ECA.</p>	<p><b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Sa invitation po natin at the minimum kailangan kasama po dapat ang local government, other government agencies na merong related mandate, yung mga interest groups NGOs, households, business activities or industries, people socio economic welfare, cultural heritage are projected to be affected, local institutions, school, churches and hospital. So malinaw po ito, so ito po yung mga tao na dapat kasama namin kaya lang ito po ay una palang na Public Scoping na ating icoconduct kasi bukas meron pa po tayo sa dalawang venues. Kung sila man po ay hindi maaaring nakarating ngayon, hindi natin alam na kadahilanan maaari silang pumunta bukas. Isa pa po, malinaw po sa ating instructions na sa aming mandate kailangan po na ang proyektong ito ang public scoping activities na ito ay nasa aming website, bukod po doon bali ni request po namin ang Proponent at ang consultant na magbigay po ng kopya ng document sa inyo pong mga local government offices katulad po ng barangays. So kung meron po kayong katanungan na kung bakit hindi nakarating yung iba, hindi rin po dito nagtatapos kasi open po ang aming regional office o kaya ang central office sa inyong mga katanungan "issues and concerns" na hindi po nasagot na maari naming masagot kapag kami ay bumalik na sa aming kanya kanyang opisina. Hindi po dito nagtatapos ang ating pagkikita dahil meron pa po tayong tinatawag na Public Hearings. Hindi lang po isang Public Hearing ang ating I</p>



## CHAPTER TWO THE PEOPLE

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
			<p>coconduct marami po, maari pong tatlo o apat depende po sa pangangailangan ninyo na kung saan I didiscuss ng ating proponent o kanilang consultant yung detalyadong impacts ng proyektong ito kung saan present namin kung gaano kakapal ang documentong ipapakita namin sa inyo at kung saan ang impacts dito ay naka detalye</p> <p><b>Ms. Nadia Conde:</b> Doon po pala sa invitations, nabanggit nga po na meron tayong tatlong venues ng Public Scoping kasi malaki po ang area na nasasakupan. And to add din po, nag post din po kami ng notice na naka tarpaulin sa mga public places kasi this is a public scoping it is open to everybody so hindi naman po ibig sabihin na kapag hindi inimbata hindi kayo pupunta. So anybody can join po. Naka state din naman po sa posting yung mga venues. Ang EMB po ang mag upload ng Draft EIS Report po namin sa kanila pagkatapos po ng pag aaral, ilalagay po nila sa website, so everybody can access po, pwede nyo pong pag aralan kung ano po yung resulta ng aming pag aaral. Kung may gusto pa po kayong idagdag o comment doon po sa pag aaral namin or pagkakasunduan pero lahat naman po ay pwede nyong ipaalam sa amin.</p> <p><b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Yun pong katanungan tungkol sa ECA. Sinabi po namin unang una po wala po tayo sa expanded NIPAS Area. Iba po yun sa ECA kasi yun pong ECA, basta meron pong bagyo na dumaan sa kanilang lugar ECA na yon. Pero na capture po yung pagigigng ECA kaya nga po ito naging EIS report kasi kung wala ito sa ECA at hindi ECP baka wala na pong Public Hearing , baka wala na pong EIS document baka ibang klaseng document po ang hingin sa amin. So nagrereflect po yung pagigigng ECP at pagiging ECA sa aming rule na dapat EIS Report dadaan sa PD DAO 27-15 dadaan sa IEC, FGD, Public Scoping, Public Hearing at maaring magkaroon din ng Focus Group Discussion. Doon po na capture sa prosesong yon yung pagiging ECA at pagiging ECP.</p>
<b>OTHERS</b>	Mr. Sergio Serico Nepomuceno <b>CPRD-National Museum</b>	Nais ko lang po idagdag na bukod po sa environmental concerns dito sa Cavite City po is meron din po kaming Archeological Concern kung maghuhukay po kasi kayo sa portion ng reclamation project, just to remind the consultant there will be an Archeological Impact	<b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> Well noted and recognize po yung concern nyo and in fact sa ibang pong proyekto kasama na po ang concerns nila sa full report namin.



EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
		Assessment. Yung magiging in charge po sa proyektong ito, they can hire an archeologist of their choice para kung ano man po yung mahuhukay dyaan na mga objects po ay it can be turn over to the National Museum and it will be supervise naman po by our archeologist, so yun po yung gusto kong ipaalam sa lahat na bukod po sa environmental concern e heritage zone po kasi ang Cavite. Maganda po from the start palang alam na po natin ang kahalagahan ng mga nakapalibot po sa atin.	
	Mr. Jun Burce Barangay 23	Sino yung investor? Sino ang gagastos sa project para simulan nyo yan?	<b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Province of Cavite po ang Proponent.
	<b>Engr. Ed Destura</b> Philippine Reclamation Authority (PRA)	Merong po akong naipon na mga usapin na as PRA kailangan po siguro naming sagutin. I have three observations and questions later on. Yung tanong kanina about paggamit ng panambak and so and so fort. And for the information of the everybody ang aspeto po ng proyektong ito ay isa sa pinaka masusing at panuntuyin ng proyekto na masusi naming pinag aaralan bago bigyan ng approval ang project. Hindi po basta basta pwedeng gumamit ng kahit na anong panambak para sa reclamation. Hindi po pwede idikta ng Proponent ng Preparer kung anong klaseng buhangin ang gagamitin but si PRA po ang magsasabi na pweeng gamitin yung lupa na yan. Pwede in the sense na dapat meron pong mga karampatang permits, approvals of the other government agencies at ang pinaka mabigat pong aspeto ng PRA is yun pong engineering aspect ng buhangin is pwedeng gamitin sa paggawa ng panibagong lupa sa dagat. Marami pong katanungan tungkol sa SNS hindi ho ito isang lihim na bagay para malaman ninyo dahil taga Cavite po kayo. Una po ang SNS ay meron pong batas na nag nag cover na ang SNS this is EO 153 defining the mid and bounds of SNS na mula po sa Rosario pababa ng Tanza. Ang coverage po ng SNS is 20,000 Hectares na po ito sa ngayon ang PRA po ay complete na sa lahat ng document na kailangan ng DENR-EMB at ng MGB para po issuehan ng ECC at government seabed	<p><b>Dr. Ed Alabastro:</b> Thank you po Sir.</p> <p><b>Engr. Lene Ramboyong:</b> Ano po ang ibig sabihin ng mag ooverlap?</p> <p><b>Dr. Edgardo Alabastro:</b> FYI din po, bahagi din po ng pag aapply ng ECC, ay ang pagkuha ng Area Clearance. Dito po sa Area Clearance ay papasok po ang LONO. Ang nakikita ko pong dalawang ahensya na hihingan ng Proponent ng LONO at may kaugnayan sa sinabi ni Engr. Ed Destura ay number one Philippine Navy. Dadaan po tayo sa LONO, pagkakataon ng mag usap kung may concern.</p>





EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
		<p>quarry permit. Ang ibig sabihin po based po sa intention ng batas ang SNS po ang pangagalingan ng lahat ng panambak ng pangangailangan ng lahat ng reclamation sa Manila Bay. Ulitin ko po ito po ang inaydentify ng batas na pangagalingan ng mga panambak ng mga reclamation project sa Manila Bay. Kasi po sa resulta ng pag-aaral ng consultant ng PRA and deposit po ng lupa ng SNS ay nasa 900 million to 2 billion cubic meters na pinaka maganda pong gamitin para sa reclamation. Sinasabi ko po ito dahil taga Cavite po kayo, 20,000 po ang coverage ng SNS. Ineexpect po namin as soon as possible na marelease po ang ECC ng SNS para po ma release ang susunod na permit na tinatawag po namin na Government Seabed Quarry Permit.</p> <p>Lahat po ng ito ay naka saad sa batas sa EO 153, DENR DAO 2000-25 yung implementing rules and regulations ng EO 153.</p> <p>Nung dumating po yung sulat ng province sa PRA about this project medyo nagkaroon na po ng usapin sa management kasi particularly yung Island A, it will overlap with an area covered with the study of the PRA with Philippine Navy. So napaka init po ng proposeso sa Philippine Navy because kailangan pong magkaroon ng daungan ang barkong pandigma na submarine na papasok ho by first quarter of 2020 na nagkaroon ho ng usapin si Philippine Navy at PRA na ayusin ang parte ng Canacao Bay at yung Proclamation No. 88 na mag ooverlap po sa Island A. We seek to the technical working group of Philippine Navy and we would like to inform the Preparer and were writing the Proponent about this to inform them about the overlap and number two pertaining to Island B, C, D and E. FYI po, this portion of the project will have an impact that will overlap in our SNS. Not sure po kasi wala pang binigay sa amin na coordinates from B to E, pero</p>	



EIA Module	Sector or Representative Who Raised the Issue/ Suggestion	Issues/Suggestions Raised by Stakeholder	Proponent's Response during Public Scoping Activity
		<p>muka pong magkakaroon and im not sure about this pero magkakaroon ng overlap sa Island B,C,D and E.</p> <p>Delineated na po yung area ng SNS na 20,000 Hectares meron na pong technical description yung boundaries and it appears and Im not certain yet B to E. Yung island A ulitin ko po is im very certain that overlap po yun sa PD 88 pero doon po sa kabila mukang magkakaroon ng overlap yung dalawa.</p>	



#### **2.4.10 Impacts on Tourism**

The impacts on tourism is on enhancement because the reclamation project once operational shall provide alternative destinations for foreign and local tourists.

A Letter of No Objection from the DOT was already secured (**Annex ES-D**).



## CHAPTER 3. IMPACT MANAGEMENT PLAN (IMP)

### Explanatory Notes:

The following explanatory notes are deemed relevant to the formulation of the EMP for the following reasons:

- The paradigm that an EIS/ECC is a “Planning Tool” and not a Permit is expounded.
- This emphasis is useful when seeking the LONOs from the various agencies to impress on them that they can still exercise their respective mandates even if the ECC shall have been secured.
- It also impresses on the PRA, which has the mandate to issue the NTP to allow project implementation that it can still prescribe other requirements during the conduct of the Design and Engineering Details (DED).
- Equally important, in cases of challenges from the Court, this explanation will serve as an important basis for any court intervention.
- Similarly, when/should challenges or issues arise in the future concerning the ECC, this discussion will become relevant.
- For Reclamation Projects, the EMP also referred to as Impacts Management Plan (IMP) declared in the EIS Report are further validated by government authorities post ECC in contrast with other ECPs whereby project implementation may immediately proceed after the securing of their ECCs. Th concepts are illustrated in **Figure 3-1**.




Figure 3-1. Post ECC Implementation of the IMP





The above notes and Figure 3-1 imply the following:

- The EIS Report and the ECC are only planning tools. Environmental protection is more firmly assured in the activities post ECC such as the conduct of Detailed Engineering Design (DED).
- For other ECPs, projects may be implemented (i.e. construction could start) after securing of the ECC, undertaking an internal (by the Proponent) DED and securing construction permits from authorities.
- In the case of Reclamation Projects, there are still two (2) levels of approvals prior to actual construction works. These involve (1) the validation of the IMP prior to the signing of a MOA involving the project the developer and (2) another stage which is the securing of Letters of No Objection (LONO) from concerned agencies. **Thus, environmental protection is planned in the EIS/ECC and further enhanced post ECC by agencies other than the DENR/EMB.**
- Even after the securing of an ECC, changes may be imposed on the master plan for a reclamation project. e.g. the case of the reclamation project in Las Piñas-Paranaque whereby the configuration of the islands was changed to allow for better water circulation.
- ***Another key point is that a Multi Partite Monitoring Team (MMT) is to be organized post ECC. The MMT will further add to additional requirements for the EMP.***

#### Impacts, Mitigating Measures and the EMP

The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is summarized in **Table 3-1** as derived from the assessment of environmental impacts. This focuses on the major impacts wherein mitigating measures are required.

Moreover, the issues and concerns raised during the Public Scoping, a key aspect of the Public Participation activity under DAO 2017-15 are incorporated in the EMP. The impact analysis and proposed mitigation/management measures cover the Land, Water, Air and People modules.



**Table 3-1. IMPACT MANAGEMENT PLAN (IMP)**

Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
<b>I. PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>							
Geotechnical Survey Completed. Clearances from the Philippine Coast Guard were secured prior to the actual survey works. Limited and non-destructive activities (Marine ecology survey, bathymetric survey and geotechnical exploration). There are no residual impacts on the environment.							
<b>II. CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>							
<b>DREDGING WORKS ACTIVITY</b>							
Removal of unwanted seabeds and silt	Water Quality	Water pollution brought about by silt disturbance within the project area	Installation of silt curtains around the dredging vessel and around the perimeter area of dredging area/activities	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards outside the silt curtain area.	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost of Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
	People	Impact on the livelihood of fisherfolks that will be displaced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assistance in refurbishing and increasing the number of fish aggregating device (FADs) previously set in coastal waters by the MAO and its sustainable management. New, more suitable sites will be identified and the design of ARs will consider aggregation of both demersal and pelagic species of fish.</li> <li>Provision of more appropriate fishing gears to organized fishers to enable them to fish further offshore where stocks of sardines (Sardinella lemuru) are more plentiful. Collaboration with BFAR, the project will support diversification of fisher</li> </ul>	100% Compliance to livelihood and Fish Aggregating Device (FAD)	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment



**CHAPTER THREE**  
**Impact Management Plan (IMP)**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
			livelihoods into cage culture of Pompano and other full-cycle species				
Transport of dredged material to disposal site	Water Quality	Water pollution due to accidental spillage of dredged materials	The hauler shall ensure that vessels used for transporting are in good condition to prevent dredged materials from leaking or spilling	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards outside the silt curtain area.	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost of Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
Dumping of dredged material to disposal site (Inland)	Soil and water quality	Soil and water Pollution due to disposal of dredge materials	Installation of high density polyethylene (HDPE) liner and/or clay for the spoil disposal site to prevent soil and water (ground and surface) contamination and zero discharge	No soil contamination and Allowable Ambient Criteria due to disposal of dredged materials	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost of Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
Dredging of filling material for reclamation	Water Quality	Water pollution due to dredged filled materials	Installation of silt curtains around the dredging vessel and/or dredging area	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost of Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
Barging of fill materials for reclamation	Water Quality	Water Pollution due to accidental spillage of dredged materials during barging  Increase of suspended solids affecting the settlement of marine species in the dredging and reclamation areas	Provision of containment facility to prevent spillage  Provision of control measures when transporting filling materials	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards  100% No proliferation of suspended solids	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
<b>RECLAMATION ACTIVITY</b>							



Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
Construction of containment structures  Installation of sand bags/containment wall system along certain areas along the perimeter of the project area	Water Quality	Water pollution/ Increase turbidity of adjacent areas due to Infrastructure /Construction Activities of adjacent areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of a silt curtain 50m away from the working area, surrounding the area to be filled with reclamation materials and in the revetment structures area.</li> <li>Provision of geotextile membrane on the containment structures throughout the perimeters of the project area.</li> </ul>	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
	Water Quality	Water quality degradation of Ylang-Ylang River	Conduct of periodic monitoring of water quality and the occurrence of freshwater fish (i.e., abundance, species richness and biomass)	100% No freshwater quality degradation and loss of freshwater species particularly Ylang Ylang River	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
	Water- Change in current pattern and wave action	Fish larvae and other marine species migrating to nearby mangrove areas	Sustainability of mangrove protection and conservation thru preparation of mangrove conservation plan	100% No cutting of mangroves	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
	Hazard/Safety	Tsunami/Storm surges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strictly implement the recommendation of the EGGAR report</li> </ul>	100% No flooding and permanent defense against tsunami/storm surge	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
	People	Decrease of fish catch production of affected fishermen	Livelihood of fisherfolks that will be displaced	100% sustained the income of affected fishermen	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment





**CHAPTER THREE**  
**Impact Management Plan (IMP)**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
		Health and Safety due to exposure to Construction Hazard	Implement wearing of PPE's at all times when inside the project site	100% Compliant to PPEs and Zero accident	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
		Employment	Priority to qualified local hirees	100% Compliance to SDP in terms of local employment	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
-Filling the project area with reclamation materials '-Delivery of filling and other construction materials through barges	Water Quality	Water pollution /Increase turbidity due to filling materials near reclamation areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of a silt curtain 50m away from the working area, surrounding the area to be filled with reclamation materials and in the revetment structures area.</li> <li>Silt curtains shall be removed after all necessary components and materials are in place inside the revetment sections.</li> </ul>	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards"	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
		Increase in sedimentation outside the project area	Provision of permeable geotextile membrane to prevent sediments during high and low tide outside the project area.	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
Hauling of filling materials	Air (noise)	Noise generation	Use of efficient silencers on equipment and other noise dissipating device on all equipment to be used. Avoid use of heavy machinery during night hours. Observe allowable work hours to limit noise.	100% Compliant with Noise Standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment



**CHAPTER THREE**  
**Impact Management Plan (IMP)**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
	Air (Quality)	Dust pollution due to vehicle movements: -Along the road leading to the reclamation area -Within the project area activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sprinkling of water using water tanker at least four times a day along all possible roads leading to the reclamation area (as shown in an indicative haul route map in the EIS), especially during dry season.</li> <li>• Covering all loaded trucks properly/fully using tarpaulin throughout the hauling period.</li> <li>• All trucks shall be road-worthy.</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
<b>LAND DEVELOPMENT</b>							
Compaction/Soil stabilization of the project area	Land	Liquefaction due to improper compaction	Geotechnical analysis of materials and proper design for mitigation EGGAR report	100 % No liquefaction	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
	Water Hazard	Flooding due to insufficient drainage network	Proper Engineering design of Drainage network	100% No flooding	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
	Water Quality	Increase sedimentation fluxes	Stabilization or reclaimed areas through vegetation cover enrichment/enhancement	100% No further siltation/sedimentation will occur	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
	Air (Quality)	Noise pollution due to heavy equipment operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of efficient silencers on equipment and other noise dissipating device on all equipment to be used.</li> <li>• Observe allowable work hours to limit noise.</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to Noise Standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment



**CHAPTER THREE**  
**Impact Management Plan (IMP)**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
		Dust pollution due to heavy equipment operation including transport vessels	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sprinkling of water using water tanker at least four times a day within the project area especially during dry season.</li> <li>Transport vessels/barges shall be fully and properly covered and load secured throughout the hauling period.</li> </ul>	100% Compliant to RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards"	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
Construction of horizontal structures such as follows: A. Road networks B. Drainage system (sewage and sewerage system) C. Water distribution D. Centralized wastewater treatment facility E. Power and telecommunication lines	Land	Land pollution due to indiscriminate /improper dumping of solid wastes and toxic substances	<p>Ensure that its contractors shall practice onsite segregation and establish storage facility of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction debris such as used drum, used tires, wood cuttings, iron bar cuttings, etc.</li> <li>Hazardous wastes such as used oil, busted lamps, oily rags, etc.</li> </ol> <p>The above waste materials shall be hauled and disposed of by a DENR accredited hauler and treater. Composting facility will be provided to process biodegradable waste. Compost materials shall be used for greening activities.</p>	<p>100% compliance with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RA 9003</li> <li>DAO 1992-29 and DAO 2013-22 and its Revised Procedural Manual</li> </ul>	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
	Land/Water	Generation of untreated/ improper disposal of domestic wastewater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Personnel stationed at the reclaimed land will be provided with on-site portable toilets and washrooms</li> <li>Collection and disposal will be done by a DENR accredited hazardous waste hauler and treater</li> </ul>	Zero discharge of domestic waste to Bacoor Bay.	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment



Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
	Water Quality	Water Pollution due Increase storm water run-offs surrounding the Areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drainage system should to lead to settling ponds</li> <li>• Sewage and sewerage systems shall have dual piping (going to the wastewater treatment facility and for redistribution)</li> <li>• Provision of storm water collection system</li> </ul>	Allowable Ambient Criteria or 100% Compliant to RA 9275 and DAO 2016-08 standards"	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
	Air (Quality)	Dust pollution emanating from open areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sprinkling of water along all possible routes leading to the reclamation area, at least four times a day, especially during dry season.</li> <li>• Open areas should be covered with greeneries such as grass, shrubs, etc.</li> </ul>	100% compliance with RA 8749 in terms of air quality standards	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment
	People	Health and Safety due to exposure to Construction Hazard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implement wearing of PPE's at all times when inside the project site</li> </ul>	100% compliance to PPEs and Zero accident	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
		Employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Priority to qualified local hirees</li> </ul>	100% compliance to SDP in terms of local employment	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	MOA among Consortium; ECC commitment
<b>III. ABANDONMENT PHASE</b>							
Dismantling of equipment, clean-up, cessation of construction activities	People	Reduction and eventual termination of employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promote alternative livelihood at early stage of project operation.</li> </ul>	100% compliance with SDP	MMT Members And Proponent's Self-Monitoring	Part of Project Development Cost Php 12.01 B	EIS / ECC Commitment; SDP





**CHAPTER THREE**  
**Impact Management Plan (IMP)**  
**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

Project Phase / Environmental Aspect	Environmental Component Likely to be Affected	Potential Impact Residual Effects (When applicable)	Options for Prevention or Mitigation* or Enhancement	Efficiency of Measures	Responsible Entity	Cost	Guarantee / Financial Arrangements
			● Pay employees termination pay and other payment mandated by laws.				



## CHAPTER 4. ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT (ERA)


### INTRODUCTION:

The term “**risk**” is not clearly delineated because the word may have two meanings. It can mean in one context a hazard or a danger of an exposure to an accident, mischance or peril. In another context, risk is interpreted more narrowly to mean the probability or chance of suffering an adverse consequence from a fortuitous event. To illustrate, “flood risk” can refer to the presence of a danger of flooding while a flood hazard to a specific probability such a flood event may occur and can be expressed in quantitative terms such as a “0.10% probability”.

Environmental risk refers to actual or potential threats or adverse effects on living organisms (man, plants, animals, fish, etc.) and the environment caused by effluents, emissions, wastes, resource depletion, etc., arising out of activities involved in a project (*Martin et al., 1977*).

Risk assessment is a systematic method of identifying and analyzing the hazards associated with an activity and establishing a level of risk for each hazard. The hazards cannot be completely eliminated, and thus, there is a need to define and estimate an accident risk level that can be presented either in quantitative or qualitative way.

Figure 4-1 is an illustration of the risk assessment process.



**Figure 4-1. An Illustration of the Risk Assessment Process**

Hazard identification involves the identification of all possible events or processes that could lead to disastrous or fatal incidents including potential hazards from substances, chemicals and materials (both physical and biological) used in the project process that could result in adverse effects on personnel/people and the environment. As an example for the reclamation project, “materials” could include the fills sourced from other sites, which will be examined for presence of harmful chemicals such as metals, which if present could present risk of contamination of the sea.

Consequence analysis involves the assessment of the adverse or unacceptable effects or results of an incident or episode from a project activity. When applicable, mathematical models may be employed for consequence analysis.



Frequency analysis is the estimation of the likelihood of number of occurrences of the identified hazard and/or the time occurrences of such.

Risk management refers to the overall process of prevention and reduction of the evaluated hazards, containment of the actual incident/episode, instituting response measures and the monitoring and communicating of the risks to stakeholders and project proponent/developer.

The main objective of this chapter is to identify and analyze hazards, the event sequences leading to hazards, the risk of hazardous events and the management of the elements of risks, which are particular to this Project relating to the coverage of the ECC being applied for.

The discussions below are based on of the **Revised Procedural Manual (RPM) of DAO 03-30 in particular Annex 2-7e**. As stated in the RPM, an ERA, within the context of Philippine EIS System, is concerned primarily with safety risks (characterized by low probability, high consequence, accidental nature and acute effects associated and focused on human safety).

As discussed in previous chapters, this EIS Report and the ECC application being made is for the reclamation works (Construction Phase of the EIS cycle) up to the formation of stabilized landforms while the Operations Phase will be subject to separate requirements of the PEISS. Thus, focus on the ERA is made on the creation of stable reclaimed land up to and including horizontal developments.

The ERA is concerned with the movements at sea of vessels, dredging and related activities. Once the land is created, the ensuing period of at least one (1) year will no longer require the use of the vessels and dredging equipment (such as the TSHD and/or other dredgers) and will instead involve land/soil stabilization only as well as horizon development activities.

#### **4.1 Level of Coverage of the ERA**

Reference is made to Annex 2-7e of the RPM for DAO 2003-30

### **I. LEVELS OF COVERAGE AND SCOPING REQUIREMENTS**

The requirement for the conduct of ERA shall be defined in three (3) levels:

- a) **Level 2** – for facilities that will use, manufacture, process or store hazardous materials in excess of Level 2 threshold inventory shall be required to conduct a Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) and prepare an Emergency/Contingency Plan based on the results of the QRA.
- b) **Level 1** – for facilities that will use, manufacture, process or store hazardous materials in excess of Level 1 threshold inventory shall be required to prepare an Emergency/Contingency Plan based on the worst-case scenario. The Plan shall be based on a Hazard Analysis study.
- c) Risk Screening Level – specific facilities or the use of certain processes shall require the conduct of a risk screening study even if the projected or estimated inventory does not reach the threshold levels.

### **II. TECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR THE CONDUCT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT**

#### **A. Determination of Risk Levels**

##### **Levels of Coverage and Requirements**



**Risk Screening Level.** The following activities are required to undertake a risk screening exercise:

- 1) Facilities for the production or processing of organic or inorganic chemicals using:
  - a) alkylation
  - b) amination by ammonolysis
  - c) carbonylation
  - d) condensation
  - e) dehydrogenation
  - f) esterification
  - g) halogenation and manufacture of halogens
  - h) hydrogenation
  - i) hydrolysis
  - j) oxidation
  - k) polymerization
  - l) sulphonation
  - m) desulphurization, manufacture and transformation of sulphur-containing compounds
  - n) nitration and manufacture of nitrogen-containing compounds
  - o) manufacture of phosphorus-containing compounds
  - p) Formulation of pesticides and of pharmaceutical products.
  - q) distillation
  - r) extraction
  - s) Solvation
- 2) Installations for distillation, refining or other processing of petroleum products.
- 3) Installations for the total or partial disposal of solid or liquid substances by incineration or chemical decomposition.
- 4) Installations for the production or processing of energy gases, for example, LPG, LNG, SNG.
- 5) Installations for the dry distillation of coal or lignite.
- 6) Installations for the production of metals or non-metals by a wet process or by means of electrical energy.
- 7) Installations for the loading/unloading of hazardous materials as defined by RA 6969 (or DAO 29)

**Levels 1 and Level 2 Threshold Inventory.** The following threshold levels shall be used to determine whether a proposed project or undertaking shall be required to prepare a QRA and/or an emergency/contingency plan:

**Table 4-1. Levels 1 and 2 Threshold Inventory**

CATEGORY	LEVEL 1 (tons)	LEVEL 2 (tons)
Explosives	10	50
Flammable substances	5,000	50,000
Highly flammable substances	50	200
Extremely flammable substances	10	50
Oxidizing substances	50	200
Toxic substances (low)	50	200
Toxic substances (medium)	10	50
Toxic substances (high)	5	20
Toxic substances (very high)	0.2	1
Toxic substances (extreme)	0.001	0.1
Unclassified (Type A)	100	500
Unclassified (Type B)	50	200






**Table 4-2. Categories of Hazardous Materials**

Category	Definition
A. Explosives (Reactivity)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A substance or preparation, which creates the risk of an explosion by shock, friction, fire, or other sources of ignition.</li> <li>2. A pyrotechnic substance (or mixture of substances) designed to produce heat, light, sound, gas, or smoke or a combination of such effects through non-detonating self-sustained exothermic chemical reactions.</li> </ol>
B. Flammable Substances  (Highly flammable and extremely flammable substances)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Flammable substances are substances and preparations having a flash point equal to or greater than 21°C and less than or equal to 55°C, capable of supporting combustion.</li> <li>2. Highly flammable substances are substances and preparations which may become hot and finally catch fire in contact with air at ambient temperature without any input of energy, or substances which have a flash point lower than 55°C and which remain liquid under pressure, where particular processing conditions, such as high pressure or high temperature, may create major-accident hazards.</li> <li>3. Extremely flammable substances are liquid substances and preparations which have a flash point lower than 0°C and the boiling point (or, in the case of a boiling range, the initial boiling point) of which at normal pressure is less than or equal to 35°C; gaseous substances and preparations which are flammable when in contact with air at ambient temperature and pressure, whether or not kept in the gaseous or liquid state under pressure; or, liquid substances or preparations maintained at a temperature above their boiling point.</li> </ol>
C. Oxidizing substances	Substances which give rise to highly exothermic reaction when in contact with other substances, particularly flammable substances.
D. Toxic Substances	<p>Low, medium, high, very high and extreme toxicity of substances or preparation are classified as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A substance shall be considered as a liquid if vapor pressure is less than 1 bar at 20°C.</li> <li>2. A substance shall be considered as a gas if vapor pressure is greater than 1 bar at 20°C.</li> <li>3. The sum of (a) and (b) as provided in Tables 2 and 3 shall determine the toxicity class as contained in Table 1.</li> </ol>
E. Unclassified Substances	Substances or preparations that react violently with water (Type A), and substances or preparations, which release or liberate toxic gas in contact with water (Type B).

Moreover, the guideline for Societal Risk is reflected in **Figure 4-2**.



Source: Figure 1 of Annex 2-7 e

**Figure 4-2. Societal Risk Criteria**



Following are the key information in risk assessment:

The project site is at sea whereat there are no population centers. Therefore “**societal risk**” is not germane to the project. The construction phase personnel are stationed at ships and small in number, i.e. of less than about fifty (50) persons.

Activities which would be undertaken at or near populated areas are those associated with the construction of the connecting points to the land of the access ways.

In the dredging and land formation works, Environmental Risks are most relevant to and associated with the operations of the type of equipment to be used as chosen by the Contractor to be awarded the works. Either a Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger (TSHD) or a Cutter Section Dredger (CSD) will be employed.

In case a TSHD will be use risks may potentially arise during the transport or movement of the vessel.

In the identification of hazardous materials to establish threshold levels Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) is the reference material. Being a heavy fuel oil, flash and fire points are not of significant concerns.

The general operating cycle of the TSHD is shown below:

Travel cycle of TSHD from site to SNS and back	7 hours/cycle
Trench Dredging Cycle	350 hours
Total	6.5 years
Hopper Capacity	1500-3000 m <sup>3</sup>
Volume Fill required for 5 islands	124,920,000 m <sup>3</sup>
Total Number of cycles	7800
Total hours operating	54600 hours
Fuel carried by TSHD	2200 m <sup>3</sup>
Total Oil Used	195,000 ton for the entire reclamation period

### An Assessment

With respect to the guidelines stipulated in Annex 2-7 e of the Revised Procedural Manual, titled “Procedural Guidelines for Scoping of Environmental Risk Assessment (ERA)”, the following conclusions are thereby made:

- Under the criterion of processes, the project does not fall in any of the guidelines/criteria
- Under the “hazardous” classification, the fuel does not fall under the categories on “Explosivity, Flammability and Toxicity”.
- Under the Threshold Inventory guidelines, the type of fuel oil does not fall in the category and thus the volume criterion is not relevant.
- The project is also outside the “Societal Risk” Criteria because the number of people who may be affected by risks is only **approximately less than 50 who are the crew and officers of the TSHD or the CSD.**



## 4.2 Safety Risks

Focus is herein made on “**safety risks**” rather than on “**impacts**”, the latter being potential results of regular activities while the former is a result of probabilistic events.

The key aspects of risks for the reclamation/dredging (construction) phase are:

- Fire
- Explosion
- Release of toxic substances

### 4.2.1 Description of conditions, events and circumstances, which could be significant in bringing about identified safety risks

**Table 4-3** summarizes the identified safety risks from which it may be stated that such are remotely identified with the reclamation/dredging activities.

**Table 4-3. Summary Matrix of Safety Risks**

Activities	Safety Risks	Probability of Incident	Prevention/Control Measures/Remarks
Dredging  (At site and at source of fill, i.e., San Nicholas Shoal)	Fire	Nil to Minimal	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) used has high Flash Point > 60° C
	Explosion	Nil	MDO not explosive
	Release of hazardous substances	Nil to insignificant Spent oil or on board & leaks discharged to bilge not overboard	Bilge water treatment No HAPs (Hazardous Air Pollutants) from exhaust of engines
Filling at Site	Fire	Nil to Minimal	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) used has high Flash Point > 60° C
	Explosion	Nil	MDO not explosive
	Release of hazardous substances	Nil to insignificant Spent oil or on board leaks discharged to bilge not overboard	Bilge water treatment No HAPs (Hazardous Air Pollutants) from exhaust of engines
Operation of Heavy Equipment (e.g. pumps, pile drivers)	Fire	Nil to Minimal	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) used has high Flash Point > 60° C
	Explosion	Nil	MDO not explosive
	Release of hazardous substances	Oil sludge generated at vessel	Not discharged to bay, instead to onshore
Land Stabilization Soil stabilization	Fire	No Relevant Activity Involves only land compaction; placement of wick drains and allowing land to settle	Not Relevant
	Explosion		
	Release of hazardous substances		

Based on the above table, there are no **significant safety risks** involved with the proposed project. The activities involved are confined to the vessels at sea, away from population centers, thus, there are no societal risks associated.

It is noted, however, that the construction crew would be preparing meals and using LPG - a fire and explosive substance. Cooking is confined to a designated place and the LPG used is a standard cooking fuel. The LPG inventory however, does not classify this activity as a safety and risk undertaking.



#### 4.2.2 Description & assessment of the possible accident scenarios posing risk to the environment

#### 4.2.2.1 Potential Accidents Involving Fishing Operations along the Navigational Lane of the TSHD

- Although there are existing fishing activities (BY USE OF SAPRAS) and mussel farms within or adjacent the reclamation site these need to be relocated if validated before the start of the construction period that such activities would be existing; The relocation site will not be in the pathway of the movements of the TSHD.
- The work area at the site will be cordoned to avoid ingress of fisher folks and persons not involved with the reclamation works.

The mangrove communities were also considered but are deemed essentially free from risk because of its distance from the navigational lane and the reclamation site.

**Figure 4-3. Map of the Tentative Pathway of the TSHD Vessel**

The relocation site for the lift nets will also have to consider the pathway navigational lane of the TSHD.





#### 4.2.2.2 Potential Oil Spills from the TSHD Vessel

The fact that the TSHD/CSD will carry Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) suggests that risks be considered concerning potential oil spills.

**Preventive Measures consist of:**

- a. Assurance of sea worthiness of the sea vessel (TSHD) through:
  - Compliance with international and local (PCG) standards
  - Training of vessel crew and personnel
  - Possession of adequate navigational aids
  - These features of the vessel are discussed in Chapter 1
- b. Inspection by the PCG of the integrity of the oil storage tank in the vessel
  - Record of last inspection of the tank
  - Checking of safety instruments of the oil system e.g. valves, fittings
- c. Provision for on board oil spill containment and recovery equipment e.g.
  - Oil Spill Boom
  - Approved oil spill dispersant
  - Oil recovery equipment e.g. oil pump
- d. Oil spills collected must not be discharged at sea but on shore through an accredited third party TSD
- e. Proper training and accreditation of the Vessel crew

#### 4.2.3 Description of the hazards, both immediate (acute effects) and delayed (chronic effects) for man and the environment posed by the release of toxic substance, as applicable.

*The safety policy and emergency preparedness guidelines consistent with the regulatory requirements. Emergency Preparedness should also consider natural hazards to the infrastructures and facilities*

By way of reference, typical classification of hazardous wastes is provided in **Table 4-4**

**Table 4-4. Typical Classification of Hazardous Wastes and Relevance to Reclamation Works**

Classification Under DAO 36	Proposed Revisions	Remarks
Putrescible Organic Wastes	Grease trap wastes  Used or Waste Oils from operation of on board equipment	From food preparation of vessel crew Collected separately and disposed on shore or discharged to the bilge Collected separately and disposed on shore or discharged to the bilge
Inks/Dyes/Pigments, etc.	Resinous Materials	Not involved in reclamation
Containers	Waste containers previously containing hazardous wastes	Hazardous wastes involved are minimal quantities of spent lighting bulbs and computer parts
Organic Chemicals	Wastes containing halogenated chemicals	Not involved in reclamation works
Miscellaneous Wastes	Containing Pathogens	Not involved in reclamation works
Not specified	Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE)	Computer system only WEEE aspect of project



It may be deduced from the above table that the project does not involve significant hazardous wastes based on present classification (DAO 36) and prospective reclassification.

#### 4.2.3.1 Chronic Risks. Concentrations of Toxic/Hazardous Substances (i.e. cyanide, mercury, etc.) in Water

The generation of significant quantities of toxic and hazardous substances is considered nil in the proposed project as this is not included in the activities during the reclamation/ construction phase.

The risk factor that needs to be carefully identified during the construction phase is the potential contamination of the Bay with toxic and hazardous substance that may be present in the filling materials.

If the filling materials would be sourced from Manila Bay itself, this risk is greatly reduced or would be absent. Pre-screening of any filling materials to be used would be mandatory; complete chemical analysis for toxic elements, e.g.: cyanide, mercury, lead, chromium, etc. must be necessarily undertaken.

The use of and sourcing of the filling materials from its likely source, i.e., the San Nicholas Shoal in Cavite, is to be subject to prior permits and clearances from the Philippine Reclamation Authority. One of the requirements for securing such permits/clearances is an ECC.

Notwithstanding that the import of filling/burrows are to undergo strict screening and evaluation, mitigation measures will nevertheless will put in place for dispersal of silts/disturbed sea beds. The use of containment sheet piles along the perimeter of the project will further ensure that any toxic/hazardous substances will not disperse beyond the reclamation area.

#### 4.2.3.2 Concentrations of Toxic/Hazardous Substances (i.e., cyanide, mercury, etc.) in Air and Land

The substances/materials listed below are not considered as highly significant considering the low quantities involved with the nature of the project, which involves the construction phase only.

**Table 4-5. Initial Listing of Potential Toxic and Hazardous Substances Involved in the Reclamation Activities**

Nature of Substance	Source	Estimated Inventory THEIDI
Oil Waste	From Use of Diesel Oil in Dredging Vessel	≈ 10-20 drums
Spent Lighting Fixtures	From Dredging Vessel	10-20 units
	From street lighting during soil stabilization phase	25 units
Computer Parts Scraps	From onsite office	100 kg
Spoiled Food Wastes	From construction workers abroad dredging vessel	Minimal (regular on shore disposal)

The potential presence of toxic/hazardous substances in the fill materials is not included in the above list because the fill materials may be sourced from Manila Bay itself, i.e., the San Nicholas Shoal. All fill materials will be subject first to prescreening, which will include tests of the presence of toxic and hazardous substances.

During the operations phase, the common materials designated under RA 6969 as being hazardous will be generated. These will include the following:

##### a. Spent lighting fixtures which may contain metallic elements

These are disposed through third-party accredited TSD (Treatment, Storage and Disposal) companies accredited with the EMB.



#### b. Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSATs)

These are generated by vehicles and may include benzene, aromatics, aldehydes, polycyclic aromatic benzenes, and other hydrocarbons inherently present in fossil fuels. The reclamation works will not involve use of land-based vehicles.

The use of clean fuel such as Euro IV P gasoline or diesel oil should be encouraged. The specification for marine fuels is dictated by International Standards, e.g. the “**MARPOL**” or International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, the US EPA and others.

#### 4.2.4 Dangerous Substances/Organisms with Risk of being released into the environment

Various Issues / Concerns on dangerous substances/organisms are explained below

How dangerous are the substances/organisms that risk being released into the environment?

The basic information on the Project discussed in several chapters of the EIS is herein reiterated to give a good appreciation of the responses:

Nature and Scope of the Project includes only the construction phase or the reclamation activities the components of which are the following:

**Table 4-6. Summary of Project Components (Based from chapter 1)**

Components	Specifications	Potential Dangerous Substances or Organisms that Risk Release
<b>Reclaimed Land (Total Land Area = 247.28 has)</b>		
<b>1 Islands</b>		
<b>Total Land Area</b>	<b>247.28 ha</b>	NIL
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Platform elevation</b></li> </ul>	4.0 m above MLLW	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Water Channels</b></li> </ul>	300 meters from PN reclamation	To serve as buffer zone and for water circulation. No materials or substances involved.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Vertical Containment Structure</b></li> </ul>	Containment wall (seawall) consisting of a combination of rock mound and sheet piles.	Will be firmed up during the Detailed Engineering Works. No dangerous substances or organisms involved; materials are made of ordinary construction materials such as steel.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Wave Deflector</b></li> </ul>	Concrete with water sump (For defense against storm surges)	No dangerous substances or organisms involved; only ordinary materials of construction e.g. concrete aggregates, cement, reinforcing steel.
<b>SUPPORT FACILITIES</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Drainage System</b></li> </ul>	To consider using the Rational Formula $Q = 0.278 CIA$	No dangerous substances or organisms involved; only ordinary materials of construction e.g. concrete aggregates, cement, reinforcing steel.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Electricity, water and communications systems</b></li> </ul>	Temporary connection with Meralco e.g. for lighting purposes; generating sets for construction works. Mobile communications	To be sourced from service providers. No dangerous substances or organisms involved.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Wastewater treatment units</b></li> </ul>	In house facilities during the Reclamation Phase (e.g. toilets in barges) Disposal through accredited waste handling entities	Use of approved portable toilets on reclaimed land. Dangerous substances or organisms not involved nor used.



Components	Specifications	Potential Dangerous Substances or Organisms that Risk Release
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water supply system (During Reclamation Phase)</li> </ul>	Internal sourcing by individual contractors	Water supplied by concessionaires or imported from external sources.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Street Lighting</li> </ul>	High Pressure Sodium Lamps	To be sourced from accredited HPS lamps suppliers. No dangerous substances or organisms involved; lighting fixtures similar to those used in streets.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General Notes on Reclamation/Construction Activities</li> </ul>	Not Applicable	<p>Activities of Construction Workers will take place in work stations located at sea, e.g. in dredging vessel, in pile driving facilities, etc.</p> <p>There is no population at these construction sites.</p> <p>Domestic wastes are generated; not released to the environment but instead confined to portable toilet facilities for disposal on shore.</p> <p>Waste materials classified as hazardous include spent lighting fixtures, computer inks and parts which are disposed on shore.</p> <p>No medical wastes, pathogens and harmful organisms involved or generated.</p>
<b>Note: The sourcing of the Fill Materials. The dredging and related activities for the sourcing of fill materials will be subject so separate ECC application when the source(s) are identified and approved by the PRA.</b>		

#### 4.3 Physical Risks- Failure of Structure which could endanger life, property and/or the environment

- Description of conditions, events and “trigger”, which could be significant in bringing about identified physical risks*
- Description & assessment of the possible accident scenarios posing risk to the environment*
- Description of the hazards both immediate (acute effects) and delayed (chronic effects) for man and the environment posed by the failure of structure, as applicable*

The “failure of structure” referred to in this Phase is the collapse of the reclaimed land. There will be no vertical structures to be constructed during this Phase.

Although highly unlikely because of engineering and design interventions, use of internationally-accepted construction technology and methodology, the use of sound fill materials and rocks and the employ of proven Contractor, a discussion are nevertheless made on this potential physical risk.

The environment that will be at risk is the Direct Impact Area of the project, i.e. the boundaries of the landform including the islands.

The immediate remedial activity is to deploy silt curtains to the boundaries of the landform to prevent dispersal of silt.

Temporary containment structures, e.g. sheet piles or armor rocks will be imbedded to prevent dispersal of other heavier materials from the collapsed landform.

**Table 4-7. Summary Matrix of Physical Risks**

Activities	Physical Risks	Probability of Incident	Prevention/Control Measures
Dredging	Accidents to Construction Personnel	Nil to insignificant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety Training of Construction Crews</li> <li>Safe worthy equipment</li> </ul>
Filling	Accidents to Construction Personnel	Nil to insignificant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety Training of Construction Crews</li> <li>Safe worthy equipment</li> </ul>
Operation of Heavy Equipment	Accidents to Construction Personnel	Nil to insignificant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety Training of Construction Crews</li> <li>Safe worthy equipment</li> </ul>





It is thus concluded that there exists no significant safety risks, further since the activities are confined to the reclamation area and away from population centers there are no societal risks associated.

#### 4.3.1 RISKS DURING THE HORIZONTAL DEVELOPMENT WORKS

The horizontal development works are included in the scope of the “construction phase” and include the following activities which will be undertaken at the reclaimed land.

Road Construction  
Construction of Drainage System  
Installation of electrical power and water distribution system

Physical risks, i.e. to construction workers are deemed as the major considerations during these works. This is because of the use of heavy equipment during the above-cited works.

#### Assessment and Recommendations

During the horizontal development activities, the major risks are those associated to accidents and safety.

These may however, be minimized or prevented through

- Training of construction workers and equipment operators
- Use of personnel safety equipment
- Regular maintenance of heavy equipment

#### 4.4 Emergency Response Policy and Generic Guidelines

Policies on HSE

Inasmuch as the direct responsibility for Health, Safety and Environmental concerns rest on the Dredging/Reclamation Contractor, a judicious selection process for the Contractor will necessarily be made. The formal award of the Contract cannot be made until after the securing of an ECC. However, provided below are basic policies and guidelines on HSE of an international reclamation practitioner.

##### 4.4.1 General

All vessels working / sailing for the project have to comply with the local and international maritime requirements or protocol such as that prescribed by the Philippine Coast Guard and under the MARPOL. Some of the requirements are:

- Communication procedures with Port Authorities;
- Anchoring;
- Towing;
- Speed limitation within port;
- Etc.

All vessels / barges must have valid harbor craft license or equivalent. Official inspections on the vessel regarding its construction and required safety appliances must be carried as per regulations.

Prior to commencement of operations, all relevant notices (e.g. Notifications to Mariners; Port Marine Notices) shall be provided to the Master, who will verify them for implementation.



#### 4.4.2 Responsibilities and Duties

All personnel are responsible for the safety of themselves and those they work with. They have a duty to take action to prevent accidents at all times, in accordance with accountability for HSE.

- The Master of a vessel is responsible for the safety of the vessel and all those on board at all times. He has the authority to decide whether any operations affecting the vessel should proceed or be terminated, and should question any instructions issued to him that create a hazard to the vessel and all those on board.
- Emergency response on an ISM certified vessel takes place in accordance with the Master Roll. The Master Roll shall show the duties assigned to the different members of the ship's crew.

#### 4.4.3 Onboard HSE Inductions

All personnel joining the vessel for the first time or who have not been on board within the previous 6 months will be required to undergo HSE induction training ('Information at recruitment' resp. 'Familiarization') from the Captain or Chief Engineer.

Training shall include but not be limited to aspects of living and working on board a vessel or barge:

- Layout of the vessel
- Housekeeping rules
- Muster Stations
- Emergency Alarms
- Safety Equipment
- PPE
- Emergency Escape Routes
- HSE Management
- Environmental Awareness

Signed function descriptions and records of familiarization / information at recruitment shall be available onboard.

After boarding a vessel, visitors shall report to the Master who shall give a small induction on the particular dangers and rules on the vessel. Visitors shall always be guided during visits on deck.

#### 4.5 Site Basic Safety Rules

The vessels shall make the necessary communication, depending on the type and operations of the vessel, with other vessels and/or with the Radio Room by means of the VHF channel that has been set up for the project.

Approaching or leaving a jetty or another vessel shall be done at a low speed, avoiding high waves and thus allowing safe boarding. Life jackets of the inflatable type or work vest type shall be worn in following situations:

- when boarding / disembarking a vessel / jetty;
- when working near or over the sides of a vessel;
- when there is a danger of falling into the water;
- when working on other locations as specified by the supervisor or safety officer.

Other rules include:

- Reference is made to the specific SWP for PPE.
- Standards for housekeeping on the vessel (e.g. deck, galley, accommodation, etc.) shall be followed.



- The crew shall be competent and shall be made familiar with various emergency situations and hazardous applications through toolbox meetings and drills.
- Regular inspections shall be held by the Master, Safety Officer or Chief Engineer. The inspection and the frequency shall depend on the type of vessel.
- Mooring to other vessels or to jetties shall be done safely and with correct and sound mooring ropes.
- Fishing is not allowed on site.
- Smoking is only permitted in designated smoking areas.
- Drugs are not permitted on board. Persons taking medication are to advise the medic of their medical condition and show the prescription drugs they are taking.
- All crew shall be in possession of a valid medical fitness certificate, correct seaman's book and correct STCW95 certificates for the function they have.

During periods of rough weather the following rules are to be observed:

- Crew shall not work in external areas of the vessel unaccompanied.
- Watertight closures are to be secured and shall be kept clear of obstructions.
- Watertight doors shall always be secured after passing through them (this should be observed in good weather conditions also).
- On vessels with low freeboard working decks such as anchor handlers and tugs where decks are easily awash the following precautions will be taken:
  - Personnel shall not work in external areas of the vessel unaccompanied.
  - Personnel working on external decks shall wear a work vest.

When entering a Port, the vessel shall adhere to the specific Port Regulations, which could handle:

- Pilotage, navigation
- Anchorage, berth, mooring, bunkering
- Security measures
- Arrival and departure procedures

Prior to arrival at a Port, the SOPEP contact list must be available on the bridge. Reference is made to other SWP that could be applicable to vessel operations:

- Dredging and reclamation
- PPE
- Hot Work
- Lifting

During lifting operations on a vessel, particular attention should be paid to the following factors:

- Wind speed
- Vessel motion
- Visibility
- Suitability, certification and Safe Working Load (SWL) of equipment and rigging to be used

All crane operators shall be competent and authorized. Rules for cabins:

- Keep your cabin clean.
- Clean your toilet and shower area at regularly.
- Clean the drains very good on regular times.
- Use Biotal 2000 (or similar product) for your toilet and drains.
- When furniture is broken tell Captain or Chief mate.



- Do not smoke a cigarette on bed, and always use an iron ashtray.
- Do not keep food in your refrigerator.

## **4.6 Standard safe work operations**

### **4.6.1 Mooring and Unmooring**

#### **General**

The deckhands shall be fully acquainted with the mooring procedure and the equipment. The deckhands shall check if the equipment is operational and tested. Mooring wires and ropes shall be replaced when they are damaged to a certain grade (i.e. when damage is more than 10% of the diameter; when a wire is badly kinked; etc.). The decks shall be well illuminated.

The deckhands that handle the ropes / wires shall always wear a hardhat, gloves and safety shoes. They shall be aware that standing in bights or near wires / ropes under tension is not allowed.

The crew shall be aware that, when working over / near water, a life vest needs to be worn. Means of communication shall be available during mooring activities.

#### **Mooring of Barges alongside dredger, crane barges or anchored pontoons**

Captains of barges approaching alongside dredger, crane barges or anchored pontoons shall be aware of treacherous currents and movements of the other vessel. Only EXPERIENCED skippers shall operate/sail the barges.

For the fastening of barge to the dredger, crane barges or anchored pontoons, the deckhands shall prepare at least 4 m of slack in the wire or use a pendant wire in order to prevent the deckhands on the other vessel from heavy pulling/lifting.

Stepping over wires or ropes when the barge is alongside, especially during rough weather conditions, must be avoided at all times.

#### **Towing**

The towing operation shall be in the charge of a competent tow Master and shall be properly planned and prepared in order that the voyage may be made in a safe and efficient manner without presenting a hazard to other shipping or offshore installations.

The selection of a towing vessel is based on its adequacy for the tow in the worst weather conditions to be encountered in the proposed area of operation. The type, specification and bollard pull (BP) of the vessel for a specific tow operation shall be defined. Prior to selection, a tow vessel will undergo suitability audit carried out by the technical department in order to ensure that the vessel is fit for the intended work scope.

If required, a Marine Warranty Surveyor shall check the sea fastening of cargo and the setup of the towing arrangements.

### **4.6.2 Engine and machinery room safety**

Some procedures for safe working practices in engine and machinery rooms are defined below. Mechanics, engineers and wipers shall be made familiar with these basic rules.





---

**General:**

- Think safety, try to predict, avoid and eradicate hazards.
- The following protection must be worn prior to entering a machine space: skin protection; protective clothing; footwear with slip and oil resistant soles; ear defenders; and if applicable, protective gloves and hard hat.
- Check where repair and maintenance work is in progress and ensure correct warning signs are in position.
- Do not run in a machinery space.
- Ensure visitors are suitably dressed, protected and familiar with E.R. procedures. Officers in charge must be informed of their presence.
- Asbestos holding items are removed from the vessel. Alternatively, possible asbestos holding items (of a none-dangerous type) are identified and crew is made aware of correct procedures regarding working with these items.

**Unmanned E.R. and machinery spaces:**

- Do not enter alone and always notify the officer in charge or the bridge.
- Before entering, ensure reporting and communication procedures are clearly understood.
- Safety procedures must be displayed at all entrances.
- Ensure adequate illumination is provided.
- Be aware that machinery may start and stop automatically.
- Do not attempt to rescue casualties alone, call for the Emergency Team.
- Be aware of toxic and explosive risks in certain machinery spaces.

**Main engines and auxiliaries:**

- When checking machinery, beware of moving parts and high temperatures.
- Be particularly observant in checking for oil and fuel leaks. These give the highest potential for fire.
- Be aware that some machines start and stop automatically.
- Ensure that all machinery is guarded correctly, especially after maintenance.
- During maintenance of machinery, ensure that all loose parts are securely stored.
- Engine room deck plates, grids and handrails must be securely fixed, clean and free from debris.
- All bilges and mud boxes must be kept clean and free from obstruction.

**Boilers:**

- All manufacturers' operating procedures must be clearly displayed and observed.
- Correct flashing up procedure must be followed to avoid risk of blowback.
- All escape routes from boiler fronts and firing spaces must be kept clear.
- Ensure uptakes are maintained free from gas leaks.

**Workshop and stores:**

- All loose items of equipment and spare gear must be securely stored.
- Workshop machinery must be suitably guarded with specific protective equipment available and mandatory signs displayed.
- All consumables must be correctly stored in accordance with suppliers' instructions and ship safety procedures.
- All wastes to be correctly packaged for disposal in accordance with MARPOL Annex V or project requirements.



- When using pneumatic or hydraulic equipment, ensure they are set at the correct working pressure and have been checked for serviceability.
- Use welding screens and head shields when arc welding and do not leave hot items unattended.
- Only trained personnel should use metal working machinery.
- Grinding wheel regulations must be observed.

#### 4.6.3 Hatches and doors closed at sea

##### The risks of open doors:

- unwanted water flow could occur during sailing and stormy weather;
- the compartmentalization is no longer guaranteed during a calamity or collision.

##### **Prevention:**

- all watertight doors on deck must be closed and locked during sailing and working;
- doors shall be checked regularly during safety rounds;
- the importance of the closure and locking of doors shall be emphasised during toolbox-meetings.

##### The risk of open horizontal hatches on deck and inside the ship:

- because of overflow when dredging, water, silt and other (polluted) spoil could flow in rooms, resulting in major damage and pollution;
- unwanted water flow could occur during sailing and stormy weather; the compartmentalization is no longer guaranteed during a calamity or collision.

##### **Prevention:**

- all hatches must be closed and locked during sailing and working;
- check regularly during safety rounds;
- emphasize the importance of the closure and locking of hatches during toolbox-meetings.

Furthermore, condition of all automatic doors and hatches shall be checked regularly. As a minimum, the following items should be checked:

- warning signal (when opening/closing bell and/or revolving light);
- alarm signal to bridge or to engine room;
- availability operating instructions near the door;
- doors and hatches can be easily opened;
- emergency stop; and
- condition and watertight function of seals of doors and hatches.

#### 4.6.4 Shipboard food and hygiene

Recommended procedures for health and safety in pantries, galleys and freezers are described below. Cooks and stewards shall be made familiar with these basic rules. Only authorized persons who have undergone food hygiene training and specific medical checks and vaccination shall carry out the preparation and handling of food.



---

**Health and hygiene:**

- Hands and fingernails must be kept clean at all times using hot water and anti-bacterial soap.
- Wash hands between handling meat, fish, fruit and vegetables or visiting the toilet or blowing your nose.
- Cuts, burns and abrasions must be covered with a suitably colored waterproof dressing.
- All illnesses to be reported immediately. If dysentery or diarrhea is suspected, stop work at once.
- No smoking, eating or drinking in food handling areas.
- Clean protective clothing and head covering must be worn at all times to protect food and handler.
- Do not cough or sneeze near food.

**Food preparation:**

- Do not use the same knife, chopping board or preparation surface for raw meat, fish, cooked food, fresh vegetables and fruit.
- Never use cracked or broken utensils.
- Use cleaning materials in accordance with manufacturer's instructions and never allow them to come into contact with food.
- All food must be thoroughly cooked to a safe internal temperature.
- Separate storage compartments must be used for raw and cooked foods.
- Do not handle food unnecessarily.
- Food must be washed properly where necessary.

**Galley and pantry equipment:**

- Extreme care must be taken when turning on stoves or deep-fat fryers, especially if oil or gas fired.
- Range guards must be used in rough weather.
- Microwaves must be used in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.
- Deep-fat fryers must have safety lids, which can smother a fire. Never use water to extinguish a fat fire.
- Knives, saws and choppers should be kept sharp and housed in secure racks or safely sheathed. Do not mix with other items when washing-up.
- Do not grab a falling knife.
- Faulty appliances must be reported and taken out of service. A 'do not use' notice must be displayed.
- When cleaning or unblocking, ensure equipment is switched off and isolated. All parts in contact with food must be washed, rinsed, sanitized and air-dried.
- Food wastes and other garbage must be immediately stored in designated containers and disposed of in accordance with MARPOL Annex V and with the project specific requirements.

**Temperature control:**

- It is the temperature of the food and not the air temperature of the holding unit that must be maintained.
- Always load the refrigerator in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
- Keep the thermometer in the coldest part of the fridge and check regularly that the temperature is between 0°C and 5°C.
- The coldest part of the fridge should contain the most perishable foods such as cold meats.
- All raw or uncooked food must be wrapped.
- Do not overload or put hot food in the fridge.



---

**Slips, falls and trip hazards:**

- Wear slip resistant footwear, which offers protection from hot fat or boiling water.
- Decks and gratings must be kept free of grease.
- All spills and breakages must be cleaned-up immediately.
- When using stairs and companionways, keep one hand free to use the handrail.
- Do not carry items in such a way as to obscure your view.
- Wherever possible, ensure all deck coverings are of the anti-slip type, especially outside refrigeration rooms.

**Refrigeration, freezer and store rooms:**

- All doors must be fitted with both means of opening and sounding alarm from inside.
- The alarm should be tested weekly.
- Personnel using refrigerated rooms must be familiar with operating alarms and handles in darkness.
- Always inform others when entering their areas and take the padlock and key with you.
- Refrigerant leaks must be reported immediately and warning notices posted on outside doors.
- Stores must be stowed securely to prevent movement in rough weather.

#### **4.6.5 Navigation**

**General**

- Masters shall ensure that their vessels are navigated in full compliance with the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREG), without exception.
- Prior to commencing any voyage Masters must ensure that a passage plan for the voyage has been developed and all Watch keeping / Navigating Officers are familiarized with it.
- Masters must ensure that all necessary charts and nautical publications for the area of operation are carried on board the vessel and that they are up to date with all the latest amendments and corrections. Where the area of operation will include subsea assets and platforms, the Master must also ensure that he has the latest field charts on board the vessel.
- Unless advised to the contrary or for safety reasons, vessels shall make best safe economical speed at all times.
- Vessels involved will be equipped with bathymetry maps showing areas of sensitivities. Furthermore, these areas will be marked in the dredge survey computer.
- Vessels shall anchor at anchoring areas indicated by the port. Alternatively, JAN DE NUL shall define designated safe anchoring zones outside sensitive areas. Vessels will not anchor outside designated anchoring areas unless in an emergency situation.
- Latest admiralty charts (ECDIS) will be provided of the working and sailing area to ensure that exclusion zones and subsea obstructions and installations are known to the officers.

**Bridge Watch keeping:**

- A safe navigational watch must be kept at all times and every opportunity must be taken to physically check the vessel's electronic position referencing systems against visual observation and charts.
- A proper visual lookout shall be maintained at all times and the Officer of the Watch shall be responsible for taking timely action in order to avoid collision, grounding or close quarter situations.
- During periods of reduced visibility, Master's shall ensure that additional lookouts are posted, appropriate sound signals are made and a radar watch is maintained on both long range (12 nm) and short range (6 nm or less).





### Preparing bridge before sailing

- Check compass error
- Compare gyro compass-reading with magnetic compass-reading
- Ascertain deviation by either adding or subtracting the variation and check outcome with deviation-table
- Put radars on stand by
- Start two steering-pumps
- Check if rudders are free of obstacles and then turn rudders full to SB and PS and check rudder-indicators
- Do this also with only SB and PS pump running
- Switch the Doppler-log on
- Check scanners of both radars and run the “Nucleus” radar and align gyro course, set the speed to log speed and adjust the screen brilliance / contrast. If the visibility is poor, then also do this with the second radar
- Test the ship’s air whistle and its automatic signal blasting device
- Check if the bottom doors are fully closed and if the hydraulic pressure switch is set to “high”
- Check navigation-lights (including NUC-lights and X-mass tree) and leave the sailing lights burning
- Check communication with engine room by telephoning two ways with them and test the telegraph (you must go to the “engine room-control to do this) after this return to “bridge-control”
- Check portable radio sets on allowed channels only and hand them out to the fore- and aft mooring party or anchor hewing party
- Check if propellers are clear and when the control is the engine is on bridge-control than try to adjust the pitch slightly ahead and astern prior to unmooring the ship
- Switch on the VHF’s on the correct frequencies
- Put the correct nautical charts on the chart table
- Check if the DGPS position readout is correct
- Check if the echo sounder is operational and if the reading make sense
- Check the bow thruster by running it slowly PS/SB after you checked if there are no polypro ropes or rubble floating next to you
- Check window wipers
- Make sure standing orders are available
- Check on the navtex and check if anything important is on the printout
- Check searchlights and torches
- Check if everything is secured on the bridge and check with the dredge master if this is the case on deck
- Check if the pilot ladder is stowed away correctly or lowered to the correct height. In the latter case check if there is a life buoy and a deck light available
- Check the GMDSS radio equipment
- Place minimal two binoculars on the bridge console
- Hoist the appropriate flags for instance the “H” (Pilot on board) or show the appropriate lights if required by harbour rules and regulations (ask the pilot!)

#### **4.6.6 Weather**

The Master and/or the Superintendent shall continuously monitor the weather conditions and shall restrict or even interrupt certain works when safe work is not possible.



### **Adverse Weather**

Adverse Weather is defined as environmental conditions that may affect people, equipment or facilities, to such an extent those precautionary measures must be taken to safeguard the vessel or to maintain a safe system of work. Adverse weather includes, fog, hail, lightning, heavy rain, high winds, low cloud base, poor visibility, severe sea states and strong currents. In certain circumstances low/no wind can also be adverse weather. Weather conditions can change quickly and the effects of short-term variations such as wind gusts must be considered.

### **Weather Limits**

Weather limits must take into account the location and type of worksite, the nature of the work to be carried out, and the time required to secure the worksite before the onset of adverse weather. Weather limits should be identified in terms of the following categories as appropriate:

- Wind Speed
- Wind Direction
- Sea State
- Air Temperature

### **Weather Forecasts**

Weather forecasts should be obtained on a regular and frequent basis, at least every 12 hours and with a minimum coverage of 36 hours and a 5-day outlook. The forecasts as a minimum should provide the following information:

- Wind speed and direction
- Sig and max wave height
- Swell direction, period and height
- Visibility
- Significant temperature change
- Barometric pressure and tendency
- Risk of weather phenomena such as fog, thunderstorms, etc.

### **Movement Of Personnel During Adverse Weather**

Site supervisors should carry out an assessment of the risk to personnel during adverse weather. In addition, supervisors responsible for the area should continue to frequently monitor external and other vulnerable areas throughout periods of adverse weather and put in place any necessary control measures to minimize risk to individuals. They should advise management of the need to review all other work in progress, to assess the impact of the adverse weather, and in particular access to and from modules and worksites. Personnel movement in external areas affected by adverse weather should be limited to the covered, sheltered or leeward areas of the location. Emergency Exit doors may have to be used for access to the leeward side of accommodation modules. Personnel should be made aware of restrictions or the alternatives to normal access routes, by the public address system and by the erection of safety barriers. Provision of guard/restraining lines may be necessary at areas such as walkway corners where wind effects are particularly severe, when such routes have to be used in adverse weather.

In severe weather, there may be occasions when all personnel will have to remain inside. Any operations, which cannot be continued without personnel access to weather affected areas, shall be suspended. 'Weather Watch Inspections' to look for loose items, carry out damage assessment, or perform meteorological observations should only be performed when it is safe to do so and should not be carried out by an unaccompanied individual.

## **4.7 Basic Safety Equipment**



---

## General

In essence, the safety equipment that is required to be onboard a vessel is reflected in the vessel's safety plan. This safety plan depends on the vessel's class, in compliance with SOLAS regulations, and is required to be approved by the Class surveyor. A copy of the safety plan can be found on various locations on the vessel.

ISM certified vessels have specific procedures and instruction regarding safety equipment within their ISM system. This project procedure provides some information on essential safety equipment onboard of vessels since not all vessels comply with SOLAS / ISM requirements, e.g. small or not self-propelled vessels, which are not sailing/working in international waters.

### Inspection of life-saving and safety equipment

The Captain/Senior Dredge Master must make a monthly inspection of all life-saving and safety equipment that it is compulsory to have on board ensuring that it is in the correct location and in good working order. If the equipment is found to be defective, corrective action must be taken. All inspections must be recorded in the ship's log.

Safety equipment to be checked:

- All life rafts and lifeboats with their equipment. The engines of the motor lifeboats must be in good working order.
- All lifebuoys and attachments, such as flares and smoke signals.
- Line throwing appliances.
- Rockets for sending distress signals.
- Pilot ladder.
- Navigation lights.
- Safety lamps and signal lamps.
- Emergency shut-off valves on fuel lines.
- All fire extinguishing appliances and compressed-air breathing apparatus.

### Survival and/or MOB craft

- The survival craft (lifeboat) will be used in case of an abandon ship emergency. The MOB boat will be used for rescuing a Man over Board victim. The crafts contain survival equipment as specified by the SOLAS convention.
- The master will ensure that the crafts are maintained in a fully operational condition. A regular inspection and maintenance schedule shall be executed and records kept for each craft. All officers and crew members shall be familiar with the operation of the crafts in accordance with their function as stipulated on the Muster List. Visitors must be informed on the location of the crafts by the master during their introduction.
- Launching, boarding and sailing have to be done following procedures which are defined in the Emergency Procedures Manual and the Vessel Operating Manual.
- The use of equipment on board of the lifeboat will be explained in Training Courses and safety briefings.

### Life rafts

- In some emergency cases, it will be impossible to launch the lifeboats. In these cases life rafts will be used to abandon the ship. The SOLAS convention regulates the construction and the launching equipment of the rafts.



- A sufficient number of inflatable life rafts are mounted at convenient locations on the vessels. All officers and crew shall make themselves aware of the locations and operations of the rafts. Visitors must be informed to the master after boarding the vessel.
- Launching and boarding has to be done according the procedures which are defined in the Emergency Procedures Manual and Vessel Operating Manual.

#### **Emergency Life jackets**

- In every cabin there will be at least one SOLAS approved emergency life jacket (type: block vest) for each person staying in that cabin. Near the muster station there will be additional life jackets available.
- The SOLAS Convention defines the requirements for the amount of jackets, the type and their location on board.
- This type of life jackets must be worn when an emergency alarm sounds (e.g. Abandon Ship or Fire).
- Everybody on board shall be made familiar with the use of the jacket.

#### **Work life jackets (work vest)**

- In normal conditions, a work vest shall be worn when work is being performed near the water side outside the protected area. However, in certain work conditions it is not practical to wear a work life jacket since it can hamper normal work. In this case, other means of protection (life line, net ...) shall be in place.
- Sufficient work life jackets shall be available on board.

#### **Life buoys**

- Life buoys are constructed in accordance with the regulations stipulated by the SOLAS Convention.
- Location, configuration (i.e. with smoke, light and/or life line) shall conform to the safety plan.

#### **Gangways, Accommodation ladders and Rope ladders**

- Gangways and accommodation ladders are used for safe access of the vessel in normal conditions or at berth.
- The crew shall adhere to the rules of safe rigging and use of the gangway and accommodation ladder.
- The purpose of rope ladders is to provide means to board and deboard ships, even in difficult circumstances. When using the rope ladders, a life jacket shall be worn. A crewmember must be on standby below / above the rope ladder in order to assist for a safe transfer to and from the vessel.
- Places of boarding / deboarding shall be well lit at night.

#### **Fire Fighting Equipment**

Fire fighting equipment shall be available in accordance with the SOLAS regulations. The type of fire fighting equipment and the location is shown on the safety plan and fire plan. The equipment is subject to regular maintenance and inspection. The crew is trained in using the equipment through their STCW95 training as well as by regular drills.

The following firefighting equipment will be onboard:

- fixed fire fighting system (CO<sub>2</sub>) in engine rooms
- fire flaps
- fire extinguishers
- fire reels and hoses
- hydrants
- fire pump & generator





- fireman's outfit
- emergency escape breathing devices
- fire / heat / smoke alarms
- fire axe

### **Lifesaving signals**

Lifesaving signals shall be available in accordance with the SOLAS regulations. The type of life saving signals and the location is shown on the safety plan and fire plan. The equipment is subject to regular inspections. Officers are trained in using this equipment.

The following lifesaving signals shall be onboard:

- EPIRB
- Radar transponder
- VHF radio
- Pyrotechnics
- Signal lamp
- Flags

### **Safety signs**

Safety signs shall be posted in accordance with the SOLAS regulations. Crew shall be familiar with the signs and adhere to them. The most important signs shall be explained to visitors during their introduction.

The following types of safety signs are posted onboard:

- Prohibition signs (e.g. 'no smoking')
- Mandatory signs (e.g. 'emergency exit: keep free')
- Direction signs (e.g. 'exit' or 'escape route')
- Hazard signs (e.g. 'danger: overhead working')
- Fire control / equipment signs (e.g. 'fire alarm')
- Space indicating signs (e.g. 'paint store')
- ISPS signs (e.g. 'restricted area')

### **Compressed-air breathing apparatus**

How compressed-air breathing apparatus is to be tested:

Monthly:

- Open the bottle(s) a half turn and read the pressure on the gauge (must be at least 95% full).
- Close off the bottle(s) again.
- Wait one minute. Meanwhile affix the bracket.
- After one minute there should not have been an appreciable drop in the pressure; if there is, it means there is a leakage somewhere; trace the cause and solve the problem!
- Carefully reduce the pressure in the apparatus.
- Check that the (low pressure) withdrawal alarm is working

Every six months:

- Check the apparatus in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions;
- Pay special attention to all rubber components.
- Recharge the bottles with fresh air.



### **Entrances and emergency exits**

- Escape routes and emergency exits must be clearly marked and well lit.
- Escape hatches and emergency exits must be marked on both sides with the words 'EMERGENCY EXIT - KEEP CLEAR'.
- Escape hatches and emergency exits must never be locked.
- Keep all means of access and emergency exits, all passageways, workshop floors, platforms, stairways and stairwells, gangways and scaffolding free from obstacles, grease, oil, snow, ice and mud. Never leave tools, ropes, wires and rubbish around but clear up immediately.
- Ensure (if possible) that provision is made for a second escape route wherever men are working.
- Paint all permanent fittings in a conspicuous color (black/yellow). Be mindful of obstructions such as eye plates on deck, lashing points, projections, raised edges and low ceilings.
- Raised work areas, (such as platforms), must be provided with railings.

### **Tanks Soundings**

- The Captain or Chief Officer must ensure that all compartments and buoyancy tanks, including fore-and after- peaks, are sounded regularly.
- These soundings must be recorded in the ship's log and in the engine logbook (by the Chief Engineer)

### **Ballast tanks**

- Ballast tanks must not be emptied or filled without prior permission from the Captain or Chief Officer.
- Adherence to the international ballast water requirements (IMO)

### **Fuel tanks**

- Fuel tanks must be marked with the warning that naked flames and heat are dangerous and there must be safety devices in place to prevent 'overfill'.
- Engine exhausts in the vicinity must be fitted with spark arrestors.

### **Hatches and tank openings**

- Hatches and tank openings must be clearly marked.
- A hatch that is open must be cordoned off.
- All permanent hatches must be fitted with safety devices to prevent them from closing of their own accord.

The following protocols of the prospective Contractor will be observed

- SWP General Marine Operations
- Training Requirements
- Navigational Aids and Equipment
- Adequate Personnel and Manpower

## **4.8 Oil Spills**

Oil Spills are considered the primary focus for Prevention and Emergency Responses. The following protocols related to this concern are provided as follows:

- Annex                      The Manila Bay Oil Spill Contingency Plan



- Annex Oil Pollution Prevention and Response Plan

#### 4.9 Natural Risks and Hazards

- *Seismic Risks*
- *Hydrological Risks*
- *Met Ocean Risks*

These are discussed in **Chapter 2.1**. The mitigation measures for natural risks and hazards are through:

**Engineering Intervention-** By design and engineering using the applicable local and international building codes.

**Reclamation Methodology-** Application of the most appropriate technology and methodology. Complete and adequate soil stabilizations

**Chapter 1** provides discussions of the “Process Technology” indicating therein the various engineering and construction methodology to address natural risks.



## CHAPTER 5. SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FRAMEWORK (SDP) AND IEC FRAMEWORK

### 5.1 Social Development Plan (SDP)

#### Introduction

The SDP and IEC discussed in this Chapter are considered as “frameworks”.

Inasmuch as the proponent is the LGU, the official SDP will be formulated and/or finalized based on the protocol of the provincial government as well as of the host municipalities. This will not only ensure that the SDP responds to the perceived needs and conditions of the concerned LGUs within their IRA, but equally important that the approval processes of the respective local governments are duly observed. The SDP also addresses the issues and concerns identified during public participation activities such as IEC, public scoping, perception survey, and public hearing, the latter to be undertaken when the EIS Report shall have substantially satisfied the requirements of the EIARC and the Resource Persons (RPs).

It is noted that the requirements of the Philippine Reclamation Authority (PRA) in granting the **Notice to Proceed (NTP)** which will be secured Post ECC to the Proponent LGU may likely impact on the details of the SDP and IEC.

#### 5.1.1 Responsibilities for the SDP and IEC

The responsible parties for the SDP and the funds for the SDP projects as well as the other components are herein spelled out in Table 5-1.

With respect to public funds/resources, these will be fully provided by the Private Sector / Project Developer and will not use government (national or local) sources. The LGU will eventually enter into a Consortium Agreement with a private sector and PRA for the proposed project. It will be necessary to formally establish the private sector partner (“Project Developer” of the Project Joint Venture so that the source of funds and resources could be ensured.

The estimated SDP fund will on a per annum basis will be subject to discussions not only among the LGUs concerned but likewise with the prospective private sector project partner.





**Table 5-1. PRELIMINARY SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT (SDP) PLAN/Framework for the Proposed Project**

TABLE 5-1. PRELIMINARY SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT (SD) PLAN/WORKSHEET FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT					
CONCERN	Responsible Community Member / Beneficiary	Government Agency/ Non-government Agency and Services	PROPONENT	Indicative Timeline	Source of fund
FISHERIES (AFFECTED FISHERFOLKS & THEIR FAMILIES)					
Translocation of displaced mussel/oyster farms and fish pens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Barangay Chairman</li><li>Barangay Kagawad for Agriculture/Fisheries</li><li>Owners of displaced mussel/oyster farms and fish pens</li></ul>	BFAR Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Before construction	Private Partner
Sustainable livelihood programs (e.g. fish processing & other aquaculture technology)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Barangay Chairman</li><li>Barangay Kagawad for Agriculture/Fisheries</li><li>Registered fisherfolks, mussel farm &amp; fish pen owners</li><li>Fisheries, women sector and other residents</li></ul>	TESDA Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU DSWD	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Formulation and Planning even prior to construction. Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Skill development training/livelihood seminars according to the need of affected parties & possible employment in the Proposed Project					
Technical assistance in the marketing/sales/promotion of local products (e.g., prominent display of ads in the public areas of the Island-Complex)		LGUs DTI			
Employment Assistance Program	All stakeholders	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Technical assistance (training/workshop) to fisherfolks in modern fishing methods and aquaculture technology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Barangay Chairman</li><li>Barangay Kagawad for Agriculture/Fisheries</li><li>Registered fisherfolks</li><li>Fisheries, women sector and other residents</li></ul>	LGU · Cavite Provincial Planning Office	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Entrepreneurial and managerial assistance to fisherfolks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Barangay Chairman</li><li>Barangay Kagawad for Agriculture/Fisheries</li><li>Registered fisherfolks\]</li></ul>	LGU · Cavite Provincial Planning Office	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Credit assistance to farmers and fisherfolks					



CONCERN	Responsible Community Member / Beneficiary	Government Agency/ Non-government Agency and Services	PROPONENT	Indicative Timeline	Source of fund
Assistance in upgrading motorized boats (in view of longer routes for fishing) and provision of new fishing paraphernalia to enable affected small-scale fishers to move to deeper fishing grounds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Barangay Chairman</li><li>Barangay Kagawad for Agriculture/Fisheries</li><li>Registered fisherfolks\]</li></ul>	LGU <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PPDO/MPDO</li><li>BFAR</li><li>Local Agriculture &amp; Fisheries Office</li></ul>	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
EDUCATION					
Scholarship programs for qualified students	Barangay Kagawad for Education Teacher and/or Principal Qualified & indigent students from the impact barangays	DepEd Barangay LGUs	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU Council member for Education/ / CRO	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Free school supplies and uniforms for indigent students and Scholarship programs for qualified students	Barangay Kagawad for Education Teacher and/or Principal Grade 1 students from chosen/adopted public grade schools	DepEd Barangay LGUs			
Annual assistance to the Education-related program of the LGUs (the Province and/or the concerned City/Municipality)	Barangay Kagawad for Education PTA Adopted public high schools & their students	DepEd Barangay LGUs			
Technical/vocational education project;	Barangay Kagawad for Education PTA Adopted public high schools & their students	TESDA Barangay LGUs			
Training for advanced and appropriate technology, and strive to link with the economic strategies of the Province.					
LIVELIHOOD					
Provision of Livelihood Opportunities for Displaced Fisherfolks	Barangay Chairpersons in the impact barangays Chairperson or President of Fisherman’s organization Displaced Fisherfolks	PPDO, MPDO Provincial & Municipal Agriculture & Fisheries Office PSWD, MSWD	Concerned Council Member / CRO	Pre-Construction & Construction	Private Partner



## CHAPTER FIVE SDP

### Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha) Cavite Provincial Government

CONCERN	Responsible Community Member / Beneficiary	Government Agency/ Non-government Agency and Services	PROPONENT	Indicative Timeline	Source of fund
Provision for Gender-responsive Employment Opportunities / Training Programs for the elderly, men, women, and youth that are not employed.	Barangay Chairpersons Residents of impact barangays	PPDO, MPDO Provincial/City/Municipal & Barangay LGUs TESDA PSWD, MSWD	Concerned Council Member / MRO	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
HEALTH					
Improvement of barangay health centers at impact areas as primary health care service providers.	Barangay Chair Barangay Kagawad for Health Barangay Health Workers (BHWs) Residents of impact barangays	LGU Provincial Health Office Municipal Health Office Barangay Health Unit	Provincial Health Office (PHO) City/Municipal Health Office (CHO/MHO) Community Relations Officer (CRO)	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Medical missions and emergency relief programs	Barangay Chair Barangay Kagawad for Health Barangay Health Workers (BHWs) Residents of impact barangays	LGU Provincial Health Office Municipal Health Office Barangay Health Unit			
Health information campaign	Barangay Chair Barangay Kagawad for Health Barangay Health Workers (BHWs) Residents of impact barangays	LGU Provincial Health Office Municipal Health Office Barangay Health Unit			
Food assistance program for children of poverty-stricken families					
Control of communicable diseases					
HOUSING / URBAN SETTLEMENT					
Construction and maintenance of additional public toilets	Barangay Chair MPDO Stall owners/sellers at the Public Market	PPDO, MPDO Provincial Engineering City/Municipal Engineering	PPDO, CPDO, MPDO	Construction	Private Partner
SPORTS AND CULTURE DEVELOPMENT					
Provision of sports facilities such as balls, team uniforms, and the like	· Barangay Chairman · Barangay Kagawad for Sports and Cultural	Provincial Sports Commission Municipal Sports	Cavite Province LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner



CONCERN	Responsible Community Member / Beneficiary	Government Agency/ Non-government Agency and Services	PROPONENT	Indicative Timeline	Source of fund
Integrate sports and cultural events with historical tour packages	Development - Youth	Commission Barangays	Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU		
PEACE AND ORDER					
Provide drug addiction seminars, human rights violations and other related assistance	Barangay Chair Kagawad for Peace and Order Barangay Police and Safety Officer (BPSO) Residents of Cavite City and Kawit	PPDO MPDO Barangay Tanod Local Police	Cavite Province PPDO Kawit MPDO Cavite City CPDO CROs	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Sustain police mobility and visibility					
Conduct community-based preparedness programs to build on the "Ugnayan" with the barangays.					
Encourage the Barangay Intelligence Network					
SPIRITUAL					
Support on fund-raising activities relevant to religious programs and value formation programs	Barangay Assigned Catholic Priest, Pastor of different denomination	Parish Priest Pastor	CROs	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
ENVIRONMENT					
Manila Bay Marine Pollution Prevention and Coastal Clean up	Barangay Chairman Kagawad for Environment	Municipal ENRO Barangays MBCO	PG-ENRO CENRO Comrel PCO	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Mangrove reforestation & Nursery Establishment (As may be applicable)	Barangay Chair Kagawad for Environment Host Barangay (areas suitable for mangrove reforestation)			Operation	Private Partner
SOCIAL SERVICES					
Community welfare program services	· Barangay Chairman · Barangay Kagawad for Social Welfare	LGU · Cavite Province Social Services · Kawit Social Services · Cavite City Social Services	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Outreach and consultation with the poor and underprivileged families;	· Barangay Chairman · Barangay Kagawad for Social Welfare				
DISASTER RISK REDUCTION / CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION (in sync w IEC)					





**CHAPTER FIVE  
SDP**

**Proposed Cavite Province Land Reclamation and Development Project: ISLAND A (247.28 ha)**  
Cavite Provincial Government

CONCERN	Responsible Community Member / Beneficiary	Government Agency/ Non-government Agency and Services	PROPONENT	Indicative Timeline	Source of fund
IEC on Disaster Risk Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Barangay Kagawad for Environment</li> <li>Barangays and communities around the project area</li> <li>Workers</li> </ul>	DENR-MGB, PHIVOLCS, PAGASA PDRRMC MDRRMC Barangay Kagawad for Environment	Cavite Province LGU Kawit LGU Cavite City LGU	Construction & Operation	Private Partner
Seminars/training on Disaster Risk Preparedness and Mitigation					
Provision of equipment and aid in response and recovery of affected communities					



Photos of Ongoing Social Development Programs at Cavite City and Municipality of Kawit

**Education**



**ADOPTING SUMMER EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM**

Refers to employment of students during summer vacation, providing them with minimal compensation to support educational needs.



**Scholarship Program**



*Cavite National High School at Caridad, Cavite City*



Technical/Vocational programs of the TESDA school-based programs.



## Health



**PGC's LIBRENG PUSTISO PROGRAM FOR  
CAVITE CITY RESIDENTS**



**SKIN DISEASE DETECTION AND  
PREVENTION CARAVAN GOES TO CAVITE  
CITY**



**ENSURING HEALTHCARE SERVICES FOR  
KAWIT CONSTITUENTS**



**PGC'S OPERATION TULLI IN KAWIT**





### Livelihood Programs







## Social Assistance



**8,000 RELIEF PACKS DISTRIBUTED IN KAWIT**



**5.2 The IEC Framework.** *Target sector, key messages, scheme/strategy/methods, Information medium, timelines and frequency, cost (Annex 2-19 of RPM for DAO 2003-30)*

This is provided in Table 5-2.

**Table 5-2. Generic IEC Plan/Framework**

Target Sector	Major Topic/s of concern in Relation to Project	IEC Scheme/ Strategy/ Method	Information Medium	Indicative Time/ Frequency	Indicative Cost
Barangay Council/association and Municipal/City Officials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disaster Risk Reduction and Management/ Emergency Plan</li> </ul>	Municipal /City wide IEC Emergency Drills Participation by NDRRMC and PHIVOLCS	Visual Actual Drills (Fire, Earthquake, storm surges)	From start of construction Semi-annual or as decided	To be determined
Barangay and Municipal/City council members	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project Description</li> <li>Impacts on environment and health</li> <li>Risks</li> <li>Socio-Economic benefits</li> <li>Socio-Economic impacts particularly to fisher folks and vendors Climate Change Mitigation/Adaptation</li> </ul>	Group Method Consultations with Stakeholders	Roundtable Discussion Focus Group Discussion / Power Point Presentation Printed Materials Media releases	After receipt of NTP from PRA	To be determined
Impact Barangays Senior Citizens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Health and Safety</li> <li>Locally prevalent diseases</li> </ul>	Group Method Consultations	Roundtable Discussions	Before start of construction	To be determined
General Public	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drugs Awareness</li> </ul>	Public Discussions PNP as guest	Roundtable Discussions	Before start of construction	To be determined
The Impact Barangays	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Garbage Management</li> <li>Plastic wastes</li> </ul>	Technical Discussions Printed Materials	Invite experts on solid wastes	Before start of construction	To be determined
NGOs and Religious Groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature of the Proposed Project</li> <li>Impacts on environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Floods</li> <li>Liquefaction</li> <li>Marine Ecology</li> </ul> </li> <li>Impacts on health</li> <li>Socio-Economic impacts and benefits to existing establishments</li> </ul>	Group Method Consultations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Send invitations to various barangay council members and impact sectors</li> <li>Continuous discussion and consultation with the stakeholders to know their issues and concerns</li> </ul>	Focus Group Discussion / Power Point Presentation Printed Materials	Before construction Phase	Printing of IEC Materials
Professional society and individuals	Continuing consultation	Group Method	Focus Group Discussion	To be determined	To be determined



Target Sector	Major Topic/s of concern in Relation to Project	IEC Scheme/ Strategy/ Method	Information Medium	Indicative Time/ Frequency	Indicative Cost
			Power Point Presentation		
Prospective MMT Members (if formed) PENRO	Environment and Health	Group Method	Focus Group Discussion Power Point Presentations	Same	Same
MMT Members (if formed)	The Project EIA Water and Marine Ecology Quality Management	Group method Individual	Printed materials Focus Group Discussions	Same	To be determined

*Notes: The indicative time/frequency and indicative cost are noted in the above as "To be determined". These would be dependent not only on the LGUs internal programs/plans but on the inputs/requirements that may arise from the prospective JVAs as well as from the requirements of the PRA during the processing of the NTP for the Proponent LGU.*

### 5.2.1 Public information on the Nature of the Project.

The completed "Public Participation" compliant with DAO 2017-15 sufficiently provides public information and includes among others the following matters/issues/concerns/perceptions of the public.

- Flooding/Storm Surges
- Liquefaction
- Land Subsidence
- Sea Level Rise
- Damage to Marine Life
- Incremental increase in Traffic Congestion

The report on "Public Participation" is provided in the Executive Summary and in the submission of the Public Scoping Report to the EIAMD.

The mandatory and prospective Public Hearing will further provide an important vehicle for Public Information and Dissemination.

### 5.2.2 Consultation with the Professional Sectors, Individuals and Experts

During the detailed design and engineering works, which will be undertaken post ECC, the proponent will consider consultations as maybe necessary and feasible with independent professionals, individuals, members of the academe, concerned governmental entities (e.g. the DOST PAGASA/PHIVOLCS) and of the civil societies concerning the application of technical/scientific/engineering knowledge and methodologies to address various perceived and real concerns on natural risks and hazards at the project site environs.

These perceived and real concerns relate to hazards/potentials on storm surges/waves, tsunamis, liquefaction, land subsidence and ground shaking. The National Academy of Science and Technology (NAST) in fact conducted a scientific forum on February 15, 2016 in Manila on the topic: ***Policy Discussion on the Hazards, Risks and Profits of Reclamation***

### 5.2.3 Timeframes and Funding Support

The reclamation phase is separate and distinct from the operations phase and involves only the reclamation itself and horizontal development. This phase may be completed in between 2-3 years per phase after start of



construction work. **Thus, the definitive timeframes for the SDP and IEC are necessarily tied in to the project timetable.**

The more definite timetable as well as source of funding will be better determined after the signing of the City and the PRA with a Private Sector Project Developer which will undertake and fund the project.





## Chapter 6. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE MONITORING (ECM)

### 6.1 Self-Monitoring Plan

Provided in **Table 6-1** is the Environmental Monitoring Plan (EMoP) with Environmental Quality Performance Levels (EQPLs) consistent with Annex 2-20 of the RPM for DAO 2003-30.

From this the definition of EQPL-Environmental Quality Performance Level is as follows:

- Alert or Red Flag: early warning
- Action Level: point where management measures must be employed so as not to reach the regulated threshold or limit level, or to reduce deterioration of affected environmental component to pre-impact or optimum environmental quality
- Limit Level: regulated threshold of pollutant (standard that must not be exceeded); point where emergency response measures must be employed to reduce pollutants to lower than standard limit.



Table 6-1. Summary of EMoP with EQPLs for the Project Cycle

Activity	Potential Impact	Parameter to be Monitored	Sampling & Measurement Plan			Lead Person	Annual Estimated Cost	EQPL MANAGEMENT SCHEME						
			Method	Frequency	Location			EQPL RANGE			MANAGEMENT MEASURE			
								ALERT	ACTION	LIMIT	ALERT	ACTION	LIMIT	
PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE														
Not relevant survey works at site completed														
CONSTRUCTION PHASE														
WATER														
Construction of containment structures Filling of Materials Transport of Materials	Water pollution	TSS	Grab Sampling per DAO 2016-08 Water Quality Guidelines and General Effluent Standards of 2016	Quarterly	8 Stations - 2 stations per side elevation/direction (N, S, W, E)	PCO/ MMT	Part of the monitoring fund of MMT PhP 400k	>35 mg/L	>40 mg/L	>45 mg/L	Conduct investigation on the possible causes of exceedances as per standard Incident Accident Notification, Investigation and Reporting Procedure	Conduct investigation on the possible causes of exceedances as per standard Incident Accident Notification, Investigation and Reporting Procedure. Retesting to verify the exceedances.	-Remove oil from the Oil-Water Separator using oil sorbent pads. - Conduct retesting to confirm result of action. Hire a 3rd party environmental monitoring team to conduct the testing to validate results. If exceedance persist operation in the area of concern will be temporarily stopped unless the exceedance is corrected.	
		O & G						>1.5 mg/L	>1.8 mg/L	>1.9 mg/L				
		pH						<7.2 & >8.2	<7.1 & >8.3	<7 & >8.4				
		Color						No significant effect						
		Temperature						2.6°C rise	2.8°C rise	3.0°C rise				
		BOD						>15 mg/L	>20 mg/L	>25 mg/L				
		Cadmium						0.001 mg/L	0.002 mg/L	0.0025 mg/L				
		Lead						0.007 mg/L	0.008 mg/L	0.009 mg/L				
		Mercury						0.0007 mg/L	0.0008 mg/L	0.001 mg/L				
		Chromium						0.03 mg/L	0.04 mg/L	0.045 mg/L				
		Total Coliform												
		Fecal Coliform						70 MPN/100mL	80 MPN/100mL	90 MPN/100mL				
		COD						>80 mg/L	>90 mg/L	>100 mg/L				
		Surfactants (MBAS)						0.2 mg/L	0.25	0.28 mg/L				
		Ammonia						0.03 mg/L	0.04 mg/L	0.05 mg/L				
		Nitrate						15 mg/L	17 mg/L	19 mg/L				
		Phosphate						0.35 mg/L	0.4 mg/L	0.45 mg/L				
		Sulfate						235 mg/L	240 mg/L	245 mg/L				
		Cyanide						0.015 mg/L	0.017 mg/L	0.019 mg/L				
		Arsenic						0.007 mg/L	0.008 mg/L	0.009 mg/L				
		Copper						0.01 mg/L	0.015 mg/L	0.018 mg/L				
		Flouride						1 mg/L	1.2 mg/L	1.4 mg/L				
		Iron						1 mg/L	1.2 mg/L	1.4 mg/L				
		Zinc						0.035 mg/L	0.04 mg/L	0.045 mg/L				
AIR														



Activity	Potential Impact	Parameter to be Monitored	Sampling & Measurement Plan			Lead Person	Annual Estimated Cost	EQPL MANAGEMENT SCHEME					
			Method	Frequency	Location			EQPL RANGE			MANAGEMENT MEASURE		
								ALERT	ACTION	LIMIT	ALERT	ACTION	LIMIT
Hauling of filling materials	Noise generation	Noise (Decibels dBA)	Portable Noise sampler NPCC Class C	Semi Annual	2 station (hourly)	PCO	Part of the monito ring fund of MMT PhP 10k	Daytime - 60dB Night time - 55dB	Daytime - 65 Night time - 58	Daytime - 70 Night time - 60	Survey sampling station verify complaints as per Noise Level Monitoring and Measurement Procedure. Check the sound level using sound meter. Determine possible cause.	If source of noise is from the site, inform the PM to provide mitigation measures. Conduct noise monitoring to verify if level is already w/in limits. If source of noise is not from the area, inform the MMT regarding possible source for MMT's investigation & coord w LGU.	Noise sampling thru 3rd party firm. Inform the operation to stop activity unless mitigation measure has been installed or the source of noise has been corrected. Upon installation of measures, conduct noise monitoring to verify if the noise level is already w/in limits.
	Degradation of air quality Dust pollution due to vehicle movements	SO2 (µg/Ncm)	DENR AO 2000-81, Rule XXVI, Sec.1	Quarterly	2 station (hourly)	PCO/ MMT	Part of the monito ring fund of MMT PhP 100k	290 (1hr)	305 (1 hr)	340 (1 hr)	Check weather condition during sampling and if location is downwind of the area. Check possible source of pollution including external factors.	Check weather condition during sampling and if location is downwind of the area. Conduct site visit at said stations & hire 3rd party sampling firm to confirm. Adjust the unit's operation per operating manual. Temporarily stop certain aspect of operation unless the problem has been resolved. If the source is not from site, coordinate with LGU, DENR & MMT for appropriate action.	
		NO2 (µg/Ncm)						220 (1hr)	235 (1 hr)	260 (1 hr)			
		PM10 (µg/Ncm)						170 (1hr)	180 (1 hr)	200 (1 hr)			
		TSP (µg/Ncm)						255 (1hr)	270 (1 hr)	300 (1 hr)			
Compaction/Soil stabilization of the project area	Noise pollution due to heavy equipment operation	same as noise generation entries above											



Activity	Potential Impact	Parameter to be Monitored	Sampling & Measurement Plan			Lead Person	Annual Estimated Cost	EQPL MANAGEMENT SCHEME					
			Method	Frequency	Location			EQPL RANGE			MANAGEMENT MEASURE		
								ALERT	ACTION	LIMIT	ALERT	ACTION	LIMIT
	Dust pollution due to heavy equipment operation including transport vessels	Same as degradation of air quality entries above											
LAND													
Construction of horizontal structures	Land pollution due to solid wastes and toxic substances	Volume of solid wastes	Visual	Weekly	On site	PCCO	Monitoring will be through visual count weekly of the number of garbage cans/containers picked up and coordinated to Cavite Solid Waste Management Office.						
PEOPLE													
Construction	Hiring	Employment of local qualified residents	Contractor records	Construction period	Reclamation/construction site	MMT	Included in Operation Cost	Negative feedback to the Proponent	Formal complaint by the workers	Formal complaint by the workers	Formal complaint by the workers	Complain to DOLE	Review the protocol and reconsider the complaint if applicable
	Exposure of employees and the local community to construction activities	Safe person-hours, injury, near miss and other safety performance indices Health stats	Incident reporting, survey, include in the H&S Plan	Annually	Reclamation/construction site	MMT	Part of the monitoring fund of MMT	No affected employee, injury, near misses and minor accidents.	One affected employee, injury, near misses and minor accidents.	Major accident s such as fires, explosion, etc.	Re-training of the workers on safety. Investigate.	Inspect the area of most accidents. Monitor.	Safety audit on site by 3rd Party
	Residents	Health, Income	Surveys, medical examinations included in the H&S Plan, payment record, key informant interviews	Annually	DIAs and IIAs	ComRel Officer	Part of SDP Budget	Increased level of sickness per survey	All complaints lodged by residents	All complaints lodged by residents	Talk with the locals to check their stand & to property address it.	Intensify IEC and ComRel	Conduct FGDs if needed.





## 6.2 Multi-Partite Monitoring Team (MMT)

Based on DAO 2017-15 in compliance to the ECC during the implementation of the project, it is imperative to ensure the implementation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

The MMT is to be composed of representative(s) from the concerned local environmental Non-Government Organization (NGO), PAGASA, DPWH, and other concerned government agencies, which shall be organized consistent with DAO 2017-15 and DAO 2018-18. The MMT shall primarily oversee and report to EMB Central Office, the proponents' compliance with its commitment and EMP/EMMoP as contained in the EIS documents.

The MMT is recommendatory to EMB. MMTs have the primary responsibility of validation of Proponent's environmental performance, with the following specific functions:

Per DAO 2003-30:

- i. Validate project compliance with the conditions stipulated in the ECC and the EMP;
- ii. Validate Proponent's conduct of self-monitoring;
- iii. Receive complaints, gather relevant information to facilitate determination of validity of complaints or concerns about the project and timely transmit to the Proponent and EMB recommended measures to address the complaint;
- iv. Prepare, integrate and disseminate simplified validation reports to community stakeholders;
- v. Make regular and timely submission of MMT Reports based on the EMB-prescribed format.

Per DAO 2017-15:

- vi. Continue to serve as a venue for promoting greater stakeholder vigilance and providing appropriate check and balance mechanisms in monitoring project impacts as well as a venue for empowering the communities in taking responsibility for environmental protection.
- vii. Conduct quarterly ocular site visit to validate the proponent's compliance with the ECC conditions and the EMoP including the requirement to conduct self-monitoring and submit corresponding reports regularly.
- viii. Discuss findings with the proponent.
- ix. May observe sampling activities conducted by the project proponent.
- x. Prepare and submit report to EMB-CO and EMB-RO concerned using EMB-prescribed format.
- xi. Institute an environmental emergency and complaints receiving and management mechanism, which shall include systems for transmitting recommendations for necessary regulatory action to EMB in a timely manner to prevent adverse environmental impacts.

The preliminary and proposed list of stakeholder members of the MMT, the basis of selection and proposed role is given in the **Table 6-2**.

**Table 6-2. List of MMT Stakeholders/Basis of Selection /Proposed Roles**

Stakeholder Member	Basis of Selection	Proposed Roles
EMB REG 4-A	Per Annex 3.4 of RPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ensure adherence to policies and IRR of the MMT body</li><li>• When necessary refer items in conflict to EMB CO for resolution</li></ul>
Municipal Government City Government	Same as above Main Responsible entity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provide relevant project information</li><li>• Allow MMT inspection of site and of pollution abatement facilities</li><li>• Participate in monitoring</li><li>• Assist and sign MMT Report</li><li>• Provide MMT with related Municipal /City Policies</li></ul>
Host Barangays	Most affected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Participate in monitoring</li><li>• Assist and sign MMT Report</li></ul>



Stakeholder Member	Basis of Selection	Proposed Roles
NGO or PO registered and accredited in the Province or Municipality		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assist in monitoring</li> <li>• Assist in MMT report</li> <li>• Provide relevant inputs on the sector represented</li> </ul>
Philippine Reclamation Authority	Authority for all reclamation projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure compliance with the internal guidelines of the PRA</li> <li>• Ensure compliance with Agreements with PRA</li> </ul>
Department of Tourism	Tourism enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure project aligned to Tourism</li> <li>• Policy and Objectives</li> </ul>
Philippine Coast Guard	In charge of Philippine Coasts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure compliance with rules of PCG relative to marine environment</li> </ul>
Manila Bay Council	Policy Making for Manila Bay	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure harmony with the MBC policies</li> </ul>

#### **Section 16.2 of DAO 2017-15:**

*“The project proponents and EMB-DENR shall no longer be member of the MMT. The EMB-DENR shall provide oversight guidance to the MMT and consider its reports and recommendations in its impact and compliance evaluation. It shall conduct regular performance audit of the MMTs. The project proponent shall provide funds for the MMT activities based on the Annual Work and Financial approved by the EMB.”*

The above is a subject of challenge from some private sector and current members of the MMT.

#### **Section 15.4 of DAO 2017-15:**

*“In case that in this Environmentally Critical Project (ECP), the significant environmental impacts do not persist after construction phase or whose impacts could be regulated through the regular monitoring activities conducted by other government agencies, the MMT shall be terminated upon certification of completion by the lead government agency.”*

### **6.3 Environmental Guarantee Fund**

A readily available and replenishable Environmental Guarantee Fund (EGF) to cover the following expenses:

1. For further environmental assessments, compensations and/or indemnification for whatever damages to life and property that may be caused by the project;
2. Rehabilitation and/or restoration of areas affected by the project's implementation; and
3. Abandonment/decommissioning of the project facilities related to the prevention of possible negative impacts; and as a source of fund for contingency and clean-up activities.

A replenishable Environmental Monitoring Fund (EMF) shall likewise be established to cover all costs attendant to the operation of the MMT.

#### **Proposed Amounts:**

1. **Proposed EGF trust fund- Php 500,000 (estimate)**
2. **Proposed EGF cash fund- Php 500,000 (estimate)**
3. **Proposed EMF cash fund- Php 500,000 (estimate)**

The above will be discussed with the MMT upon its formation. It may be expected that the Proponent -LGU will also need to discuss this with the prospective private sector developer.

#### **Basis of the estimate**

**Annex 3-6** of the Revised Procedural Manual provides the guidelines for the determination of the EGF and is summarized hereunder. There is no explicit provision under DAO 2003-30 requiring valuation of potential impacts that may arise as a result of changes in the use of natural and environmental resources.



Procedures for arriving at such estimates in a more rational and systematic manner will have to be based on experiences that shall have been generated on Philippine examples and other developing countries. In the absence of such information, more recent experiences of projects of similar nature with provisions for EGF may be utilized.

The amount and mechanics of the EGF, EMF and the establishment of the MMT shall be determined by the EMB Central Office and the proponent in consultation with the EMB Region 4A Office through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), which shall be submitted to this Office one (1) year prior to project construction.

It should take into consideration the following factors in determining the appropriate amount for specific projects:

- The EIS committed programs
- The degree of environmental risk involved (based on number and extent of potential damage)
- Valuation of resources that would most likely to be affected
- The proponent's ability to provide funds for the EGF

At the end of the project life, a sufficient amount should be left from the EGF to ensure that rehabilitation, restoration, decommissioning, or abandonment shall be adequately financed. Such amount may be increased during the project life span to insure that the balance shall be sufficient for the abandonment phase. In such case, the EGF Committee may require an adjustment of such amount to cover inflation and other factors. The required submission to the DENR-EMB of the project's Abandonment Plan shall have a corresponding fund commitment subject to the approval of the DENR or the lead government agency with direct approving authority on the Abandonment/Decommissioning Plan of the project.

Draft MMT, EGF and EMF Memorandum Agreement is provided in **Annex 6-B**.

#### **Additional NOTES**

- MMT agreements and the details involving EGF EMF are developed post ECC for projects of all types
- Accordingly, the most appropriate time to provide meaningful entries is post ECC.



## **CHAPTER 7. ABANDONMENT/ DECOMMISSIONING/ REHABILITATION POLICY**

### **7.1 Statement on Proponent's policies and generic procedures for Rehabilitation/ Decommissioning/Abandonment will be submitted post-ECC, within a timeframe specified in the ECC.**

This will be submitted post-ECC, within a timeframe specified in the ECC. Abandonment/decommissioning may not be undertaken until after approval of the EMB of the submitted plans, which may include: a. Environmental Site Assessment to determine contaminants left by the construction; b. the monitoring of any residual effects and c. legal commitments, if any.

The proposed project is only intended for reclamation works and horizontal development, thus, decommissioning is only perceived as cessation of works in reclaimed land, which will be prepared before vertical development (construction of buildings etc.) or the establishment of the locators, which is not included in this ECC application. Once this Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) shall have been contemplated, it will be the responsibility of the proponent to coordinate with the EMB for the latter's guidelines on what are the needed activities including more in-depth monitoring as well as the decommissioning procedure.

The activities to be undertaken for the cessation of reclaimed land are:

- Sampling test for water to ensure that there are no leak oil and greases and more importantly metallic contamination and other substances that can affect the existing water quality of Manila Bay.
- General demobilization of equipment.
- In terms of alternatives for the future use of abandoned area, there will be no area to be abandoned, hence, this is not needed;
- Rehabilitation/ restoration plans, if any The project is consistent with the long-term zoning and land use development, noting that the proposed project is the Province itself.

### **7.2 General Abandonment/Decommissioning Scenario**

#### **Procedures for the decommissioning of the project components;**

Demobilization during post construction will be conducted by the contractors as per Provincial Government requirements, which include all activities and costs for transport of all construction equipment used, all excess materials, disassembly and transport of temporary facilities used during construction, removal and disposal of all construction debris and general clean-up of construction site.

The project components are largely the reclaimed land including the infrastructures therein constructed e.g. roads open spaces, viaduct, drainage culverts, electrical and water lines, etc.

#### **Transport/disposal of equipment and other materials used in the operation;**

The equipment and other materials used in the reclamation and dredging works would have been returned or claimed back by the contractors.

#### **Remediation of contaminated soil and water resources due to spills and leakage of chemicals and other materials used in the operation;**

There are no spills and leakages during the process of soil stabilization, and hence, this aspect is not relevant.





### **Maintenance and Enhancement**

The reclaimed land itself and the operations phase of the project have no lifespan, hence, a continuing proper maintenance, enhancement and upgrading will be done to ensure high standard of the developed areas that is environmentally compliant, structurally-sound and safe. Structures will be assessed and monitored regularly to ensure the sustained integrity of the project development.

### **Alternatives for the future use of abandoned area:**

The proponent can bid out the project to private sectors for their use; subject to the policies and approval of PRA and the NEDA.

### **Consistency with long term zoning and land use development plan of the municipality:**

The project is consistent with the long term zoning and land use development, noting that the proposed project is the LGU itself.

### **7.3. Focus on the Decommissioning/Rehabilitation and Restoration Activities**

Focus will be made on the 247.28 hectare landform created for Island A.

Moreover, attention will be also be made on the rehabilitation and restoration needs, if any, for any residual impacts on the fishing structures and on the fishing grounds. Damage if any to the marine ecology will have to be compensated for including the rehabilitation of such damage.



## **SECTION 8. INSTITUTIONAL PLAN FOR EMP IMPLEMENTATION**

### **8.1 Organizational Scheme of the Proponent**

The Institutional Plan is the inception of a organizational body that will implement the proposed Environmental Management Plan (EMP) whose main thrust is to ensure that environmental, socio-economic, political and public health issues are properly address in a timely manner. It provides necessary mechanism that will strengthen the organizational relationship of the proponent with the private sector developer/partner, other concerned government agencies and other stakeholders.


The proposed project will be implemented by a group of the Cavite Provincial Government, PRA and a private sector project developer (through a Joint Venture Agreement), which will have shared responsibilities and liabilities.

- The Provincial Government of Cavite will enter into an Agreement with a private sector private developer (or a group of investors) that will ensure not only the implementation of the proposed project but also the faithful implementation of the EMP.
- The private sector member is expected to take direct responsibility for the reclamation phase in accordance with the agreements that will be made among the members of the consortium. The Project Developer will be awarding the reclamation/dredging works to qualified contractor(s).
- The line of command will be directly from the Project Developer through its Project Manager to the Contractor through the latter's Construction Manager.
- The delineation of total responsibilities for the implementation of the Project will be determined in the MOA. The Proponent will ultimately be responsible but is expected to delegate authority to the Private Sector Developer.

#### **During the Construction Phase**

The following key points are noted in respect of the organizational scheme:

<b>Institutions</b>	<b>Responsibilities</b>
The Province of Cavite	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Monitor compliance to EMP by contractors</li><li>• Coordinate and participate in monitoring compliance</li></ul>
The Municipality of Kawit and City of Cavite	Same as above as may be relevant to a particular Municipality and subject to arrangements with the Province
Philippine Reclamation Authority/National Development Authority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The government agency with overall mandate over reclamation projects</li></ul>
Private Sector Project Developer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The private sector member of this consortium is expected to take responsibility for the reclamation phase in accordance with the agreements that will be made among the members of the consortium.</li><li>• The Project Developer will be awarding the reclamation/dredging works to qualified contractor(s). The manpower complement of the contractors will be determined by the Contractors themselves. The line of command will be directly from the Project Developer through its Project Manager to the Contractor through the latter's Construction Manager.</li></ul>
The Department of Works and Highways	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Participation in addressing flood problems</li><li>• Involvement in providing infrastructure support to the various projects</li></ul>
The Philippine Coast Guard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Stewardship of the Philippine marine waters</li></ul>
Other Government Entities (e.g. BFAR, DOTr, DOT, etc)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• According to their Respective Mandates</li></ul>



**Figure 8-1. Conceptual Institutional Plan for Project Implementation – Construction Phase**

Figure 8-1 is an illustration of the Institutional Plan for the Construction/Reclamation Phase that may likely be adopted by the Project Consortium.

In compliance with the regulations of the EIS system, the Proponent shall establish an Environmental Unit (EU) to completely handle the environment-related aspects of the project in addition to the monitoring requirements as specified in the EMP / EMoP. The EU shall have the following functions:

- Monitor actual project impacts vis-à-vis the predicted impacts and mitigation measures in the EIS;
- Ensure that monitoring and submission of reports to EMB are carried out;
- Submit Demobilization Plan 1 year prior to the completion of reclamation activities, which will include clean-up plan; and
- Conduct a continuing consultation with the stakeholders in updating the EMP/EMoP that may include baseline data, geohazard, disaster risk reduction and management, and ground settlement monitoring of the newly-reclaimed island.

### **The Organizational Chart for EMP Implementation**

The Pollution Control Officer (PCO) shall be responsible for the implementation of the recommended Environmental Management Measures and Environmental Monitoring Plan as stated in this report. He shall direct all contractors and sub-contractors in case they have observed the alert and action situation for immediate corrective measures. In addition, the PCO shall be responsible for monitoring of the Community Relations and Safety Officer.

He shall be responsible for the regular submission of the compliances report to the EMB Central Office and Regional Office.

### **The Organizational**

**Figure 8-2** Organizational Chart for the Construction/Reclamation Phase that may likely be adopted by the Project Consortium during the Implementation of the Environmental Management Measures.

#### ***Proponent***

Like in any other normal organization, the proponent Provincial Government of Cavite shall be responsible for planning, organizing, leading and controlling of the company thru its assigned Project Developer.

#### ***Project Developer***

The Project Developer shall report directly to the Provincial Government of Cavite who shall be responsible for the overall construction of the project as well as leading the management of the people and environment.



### ***Managing Head***

The Managing Head shall ensure compliance with the requirements of PD 1586, RA 6969, RA 8749, RA 9003, RA 9275,

### **Pollution Control Officer (PCO)**

The Pollution Control Officer (PCO) who shall be reporting directly to him and shall be responsible for the health and safety and implementation of the environmental management plan of the project. The Pollution Control Officer (PCO) shall see to it the safety of the people and the implementation of the Impact Management Plan (IMP) and at the same time lead assistant in the Multi-sectoral team.

### ***Health and Safety***


The health and safety shall include administration of the following:

- safety practices of the workers inside the project
- point person in the disaster management plan
- emergency needs of the workers

### ***Environment***

The environment section functions the following:

- implementation and monitoring of the Impact Management Plan (IMP)
- implementation and monitoring of the Environmental Monitoring Plan (EMoP)
- reports from time to time to the Project Manager and recommends action when necessary
- implementation of the Social Development Plan (SDP)
- implementation of the Information Education Communication (IEC)



**Figure 8-2 Organizational Chart of the Environmental Unit (EU)**





### **During Operations Phase (Distinct from the Construction Phase)**

The locators are expected to be organizing themselves into an Association which function involves among others the management of pollution abatement facilities.

It is understood that the body who will implement the EMP to be called Health, Safety, Environment and Community (HSEC) Department, shall follow the structure whereby these JV consortium 'members will be represented in the body to be organized.

During the reclamation phase, the Project Developer, through its Project Manager, shall be responsible in overseeing this institutional body in coordination with the representatives from the Department of Engineering & Public Works of the City of Paranaque and the PRA.

An Pollution Control Officer (PCO) shall be designated among the project engineers who shall have the following functions for the implementation of the EMP:

- Monitor compliance of contractors' implementation of the EMP;
- Identify sources of pollution issues;
- Monitor the effectiveness of mitigating/enhancement measures;
- Based on monitoring results, find solutions/alternatives to enhance the EMP
- Coordinate with PRA, DPWH and other relevant oversight agencies and other stakeholders.

The PCO may concurrently act as Health and Safety Officer or a separate person may be designated. They shall report directly to the Project Manager or his designate who in turn will be responsible for the overall environmental management program. The PCO will have sufficient authority and competence on decision-making in terms of environmental management.

The Health and Safety Officer shall be responsible for the health and safety component, while the Security Officer shall be in-charge of Peace and order to include security risk management and emergency responses. The Community Relations Officer (Comrel) shall handle the implementation of social development programs and IEC activities.

Once the reclaimed land is established and turned over for vertical construction in the Operations Phase, a Project Management Office (PMO) may be established by the Estate Association of locators/business owners. The PMO head shall be supervising the new HSEC Department. The PMO shall report to the Association BOD, the

The PMO shall also function, among others, the overseeing of the maintenance of the common facilities such as the drainage system, the electrical/water distribution system and the communications facilities. It shall also manage the maintenance of the roads, drainage system as well as traffic management.

### **Contractor's Accountability**

Since the construction of the project will rely on the contractors, the proponents shall ensure that the contractors will strictly comply not only with all the technical specifications of the reclamation but likewise with all the health, safety, environment and community concerns in the execution of the development works. The contractors shall be held legally and financially liable to the EMP implementation. The contract may be terminated and or the contractor will be included in the blacklist if deemed to have acted inappropriately or did not abide by the rules set out clearly in the contract.

## **8.2 Framework on Grievance Mechanism**

### **Policy on Grievance Mechanism**



In general terms a “grievance mechanism” is a formal, legal or non-legal complaint process that can be used by individuals, workers, communities and/or civil society organizations that are being negatively affected by certain business activities and operations.

### **Grievance Procedures for Reclamation Projects**

Inasmuch as an LGU is the Project Proponent for reclamation projects, the Grievance Mechanism is lodged in the LGU set up.

Following procedures are based from the Local Government Code (Reference :RA 7160)

- The MPDO or CPDO will be responsible for receiving the complaints and grievances regarding the proposed reclamation project which relate to environmental, social and economic aspects (e.g. employment and livelihood). Following are the general procedures:
- The said Office will first verify the nature, correctness as well as the rationale for the complaints.
- Only formal complaints will be formally entertained.
- When verified, this Office will refer the complaints to the concerned unit or sub committee of the LGU, e.g. the environmental, employment, senior citizen, gender, peace and order, etc. unit or sub committee.
- The sub-committee will conduct an investigation or inquiry on the complaint and will call a face to face meeting with the complainant(s).
- The sub-committee will endorse the complaints and grievances to the Environment & Social Concerns Office or other unit(s) for advise and assistance.
- During the community meetings prior to the reclamation activities, the channels for complaints and grievances and related procedures shall be announced/publicized to the public including the PAFs in the form of hand-outs like pamphlets brochures/ leaflets.
- After the community meetings, all concerned institutions, including Barangay, LGU, PMO-GNP I, shall use the same hand-outs to explain the grievance redress procedures to those who come to them for filing their concerns.

Grievances from the PAFs related to the reclamation implementation or any related issues to the project will be handled, free of monetary charge, through negotiations and are aimed to have consensus decision following standard procedures.

Amicable and non-confrontational atmosphere will be observed during meetings and in the resolutions of complaints.

A timeline shall be set by the MPDO/CPDO for amicable resolutions of complaints.

The complainants shall have the prerogative of elevating their complaints to the courts as they see fit.